de intel

INQUIRIES

CONCERNING THE

#### INTELLECTUAL POWERS

AND THE

INVESTIGATION OF TRUTH.

WITH THE

#### PHILOSOPHY

OF THE

#### MORAL FEELINGS.

BY

JOHN ABERCROMBIE, M.D., Oxon. and Edin. V.P.R.S.E.

Silow of the the Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh, Member of the Royal Academy of Medicine of France, and First Physician to His Majesty in Scotland.

#### CALCUTTA:

PRINTED FOR THE CALCUTTA CHRISTIAN SCHOOL BOOK SOCIE-TY; AND SOLD, FOR THE USE OF NATIVE INSTITUTIONS ONLY, AT THE SOCIETY'S DEPOSITORY, NO. 7, OLD COURT-HOUSE STREET.

1844.

#### NOTE.

The present Edition of Dr. Abercrombie's two works, on the Intellectual Powers, and on the Moral Feelings, has been reprinted by The Calcutta Christian School Book Society, by special permission from the Author and the London Publishers, for the exclusive use of Native Institutions,—that is, of Institutions established for the education of Hindu, Mussulmaun, and other native youth. No copies can be had except by direct application to the Secretary or Secretaries of this Society, by parties who shall offer the fullest guarantee for such an exclusive application of them. Further, it is hereby declared illegal to buy or sell any copies, for any other purpose whatever, except that above specified.

CALCUTTA, January, 1844.

W. Rüshton, Printer.

Summerable thunks are fue to Deo Baran Shaukle for his enferessing his de great regard towards PREFACE THOMAN

FOURTH EDITION OF THE ENQUIRIES CON-CERNING THE INTELLECTUAL POWERS.

In presenting to the public a Fourth Edition of this volume, at so short a period from its first publication, the Author feels himself called upon again to express, in the strongest terms, his sense of the manner in which it has been received, and of the notice which has been bestowed upon it by those whose approbation he highly values. A prejudice appears to have long existed against metaphysical inquiries. from an impression that they relate only to scholastic sophistries, incapable of being applied to any useful purpose, and leading to no results which are entitled to the character of truth. The object of the Author has been to avoid all such unprofitable speculations, and to restrict his investigations by those boundaries within which the science can be shown to rest upon authentic facts, and to yield conclusions of the utmost practical importance. If he has thus succeeded in giving to the science of mind some degree of popular interest, the intention is accomplished which he had in view, when he ventured on a course of inquiry, which has been enriched by the talents, and adorned by the eloquence, of some of the most eminent individuals that have devoted themselves to any department of human knowledge.

#### PREFACE TO THE FIFTH EDITION.

THE Fifth Edition, though published in a small and portable form, is enlarged by some new facts and observations, which, it is hoped, will add to the interest of the volume. These relate chiefly to the subjects of delirium, memory, dreaming, somnambulism, insanity, idiocy, and spectral illusions.

Edinburgh, April, 1835.

#### PREFACE

TO THE

#### FIRST EDITION

OF THE

#### PHILOSOPHY OF THE MORAL FEELINGS.

In a former work, the Author endeavoured to delineate, in a simple and popular form, the leading facts relating to the Intellectual Powers, and to trace the principles which ought to guide us in the Investigation of Truth. The volume, which he now offers to the public attention, is intended as a sequel to these Inquiries; and his object in it is to investigate, in the same unpretending manner, the Moral Feelings of the Human Mind, and the principles which ought to regulate our volitions and our conduct as moral and responsible beings. The two branches of investigation are, in many respects, closely connected; and, on this account, it may often happen, that, in the present work, principles are assumed as admitted or proved, which, in the former, were stated at length, with the evidence by which they are supported.

#### PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION.

In presenting a third edition of this volume, the Author feels most deeply the favourable manner in which it has been received, and the notice which has been bestowed upon it by those whose approbation he regards as a distinction of the most gratifying kind. He had two objects chiefly in view when he ventured upon this investigation. The one was to divest his inquiry of all unprofitable speculation, and to shew that the philosophy of the moral feelings bears directly upon a practical purpose of the highest moment,—the mental and moral culture of every rational being. The other was to show the close and important relation which exists between this science and the doctrines of revealed religion, and the powerful evidence which is derived, for the truth of both,

from the manner in which they confirm and illustrate each other. These two sources of knowledge cannot be separated, in the estimation of any one who feels the deep interest of the inquiry, and seriously prosecutes the important question, -what is truth. If we attempt to erect the philosophy of morals into an independent science, we shall soon find that its highest inductions only lead us to a point beyond which we are condemned to wander in doubt and in darkness. But, on the other hand, by depreciating philosophy, or the light which is derived from the moral impressions of the mind, we deprive ourselves of a most important source of evidence in support of revelation. For it is from these impressions, viewed in connexion with the actual state of man, that we learn the necessity, and the moral probability, of a revelation; and it is by principles existing in the mind that we are enabled to feel the power of that varied and incontrovertible evidence, by which revelation comes to the candid inquirer with all the authority of truth.

EDINBURGH, May, 1835.

#### CONTENTS.

pa	ne
Introduction	1
Preliminary Observations on the General Objects of Science.	1
Uniformity of the Relations of Bodies Origin of our Idea of Causation Our Idea of the Relation of Cause and Effect, in reference to any two events, entirely distinct from our intuitive impression of	3
Causation Of Physical, Efficient, and Final Causes The Object of Science is to trace the Uniform Relations of things	67 8
The Object of Art is to apply our Knowledge of these relations, for producing particular results Distinction of Sciences and Arts, according to the particular sub-	9 b.
	10
Grounds of Uncertainty in a Science	11
Illustrations of the Uncertain Sciences from Medicine and Politi-	Ъ
Imperfection of all Science, from the limited nature of the human faculties	15

#### PART I. OF THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF OUR KNOWLEDGE OF MIND. Our Knowledge of Mind limited entirely to Facts Ideal Theory of the Old Philosophy 1.5 17 Of Materialism Grounds for considering Materialism as not only unfounded, but as, in its nature, opposed to the First Principles of Philosophical Inquiry 18 Grounds for believing that the Thinking Principle is, in its Essence, independent of the Body, and will survive it . 19 This belief is entirely independent of our Speculations respecting the immateriality of the thinking principle, and rests on a species of evidence altogether different 23 PART II. OF THE ORIGIN OF OUR KNOWLEDGE OF FACTS, RELATING BOTH TO MATTER AND MIND SECTION I.

SENSATION AND PERCEPTION	26
Of the Primary and Secondary properties of Matter	27
Knowledge of the properties of Matter by the Senses	28
Of our Knowledge of Distance and Magnitude	29
Apparent improvement of some Senses after loss of others	31
Of our Knowledge of the Nature of Perception	34
Remarkable influence of Attention	35
Habits of Attention and Inattention	ib.
Of False Perceptions	38
38 G. C. C. Barrier, and J. C.	

	SECTION II.
	CONSCIOUSNESS AND REFLECTION.
Of the Refie	Knowledge which we derive from Consciousness and ction 42
	1. The Knowledge of our Mental Processes it
	2. Compound Notions, -as Time, Cause, Motion . it
100	3. First Truths, or Intuitive Articles of Belief 4

#### SECTION III.

#### TESTIMONY.

Rnles by which we estimate	the Cred	ibility of Tes	imony	. 45
Confidence in Testimony in	regard to	statements at	variance	with
our Personal Observation	or Exper	ience .		46

CONTENTS.	vii
Objections which have been made to the Reception of such Statements on the Evidence of Testimony  Fallacy of these Objections,—and Grounds of our Confidence in	49
Testimony Distinction between Events which are Marvellous and those which	ib.
are Miraculous Moral Probability of Miracles	51 52
Miracles not a violation of the established order of Nature, but referable to an agency altogether new and peculiar Grounds on which we estimate the Credibility of Testimony in	54
regard to unusual or miraculous events	55
PART III.	
OF THE INTELLECTUAL OPERATIONS	58
or the house of surface	
SECTION I.	
MEMORY	60
Attention	61
Association	63
1. Natural or Philosophical Association	67
2. Local or Incidental Association	70
3. Arbitrary or Fictitious Association	74
Artificial Memory	ib.
Important Application of the Principle of Arbitrary	
Association in Commemorative Rites	76
Conception, or the Memory of Perceptions Of the Culture and Improvement of Attention, Reflection, and	77
Memory Of the Influence of Disease upon Attention and Memory	81
Of Extensive Cerebral Disease, without Sensible Derangement of the Mental Functions	93
Influence of the Facts connected with this Subject in shew-	
ing the Independent Existence of the Thinking Principle .	94
SECTION II.	
ABSTRACTION.	
	101
SECTION III.	
IMAGINATION.	
Nature and Applications of Imagination	103
Various Kinds of Artificial Combination to which it is applicable.	104

#### CONTENTS.

Importance of a Proper Application of it in the Formation of Character	105
	106
	107
and the control and the control of t	-0.
OTOMICAL III	
SECTION IV.	
REASON OR JUDGMENT.	
Analysis of the Mental Process of which Reason consists	108
Applications of Reason in the Investigations of Science, the	100
	110
Man's Responsibility for his Belief	114
Farther Division of the Subject Brief Outline of the System of	
	117
그 사람이 아이들의 살이 그렇게 무섭했다면서 수 있는 것이 없는데 다른	
§ 1.—OF THE USE OF REASON IN THE INVESTIGATION	
OF TRUTH.	
Of First Truths, or Intuitive Articles of Belief, as the Founda-	15
tion of all Reasoning	118
1. A belief in our own Existence, and of Mind as some-	
thing distinct from the Body	119
2. A Confidence in the Information furnished by our	
Senses	ib.
	120
4. A Belief of our Personal Identity	ib.
5. A Conviction that every Event must have a Cause	
6. A Confidence in the Uniformity of Nature	ib.
	121
Uniformity of Moral Relations	123
Application to the question of Liberty and Necessity	
Of the Nature and Importance of First Truths, and Sophisms	125
connected with attempts to reason against them	101
and the second of the second o	135
1. Of Collecting Facts .	137
A CAM I I D. I I A CA I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	138
3. Of Deducing General Principles	ib.
Of Fallacies in Investigation	139
Fallacies in regard to Facts	ib.
False Induction	ib.
False Reasoning	
2. Of Tracing the Relation of Cause and Effect 3. Of Deducing General Principles Of Fallacies in Investigation Fallacies in regard to Facts False Induction False Reasoning Of the Nature of Reasoning Of the Syllogism and its Uses Of the Cautions in asympting a Process of Reasoning on Investigation	ib.
Of the Syllogism and its Uses	141
or the Cautons in Camming a Process of Reasoning of Myesti-	110
Distinction between a process of Reasoning and a process of	142
	143
OFFICE DESCRIPTION	144
	* 12.20

		-
Of Mathematical Reasoning Difference between the sound Exercise of Judgment and	the Ar	. 155 t
of Disputation		. 156
Of the Culture and Regulation of the Judgment .	9.0	. 159
Influence of Attention	7	. ib
- of Prejudice	- 1	. ib
of Passion, or State of Moral Feelings	12	. 161
Importance of a well-regulated Judgment		ib.
Total and to Suntous augment.		. 10.
\$ 2 -OF THE HOP OF THE SET OF THE		
\$ 2.—of the use of reason in correcting the in	WPRES	SIONS
OF THE MIND IN REGARD TO EXTERNAL THIN	GS.	
Nature and Effects of this Exercise of Reason		. 162
Peculiar Conditions connected with the Suspension of it .		. 164
I. DREAMING.		
Peculiar Condition of the Mind in Dreaming	(-	. 165
Origin of the Various Classes of Dreams.		
1. Recent Eyents		ib.
2. Old Associations excited by Bodily Sensat	ions	
3. Old Associations recalled by a Process	of the	
Mind itself	01 (11)	169
4. Mental Emotions embodied into Dreams		174
Dreams consist chiefly of Real Objects of Concep	tion	184
Operations of an Intellectual Character in Dreams	cion .	
		100
II. SOMNAMBULISM.		
Various degrees of this Affection.		187
Remarkable Condition commonly called double C	Jonsei-	
ousness		192
III. INSANITY.		
Peculiar Condition of the Mind constituting Insan	ity .	198
Various Modifications of it, from Eccentricity to I	Mania .	200
Great Activity of the Mental Powers in many Cas	ses .	202
Remarkable Loss of Recent Impressions, and sude	len re-	
vival of them on Recovery	11-1-1-1	205
Hallucination confined to a single Point .		206
	differ-	
ent cases of Insanity .  1. Propensities of Character 2. Old Associations .  2. Old Firston of the Insanity.		207
1. Propensities of Character		208
2. Old Associations .		ib.
o. Old rictions of the imagination .		ib.
4. Bodily Feelings	1	
5. Undefined Impression of the New and P	eculiar	
Condition of the Mental Powers .	F. 54	ib.
Melancholia-Propensity to Suicide		010
		112
Cautions in deciding on slight or suspected cases		214
Liability of the Insane to Punishment .		217
Liability of the Insane to Punishment Moral Treatment of Insanity Of Idiocy—Difference between it and Insanity Cretinism		218
Of Idiocy—Difference between it and Insanity	- V 1	223
Cratiniam	200	205

IV. SPECTRAL ILLUSIONS.	
Various Forms and Sources of them	22
PART IV.	
APPLICATION OF THE RULES OF PHILOSOPHICAL INVESTIGATION TO MEDICAL SCIENCE.	ON
and a state of the	
SECTION I.	
ACQUISITION AND RECEPTION OF FACTS.	
Rules to be observed, and Sources of Error to be avoided, in the Reception of Facts.	24
SECTION II.	
ARRANGING, COMBINING, AND SEPARATING FACTS.	
Rules to be observed in this process Evils arising from unsound Combinations Of the Effects produced on Medical Science by a zeal for Noso-	251 252 253
SECTION III.	
TRACING THE RELATION OF CAUSE AND EFFECT.	
Importance and difficulty of this process Sources of Fallacy in conducting it Of the Division of Causes into Predisposing, Exciting, and	254 257 258
SECTION IV.	
Difference between Generalizing and Classification . Rules of Generalizing, or of the Induction of General Principles	262 263
2. That it be true of all the Individuals	ib. ib. 268

	TO 27
PART V.	
VIEW OF THE QUALITIES AND ACQUIREMENTS WHICH CONSTITUTE A WELL-REGULATED MIND.	UTI
2. Regulation of the Succession of Thoughts 3. Activity of mind 4. Habits of Association and Reflection 5. Proper selection of Objects of pursuit 6. Government of the Imagination 7. Culture and Regulation of the Judgment Observing and Inventive Genius	
THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE MORAL FEELING	S.
PRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS.	
SECTION I.	
NATURE AND IMPORTANCE OF THE SCIENCE OF THE MORA FEELINGS.	L
Causes by which this harmony is interrupted,—and means of counteracting them Interest of the Science of the Moral Feelings Peculian sources of Knowledge bearing upon it, from the light	290 ib. ib. 291
SECTION II.	
Classification of First Truths in Moral Science, as impressions arising out of each other, by an obvious chain of relations . 2  1. Perception of the nature and quality of actions, as just or unjust,—right or wrong;—and a conviction of duties which a man owes to other men.  2. Conviction of the existence and attributes of a Great First Cause, and Moral Governor.  3. Conviction of Moral Responsibility.  4. Impression of Future Existence.	294 297
Importance of these convictions, as intuitive articles of belief . 2	298

#### THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE MORAL FEELINGS.

Analy	vsis of Man as a Moral Being	302
	I. THE DESIRES-THE AFFECTIONS-and SELF-LOVE.	
	II. THE WILL.	
1.00	III. THE MORAL PRINCIPLE, OF CONSCIENCE.	
111	IV THE MORAL RELATION OF MAN TOWARDS THE DEITY.	1

#### PART I.

THE DESIRES-THE AFFECTIONS-AND SELF-LOVE.

#### SECTION I.

-	THE DESIRES				307
1	Desire of the Animal Propensities		1/2		ib.
2	Desire of Wealth-Avarice .				308
3.	Desire of Power-Ambition				ib.
4.	Desire of Superiority-Emulation				309
	Desire of Society				ib.
	Desire of Esteem or Approbation		. 4		310
	Desire of Knowledge				312
	Desire of Moral Improvement				ib.
	- Desire of Action	V		0	313
	Importance of a due Regulation of	the Desires			314
	개역 제 가게 된다는 그리는 얼마가				
	SECTION	II.			
	THE AFFECTIO	ns			315

Importance of a due Regulation of the Desires .	. 314
[1] (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	TWO IS
SECTION II.	
THE AFFECTIONS	315
1. Justice	316
1. Justice to the Interests of others-Integrity	318
2. Justice to the Freedom of Action of others	318
3. Justice to the Reputation of others	319
4. Justice in estimating the Conduct and Character of	
others	ib.
5. Justice to the Opinions of others—Candour	ib.
6. Justice to the Feelings of others	320
7. Justice to the Moral Condition of others	321
II. COMPASSION AND BENEVOLENCE	ib.
1. Benevolence towards the Distresses of others	323
2. Benevolence towards the Reputation of others	ib.
3. Benevolence towards the Character and Conduct of	
others, -including Forgiveness of Injuries	ib.
4. Benevolence towards the Feelings of others	324
5. Benevolence towards improving the Moral Condition of	
others	ib.
III. VERACITY	ib.
1. The Love of Truth, in the Reception of it	826
The state of the s	020

CONTENTS.	xiii
2. Veracity in delivering statements,—including Sincerity. 3. Truth of Purpose, or Correct Fulfilment of Promises IV. FRIENDSHIP, LOVE, AND GRATITUDE V. PATRIOTISM THE DOMESTIC AFFECTIONS THE DEFENSIVE AFFECTIONS, ANGER, JEALOUSY, RESENT-MENT Important influence produced upon the Exercise of the Affections	327 329 ib. 330 ib.
By Attention	333 336
Feeling of Moral Approbation attached to the Exercise of the Affections	337
Happiness arising from a due Exercise of the Affections;— Influence of Temper	ib.
SECTION III.	
SELF-LOVE	342
Sense in which the term is employed Tendency of a True and Rational Self-love	
Tendency of a True and Rational Self-love Morbid Exercise of it,—Selfishness Disinterested Conduct and Self-denial	ib. 345 346
4	
PART II,	
OF THE WILL	347
Simple Volition,-its Origin from one of the Desires or Affec-	
tions Operation of Moral Causes on the will Nature of these Causes, and Source of the Diversity of their	ib. 348
operation in Different Individuals Circumstances required for the Uniformity of their Operation;—	349
I. Knowledge . Truths of Natural and Revealed Religion.	351
2. Attention Its influence on Moral Decisions.	353
S. Moral Habits Origin and Progress of Derangement of Moral Harmony.	357
Influence of Habits upon Character	358
Means of Correcting Injurious Moral Habits Practical Conclusions from these Principles. Important Influence	360
of Moral Habits .  Necessity and Probability of Divine Aid in Correcting Moral	361
Derangement . Influence of the Mental Operation called Faith	362 363
運搬 강성 맛있다. 그는 이 그 그 나는 사람은 말이라면 말하다. 그 살이 다 살아갔다.	200

- 工作 有限有此人

### PART III.

OF THE MORAL PRINCIPLE, OR CONSCIENCE

Proofs of the Existence of Conscience as a Distinct Principle of	
the mind	365
Nature of its Operation as the Regulating Principle	ib.
Analogy between it and Reason	366
Its Influence in conveying an Impression of the Moral Attri- butes of the Deity	368
Knowledge derived from this Source	369
Comparison of the Divine Attributes with the Actual State of	370
Man Difficulties arising from this Comparison removed only by the	
Christian Revelation	374
Mental process by which the Regulating Power of Conscience is	0
Impaired or Lost	375
Influence of this Condition upon the Judgment iu regard to Moral Truth	376
Influence of Attention in Moral Decisions	378
Man's responsibility for his belief	879
Important relation between Moral Emotions and voluntary	1.4
Intellectual Processes	380
	C. Carlo
APPENDIX TO PART III.	
§ 1.—Of the Obigin and Immutability of Moral Dis- tinctions and Theories of Morals	381
A A A	000
Origin of Our Idea of Virtue and Vice System of Mandeville	382
System of Mandeville  —— of Clarke and Wollaston	388 384
System of Utility	ib.
Selfish System	385
System of Paley	386
Defect of these Systems in not acknowledging the Supreme	
Authority of Conscience	388
Objections to the belief of a uniformity of Moral Feeling	
which have been founded on the practices of barbarous	000
System of Dr. Smith, or Theory of Sympathy	392
Province of Reason in Moral Decisions	395
Remarks on the Observations of some late writers respect-	991
ing the Corruption of Conscience	398
2.—OF THE HARMONY OF THE MORAL FEELINGS	400
Consistency of Character arising from this Harmony,—and	400
Defects of Character to which it is opposed	403
The ANDREAD AND A COMMUNICATION AND A STREET OF THE STREET	A CASA

## PART IV.

OF THE MORAL RELATION OF MAN TOWARDS THE DEITY.	408
View of the Divine Character in reference to this Regulation .	ib.
Regulation of Moral Feelings which ought to arise out of it;-	407
1. Habitual effort to cultivate a Sense of the Divine Pre-	211
sence, and to regulate the Moral Feelings and Cha-	
racter by it	ib.
2. Submission to the Appointments of Providence	410
3. Sense of Moral Imperfection and Guilt, and Supplica-	
tion for Mercy, with Reliance on Divine Aid	411
4. Sense of Gratitude, Affection, and Love	ib.
Conduct and Character arising out of this Condition of the	200
Moral Feelings	412
Means of Cultivating it	413
Nature and Operation of Faith	414
Province of Faith in the Philosophy of the Moral Feelings .	416
Truths which are its more Immediate Object	418
Its Influence on the Moral Condition	422
Province of Faith in the Scheme of Christianity	425
Certain Errors regarding Faith	427
Harmony of Christian Truth with the Philosophy of the Moral	244
Feelings .	100
The state of the s	428





#### INTRODUCTION.

THE study of the phenomena of mind presents a subject of intense interest, not to the moral philosopher only, but to every one who has in view the cultivation of his own mental powers, or the proper application of them to the investigation of truth in any department of knowledge. During the prevalence of that system which has been called the Metaphysics of the Schools, this important inquiry was obscured by speculations of the most frivolous nature. It is in modern times only that it has assumed a real value and a practical importance, under the researches of those eminent men, who have cultivated the philosophy of mind on the principles which are acted upon in physical science, namely, a careful observation of facts, and conclusions drawn from these by the most cautious induction. The chief hinderance to the cultivation of the science, on these principles, arises from the difficulty of procuring the facts. For the only field, in which the mental philosopher can pursue his researches with perfect confidence, is his own mind. In his observations on the minds of other men, he is obliged to judge of the internal operations by external phenomena; and in this manner a degree of uncertainty attends his investigations, which does not becor in physical science. From this source, also, has probably arisen. much of that difference of opinion which we meet with in regard to the mental powers: For, each inquirer having drawn his observations chiefly from one mind, namely, his own, it was scarcely to be expected that there should not be some diversity, or that facts derived in this manner should possess the character of being universal.

The means by which diffs difficulty can be removed must consist in all extensive collection of facts, illustrating the phenomena of wind in various including also, and under a variety of circumstances; and the data reversal points of vice, as thick the subject is peculially believed to the medical observer.

Mental manifestations are greatly modified by the condition of those bodily organs by which the mind holds intercourse with external things, especially the brain. It becomes therefore a matter of the greatest interest, to ascertain the manner in which the manifestations of mind are affected by diseases of these organs, as well as to observe their condition in that remarkable class of affections, commonly called diseases of the mind. Besides, in the affections which are referable to both these classes, we often meet with manifestations of the most interesting kind, and such as are calculated to illustrate, in a very striking manner, important points in the philosophy of the mental powers. It is thus in the power of the observing physician to contribute valuable facts to the science of mind; and it is almost unnecessary to add, that the study may be turned to purposes of immediate importance to his own inquiries. He does not need to be reminded, how much the mind acts upon the body,-that mental emotions often prove sources of disease, or causes by which his remedies are modified or counteracted, -and that, on the other hand, a remedy may often be introduced by the mind. capable of composing tumults of the corporeal functions, which cannot be tranquillized by physical aid.

From the deep interest which the philosophy of mind thus presents to the medical inquirer, I have been induced to attempt a slight outline of this extensive subject. In doing so, I do not profess to offer any thing new or original. My object is to present to the younger part of the profession some leading facts, which may serve to direct their farther inquiries

on a subject of great and general interest.

This slight outline of the functions of mind will be followed by an attempt to trace the rules, which ought to guide us in applying these powers to the investigation of truth, in any department of knowledge. The practical application of the subject will lead to a general view of the laws or principles of philosophical inquiry and inductive science, and will then be directed in a more particular manner to the purposes of medical investigation. This is attempted in the hope that the principles which it is meant to convey, may be of use in giving precision to medical investigations, by illustrating those rules of sound induction, which are acted upon in other departments of science.

#### PRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS

ON THE

# GENERAL NATURE AND OBJECTS OF SCIENCE.

By the will of the Almighty Creator, all things in nature have been placed in certain relations to each other, which are fixed and uniform. In other words, they have been endowed with capacities of acting, and capabilities of being acted upon, according to certain uniform laws; so that their actions take place in the same manner in every instance in which the same bodies are brought together under similar circumstances. We have a conviction, which appears to be original and instinctive, of the general uniformity of these relations; and in this consists our confidence in the regularity of all the operations of nature. But the powers or principles on which the relations depend are entirely hidden from us in our present state of being. The province of human knowledge is merely to observe the facts and to trace what their relations or sequences are. This is to be accomplished only by a careful and extensive observation of the facts as they pass before us, and by carefully distinguishing their true or uniform relations, from connexions which are only incidental and temporary.

In our first observation of any particular series of facts or events, we find a certain number of them placed together in a state of centiguity or apparent connexion. But we are not entitled from this to assume the connexion to be any thing more than incidental juxta-position. When, in the farther progress of observation, we find the same events occurring a

certain number of times, in the same relations or sequences to each other, we suspect that their connexion is not merely that of incidental contiguity. We begin to believe that there exists among them such a relation as leads us, when we meet with some of these events, to expect that certain others are to follow. Hence is excited our idea of power in reference to these events, or of the relation of cause and effect. This relation, however, according to the utmost extent of our knowledge of it in any individual instance, is founded entirely upon the fact of certain events uniformly following one another. But, when we have found, by sufficient observation, the particular events which do thus follow one another, we conclude that there is a connexion, whatever may be the nature of it, in consequence of which the sequence which we have observed will continue to recur in the same fixed and uniform manner. In other words, we conclude with confidence, that, when we observe the first of two such events, the second will follow; and that, when we observe the second, the first has preceded it. The first we call cause, the second effect. Thus our general confidence in the uniformity of the true relations or sequences of events is an original or instinctive principle, and not the result of experience; but it is by experience that we ascertain what the individual sequences are which observe this uniformity; or, in other words, learn to distinguish connexions which consist of incidental contiguity, from those which constitute true and uniform relations.

The natural tendency of the mind appears indeed to be, to infer causation from every succession of phenomena, and to expect uniformity in every sequence. It is from experience we learn, that this impression is not to be relied on in regard to individual sequences, but requires to be corrected by observation. The result of our farther experience then is, to ascertain what those sequences are which are uniform, and which, consequently, we may consider as connected in the manner of causation. We are thus first taught by experience the caution which is necessary in considering events as connected in the manner of cause and effect; and learn not to assume this relation, till, by farther experience, we have ascertained that the sequence is uniform. This caution, however, has no reference to our instinctive impression of causa-

tion, or our absolute conviction that every event must have an adequate cause; it only relates to our fixing the arrangement of individual antecedents, or, in other words, to our determining what individual events we are warranted in considering as the true antecedents, or causes of certain other events. This, accordingly, can in many cases be accomplished only by long and extensive observation; while, in others, a single instance may be sufficient to produce an absolute conviction of what is the true antecedent. A child who has been only once burnt, may dread the fire as certainly as if the accident had happened a hundred times; and there are many other instances in which the conviction may be produced in the same rapid manner. The natural tendency of the mind, in fact, is not only to infer the connexion, but in many cases to carry it farther than the truth. If, for instance, we suppose a man, who, for the first time in his life, has seen gunpowder explode upon a match being applied to it,-he would probably have an immediate conviction that a similar explosion would take place again in similar circumstances. But he would perhaps go farther than this: he would probably expect a similar explosion when he applied a match to other black powders, with the nature of which he was unacquainted, such as powdered charcoal. It is by experience that this erroneous expectation would be corrected, and that he would learn the precise instances in which the particular result takes place. But it is also by experience or observation that he learns the former, though the conviction was produced more immediately; for there is nothing in the characters of gunpowder and charcoal from which any man could pronounce, by reasoning a priori, that the one would explode with violence when a match was applied to it, and the other remain entirely unchanged.

Thus, our general impression of causation is not the result of experience, but an original and intuitive principle of belief; that is, our absolute conviction, that every event must have an adequate cause. This is, in fact, that great and fundamental truth, by which, from the properties of a known effect, we infer the powers and qualities of an unknown cause. It is in this manner, for example, that from the works of nature we infer the existence and attributes of the Almighty Creator. But, in judging of the connexion be-

before the force when be on t

tween any two individual events in that order of things which he has established, our idea of causation is derived from experience alone. For, in regard to any two such events, our idea of causation or of power amounts to nothing more than our knowledge of the fact, that the one is invariably the antecedent of the other. Of the mysterious agency on which the connexion depends, we know nothing, and never can know any thing in our present state of being. We know that the application of a match always sets fire to gunpowder, and we say, that it has the power of doing so, or that it is the cause of the explosion; but we have not the least conception why the application of fire produces combustion in an inflammable substance;—these expressions, therefore, amount to nothing more than a statement of the fact, that the result is universal.

When we speak, therefore, of physical causes, in regard to any of the phenomena of nature, we mean nothing more than the fact of a certain uniform connexion which has been observed between events. Of efficient causes, or the manner in which the result takes place, we know nothing. In this sense, indeed, we may be said not to know the cause of any thing, even of events which at first sight appear the most simple and obvious. Thus, the communication of motion from one body to another by impulse appears a very simple phenomenon,-but how little idea have we of the cause of it. We say the bodies touch each other, and so the motion is communicated. But, in the first place, we cannot say why a body in motion, coming in contact with one at rest, should put the latter in motion; and farther, we know that they do not come in contact. We may consider it, indeed, as ascertained, that there is no such thing as the actual contact of bodies under these circumstances; and, therefore, the fact which appears so simple comes to be as unaccountable as any phenomenon in nature. What, again, appears more intelligible than an unsupported body falling to the ground? Yet, what is more inexplicable, than that one mass of matter should thus act upon another, at any distance, and even though a vacuum be interposed between them? The same observation will be seen to apply to all the facts which are most familiar to us. . Why, for example, one medicine acts upon the stomach, another on the bowels, a third on the kidneys, a fourth on the skin, we have not the smallest concep-

tion; we know only the uniformity of the facts.

It is of importance to keep in mind the distinction now referred to between physical and efficient causes, as the former only are the proper objects of philosophical inquiry. The term final cause, again, has been applied to a subject entirely different; namely, to the appearances of unity of design in the phenomena of nature, and the manner in which means are adapted to particular ends. The subject is one of great and extensive importance, but it appears desirable that the name were altered, though it is sanctioned by high authority; for, when viewed in connexion with the sense in which the word cause is employed in modern science, it expresses a meaning remarkably different. The investigation to which it refers is also of a distinct nature, though one of the highest interest. It leads us chiefly to the inductions of natural religion respecting a great and intelligent first cause; but it may also be directed to the discovery of truth in regard to the phenomena of nature. One of the most remarkable examples of this last application of it is to be found in the manner in which Harvey was led to the discovery of the circulation of the blood, by observing the valves in the veins, and contemplating the uses to which that peculiar structure might be adapted.

The object of all science is to ascertain these established relations of things, or the tendency of certain events to be uniformly followed by certain other events; in other words, the aptitude of certain bodies to produce, or to be followed by, certain changes in other bodies in particular circumstances. The object of art is to avail ourselves of the knowledge thus acquired, by bringing bodies into such circumstances as are calculated to lead to those actions upon each other of which we have ascertained them to be capable. Art, therefore, or the production of certain results by the action of bodies upon each other, must be founded upon science, or a knowledge of their fixed and uniform relations and tenden-This principle applies to all sciences, and to the arts or practical rules which are founded upon them; and the various sciences differ only in the particular substances or events which are their more immediate objects.

In the physical sciences, we investigate the relations of

material substances, and their actions upon each other, either of a mechanical or chemical nature. On the relations thus ascertained are founded the mechanical and chemical arts, in which we produce certain results, by bringing bodies into such circumstances as are calculated to give rise to their peculiar actions. But mental phenomena have also their relations, which are likewise fixed and uniform; though it may be more difficult to ascertain the truth in regard to them, than in the relations of material things.

The relations or sequences of mental phenomena are to be considered in two points of view, namely, relations to each other, and relations to external things. In regard to both, it seems necessary to divide the phenomena themselves into

three classes.

1. Simple intellect, or those powers by which we perceive, remember, and combine facts or events, and compare them with each other; such as perception, memory, imagination,

and judgment.

2. Passive emotions, or those by which the mind is affected by certain pleasurable or painful feelings, which are, or may be, confined entirely to the individual who is the subject of them.

3. Active emotions, or those which tend directly to influence the conduct of men, either as moral and responsible

beings, or as members of society.

In all these classes, mental phenomena have certain relations to each other and to external things, the investigation of which is the object of particular branches of science; and these lead to certain arts or practical rules which are founded upon them.

Intellectual science investigates the laws and relations of the processes of simple intellect, as perception, memory, imagination and judgment; and the proper cultivation and regulation of these is the object of the practical art of intellec-

tual education.

The passive emotions may be influenced or excited in two ways;—namely,—through our relations to other sentient and intelligent beings,—and by material or inanimate things. To the former head are referable many of the tenderest and most interesting feelings of our nature, as love, hope, joy and sorrow. To the latter belong those emotions which come under

the subject of taste, or the tendencies of certain combinations of material things to excite emotions of a pleasurable or painful kind,—as our impressions of the sublime, the beautiful, the terrible, or the ludicrous. The practical rules or processes, connected with the science of the passive emotions, arrange themselves into two classes, corresponding to the two divisions now mentioned. To the former belong the regulation of the emotions, and all those rules of conduct not exactly referable to the higher subject of morals which bear an extensive influence on the ties of friendship, and the relations of social and domestic intercourse. To the latter belong chiefly those processes which come under the head of the fine arts;—namely, the arts of the painter,—the sculptor,—the architect,—the musician; perhaps we may add, the poet and the dramatist.

The active emotions, or those which influence human conduct, are referable to two classes; namely, those which affect men individually as moral and responsible agents,—and those which affect them as united in large bodies constituting civil society. The cultivation of the emotions of the former class, and the investigation of the motives and principles by which they are influenced, belong to the high subjects of morals and religion. The investigation and control of emotions of the latter class come under the science of politics; and the practical art, founded upon it, relates to those measures by which the statesman attempts to control and regulate the conduct of masses of mankind united as members of a great civil community.

In medical science, the objects of our researches are chiefly the relations between external things and the living powers of animal bodies,—and the relations of these powers to each other;—more particularly in regard to the tendencies of external things to produce certain changes upon living bodies, either as causes of disease or as remedies. The practical art founded upon this science leads to the consideration of the means by which we may avail ourselves of this knowledge, by producing, in the one case, actions upon the body which we wish to produce, and in the other, by counteracting or avoiding actions which we wish to prevent.

In all these sciences, and the practical arts which are founded upon them, the general principles are the same; namely,

a careful observation of the natural and uniform relations or tendencies of bodies towards each other; and a bringing of those tendencies into operation for the production of certain results. All art, therefore, must be founded upon science, or a correct knowledge of these relations; and all science must consist of such a careful observation of facts in regard to the relations, as shall enable us confidently to pronounce upon those which are fixed and uniform. He who follows certain arts or practical rules, without a knowledge of the science on which they are founded, is the mere artisan or the empiric: he cannot advance beyond the precise rules which are given him, or provide for new occurrences and unforeseen difficulties. In regard to science, again, when the relations are assumed hastily, or without a sufficiently extensive observation of facts, the process constitutes false science, or false induction; and, when practical rules are founded upon such conclusions, they lead to error and disappointment in the

result which is expected.

The views which have now been referred to lead us to principles, by which the sciences are distinguished into those which are certain, and those which are, in a greater or less degree, uncertain. The certainty of a science depends upon the facility and correctness with which we ascertain the true relations of things, or trace effects to their true causes, and causes to their true effects, -and calculate upon the actions which arise out of these relations taking place with perfect uniformity. This certainty we easily attain in the purely physical sciences, or those in which we have to deal only with inanimate matter. For, in our investigation of the relations of material bodies, whether mechanical or chemical, we contrive experiments, in which, by placing the bodies in a variety of circumstances towards each other, and excluding all extraneous influence, we come to determine their tendencies with perfect certainty. Having done so, we rely with confidence on these tendencies continuing to be uniform; and should we, in any instance, be disappointed of the result which we wish to produce, we are able, at once, to detect the nature of some incidental cause by which the result has been prevented, and to obviate the effect of its interference. The consequence of this accurate knowledge of their relations is, that we acquire a power over material things; but this

power is entirely limited to a certain control and direction of their natural relations; and we cannot change these relations in the smallest particular. Our power is of course also limited to those objects which are within the reach of our immediate influence; but, with respect to those which are beyond this influence, as the heavenly bodies, the result of our knowledge appears in a manner not less striking, in the minute accuracy with which we are enabled to foretell their movements, even at very distant periods. I need only mention the correctness with which the astronomer calculates

eclipses, and the appearance of comets.

With these characters of certainty in the purely physical sciences, two sources of uncertainty are contrasted in those branches of science in which we have to deal with mental operations, or with the powers of living bodies. The first of these depends upon the circumstance, that, in investigating the relations and tendencies in these cases, we are generally obliged to trust to observation alone, as the phenomena hanpen to be presented to us, and cannot confirm or correct these observations by direct experiment. And as the visible connexions, in which the phenomena occur to us, are often very different from their true relations, it is in many cases extremely difficult to ascertain the true relations; that is, to refer effects to their true causes, and to trace causes to their true effects. Hence just conclusions are arrived at slowly, and after a long course of occasional observations; and we may be obliged to go on for a long time without acquiring any conclusions which we feel to be worthy of confidence. In these sciences, therefore, there is great temptation to grasp at premature inductions; and when such have been brought forward with confidence, there is often difficulty in exposing their fallacy; for in such a case it may happen, that as long a course of observation is required for exposing the false conclusion, as for ascertaining the true. In physical science, on the other hand, a single experiment may often overturn the most plausible hypothesis, or may establish one which was proposed in conjecture.

The second source of uncertainty in this class of sciences consists in the fact, that, even after we have ascertained the true relations of things, we may be disappointed of the results which we wish to produce, when we bring their tenden-

cies into operation. This arises from the interposition of other causes, by which the true tendencies are modified or counteracted, and the operation of which we are not able either to calculate upon or to control. The new causes, which operate in this manner, are chiefly certain powers in living animal bodies, and the wills, feelings, and propensities of masses of human beings, which we have not the means of reducing to any fixed or uniform laws. As examples of the uncertain sciences, therefore, we may mention medicine and political economy; and their uncertainty is referable to the same sources, namely, the difficulty of ascertaining the true relations of things, or of tracing effects to their true causes, and causes to their true effects; -and the intervention of new causes which elude our observation, while they interfere with the natural tendencies of things, and defeat our attempts to produce certain results by bringing these into action. The scientific physician well knows the difficulty of ascertaining the true relations of those things which are the proper objects of his attention, and the uncertainty which attends all his efforts to produce particular results. A person, for example, affected with a disease, recovers under the use of a particular remedy. A second is affected with the same disease, and uses this remedy without any benefit; while a third recovers under a very different remedy, or without any treatment at And, even in those cases in which he has distinctly ascertained true relations, new causes intervene and disappoint his endeavours to produce results by means of these relations. He knows, for example, a disease which would certainly be relieved by the full operation of diuretics ;-and he knows various substances which have unquestionably diuretic virtues. But in a particular instance, he may fail entirely in relieving the disease by the most assiduous use of these remedies ;-for their real and true tendencies are interrupted by certain other causes in the constitution itself, which entirely elude his observation, and are in no degree under his control.

It is unnecessary to point out the similarity of these facts to the uncertainty experienced by the statesman, in his attempts to influence the interests, the propensities, and the actions of masses of mankind; or to show how often measures, which have been planned with every effort of human wisdom, fail of the results which they were intended to pro-

duce, or are followed by consequences remarkably different. Nothing indeed can show in a more striking manner, the uncertainty which attaches to this science, than the different aspects in which the same measure is often viewed by different men distinguished for political wisdom and talent. I abstain from alluding to particular examples, but those accustomed to attend to public affairs will find little difficulty in fixing upon remarkable instances, in which measures have been recommended by wise and able men, as calculated to lead to important benefits; while others of no inferior name for talent and wisdom have, with equal confidence, predicted from them consequences altogether different. Such are the difficulties of tracing effects to their true causes, and causes to their true effects, when we have to deal, not with material substances simply, but with the powers of living bodies, or with the wills, the interests, and propensities of human beings.

One other reflection arises out of the view which has been given of this important subject. The object of all science, whether it refer to matter or to mind, is simply to ascertain facts, and to trace their relations to each other. The powers which regulate these relations are entirely hidden from us in our present imperfect state of being; and by grasping at principles which are beyond our reach, we leave that path of inquiry which alone is adapted to our limited faculties, and involve ourselves in error, perplexity, and darkness. It is humbling to the pride of human reason, but is not the less true, that the highest acquirement ever made by the most exalted genius of man has been only to trace a part, and a very small part, of that order which the Deity has established in his works. When we endeavour to pry into the causes of this order, we perceive the operation of powers which lie far beyond the reach of our limited faculties. They who have made the highest advances in true science will be the first to confess how limited these faculties are, and how small a part they can comprehend of the ways of the Almighty Creator. They will be the first to acknowledge, that the highest acquirement of human wisdom is to advance to that line which is its legitimate boundary, and there contemplates the wondrous field which lies beyond it to bend in humble adoration before a wisdom which it cannot fathom, and a power which it cannot comprehend.

#### INQUIRIES

CONCERNING THE

### INTELLECTUAL POWERS, ETC.

and of the second secon

# PART I,

OF THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF OUR KNOWLEDGE OF MIND.

The mind is that part of our being which thinks and wills,—remembers and reasons; we know nothing of it except from these functions. By means of the corporeal senses, it holds intercourse with the things of the external world, and receives impressions from them. But of this connexion also we know nothing but the facts; when we attempt to speculate upon its nature and cause, we wander at once from the path of philosophical inquiry, into conjectures which are as far beyond the proper sphere as they are beyond the reach of the human faculties. The object of true science on such a subject, therefore, is simply to investigate the facts, or the relations of phenomena, respecting the operations of mind itself, and the intercourse which it carries on with the things of the external world.

This important rule in the philosophy of mind has been fully recognised in very modern times only, so that the science, as a faithful interpretation of nature, may be considered as of recent origin. Before the period now referred to, the investigation was ensumbered by the most fruitless specula-

tions respecting the essence of mind, and other discussions which led to no discovery of truth. It was contended, for example, that the mind cannot act where it is not present. and that consequently it cannot be said to perceive external objects themselves, but only their images, forms, or sensible species, which were said to be conveyed through the senses, and represented to the mind in the same manner in which images are formed in a camera obscura. By the internal functions of mind, these sensible species were then supposed to be refined into phantasms, the objects of memory and imagination; and these, after undergoing a farther process, became intelligible species, the objects of pure intellect. By a very natural application of this doctrine, it was maintained by Bishop Berkeley and the philosophers of his school, that, as the mind can perceive nothing but its own impressions or images, we can derive no evidence from our senses of the existence of the external world; and Mr. Hume carried the argument a little farther, by maintaining that we have as little proof of the existence of mind, and that nothing exists in the universe except impressions and ideas. Of another sect of philosophers who arose out of the same system, each individual professed to believe his own existence, but would not admit the existence of any other being; hence they received the appropriate name of Egoists.

The various eminent individuals by whom the fallacy of these speculations was exposed, combated them upon the principle that this doctrine of ideas is entirely a fiction of philosophers; and that a confidence in the information conveyed to us by our senses, must be considered as a first truth, or a fundamental law of our nature, susceptible of no explanation, and admitting of no other evidence than that which is derived from the universal conviction of mankind. Nor does it, to common minds, appear a slight indication of the validity of this mode of reasoning, that the philosophers who supported that theory do not appear to have acted upon their own system, but in every thing which concerned their personal accommodation or personal safety, showed the same confidence in the evidence of their senses as other men.

The deductions made from the idea theory by Berkeley and Hume seem to have been applications of it which its former advocates had not comtemplated. But it is a singular

fact, as stated by Dr. Reid, that nearly all philosophers, from Plato to Mr. Hume, agreed in maintaining, that the mind does not perceive external things themselves, but only their ideas, images, or species. This doctrine was founded upon the maxim, that mind cannot act where it is not present; and we find one writer only, who; admitting the maxim, called in question the application of it, so far as to maintain, that the mind, in perceiving external things, leaves the body, and comes into contact with the objects of its perception.

Such speculations ought to be entirely banished from the science of mind, as not only useless and unprofitable, but as referring to things entirely beyond the reach of the human faculties, and therefore contrary to the first principles of philosophical investigation. To the same class we are to refer all speculations in regard to the essence of mind, the manner in which thought is produced, and the means by which the intercourse is carried on between the mind and external objects. These remarkable functions were at one time explained by an imaginary essence called the animal spirits, which were supposed to be in constant motion, performing the office of messengers between the brain and the organs of sense. By another class of philosophers, of no very ancient date, thinking was ascribed to vibrations in the particles of the brain. The communication of perceptions from the senses to the mind has been accounted for, in the same manner, by the motions of the nervous fluid, by vibrations of the nerves, or by a subtle essence resembling electricity or galvanism. The mind, again, has been compared to a camera obscura,—to a mirror, and to a storehouse. In opposition, however, to all such hypotheses, which are equally incapable either of proof or of refutation, our duty is to keep steadily in view, that the objects of true science are facts alone, and the relations of these facts to each other. The mind can be compared to nothing in nature; it has been endowed by its Creator with a power of perceiving external things; but the manner in which it does so is entirely beyond our comprehension. All attempts, therefore, to explain or illustrate its operations by a reference to any thing else, can be considered only as vain and futile. They are endeavours to establish a resemblance where there is not the vestige of an analogy; and consequently they can lead to no useful

result. It is only by a rigid adherence to the opposite course of investigation, that we can expect to make any progress in true knowledge, or to impart to our inquiries in any department of science the characters either of truth or utility.

The ideal theory, with all the doctrines founded upon it, may now be considered as gone by. But certain speculations are still occasionally brought out by writers of a particular order, which are referable to the same class; namely, hypotheses which are to be treated not merely as unsound, but as being, by their very nature, directly opposed to the first principles of philosophical inquiry. Among these, the most prominent is the doctrine of materialism, of which it may be advisable to take a slight view in the commencement of this essay. On the principles which have been referred to, the following considerations may be submitted as bearing upon this subject.

The term Matter is a name which we apply to a certain combination of properties, or to certain substances which are solid, extended, and divisible, and which are known to us only by these properties. The term Mind, in the same manner, is a name which we apply to a certain combination of functions, or to a certain power which we feel within, which thinks and wills and reasons, and is known to us only by these functions. The former we know only by our senses, the latter only by our consciousness. In regard to their essence or occult qualities, we know quite as little about matter as we do about mind; and in as far as our utmost conception of them extends, we have no ground for believing that they have any thing in common. The true object of philosophy is simply to investigate the facts in regard to both; and materialism is not to be viewed only as unsound reasoning, but as a logical absurdity, and a total misconception of the first principles of philosophical inquiry. Does the materialist tell us that the principle which thinks is material. or the result of organization, we have only to ask him what light he expects to throw upon the subject by such an assertion. For the principle which thinks is known to us only by thinking; and the substances which are solid and extended are known to us only by their solidity and extension. When we say of the former that it is immaterial, we simply express the fact that it is known to us by properties altogether distinct

from the properties to which we have given the name of matter, and, as far as we know, has nothing in common with them. Beyond these properties, we know as little about matter as we do about mind; so that materialism is scarcely less extravagant than would be the attempt to explain any phenomenon, by referring it to some other altogether distinct and dissimilar; to say, for example, that colour is a modification of sound, or gravity a species of fermentation. The assertion, indeed, would be fully as plausible, and calculated to throw as much light upon the subject, were a person anxious to explain the nature of matter, to tell us that it is the result of a particular manifestation of mind. Something analogous to this, in fact, seems to be the foundation of the theory of Boscovich, who conceives all bodies to consist of unextended atoms or mathematical points endowed with a certain power of repulsion, and consequently makes the essence of matter to consist merely in the property of resistance. We have, in truth, the same kind of evidence for the existence of mind, that we have for the existence of matter, namely, from its properties; and of the two, the former appears to be the least liable to deception. "Of all the truths we know," says Mr. Stewart, "the existence of mind is the most certain. Even the system of Berkeley concerning the non-existence of matter is far more conceivable than that nothing but matter exists in the universe." "Men," says Baxter, "were formerly credulous and easy, perhaps; now they are affectedly nice, with the air of more philosophy and knowledge; but our moderns forget that he, who believes that dead matter can produce the effects of life and reason, is a hundred times more credulous than the most through-paced believer that ever existed."

A similar mode of reasoning may be applied to the modification of materialism more prevalent in modern times, by which mind is considered as a result of organization, or, in other words, a function of the brain; and upon which has been founded the conclusion, that like our bodily senses it will cease to be, when the bodily frame is dissolved. The brain, it is true, is the centre of that influence on which depend sensation and motion. There is a remarkable connexion between this organ and the manifestations of mind; and by various diseases of the brain these manifestations are often

modified, impaired, or suspended. We shall afterwards see that these results are very far from being uniforn; but, even if they were uniform, the facts would warrant no such conclusion respecting the nature of mind; for they accord equally with the supposition that the brain is the organ of communication between the mind and the external world. When the materialist advances a single step beyond this, he plunges at once into conclusions which are entirely gratuitous and unwarranted. We rest nothing more upon this argument, than that these conclusions are unwarranted; but we might go farther than this, and contend, that the presumption is clearly on the other side, when we consider the broad and obvious distinction which exists between the peculiar phenomena of mind, and those functions which are exercised through the means of bodily organization. They do not admit of being brought into comparison, and have nothing in common. The most exquisite of our bodily senses are entirely dependent for their exercise upon impressions from external things. We see not without the presence both of light and a body reflecting it; and if we could suppose light to be annihilated, though the eye were to retain its perfect condition, sight would be extinguished. But mind owns no such dependence on external things, except in the origin of its knowledge in regard to them. When this knowledge has once been acquired, it is retained and recalled at pleasure; and mind exercises its various functions without any dependence upon impressions from the external world. That which has long ceased to exist is still distinctly before it; or is recalled, after having been long forgotten, in a manner even still more wonderful; and scenes, deeds, or beings, which never existed, are called up in long and harmonious succession, invested with all the characters of truth, and all the vividness of present existence. The mind remembers, conceives, combines, and reasons; it loves, and fears, and hopes, in the total absence of any impression from without, that can influence, in the smallest degree, these emotions; and we have the fullest conviction that it would continue to exercise the same functions in undiminished activity, though all material things were at once annihilated.

This argument, indeed, may be considered as only negative, but this is all that the subject admits of. For when we

endeavour to speculate directly on the essence of mind. we are immediately lost in perplexity, in consequence of our total ignorance of the subject, and the use of terms borrowed from analogies with material things. Hence the unsatisfactory nature of every physiological or metaphysical argument respecting the essence of mind, arising entirely from the attempt to reason the subject in a manner of which it is not susceptible. It admits not of any ordinary process of logic, for the facts on which it rests are the objects of consciousness only; and the argument must consist in an appeal to the consciousness of every man, that he feels a power within totally distinct from any function of the body. What other conception than this can he form of that power by which he recalls the past, and provides for the future; by which he ranges uncontrolled from world to world, and from system to system; surveys the works of all-creating power, and rises to the contemplation of the eternal cause. To what function of matter shall he liken that principle by which he loves and fears, and joys and sorrows; by which he is elevated with hope, excited by enthusiasm, or sunk in the horrors of despair. These changes also he feels, in many instances, to be equally independent of impressions from without, and of the condition of his bodily frame. In the most peaceful state of every corporeal function, passion, remorse, or anguish, may rage within: and, while the body is racked by the most frightful diseases. the mind may repose in tranquillity and hope. He is taught by physiology, that every part of his body is in a constant state of change, and that, within a certain period, every particle of it is renewed. But, amid these changes, he feels that the being whom he calls himself, remains essentially the same. In particular, his remembrance of the occurrences of his early days, he feels to be totally inconsistent with the idea of an impression made upon a material organ, unless he has recourse to the absurdity of supposing that one series of particles, as they departed, transferred the picture to those which came to occupy their room.

If the being, then, which we call mind or soul, be, to the utmost extent of our knowledge, thus dissimilar to, and distinct from, any thing that we know to be a result of bodily organization, what reason have we to believe that it should be affected by any change in the arrangement of material organs.

except in so far as relates to its intercourse with this external world. The effects of that change, which we call the death of an animal body, are nothing more than a change in the arrangement of its constituent elements; for it can be demonstrated, on the strictest principles of chemistry, that not one particle of these elements ceases to exist. We have, in fact, no conception of annihilation; and our whole experience is opposed to the belief that one atom which ever existed has ceased to exist. There is, therefore, as Dr. Brown has well remarked, in the very decay of the body, an analogy which would seem to indicate the continued existence of the thinking principles, since that which we term decay is itself only another name for continued existence. To conceive, then, that any thing mental ceases to exist after death, when we know that every thing corporeal continues to exist, is a gratuitous assumption, contrary to every rule of philosophical inquiry, and in direct opposition, not only to all the facts relating to mind itself, but even to the analogy which is furnished by the dissolution of the bodily frame.

To this mode of reasoning it has been objected, that it would go to establish an immaterial principle in the lower animals, which in them exhibits many of the phenomena of mind. I have only to answer,—be it so. There are in the lower animals many of the phenomena of mind; and, with regard to these, we also contend, that they are entirely distinct from any thing we know of the properties of matter, -which is all that we mean, or can mean, by being immaterial. There are other principles superadded to material things, of the nature of which we are equally ignorant; such, for example, as the principle of vegetable life, and that of animal life. To say that these are properties of matter, is merely arguing about a term; -for what we mean by matter, is something which is solid, extended, and divisible. That these properties are, in certain individuals, combined with simple or vegetable life, in others, with animal life, that is, life and the powers of sensation and motion, and in others with animal life, and certain of those properties which we call mind,—are all facts equally beyond our comprehension. For any thing we know, they may all be imperishable principles; and for any thing we know, matter itself may be imperishable. The simple truth is, that we know nothing on

the subject; and while, on the one hand, we have no title to assume an essence to be mortal, because it possesses only the properties of matter; neither, on the other hand, have we any right to infer an essence to be immortal, because it possesses properties different from those of matter. We talk, indeed, about matter, and we talk about mind; we speculate concerning materiality and immateriality, until we argue ourselves into a kind of belief, that we really understand something of the subject. The truth is, that we understand nothing. Matter and mind are known to us by certain properties: these properties are quite distinct from each other; but in regard to both, it is entirely out of the reach of our faculties to advance a single step beyond the facts which are before us. Whether in their substratum or ultimate essence, they are the same, or whether they are different, we know not, and never can know in our present state of being. Let us, then, be satisfied with the facts, when our utmost faculties can carry us no farther; let us cease to push our feeble speculations, when our duty is only to wonder and adore.

These considerations, while they are directly opposed to the crude conclusions of the materialist, also serve to show us how much the subject is removed beyond our limited faculties; and it is not on such speculations, therefore, that we rest the evidence for a future state of being. We know nothing of the nature or the essence of mind; but whatever may be its essence, and whatever may be the nature and extent of that mysterious connexion which the Diety has established between it and our bodily organization, these points have no reference whatever to the great question of its future existence. The principle seems to have been too much lost sight of in the discussion of this subject, that our speculations respecting the immateriality of the rational human soul have no influence on our belief of its immortality. This momentous truth rests on a species of evidence altogether different, which addresses itself to the moral constitution of man. It is found in those principles of his nature by which he feels upon his spirit the awe of a God, and looks forward to the future with anxiety or with hope ;-by which he knows to distinguish truth from falsehood and evil from good, and has forced upon him the conviction, that he is a moral and responsible being. This is the power of conscience, that

monitor within, which raises its voice in the breast of every man, a witness for his Creator. He who resigns himself to its guidance, and he who repels its warnings, are both compelled to acknowledge its power; and, whether the good man rejoices in the prospect of immortality, or the victim of remorse withers beneath an influence unseen by human eye, and shrinks from the anticipation of a reckoning to come. each has forced upon him a conviction, such as argument never gave, that the being which is essentially himself is distinct from any function of the body, and will survive in undiminished vigour when the body shall have fallen into decay.

When, indeed, we take into the inquiry the high principles of moral obligation, and the moral government of the Deity. this important truth is entirely independent of all our feeble speculations on the essence of mind. For, though we were to suppose, with the materialist, that the rational soul of man is a mere chemical combination, which, by the dissolution of its elements, is dissipated to the four winds of heaven. where is the improbability, that the power which framed the wondrous compound may collect these elements again, and combine them anew, for the great purposes of his moral administration. In our speculations on such a momentous subject, we are too apt to be influenced by our conceptions of the powers and properties of physical things; but there is a point where this principle must be abandoned, and where the soundest philosophy requires, that we take along with us a full recognisance of the power of God.

There is thus, in the consciousness of every man, a deep impression of continued existence. The casuist may reason against it till he bewilder himself in his own sophistries; but a voice within gives the lie to his vain speculations, and pleads with authority for a life which is to come. The sincere and humble inquirer cherishes the impression, while he seeks for farther light on a subject so momentous; and he thus receives, with absolute conviction, the truth which beams upon him from the revelation of God,-that the mysterious part of his being, which thinks, and wills, and reasons, shall indeed survive the wreck of its mortal tenement, and is destined for immortality.

## PART II.

OF THE ORIGIN OF OUR KNOWLEDGE OF FACTS

BELATIVE BOTH TO

## MIND AND MATTER.

Among writers on the science of mind, there was formerly much controversy in regard to the origin of our ideas. Some maintained that they are derived entirely from perception, that is, through the external senses; others considered them as arising partly from perception, and partly from consciousness or reflection; and some added a third class, which they called innate ideas, and which were supposed to exist in the mind itself, independently of, and prior to, the exercise either of perception or reflection. This phraseology had its origin in the ancient theory of ideas, according to which something was supposed to exist, distinct both from the mind and the external object of its perception. This, as we have formerly seen, was what philosophers meant by an idea. It was believed to be the immediate object of the mind's perception, but to be only a kind of image or representative of the object perceived. This hypothesis, which kept its place in the science of mind till a very recent period, is now generally admitted to have been a fiction of philosophers; and the phraseology respecting ideas is abandoned by the best practical writers; because, though the ancient doctrine be exploded, and the term may be used only in a figurative sense, it still seems to imply something existing in the mind, distinct from the mind itself. The impressions derived from external things are therefore to be considered as the occasions on which the various powers of the mind are brought into action. These powers themselves then become the objects of consciousness or reflection, and, by their farther exercise, we acquire certain notions which arise out of the mental operations. This doctrine gives no encouragement to the scheme of materialism, for it is clear that we cannot remember till we are furnished with some fact to be remembered; but this can never be supposed to affect our belief in the existence of the power of memory, before the fact was so furnished. If we could suppose the case of a man who had lived all his life in the dark, he certainly could not see, but we should not say that the admission of light imparted to him the power of vision; it only furnished the circumstances which gave occasion to the exercise of sight. It has accordingly been shown by Mr. Stewart, that, though we may not be conscious of our mental powers till they are called into action, yet this consciousness may arise from the most simple sensation, such as affords no evidence of the properties, or even of the existence of the material world.

Through the senses, then, we acquire a knowledge of the facts relating to external things. The mental processes, thus brought into action, then become the subjects of consciousness, and we acquire a knowledge of the facts relating to them. By a farther exercise of these powers on various facts referring to both matter and mind, we acquire certain notions arising out of our reflection upon the relations of these facts, such as our notions of time, motion, number, cause and effect, and personal identity; and we acquire farther the impression of certain fundamental laws of belief, which are not referable to any process of reasoning, but are to be considered as a part of our constitution, or a spontaneous and instinctive exercise

of reason in every sound mind.

The origin of our knowledge, then, is referable, in a philosophical point of view, to perception and reflection. But in point of fact, the knowledge which is acquired by an individual, through his own perception and reflection, is but a small part of what he possesses; much of the knowledge possessed by every one is acquired through the perceptions of other men. In an essay, therefore, which is intended to be entirely practical, I shall include this last department under the head of testimony. The division of this part of the subject will therefore, be,

1. Sensation and Perception.

2. Consciousness and Reflection.

3. Testimony,

#### SECTION L

### OF SENSATION AND PERCEPTION.

We know nothing of perception except the fact, that certain impressions made upon the organs of sense convey to the mind a knowledge of the properties of external things. Some of the older speculations on this subject have already been referred to. In these the mind was compared to a camera obscura, and the transmission of the forms or images of things to it, from the organs of sense, was explained by the motion of the animal spirits, or the nervous fluid, or by vibrations in the substance of the nerves. All such speculations are now dismissed from the investigation, being considered as attempts to penetrate into mysteries which are beyond the reach of the human faculties, and consequently not the legitimate objects of philosophical inquiry.

Our first knowledge of the existence and properties of the material world is evidently of a complex nature. It seems to arise from the combined action of several senses, conveying to us the general notion of certain essences which are solid and extended, or possessed of those properties which characterize material things. Without this general knowledge previously acquired, our various senses acting individually could convey to us no definite notion of the properties of external things. A smell, that is, a mere odour, for example, might be perceived by us, but would convey nothing more than the sensation simply. It could not communicate the impression of this being a property of an external body, until we had previously acquired a knowledge of the existence of that body, and had come, by observation, to associate the sensation with the body from which it proceeds. The same holds true of the other senses; and we are thus led, at the very first step of our inquiry, to a complicated process of mind, without which our mere sensations could convey to us no definite knowledge.

Having thus acquired a knowledge of the existence and general properties of material things, we next derive from our various senses a knowledge of their more minute characters. These are generally divided into primary and secondary. The primary qualities of material things are such as are essential,

and must at all times belong to matter-; such as solidity and These properties necessarily convey to us a conviction of something existing out of the mind, and distinct from its own sensations. The secondary qualities, again, are colour, temperature, smell, taste, &c. These are not essential properties of matter, but qualities producing sensations in a sentient being; they may or they may not belong to any particular body, or they may be attached to it at one time and not at another. Hence they convey to us primarily no definite notion in regard to the existence or properties of external things, except, as Mr. Stewart expresses it, "as the unknown cause of a known sensation." One of the quibbles or paradoxes of the scholastic philosophy, was denying the real existence of these secondary qualities of matter. Every one is familiar with the humorous accountgiven in the Guardian, of the attainments of a youth from college, and his display of them when on a visit to Lady Lizard his mother. "When the girls were sorting a set of knots, he would demonstrate to them that all the ribbons were of the same colour, or rather of no colour at all. My Lady Lizard herself, though she was not a little pleased with her son's improvement, was one day almost angry with him; for, having accidentally burnt her fingers, as she was lighting the lamp for her teapot, in the midst of her anguish, Jack laid hold of the opportunity to instruct her, that there is no such thing as heat in the fire." Such speculations, which were at one time common in the schools of philosophy, had their origin entirely in an abuse of terms. The term heat, for example, has two meanings which are quite distinct from each other. It means a sensation produced in a sentient being, and in this sense, it may be said with truth, that there is no heat in the fire; but it means also a quality in material substances capable of producing this sensation, and it is in this sense that we speak of heat as a property of matter.

The process by which we acquire a knowledge of external things is usually divided into two stages, namely, sensation and perception; the former implying the corporeal, the latter the mental part of it. Others apply the term perception to both; and, according to Dr. Brown, sensation is the simple impression made upon the organs of sense; perception is an association formed between this impression and an external

substance which we have ascertained to be concerned in producing it. Our senses, by which this knowledge is acquired, are generally reckoned five,—namely, sight, hearing, taste, smell, and touch. Dr. Brown proposes to add our muscular frame, and apparently with good reason; for there seems ground for believing that it is by resistance to muscular action that we acquire the notion of solidity, and that this

could not be acquired by touch alone.

Our first impression of the existence and solidity of material objects, then, seems to be derived from touch combined with muscular resistance; and at the same time we acquire the knowledge of temperature, roughness or smoothness, &c. There has been some difference of opinion in regard to the manner in which we acquire the notion of extension, including figure and magnitude. It is evident that it cannot be acquired by touch alone; but it may be acquired from touch combined with muscular motion, as when we move the hand over the surface of a body. This, however, includes also the idea of time,-for our notion of the extent of a surface, when the hand moves over it, is very much influenced by the velocity with which the motion is made. Hence time has been supposed by some to be one of our very earliest impressions. and antecedent even to the notion of extension or space. It is probable, however, that the notion of extension may also be acquired in a more simple manner, from the combined operation of touch and vision. If this opinion be correct. it will follow, that our first knowledge of the existence and essential properties of material things is derived from the combined operation of sight, touch, and muscular action.

With regard to all our senses, however, the truth seems to be, that the first notions conveyed by them are of a very limited and imperfect kind; and that our real knowledge is acquired only after considerable observation and experience, in the course of which, the impressions of one sense are corrected and assisted by those of others, and by a process of mind acting upon the whole. The primary objects of vision, for example, seem to be simply light or colour, and expansion. But the judgments which we are in the daily habit of forming upon vision are of a much more extensive kind, embracing also distance, magnitude, and what has been called tangible figure, such as the figure of a cube or a

sphere. This last, it is evident, cannot be considered as a primary object of vision, but as entirely the result of experience derived from the sense of touch; for we never could have formed any conception of the figure of a cube or a sphere by vision alone. Distance and magnitude, also, are evidently not the primary objects of vision; for persons who have been suddenly cured of congenital blindness, by the operation for cataract, have no conception of the distance or magnitude of objects; they perceive only simple expansion of surface with colour. Our judgment of distance and magnitude by vision, therefore, is an acquired habit; founded upon the knowledge which we have received, by other means, of the properties of the objects. Accordingly, it is familiar to every one, that we have no idea of the distance of an object, unless we have some notion of its magnitude; nor, on the other hand, of its magnitude, unless we have some knowledge of its distance. The application of this principle is also familiar in perspective drawing, in which the diminished size of known objects is made to convey the notion of distance. On the same principle, known objects seen through a telescope do not appear to be magnified, but to be brought nearer. In the same manner with regard to sounds; we have no idea of their intensity, unless we have some notion of their distance, and vice versa. A given degree of sound, for example, if we believed it to have been produced in the next room, we might conclude to proceed from the fall of some trifling body; but if we supposed it to be at the distance of several miles, we should immediately conclude that it proceeded from a tremendous explosion.

In regard to certain small distances, however, there is a power of judging by sight alone; and it appears to arise out of the degree of inclination which is given to the axis of vision in directing the two eyes to the object. Thus, in snuffing a candle, or carrying the finger to a small object within arm-length, it will be found that we are very apt to miss it, if we look with one eye only, but can touch it with merring certainty when both eyes are directed to it. It appears to be on the same principle that we enjoy, in a greater degree, the deception produced by a painting, when we look at it with one eye, especially if we also look through a tube. By the former, we cut off the means of correcting the illusion by the direction of the axis of vision; and by

the latter, we remove the influence of all neighbouring objects. It is impossible to determine the precise distance to which we can extend this power of judging of distance by the inclination of the axis of vision, but it does not appear to be great: and in regard to all greater distances the judgment by vision is evidently an acquired habit, arising out of such a mental exercise as has now been referred to.

There are some other circumstances, also the result of experience, by which we are greatly influenced in all such cases, particularly the degree of illumination of the objects, and the degree of distinctness of their outline and minute parts. Thus, in a picture, distant objects are represented as faintly illuminated, and with indistinctness of outline and minute parts; and vice versa. On this principle, objects seen through a fog, or in obscure light, are apt to appear much larger than they really are; because, in the mental process which takes place in regard to them, we first assume them to be distant, from their imperfect outline and faint illumination, and then, judging from this assumed distance. we conclude them to be of great size. On the other hand, objects seen in an unusually clear state of the atmosphere appear nearer than they really are, from the greater distinctness of their outline. In our judgment of distance by sight, we are also greatly influenced by the eye resting on intermediate objects; and hence the difficulty of judging of distances at sea. A striking illustration of the same principle is furnished by Captain Parry, in regard to objects seen across a uniform surface of snow. "We had frequent occasion, in our walks on shore, to remark the deception which takes place in estimating the distance and magnitude of objects, when viewed over an unvaried surface of snow. It was not uncommon for us to direct our steps towards what we took to be a large mass of stone, at the distance of half a mile from us, but which we were able to take up in our hands after one minute's walk. This was more particularly the case when ascending the brow of a hill." Captain Parry adds, that this deception did not become less on account of the frequency with which its effects were experienced; and a late writer has used this as an objection to the doctrine lately referred to, respecting the influence of experience on our judgment of distance by vision. But this is evidently founded on a misconception of the effect of experience in such

cases. Captain Parry could mean only, that he did not acquire the power of judging of the distance or magnitude of unknown objects. Had he been approaching an object by which he had once been deceived, knowing it to be the same, he would not have been deceived a second time; but, judging from its known magnitude, would have inferred its distance. Thus the result of experience is to enable us to judge of the distance of an object of known magnitude, or of the magnitude of an object at a known distance; but, in regard to objects of which both the distance and magnitude are unknown, it teaches us only not to trust the indications of vision.

In our judgment by vision of the magnitude of objects, again, we are much influenced by comparison with other objects, the magnitude of which is supposed to be known. I remember once having occasion to pass along Ludgate Hill, when the great door of St. Paul's was open, and several persons were standing in it. They appeared to be very little children; but, on coming up to them, were found to be fullgrown persons. In the mental process which here took place, the door had been assumed as a known magnitude, and the other objects judged of by it. Had I attended to the door being much larger than any door that one is in the habit of seeing, the mind would have made allowance for the apparent size of the persons; and, on the other hand, had these been known to be full-grown persons, a judgment would have been formed of the size of the door. On the same principle, travellers visiting the pyramids of Egypt have repeatedly remarked, how greatly the notion of their magnitude is increased, by a number of large animals, as camels, being assembled at their base.

There is something exceedingly remarkable in the manner, in which loss or diminution of one sense is followed by increase of the intensity of others, or rather, perhaps, by an increased attention to the indications of other senses. Blind persons acquire a wenderful delicacy of touch; in some cases, it is said, to the extent of distinguishing colours. Mr. Saunderson, the blind mathematician, could distinguish by his hand, in a series of Roman medals, the true from the counterfeit, with a more unerring discrimination than the eye of a professed virtuoso; and, when he was present at the astro-

nomical observations in the garden of his college, he was accustomed to perceive every cloud which passed over the sun. This remarkable power, which has sometimes been referred to an increased intensity of particular senses, in many cases evidently resolves itself into an increased habit of attention to the indications of all those senses which the individual retains. Two instances have been related to me of blind men who were much esteemed as judges of horses. One of these, in giving his opinion of a horse, declared him to be blind, though this had escaped the observation of several persons who had the use of their eyes, and who were with some difficulty convinced of it. Being asked to give an account of the principle on which he had decided, he said it was by the sound of the horse's step in walking, which implied a peculiar and unusual caution in his manner of putting down his feet. The other individual, in similar circumstances, pronounced a horse to be blind of one eye, though this had also escaped the observation of those concerned. When he was asked to explain the facts on which he formed his judgment, he said, he felt the one eve to be colder than the other. It is related of the late Dr. Moyse, the wellknown blind philosopher, that he could distinguish a black dress on his friends by its smell; and there seems to be good evidence that blind persons have acquired the power of distinguishing colours by the touch. In a case of this kind. mentioned by Mr. Boyle, the individual stated that black imparted to his sense of touch the greatest degree of asperity, and blue the least. Professor Upham, of the United States, mentions of a blind girl in the Hartford Asylum, that, when the baskets of linen are weekly brought from the laundress, she selects her own articles without hesitation. however widely they may be dispersed among the mass. Dr. Rush relates of two blind young men, brothers, of the city of Philadelphia, that they knew when they approached a pest in walking across a street, by a peculiar sound which the ground under their feet emitted in the neighbourhood of the nost; and that they could tell the names of a number of tame pigeons, with which they amused themselves in a little garden, by only hearing them fly over their heads. I have known several instances of persons affected with that extreme degree of deafness, which occurs in the deaf and dumb, who

had a peculiar susceptibility to particular kinds of sounds, depending apparently upon an impression communicated to their organs of touch or simple sensation. They could tell, for instance, the approach of a carriage in the street without seeing it, before it was taken notice of by persons who had the use of all their senses. An analogous fact is observed in the habit acquired by the deaf and dumb, of understanding what is said to them by watching the motion of the lips of the speaker. Examples still more wonderful are on record, but certainly require confirmation. A story, for instance, has lately been mentioned, in some of the medical journals, of a gentleman in France, who lost every sense, except the feeling of one side of his face; yet it is said that his family acquired a method of holding communication with him, by tracing characters upon the part which retained its sensation.

Much ingenuity has been bestowed upon attempts to explain how, with two eyes, we see only one object; and why that object is seen erect, when we know that the image on the retina is inverted. All that need be said upon the subject, and all that can properly be said, appears to be, that such is the constitution of our nervous system. It is on the same principle, that by the sense of touch, in which may be concerned a thousand or ton thousand distinct points of contact, we receive the impression of only one body; or, what perhaps may appear a more strictly analogous case, we receive the impression of but one body, though we grasp the substance with two hands, or with ten distinct fingers. For the healthy perception in both these cases, however, a certain arrangement is required, which we may call the natural harmony of the nervous system; and when this harmony is disturbed, the result is remarkably altered. Thus, squinting produces the vision of a double image, because the images fall upon what we may call unharmonizing points of the retina; and the same principle may be illustrated, in a very curious manner, by a simple experiment with the sense of touch. If a small round body, such as a pea, be laid upon the palm of the one hand, and rolled about between the first and second fingers of the other, in their natural position, one pea only is felt; but, if the fingers are crossed, so that the pea is rolled between the opposite surfaces of the two fingers, a most distinct impression of two peas is conveyed. A very

unusual affection has also been communicated to me, being an example of double hearing. It occurred during fever, and was particularly remarked in regard to the striking of a

clock ;-every stroke was heard repeated.

Of the whole of the remarkable process of sensation and perception, we know nothing but the facts,—that certain impressions made upon the organs of sense are followed by certain perceptions in the mind; and that this takes place. in some way, through the medium of the brain and nervous system. We are in the habit of saying, that the impressions are conveyed to the brain; but, even in this, we probably advance a step beyond what is warranted. We know that the nerves derive their influence from their connexion with the brain, or as forming along with it one great medium of sensation; but we do not know, whether impressions, made upon the nervous fabric connected with the organs of sense. are conveyed to the brain; or whether the mind perceives them directly, as they are made upon the organs of sense. The whole subject is one of those mysteries which are placed above our reach, and in which we cannot advance a single step beyond the knowledge of the facts. Any attempt to speculate upon it is therefore to be considered as contrary to the first principles of philosophical inquiry. We must simply receive the facts as of that class which we cannot account for in the smallest degree; and the evidence which we derive from our senses, of the existence and properties of the things of the material world, is to be recognised as one of those fundamental laws of belief, which admit of no other proof than that which is found in the universal conviction of mankind.

Before concluding the subject of perception, it remains to be noticed, that a certain voluntary effort is required for the full exercise of it; or, at least, for that degree of perception which leaves an impression capable of being retained. It is familiar to every one, that when the mind is closely occupied, numerous objects may pass before our eyes, and circumstances be talked of in our hearing, of which wo do not retain the slightest recollection; and this is often in such a degree as implies, not a want of memory only, but an actual want of the perception of the objects. We cannot doubt, however, that there was the sensation of them; that is, the usual impression made upon the eye in the one case, and the ear in

the other. What is wanting is a certain effort of the mind itself, without which sensation is not \*necessarily followed by perception;—this is what we call Attention. It is a state or act of the mind which is exercised by different individuals in very different degrees. It is much influenced by habit; and though it may not often be wanting in such a degree as to prevent the perception of objects, it is often deficient in a manner which prevents the recollection of them, and consequently has an extensive influence upon the intellectual character.

The effect of attention is illustrated by various mental phenomena of daily occurrence. If we are placed in such a situation that the eye commands an extensive landscape, presenting a great variety of objects, or the wall of an apartment covered with pictures, we have the power of fixing the mind upon one object in such a manner that all the rest become to us nearly as if they did not exist. Yet we know that they are actually seen, as far as the mere sense of vision is concerned; that is, images of all of them are formed upon the retina; but they are not objects of attention, or of that peculiar voluntary effort of mind which is necessary for the full perception of them. In the same manner, a practised musician can, in the midst of a musical performance, direct his attention to one part, such as the bass,—can continue this for such a time as he pleases, and then again enjoy the general harmony of the whole. On the same principle, the mind may be so intensely fixed upon something within itself, as an object of conception or memory, or a process of reasoning, as to have no full perception of present external impressions. We shall afterwards have occasion to refer to a state of mind in which this exists in such a degree, that objects of conception or memory are believed to have a real and present existence; and in which this erroneous impression is not corrected by impressions from external things :-this occurs in insanity.

Attention is very much influenced by habit, and connected with this subject there are some facts of great interest. There is a remarkable law of the system by which actions, at first requiring much attention, are, after frequent repetition, performed with a much less degree of it, or without the mind being conscious of any effort. This is exemplified in

various processes of daily occurrence, as reading and writing, but most remarkably in music. Musical performance at first requires the closest attention, but the effort becomes constantly less, until it is often not perceived at all; and a lady may be seen running over a piece of music on the piano, and at the same time talking on another subject. A young lady, mentioned by Dr. Darwin, executed a long and very difficult piece of music, with the utmost precision, under the eye of her master; but seemed agitated during the execution of it. and when she had concluded, burst into tears. It turned out that her attention had, during the whole time, been intensely occupied with the agonies of a favourite canary bird, which at last dropt dead in its cage. We see the same principle exemplified in the rapidity with which an expert arithmetician can run up a long column of figures without being conscious of the individual combinations. It is illustrated in another manner by the feats of jugglers, the deception produced by which depends upon their performing a certain number of motions with such rapidity, that the attention of the spectators does not follow all the combinations.

In teaching such arts as music or arithmetic, this principle is also illustrated; for the most expert arithmetician or musical performer is not necessarily, and perhaps not generally, the best teacher of the art; but he, who, with a competent knowledge of it, directs his attention to the individual minute combinations through which it is necessary for the learner to advance.

In processes more purely intellectual, we find the influence of habit brought under our view in a similar manner, particularly in following the steps of a process of reasoning. A person little accustomed to such a process advances step by step, with minute attention to each as he proceeds; while another perceives at once the result, with little consciousness of the steps by which he arrived at it. For this reason, also, it frequently happens, that, in certain departments of science, the profound philosopher makes a bad teacher. He proceeds too rapidly for his audience, and without sufficient attention to the intermediate steps by which it is necessary for them to advance; and they may derive much more instruction from an inferior man, whose mental process on the subject approaches more nearly to that which, in the

first instance, must be theirs. We remark the same difference in public speaking and in writing; and we talk of a speaker or a writer who is easily followed, and another who is followed with difficulty. The former retards the series of his thoughts, so as to bring distinctly before his hearers or his readers every step in the mental process. The latter advances without sufficient attention to this, and consequently can be followed by those only, who are sufficiently acquainted with the subject to fill up the intermediate steps, or not to require them.

There is a class of intellectual habits directly the reverse of those now referred to; namely, habits of inattention, by which the mind, long unaccustomed to have the attention steadily directed to any important object, becomes frivolous and absent, or lost amid its own waking dreams. A mind in this condition becomes incapable of following a train of reasoning, and even of observing facts with accuracy, and tracing their relations. Hence nothing is more opposed to the cultivation of intellectual character; and when such a person attempts to reason, or to follow out a course of investigation, he falls into slight and partial views, unsound deductions, and frivolous arguments. This state of mind, therefore, ought to be carefully guarded against in the young; as, when it is once established, it can be removed only by

a long and laborious effort, and after a certain period of life

is probably irremediable. In rude and savage life remarkable examples occur, of the effect of habits of minute attention to those circumstances to which the mind is intensely directed, by their relation to the safety or advantage of the observer. The American hunter finds his way in the trackless forests by attention to minute appearances in the trees, which indicate to him the points of the compass. He traces the progress of his enemies or his friends by the marks of their footsteps; and judges of their numbers, their haltings, their employments, by circumstances which would entirely escape the observation of persons unaccustomed to a mode of life requiring such exercises of attention. Numerons examples of this kind are mentioned by travellers, particularly among

## OF FALSE PERCEPTIONS.

Before leaving this subject, it is necessary to refer to some remarkable facts respecting perceptions taking place, without the presence of any external body corresponding with them. These are called false perceptions, and they are usually referred to two classes; namely, those arising in the organs of sense, in which the mind does not participate; and those which are connected with hallucination of mind, or a belief of the real existence of the object. The former only belong to this part of the subject. The latter will be referred to in another part of our inquiry, as they do not consist of false impressions on the senses, but depend upon the mind mistaking its own conceptions for real

and present existences.

Of false perceptions, properly so called, the most familiar are the musca volitantes floating before the eyes, and sounds in the ears resembling the ringing of bells or the noise of a waterfall. Changes are also met with in the organs of sense giving rise to remarkable varieties of perception. Dr. Falconer mentions a gentleman who had such a morbid state of sensation that cold bodies felt to him as if they were intensely hot. A gentleman mentioned by Dr. Conolly, when recovering from measles, saw objects diminished to the smallest imaginable size; and a patient mentioned by Baron Larry, on recovering from amaurosis, saw men as giants, and all objects magnified in a most remarkable manner; it is not mentioned how long these peculiarities continued. This last peculiarity of perception occurred also to a particular friend of mine in recovering from typhus fever. His own body appeared to him to be about ten feet high. His bed seemed to be seven or eight feet from the floor, so that he felt the greatest dread in attempting to get out of it; and the opening of the chimney of his apartment appeared as large as the arch of a bridge. A singular peculiarity of this case, however, was, that the persons about him with whom he was familiar, did not appear above their natural size. But the most interesting phenomena, connected with affections of this kind, are furnished by the various modifications of spectral illusions. These are referable to three classes.

Impressions of visible objects remaining for some time after the eye is shut, or has been withdrawn from them, generally accompanied by some remarkable change in the colour of the objects. Various interesting experiments of this kind are related by Dr. Darwin; one of the most striking is the following :- "I covered a paper about four inches square with yellow, and with a pen filled with a blue colour, wrote upon the middle of it the word BANKS in capitals; and sitting with my back to the sun, fixed my eyes for a minute exactly on the centre of the letter N in the word. After shutting my eyes, and shading them somewhat with my hand, the word was distinctly seen in the spectrum, in yellow colours on a blue ground; and then on opening my eyes on a vellowish wall at twenty feet distance, the magnified name of BANKS appeared on the wall written in golden characters." -A friend of mine had been, one day, looking intensely at a small print of the Virgin and child, and had sat bending over it for some time. On raising his head, he was startled by perceiving at the farther end of the apartment a female figure of the size of life, with a child in her arms. The first feeling of surprise having subsided, he instantly traced the source of the illusion, and remarked, that the figure corresponded exactly with that which he had contemplated in the print, being what painters call a kit-cat figure, in which the lower parts of the body are not represented. The illusion continued distinct for about two minutes. Similar illusions of hearing are met with, though less frequently than those of vision. A gentleman, recently recovered from an affection of the head, in which he had been much reduced by bleeding, had occasion to go into a large town a few miles from his residence. His attention was there attracted by the bugle of a regiment of horse, sounding a particular measure which is used at changing guard in the evening. He assured me, that this sound was, from that time, never out of his ears for about nine months. During all this period he continued in a very precarious state of health; and it was only as his health became more confirmed, that the sound of the bugle gradually left him. In regard to ocular spectra, another fact of a very singular nature appears to have been first observed by Sir

Isaac Newton,—namely, that when he produced a spectrum of the sun by looking at it with the right eye, the left being covered, upon uncovering the left, and looking upon a white ground, a spectrum of the sun was seen with it also. He likewise acquired the power of recalling the spectra after they had ceased, when he went into the dark, and directed his mind intensely, "as when a man looks earnestly to see a thing which is difficult to be seen." By repeating these experiments frequently, such an effect was produced upon his eyes, "that for some months after," he says, "the spectrum of the sun began to return, as often as I began to meditate upon the phenomena, even though I lay in bed at midnight with my curtains drawn."

II. Impressions of objects recently seen returning after a considerable interval. Various interesting examples of this kind are on record. Dr. Ferriar mentions of himself, that, when about the age of 14, if he had been viewing any interesting object in the course of the day, as a remantic ruin, a fine seat, or a review of troops, so soon as evening came, if he had occasion to go into a dark room, the whole scene was brought before him with a brilliancy equal to what it possessed in day-light, and remained visible for some minutes.

III. False perceptions arising in the course of some bodily disorder, generally fever. A lady, whom I attended some years ago, in a slight feverish disorder, saw distinctly a party of ladies and gentlemen sitting round her bedchamber, and a servant handing something to them on a tray. scene continued in a greater or less degree for several days. and was varied by spectacles of castles and churches of a very brilliant appearance, as if they had been built of finely-cut crystal. The whole was in this case entirely a visual phantasm, for there was no hallucination of mind. On the contrary, the patient had from the first a full impression that it was a morbid affection of vision, connected with the fever. and amused herself and her attendants, by watching and describing the changes in the scenery.-A gentleman, who was also a patient of mine, of an irritable habit, and liable to a variety of uneasy sensations in his head, was sitting alone in his dining-room in the twilight, the door of the room being a little open: He saw distinctly a female figure enter, wrapped in a mantle, and the face concealed by a large black

bonnet. She seemed to advance a few steps towards him, and then stop. He had a full conviction, that the figure was an illusion of vision, and amused himself for some time by watching it; at the same time observing that he could see through the figure, so as to perceive the lock of the door and other objects behind it. At length, when he moved his body a little forward, it disappeared. The appearances in these two cases were entirely visual illusions, and probably consisted in the renewal of real scenes of figures, in a manner somewhat analogous to those in Dr. Ferriar's case, though the renewal took place after a longer interval. When there is any degree of hallucination of mind, so that the phantasm is believed to have a real existence, the affection is entirely of a different nature, as will be more particularly mentioned under

unother part of our subject.

False perceptions may be corrected by one of three methods; -by the exercise of other senses; by a comparison with the perceptions of other persons; -and by an exercise of judgment. If I suspect that my eye deceives me, I apply the hand, with the perfect conviction of the improbability that the two senses should be deceived at once. If this cannot be done, I appeal to the impressions of some other persons, with an equally strong conviction, that the same sense will not be deceived in the same manner in several persons at once. I may do it in another way, by a reference to some known and fixed object. Suppose, for example, I see two objects, where I imagine there should be but one, and suspect a visual deception: I turn my eyes to some object which I know to be single,—such as the sun: If I see the sun double, I know that there is a delusion of vision; if I see the sun single, I conclude the original perception to be correct. These processes imply a certain exercise of judgment; and there are other cases in which the same conviction may arise from an exercise of judgment alone, without any process of this kind. In one of the cases now referred to, for example, the correction took place instantly, from observing that the lock of the door was seen as if through the figure.

and the state of t Alternative and the second second

#### SECTION IL

## OF CONSCIOUSNESS AND REFLECTION.

Consciousness appears to mean, simply, the act of attending to what is passing in the mind at the time. That more extensive operation to which we ought to give the name of Reflection, as distinguished from simple consciousness, seems to be connected with a power of remembering past perceptions, and past mental processes,-of comparing them with present feelings, so as to trace between them a relation, as belonging to the same sentient being, -and, farther of tracing the laws by which the mental processes themselves are regulated. It is employed also in tracing the relation and sequences of external things, and thus proves the source of certain notions expressive of these relations. It is therefore a compound operation of mind, including various mental processes, especially consciousness, memory, and the act of comparison or judgment. The knowledge which we derive from this source, whether we call it consciousness or reflection, is referable to three heads.

I. A knowledge of the mental processes, and the laws and relations by which they are regulated;—a knowledge, for example, of the laws and facts relating to memory, conception, imagination, and judgment. These will be more particularly referred to in a subsequent part of our inquiry. In the same manner we acquire our knowledge of those which have been called the active and moral powers, as

the desires, the affections, the will, &c.

II. Certain notions arising out of the exercise of the mental processes, in reference to the successions and relations of things;—our notion, for example, of time, arising out of memory and consciousness;—our notion of cause,—of motion,—number, duration, extension or space. From simple perception we seem to acquire a knowledge of external things as existing only at the moment; and from simple consciousness a knowledge of a mental impression as existing only at the moment.—Our notions of the succession of things, as implying time and

motion, require the exercise of consciousness and memory; and our notions of cause, and the various other relations of things to each other, require both memory and comparison. To the same head, in reference to another department of these faculties, belong our notions of truth and falsehood,right and wrong. These result from a certain exercise of mind, aided by that remarkable principle in our constitution,

which commonly receives the name of conscience.

III. With this exercise of the mental functions, there spring up in the mind certain convictions, or intuitive and instinctive principles of belief. They are the immediate result of a certain exercise of the understanding, but are not referable to any process of induction or chain of reasoning, and can be considered only as an original and fundamental part of our constitution. This is a subject of great and extensive importance, and the articles of belief which are referable to it, are chiefly the following:

(1.) A conviction of our own existence as sentient and thinking beings, and of mind as something distinct from the

functions of the body.

(2.) A confidence in the evidence of our senses in regard to the existence and properties of external things; or a conviction that they have a real existence independent of our sensations.

(3.) A confidence in our own mental processes;—that facts, for example, which are suggested to us by our memory,

really occurred.

(4.) A belief in our personal identity, derived from the combined operation of consciousness and memory; or a remembrance of past mental feelings, and a comparison of them with present mental feelings, as belonging to the same sentient being.

(5.) A conviction that every event must have a cause,

and a cause adequate to the effect.

(6.) A confidence in the uniformity of the operations of nature; or that the same cause, acting in the same circum-

stances, will always be followed by the same effect.

These first or intuitive principles of belief will be referred to in a more particular manner, when we come to speak of the use of reason in the investigation of truth. They are usually called First Truths, and will be seen to occupy a most important place as the foundation of all reasoning. Many ingenious but fallacious arguments were at one time wasted in attempts to establish them by processes of reasoning. These again were assailed by sophistical and sceptical writers, who easily succeeded in showing the fallacy of these arguments, and thus assumed the credit of undermining the authority of the truths themselves. All this species of sophistical warfare is now gone by; and the most important era in the modern science of reasoning was when it was distinctly shown, that these first truths admit of no other evidence than the conviction which forces itself upon the understanding of all classes of men. Since that period it has been generally allowed, that they admit of no proof by processes of reasoning; and, on the other hand, that they are entirely unaffected by the arguments by which all such reasoning was shown to be fallacious.

# SECTION III.

#### OF TESTIMONY.

A very small portion of our knowledge of external things is obtained through our own senses; by far the greater part is procured through other men, and this is received by us on the evidence of testimony. But, in receiving facts in this manner, we usually proceed with more caution that when they come to us by our personal observation. We are much influenced, in the first place, by our confidence in the veracity of the narrator, and our knowledge of the opportunities which he has had of ascertaining the facts he professes to relate. Thus, if he be a person on whose testimony we have formerly received important statements, which have turned out to be correct, we are the more ready to receive his testimony again; if he he a stranger to us, we receive it with greater caution; if he has formerly misled us, we view it with suspicion, or reject it altogether.

But there is another principle of very extensive application in such cases, and which is in a great measure independent

ray that can be a see a second of

of the character of the narrator. In receiving facts upon testimony, we are much influenced by their accordance with facts with which we are already acquainted. This is what, in common language, we call their probability; and statements which are probable, that is, in accordance with facts which we already know, are received upon a lower degree of evidence than those which are not in such accordance, or which, in other words, appear to us in the present state of our knowledge to be improbable. Now this is a sound and salutary caution, but we should beware of allowing it to influence us beyond its proper sphere. It should lead us to examine carefully the evidence upon which we receive facts, not in accordance with those which we have already acquired; but we should beware of allowing it to engender. scepticism. For, while an unbounded credulity is the part of a weak mind, which never thinks or reasons at all, an unlimited scepticism is the part of a contracted mind, which reasons upon imperfect data, or makes its own knowledge and extent of observation the standard and test of probability. An ignorant peasant may reject the testimony of a philosopher in regard to the size of the moon, because he thinks he has the evidence of his senses that it is only a foot in diameter; and a person, holding a respectable rank in society, is said to have received with contempt the doctrine of the revolution of the earth on its axis, because he was perfectly satisfied that his house was never known to turn with its front to the north. When the king of Siam was told by a Dutch traveller, that, in Holland, at certain seasons of the year, water becomes so solid that an elephant might walk over it, he replied, "I have believed many extraordinary things which you have told me, because I took you for a man of truth and veracity, but now I am convinced that you lie." This confidence in one's own experience, as the test of probability, characterizes a mind which is confined in its views and limited in its acquirements; and the tendency of it would be the rejection of all knowledge, for which we have not the evidence of our senses. Had the king of Siam once seen water in a frozen state, he would not only have been put right in regard to this fact, but his confidence would have been shaken in his own experience as the test of probability in other things; and he would have been more disposed for the farther reception of truth upon the evidence of testimony.

Thus, progress in knowledge is not confined in its results to the mere facts which we acquire, but has also an extensive influence in enlarging the mind for the farther reception of truth, and setting it free from many of those prejudices which influence men who are limited by a narrow field of observation. There may even be cases in which, without any regard to the veracity of the narrator, a cultivated mind perceives the elements of truth in a statement, which is rejected by inferior minds as altogether incredible. An ingenious writer supposes a traveller of rather doubtful veracity bringing into the country of Archimedes an account of the steamengine. His statement is rejected by his countrymen as altogether incredible. It is entirely at variance with their experience, and they think it much more probable that the traveller should lie, than that such a thing should be. But, when he describes to Archimedes the arrangement of the machine, the philosopher perceives the result, and, without any consideration of the veracity of the narrator, decides, upon the evidence derived from the relation of the facts themselves, and their accordance with principles which are known to him, that the statement is unquestionably true.

This illustration leads to a principle of the utmost practical importance. In judging of the credibility of a statement, we are not to be influenced simply by our actual experience of similar events; for this would limit our reception of new facts to their accordance with those which we already know. We must extend our views much farther than this, and proceed upon the knowledge which we have derived from other sources, of the powers and properties of the agent to which the event is ascribed. It is on this principle that the account of the steam-engine would have appeared probable to Archimedes, while it was rejected by his countrymen as absolutely incredible; because he would have judged, not according to his experience of similar machinery, but according to his knowledge of the powers and properties of steam. In the same manner, when the king of Siam rejected, as an incredible falsehood, the account of the freezing of water, if there had been at his court a philosopher who had attended to the properties of heat, he would have judged in a different manner, though the actual fact of the freezing of water might have been as new to him as it was to the king. He would have recollected, that he had seen various solid bodies rendered fluid by the application of heat; and that, on the abstraction of the additional heat, they again became solid. He would thus have argued the probability, that, by a farther abstraction of heat, bodies might become solid which are fluid in the ordinary temperature of the atmosphere. In this manner, the fact, which was rejected by the king; judging from his own experience, might have been received by the philosopher, judging from his knowledge of the powers and properties of heat,—though he had acquired this knowledge from events apparently far removed

from that to which he now applied it.

The principle here referred to is independent altogether of the direct reliance which we have on testimony, in regard to things which are at variance with our experience, when we are satisfied that the testimony has the characters of credibility; but even on these grounds, we may perceive the fallacy of that application of the doctrine of probability which has been employed by some writers, in opposition to the truths of revealed religion and to the means by which they were promulgated, particularly the miracles of the sacred writings. Miracles, they contend, are deviations from the established course of nature, and are, consequently, contrary to our uniform experience. It accords with our experience that men should lie, and even that several men might concur in propagating the same lie; and, therefore, it is more probable that the narrators lied, than that the statement respecting miracles is true. Mr. Hume even went so far as to maintain, that a miracle is so contrary to what is founded upon firm and unalterable experience, that it cannot be established by any human testimony.

The fallacy of this argument may probably be maintained from the principles which have been stated. It is, in fact, the same mode of reasoning which induced the king of Siam to reject the statement of water becoming solid. This was entirely contradicted by his "firm and unalterable experience," and, therefore, could not be received, even upon the evidence of a man whom he had already recognised as a witness of unquestionable veracity, and upon whose single testimony he had received as truth "many extraordinary things." He thought it much more probable, that even this man hed, than that such a statement could be true. Strictly speaking, indeed, the objection of Mr. Hume may be considered as

little better than a play upon words. For what renders an occurrence miraculous is precisely the fact of its being opposed to uniform experience. To say therefore that miracles are incredible because they are contrary to experience, is merely to say, that they are incredible because they are miracles.

They who are imposed upon by such a sophism as this, do not, in the first place, attend to the fact, that the term experience, if so much is to be founded upon it, must be limited to the personal observation of every individual; that is, it can apply, in each particular case, only to the last fifty or sixty years at most, and to events which have happened during that period, at the spot where the individual was present. Whatever he knows of events which took place beyond this spot, or before that period, he knows, not from experience, but entirely from testimony: and a great part of our knowledge, of what we call the established course of nature. has been acquired in this manner. In the reception of new knowledge, then, an individual must either receive facts upon testimony, or believe nothing but that for which he has the evidence of his senses. It is unnecessary to state how much the latter supposition is at variance with the daily practice of every man; and how much information we are in the constant habit of receiving upon testimony, even in regard to things which are much at variance with our personal observation. How many facts do we receive, in this manner, with unsuspecting confidence, on the testimony of the historian, in regard to the occurrences of ancient times; and on the testimony of the naturalist and the traveller, respecting the natural and civil history of foreign countries. How few persons have verified, by their personal observation, the wonders which we receive on the testimony of the astronomer; -and, even of the great phenomena of nature on the surface of our globe, how much do we receive upon testimony in regard to things which are widely at variance with our own experience. I need only mention the boiling springs of Iceland, and the phenomena of earthquakes and volcanoes. But, on the principles of Mr. Hume, these could not be believed. On the contrary, if one of our intelligent highlanders were hearing described to him the devastations of a volcano, he would point to his heath-covered mountain,

as the basis of his "firm and unalterable experience," and declare it to be more probable, that travellers should lie,

than that such a statement could be true.

The reception of facts upon the evidence of testimony must therefore be considered as a fundamental principle of our nature, to be acted upon whenever we are satisfied that the testimony possesses certain characters of credibility. These are chiefly referable to three heads;—that the individual has had sufficient opportunity of ascertaining the facts; that we have confidence in his power of judging of their accuracy; and that we have no suspicion of his being influenced by passion or prejudice in his testimony, or in other words, that we believe him to be an honest witness. Our confidence is farther strengthened by several witnesses concurring in the same testimony, each of whom has had the same opportunities of ascertaining the facts, and presents the same characters of truth and honesty. On such testimony we are in the constant habit of receiving statements, which are much beyond the sphere of our personal observation, and widely at variance with our experience. These are the statements. which, for the sake of a name, we may call marvellous. In regard to such, the foundation of incredulity, as we have seen, is generally ignorance: and it is interesting to trace the principles by which a man of cultivated mind is influenced, in receiving upon testimony, statements which are rejected by the vulgar as totally incredible.

1. He is influenced by the recollection, that many things at one time appeared to him marvellous which he now knows to be true; and he thence concludes, that there may still be in nature many phenomena and many principles with which he is entirely unacquainted. In other words, he has learned from experience, not to make his own knowledge his test of

probability.

2. He is greatly influenced by perceiving in the statement some element of probability, or any kind of sequence or relation, by which the alleged fact may be connected with principles which are known to him. It is in this manner that the freezing of water, which was rejected by the king of Siam as an incredible falsehood, might have appeared credible to a philosopher who had attended to the properties of heat,

because he would have perceived in the statement a chair of relations connecting it with facts which he knew to be true.

3. He is much guided by his power of discriminating the credibility of testimony, or of distinguishing that species and that amount of it, which he feels to be unworthy of absolute credit, from that on which he relies with as implicit confidence as on the uniformity of the course of nature. The vulgar mind is often unable to make the necessary discrimination in this respect, and therefore is apt to fall into one of the extremes of credulity or scepticism. Mr. Hume, indeed, himself admits that there is a certain amount of testimony on which he would receive a statement widely at variance with his own uniform experience, as in the hypothetical case which he proposes,-the account of a total darkness over the whole earth, continuing for eight days, two hundred years ago. The evidence which he requires for it is simply the concurrence of testimonies, namly, that all authors in all languages describe the event; and that travellers bring accounts from all quarters, of traditions of the occurrence being still strong and lively among the people. On such evidence he admits that philosophers ought to receive it as certain.

These principles may be considered as the elements of our helief in regard to statements which are new to us; and it is interesting to remark how they balance and compensate each other. Thus, a statement which appears probable, or can be readily referred to known relations, is received upon a lower degree of testimony, as in the illustration respecting Archimedes and the steam-engine. Others, which we find greater difficulty in referring to any known principle, we may receive upon a certain amount of testimony, which we feel to be worthy of absolute confidence. But there may be others of so very extraordinary a kind, and so far removed from, or even opposed to, every known principle, that we may hesitate in receiving them upon any kind of testimony, unless we can discover in relation to them something on which the mind can fix as an element of moral probability.

This leads us to a very obvious distinction of extraordinary events,—into those which are only marvellous, and those which are to be considered miraculous. A marvellous event is one which differs in all its elements from any thing that we

previously knew, without being opposed to any known principle. But a miraculous event implies much more than this, being directly opposed to what every man knows to be the established and uniform course of nature. It is farther required that such an event shall be of so obvious and palpable a kind, that every man is qualified to judge of its miraculous character, or is convinced it could not happen from the opera-

tion of any ordinary natural cause.

In receiving a statement respecting such an event, we require the highest species of testimony, or that on which we rely with the same confidence as on the uniformity of the course of nature itself. But even with this amount of testimony, a doubt may still remain. For we have two amounts of probability which are equally balanced against each other; namely, the probability that such testimony should not deceive us, and the probability that there should be no deviation from the course of nature. The concurring evidence of numerous credible witnesses, indeed, gives a decided prependerance to the testimony; and upon a certain amount of testimony we might receive any statement, however improbable, as in the case admitted by Mr. Hume, of a universal darkness. But though in such a case we might receive the statement as a fact which we could not dispute, the mind would be left in a state of absolute suspense and uncertainty, in regard to any judgment which we could form respecting it. Something more appears to be necessary for fixing the distinct belief of a miraculous interposition; and this is an impression of moral probability. This consists of two parts: (1.) A distinct reference of the event to a power which we feel to be capable of producing it, -namely, a direct interposition of the Deity. (2.) The perception of an adequate object, or a conviction of high moral probability, that an interposition of Divine power might be exerted in such circumstances, or for the accomplishment of such an object. Such are the miracles of the sacred writings, Asevents opposed to the common course of nature, they are. by the supposition, physically improbable in the highest degree. Were they not so, were they in the lowest degree probable according to our conceptions of the course of nature, they could not be miracles, and consequently could not answer the purpose for which they are intended. But, notwithstanding this species of improbability, they carry with them

all the elements of absolute credibility,—namely, the highest species of testimony, supported by a moral probability which bears directly upon every element of the statement. This

may be briefly referred to the following heads:-

I. The human mind had wandered far from truth respecting God; and, on the great questions of his character and will, a future state, and the mode of acceptance in his sight, the light furnished by reason among the wisest of men was faint and feeble. On points of such importance, there was the highest moral probability, that the Deity would not leave mankind in this state of darkness, but would communicate to them some distinct knowledge.

2. It is farther probable, that, if such a communication were made to man, it would be accompanied by prodigies or miraculous events, calculated to show beyond a doubt the immediate agency of God, and thus to establish the divine

authority of the record.

3. There is no improbability that the power of the Deity should produce deviations from the usual course of nature, capable of answering such a purpose. For what we call the course of nature is nothing more than an order of events which he has established; and there is no improbability, that, for an adequate end, he might produce a deviation from this order.

4. An important branch of the moral probability of the whole statement of the sacred writings, arises from the character of the truths themselves, challenging the assent and approbation of every uncontaminated mind. This part of the subject resolves itself into three parts;—the truths relating to the character and perfections of the Deity; the high and refined morality of the gospel; and the adaptation of the whole provisions of Christianity to the actual condition of man as a moral being. The former carry a conviction of their truth to the mind of every candid inquirer; the two latter fix themselves upon the conscience or moral feelings of all classes of men, with an impression which is irresistible.

This mode of reasoning is not chargeable with that kind of fallacy which has sometimes been ascribed to it, that it professes first to prove the doctrine by the miracle, and then to try the miracle by the doctrine. The tendency of it is only to deduce from the various elements which really enter

into the argument, a kind of compound evidence, the strongest certainly which on such a subject, the human mind is capable of receiving. It is composed of the character of the truths,—the moral probability of a revelation of clear knowledge on subjects of such infinite importance,—and the highest species of testimony for the miraculous evidence by which the revelation was accompanied. There are principles in our nature calculated to perceive the manner in which the different parts of such an argument harmonize with each other; and, upon every principle of correct reasoning, it is impossible to conceive any thing more highly calculated to challenge the serious attention and absolute conviction of every sound understand-

ing.

This imperfect view of a deeply interesting subject, will he sufficient to show the fallacy of the objection which has been urged against the credibility of miracles,-that they are contrary to our unalterable experience of the established course of nature. There might have been some degree of plausibility in the argument, if these events had been alleged to have taken place in ordinary circumstances; but the case is essentially altered, and this kind of improbability is altogether removed, when, in the alleged deviation, a new agent is introduced entirely capable of producing it. Such, as we have seen, are the miracles of the sacred writings; and the question in regard to their probability is, not whether they are probable, according to the usual course of nature, but whether they are probable in the circumstances in which they are said to have taken place, namely, in the case of a direct. interposition of the Deity for certain great and adequate purposes. In such a case, our estimate of probability must be founded, according to the principles already stated, not upon our experience of similar events, but on the knowledge which we derive from other sources, of the power of the agent to whom the event is ascribed. Now the agent to whom miracles are ascribed is the Supreme Being, the creator of all things, the stupendous monuments of whose omnipotent power are before us, and within us, and around us. What we call the established course of nature is merely an order of events which he has appointed; and the question of probability is, whether it is probable, that for certain adequate purposes, he should produce a deviation from this

order. For such a statement, indeed, we require strong, numerous, credible and concurring testimonies; but it comes to be simply a question of evidence; and there is no real improbability, that, in these circumstances, such events should

take place.

In this manner, then, there is entirely removed from the statement the improbability which is founded upon the uniformity of the ordinary course of nature; because it is not in the ordinary course of nature that the events are alleged to have taken place, but in circumstances altogether new and peculiar. The subsequent inquiry becomes, therefore, simply a question of evidence; and this evidence is derived from testimony. We are thus led to take a slight view of the grounds on which we estimate the credibility of testimony.

Testimony, we are told, is fallacious, and is liable to deceive But so are our senses :- they also may deceive, and perhaps have deceived us, as in the case of ocular spectra: but we do not, on that account, discredit the evidence of our eves: we only take means, in certain cases, for correcting their indications by other senses, as by touching the object, or by a comparison with the visual impressions of other men; and, whatever probability there is, that the eves of one man may be deceived in any one instance, the probability is as nothing. that both his sight and touch should be deceived at once; or that the senses of ten men should be deceived in the same manner at the same time. It is the same with regard to testimony. It may have deceived us in particular instances: but this applies to one species of testimony only; there is another species which never deceived us. We learn by experience to separate distinctly the one from the other, and to fix upon a species of testimony on which we rely with the same confidence as on the uniformity of the course of nature. Thus, if we find a man who in other respects shows every indication of a sound mind, relating an event which happened under his own inspection, and in such circumstances that he could not possibly be deceived; if his statement be such as contributes in no respect to his credit or advantage, but, on the contrary, exposes him to ridicule, contempt, and danger; if, notwithstanding, he steadily perseveres in it, under every species of persecution, and even to the suffering of death; to suppose such a testimeny intended to deceive, would be to assume a

deviation from the established course of human character, as remarkable as any event which it could possibly convey to us. This might be maintained in regard to one such testimony; but, if we find numerous witnesses agreeing in the same testimony, all equally informed of the facts, all showing the same characters of credibility, and without the possibility of concert or connivance, the evidence becomes not convincing only, but incontrovertible.

The grounds on which we receive with confidence the evidence of testimony, may therefore be briefly stated in the fol-

lowing manner:

1. That the statement refers to a matter of fact,—that the fact was such as could be easily ascertained by the person who relates it,—and that he had sufficient opportunity of ascertaining it. When the statement includes a point of opinion, the case comes under another principle; and we require, in the first instance, to separate what is opinion from what is fact.

2. That we have no reason to suspect the witness to be influenced by interest or passion in his evidence; or that he has any purpose to answer by it, calculated to promote his

own advantage.

3. That various individuals, without suspicion of connivance, have concurred in the same statement. This is a point of the utmost importance; and, in cases in which we are satisfied that there could be no connivance, a degree of evidence is derived from the concurrence of testimonies. which may be often independent even of the credibility of the individual witnesses. For, though it were probable that each of them singly might lie, the chances that they should all happen to agree in the same lie, may be found to amount to an impossibility. On this subject, there is also a farther principle of the greatest interest, which has been well illustrated by Laplace, namely, that the more improbable a statement is, in which such witnesses agree, the greater is the probability of its truth. Thus we may have two men whom we know to be so addicted to lying, that we would not attach the smallest credit to their single testimony on any subject. If we find these concurring in a statement respecting an event which was highly probable, or very likely to have occurred at the time which they mention, we may still have a suspicion that they are lying, and that they may have happened to

concur in the same lie, even though there should be no supposition of connivance. But if the statement was in the highest degree improbable, such as that of a man rising from the dead, we may feel it to be impossible that they could accidentally have agreed in such a statement; and, if we are satisfied that there could be no connivance, we may receive a conviction from its very improbability that it must be true. In cases of concurring testimonies, we expect that the witnesses shall agree in all essential and important particulars; and, on the other hand, evidence of the authenticity of testimony is sometimes derived from the various witnesses differing in trifling circumstances in such a manner, as, without weakening the main statement, tends to remove the suspicion of collusion or connivance.

4. In all matters of testimony, we are greatly influenced by our confidence in a certain uniformity of human character. We attach much importance, for example, to our previous knowledge of the narrator's character for veracity; and a man may have acquired such a character in this respect, that we confide in his veracity in every instance in which his testimony is concerned, with a confidence equal to that with which we rely on the uniformity of the course of nature. In such a case, indeed, we proceed upon a uniformity which applies only to a particular order, namely, those whom we consider as men of veracity. But there is also a principle of uniformity which applies to the whole species; and in which we confide as regulating every man of sane mind. Thus, if the statement of a narrator contain circumstances tending to promote his own advantage, we calculate on the probability of fabrication, and reject his evidence, unless we had previously acquired absolute confidence in his veracity. But if, on the contrary, his statement operates against himself, conveying an imputation against his own character, or exposing him to contempt, ridicule, or personal injury, without any previous knowledge of his veracity, we are satisfied that nothing could make him adhere to such a testimony but an honest conviction of its truth.

5. A very important circumstance is the absence of any contradictory or conflicting testimony. This applies, in a striking manner, to the miraculous statements of the sacred writings; for, even on the part of those who were most interested in opposing them, there is no testimony which professes

to show, that, at the time when the miracles are said to have taken place, they did not take place. It is, indeed, a remarkable circumstance, that the earliest writers against Christianity ascribe the miraculous events to the power of sorcery or magic, but never attempt to call them in question as matters of fact.

6. Much corroboration of testimony may often be obtained from our knowledge of facts of such a nature, as, without directly bearing upon the statements to which the testimony refers, cannot be accounted for on any other supposition than the conviction of these statements being true. This principle applies, in a remarkable manner, to the miraculous histories of the sacred writings. We know, as a historical fact, the rapid manner in which the Christian faith was propagated in the early ages, against the most formidable opposition, and by means of the feeblest human instruments. We are told, that this was owing to the conviction produced by miraculous displays of divine power;—we feel that the known effect corresponds with the alleged cause; and that it cannot be accounted

for on any other principle.

It does not belong to our present inquiry, to allude more particularly to the direct evidence by which the miracles of the sacred writings are supported; we merely refer, in this general manner, to the principles on which the evidence is to be estimated. A very interesting branch of the subject will come under our view, when we speak of memory and arbitrary association. We shall then see the irresistible importance of the commemorative rites of Christianity, by which the memory of these events has been transmitted from age to age, or rather from year to year; and by which our minds are carried backwards, in one unbroken series, to the time when the events occurred, and to the individuals who witnessed them. In this manner, also, is entirely removed any feeling of uncertainty, which may attach to testimony, as we recede from the period at which the events took place, and as the individuals are multiplied through whom the account has been transmitted. Upon the whole, therefore, the evidence becomes so clear and conclusive, that we may say of those who reject it, what the great author of Christianity said, on another occasion,—"if they hear not these, neither will they be persuaded though one rose from the dead."

### PART III.

#### OF THE INTELLECTUAL OPERATIONS.

Through the various sources referred to in the preceding observations, we acquire the knowledge of a certain number of facts, relating either to the mind itself, or to things external to it. The next part of our inquiry refers to the operations, (to use a figurative expression,) which the mind performs upon the facts thus acquired. The term functions, or powers of mind, has often been applied to these operations; but, as we are not entitled to assume, that they are in fact separate functions in the usual acceptation of that expression, it is perhaps more correct, and accords better with our limited knowledge of mind, to speak simply of the operations which it is capable of performing upon a given series of facts. These seem to be chiefly referable to the following heads.

1. We remember the facts; and we can also recall them into the mind at pleasure. The former of these operations is MEMORY; the latter is that modification of it which we call RECOLLECTION. But, besides this simple recollection of facts, we can recall a perception; that is, the impression of an actual scene which has been witnessed, or a person who has been seen, so as to place them, as it were, before the mind, with all the vividness of the original perception. This process is called Conception. It is often described as a distinct power, or a distinct operation of the mind; but it seems to be so nearly allied to memory, that it may be considered as a modification of it. It is the memory of a perception.

II. We separate facts from the relation in which they were originally presented to us, and contemplate some of them apart from the rest;—considering, for example, certain properties of bodies apart from their other properties. Among a variety of objects, we thus fix upon qualities, which are

common to a certain number of them, and so arrange them into genera and species. This process is usually called Abstraction.

III. We separate scenes or classes of facts into their constituent elements, and form these elements into new combinations, so as to represent to ourselves scenes, or combinations of events, which have no real existence. This is IMAGINATION.

IV. We compare facts with each other,—observe their relations and connexious,—and trace the results which follow particular combinations of them. We also observe their general characters, so as to deduce from the whole general facts or general principles. This is REASON or JUDGMENT.

In this arrangement, it will be observed, I confine myself entirely to facts. I do not say that the mind possesses distinct faculties, which we call memory, abstraction, imagination, and judgment,-for this at once leads into hypothesis: but simply, that, in point of fact, the mind remembers. abstracts, imagines, and judges. These processes appear to constitute distinct mental acts, which every one is conscious of who attends to the phenomena of his own mind, But beyond the simple facts we know nothing, and no human ingenuity can lead us one step farther. Some of the followers of Dr. Reid appear to have erred in this respect, by ascribing to the mind distinct faculties or functions, somewhat in the manner in which we ascribe to the body distinct senses. Dr. Brown, on the other hand, has shown much ingenuity in his attempts to simplify the arrangement of the mental processes, by referring them all to his two principles of simple and relative suggestion. But without inquiring what has been gained to the science by this new phraseology; and avoiding entirely any system which seems to suppose distinct functions of mind, I confine myself to facts respecting the actual mental operations; and it appears to answer best the purposes of practical utility to speak of these operations in the arrangement, and by the names, which are commonly used by the generality of mankind.

#### SECTION I.

#### MEMORY.

By Memory, we retain the impression of facts or events; and by Recollection we recall them into the mind by a voluntary effort. By Conception we recall perceptions, or the impression of actual scenes, persons, or transactions: thus a skilful painter can delineate from conception a land-scape a considerable time after he has seen it, or the countenance of a friend who is dead or absent. These appear to be the leading phenomena which are referable to the head of memory.

There seem to be original differences in the power of memory, some individuals being remarkable for retentive memory, though not otherwise distinguished by their intel-Thus, persons have been known to lectual endowments. repeat a long discourse after once hearing it, or even a series of things without connexion, as a long column of figures, or a number of words without meaning. There is on record the account of a man who could repeat the whole contents of a newspaper; and of another who could retain words that were dictated to him, without any connexion, to the amount of six thousand. A man mentioned by Seneca, after hearing a poet read a new poem, claimed it as his own; and in proof. of his claim, repeated the poem from beginning to end, which the author could not do. A similar anecdote is told of an Englishman, whom the king of Prussia placed behind a screen, when Voltaire came to read to him a new poem of considerable length. It has been alleged, that this kind of memory is generally connected with inferiority of the other intellectual powers; but there appears to be no foundation for this. For, though the mere memory of words may be met with in a high degree in persons of defective understanding, it is also true that men of high endowments have been remarkable for memory. It is said that Themistocles could name all the citizens of Athens, amounting to twenty

thousand; and that Cyrus knew the name of every soldier in

his army.

The late Dr. Leyden was remarkable for his memory. I am informed, through a gentleman who was intimately acquainted with him, that he could repeat correctly a long act of parliament, or any similar document, after having once read it. When he was, on one occasion, congratulated by a friend on his remarkable power in this respect, he replied, that instead of an advantage it was often a source of great inconvenience. This he explained by saying, that when he wished to recollect a particular point in any thing which he had read, he could do it only by repeating to himself the whole from the commencement till he reached the point which he wished to recall.

We may find a mere local memory combined with very little judgment;—that is, the power of remembering facts in the order in which they occurred, or words in the order, in which they were addressed to the individual; but that kind of memory which is founded, not upon local or incidental relations, but upon real analogies, must be considered as an important feature of a cultivated mind, and as holding an important place in the formation of intellectual character. The former kind of memory, however, is often the more ready, and is that which generally makes the greater show, both on account of its readiness, and likewise because the kind of facts with which it is chiefly conversant are usually those most in request in common conversation.

These facts are referred to as matters of curiosity only. The points of real interest and practical importance, in regard to memory, respect the manner in which it is influenced by the intellectual habits of individuals,—and the principles on which it may be improved. These are referable chiefly to two heads,—ATTENTION, and ASSOCIATION.

Memory is very much influenced by ATTENTION, or a full and distinct perception of the fact or object with a view to its being remembered; and by the perception being kept before the mind, in this distinct manner, for a certain time. The distinct recollection of the fact, in such cases, is generally in proportion to the intensity with which it has been contemplated; and this is also very much strengthened by its being repeatedly brought before the mind. Most people,

accordingly, have experienced, that a statement is more strongly impressed upon the memory by being several times repeated to others. It is on the same principle, that memory is greatly assisted by writing down the object of our knowledge, especially if this be done in a distinct and systematic manner. A subject also is more distinctly conceived, and more correctly remembered, after we have instructed another person in it. Such exercises are not strictly to be considered as helps to the memory, but as excitements to attention; and as thus leading to that clear and full comprehension of the subject, which is required for the distinct remembrance of it.

It is familiar to every one that there are great differences in memory, both in respect to the facility of acquirement. and the power of refention. In the former there appear to be original differences, -but a great deal also depends upon labit. In the power of retention much depends, as we shall afterwards see, upon the habit of correct association; but, besides this, there are facts which seems to show a singular connexion with the manner in which the acquisition was made. The following fact was communicated to me by an able and intelligent friend, who heard it from the individual to whom it relates. A distinguished theatrical performer, in consequence of the sudden illness of another actor, had occasion to prepare himself, on a few hours' notice, for a part which was entirely new to him; and the part was long and rather difficult. He acquired it in a very short time, and went through it with perfect accuracy, but immediately after the performance forgot it to such a degree, that though he performed the character for several days in succession, he was obliged every day to study it anew. Characters which he had acquired in a more deliberate manner he never forgets, but can perform them at any time without a moment's preparation. When questioned respecting the mental process which he employed the first time he performed this part, he says, that he lost sight entirely of the audience, and seemed to have nothing before him but the pages of the book from which he had learnt it; and, that if any thing had occurred to interrupt this illusion, he should have stopt instantly.

That degree of attention, which is required for the full remembrance of a subject, is to be considered as a voluntary

act on the part of the individual; but the actual exercise of it is influenced, in a great measure, by his previous intellectual habits. Of four individuals, for example, who are giving an account of a journey through the same district, one may describe chiefly its agricultural produce; another, its mineralogical characters; a third, its picturesque beauties; while the fourth may not be able to give an account of any thing except the state of the roads and the facilities for travelling. The same facts or objects must have passed before the senses of all the four; but their remembrance of them depends upon the points to which their attention was directed. Besides the manner here alluded to, in which the attention is influenced by previous habits or pursuits, some persons have an active inquiring state of mind, which keeps the attention fully engaged upon whatever is passing before them; while others give way to a listless inactive condition, which requires to be strongly excited, before the attention is roused to the degree required for remembrance. The former, accordingly, remember a great deal of all that passes before them, either in reading or observation. The latter are apt to say that they are deficient in memory; their deficiency, however, is not in memory but in attention; and this appears from the fact, that they do not forget any thing which deeply engages their feelings, or concerns their interest.

The habit of listless inactivity of mind should be carefully guarded against in the young; and the utmost care should be taken to cultivate the opposite, namely, the habit of directing the mind intensely to whatever comes before it, either in reading or observation. This may be considered as forming

the foundation of sound intellectual character.

Next to the effect of attention, is the remarkable influence produced upon memory by Association. This principle holds so important a place in relation to the mental operations, that some philosophers have been disposed to refer to it nearly all the phenomena of mind; but, without ascribing to it this universal influence, its effects are certainly very extensive, and the facts connected with it present a subject of peculiar interest.

The principle of association is founded upon a remarkable tendency, by which two or more facts or conceptions, which have been contemplated together, or in immediate succession,

become so connected in the mind, that one of them at a fitture time recalls the others, or introduces a train of thoughts, which, without any mental effort, follow each other in the order in which they were originally associated. This is called the association of ideas, and various phenomena of a very

interesting kind are connected with it.

But, besides this tendency, by which thoughts formerly associated are brought into the mind in a particular order, there is another species of association, into which the mind passes spontaneonsly, by a suggestion from any subject which happens to be present to it. The thought or fact, which is thus present, suggests another which has some kind of affinity to it; this suggests a third, and so on, to the formation of a train or series which may be continued to a great length. A remarkable circumstance likewise is, that such a train may go on with very little consciousness of, or attention to it; so that the particulars of the series are scarcely remembered, or are traced only by an effort. This singular fact every one must have experienced in that state of mind which is called a reverie. It goes on for some time without effort, and with little attention; at length the attention is roused, and directed to a particular thought which is in the mind, without the person being able at first to recollect what led him to think of that subject. He then, by a voluntary effort, traces the chain of thoughts backwards, perhaps through a long series, till he arrives at a subject of which he has a distinct remembrance as having given rise to it.

It is impossible distinctly to trace the principles which lead to the particular chain of thoughts which arise in a case of this kind. It is probably much influenced by the previous intellectual habits of the individual; and, perhaps in many instances, is guided by associations previously formed. There are also among the facts or thoughts themselves, certain principles of analogy, by which one suggests another without that kind of connexion which is established by previous proximity. These have usually been called principles of association, or, according to the phraseology of Dr. Brown, principles of simple suggestion. They have been generally referred to four heads,—resemblance,—contiguity in time and place,—cause and effect,—and contrast; and others have reduced them to three, considering

contiguity, and cause and effect, as referable to the same head. On these principles, then, one thought may suggest another which has some relation to it, either in the way of resemblance, contiguity, cause, effect, or contrast. But still the question recurs, what gives rise to the occurrence of one of these relations in preference to the others. This may depend, in some instances, on previous habits of thought. and peculiarities of mental temperament; and in other cases associations may be more apt to occur, according as some analogous association may have been more recently formed, more lively, or more frequently repeated. When the common topic of the weather, for example, is introduced in conversation, or presented to the mind, the agriculturist will naturally refer to its influence on vegetation; the physician, to its effects on the health of the community; the man of pleasure may think only of its reference to the sports of the field; the philosopher may endeavour to seek for its cause in some preceding atmospheric phenomena; and another person of certain habits of observation may compare or contrast it with the weather of the same period in a preceding year. Thus, in five individuals, the same topic may give rise to five trains of thought, perfectly distinct from each other, yet each depending upon a very natural and obvious principle of suggestion. In other instances, it is impossible to trace the cause which leads the mind into peculiar and unusual associations. The following example from Hobbes has been frequently referred to. "In a company in which the conversation turned on the civil war, what could be conceived more impertinent, than for a person to ask abruptly, what was the value of a Roman denarius. On a little reflection, however, I was easily able to trace the train of thought which suggested the question; for the original subject of discourse naturally introduced the history of the King, and of the treachery of those who surrendered his person to his enemies; this again introduced the treachery of Judas Iscariot, and the sum of money which he received for his reward. And all this train of ideas passed through the mind of the speaker in a twinkling, in consequence of the velocity of thought." Mr. Stewart adds, in relation to this anecdote, "it is by no means improbable, that if the speaker had been interrogated about the connexion of ideas which led him aside from the original the carrier desired and the rest of the carrier

topic of discourse, he would have found himself, at first, at a loss for an answer."

In the mental process now referred to, it is probable that the term suggestion is much more correct than association. which has often been applied to it. For in the cases which belong to this class, the facts or thoughts suggest each other, not according to any connexion or association which the mind had previously formed between them, but according to some mental impression or emotion, which by a law of our constitution proves a principle of analogy or suggestion. We readily perceive how this takes place in regard to circumstances which are allied to each other by resemblance. contiguity, cause, or effect; and the suggestion of contrast must also occur to every one as by no means unnatural. Thus, the sight of a remarkably fat man may recall to us the thought of another man we had lately seen, who was equally remarkable for his leanness: the playfulness and mirth of childhood may suggest the cares and anxieties of after-life : and an instance of conduct, which we greatly disapprove. may lead us to recollect how very differently another individual conducted himself in similar circumstances.

In a practical view, the subject of association leads us chiefly to a consideration of the manner, in which facts are so associated in the mind as to be recalled by means of the connexion; in other words, the influence of association upon memory. In this view, associations are distinctly referable to three classes:

I. Natural or philosophical association.

11. Local or incidental association.

III. Arbitrary or fictitious association.

A variety of mental phenomena of the most interesting kind will be found connected with the subjects referred to under these classes. The principle on which they all depend, is simply the circumstance of two or more facts, thoughts, or events, being contemplated together by the mind, though many of them have no relation to each other except this conjunction. The strength of the association is generally in proportion to the intensity of the mental emotion; and is likewise in a great measure regulated by the length of time, or the number of times, in which the facts have been contemplated in this connexion. Astonishing examples may be

often met with, of facts or occurrences, which have long ceased to be objects of simple memory, being brought up in this manner by association, though they had not passed

through the mind for a very long time.

I. NATURAL OR PHILOSOPHICAL ASSOCIATION takes place when a fact or statement, on which the attention is fixed, is, by a mental process, associated with some fact previously known, to which it has a relation, or with some subject which it is calculated to illustrate. The fact so acquired is thus, to use a figurative expression, put by in its proper place in the mind, and can afterwards be recalled by means of the association.

The formation of associations, in this manner, is of course influenced in a very great degree by previous mental. habits, pursuits, or subjects of reflection; and, according to the nature and the variety of these pursuits or subjects of thought, facts, which by some are passed by and instantly forgotten, may be fixed upon by others with eager attention; and referred to some principle which they are calculated to illustrate. Examples of this kind must be familiar to every one; I may mention the following: In a party of gentlemen the conversation turned on the warlike character of the Mahrattas, as compared with the natives of Lower India, and the explanation given of it by an author who refers it to their use of animal food, from which the Hindoos are said to be prohibited by their religion. A doubt was started respecting the extent to which Hindoos are prohibited from the use of animal food; some were of one opinion, and some of another, and the point was left undecided. Reading, soon after, the Journal of Bishop Heber, I found it stated, that at one time during his journey, when a large supply of meat was brought to him, he ordered three lambs to be sent to his Hindoo attendants, and that the gift was received with every expression of gratitude. On another occasion, such a fact might have been passed by without producing any impression; or it might have been slightly associated with the good Bishop's attention to the comfort of all around him, but not remembered beyond the passing moment. In connexion with the discussion now mentioned, it became a fact of great interest, and never to be forgotten; and led to inquiry after more precise information on the subject to which it related.

This trifling example may serve to illustrate the principle. that the remembrance of insulated facts does not depend merely upon the degree of attention directed to them, but also on the existence in the mind of subjects of thought with which the new fact may be associated. Other facts, as they occur, will afterwards be added from time to time, giving rise to a progressive increase of knowledge in a mind in which this mental process is regularly carried on. This habit of attention and association ought therefore to be carefully cultivated, as it must have a great influence on our progress in knowledge, and likewise on the formation of intellectual character, provided the associations be made upon sound principles, or according to the true and important relations of things. It is also closely connected with that activity of mind which is ever on the alert for knowledge, from every source that comes within its reach; and that habit of reflection, which always connects with such facts the conclusions to which they lead, and the views which they tend to illustrate. On this principle also, every new fact which is acquired, or every new subject of thought which is brought before the mind, is not only valuable in itself, but also becomes the basis or nucleus of farther information. Minds which are thus furnished with the requisite foundation of knowledge, and act uniformly upon these principles of enlarging it, will find interesting matter to be associated and remembered, where others find only amusement for a vacant hour, which passes away and is forgotten. There is also another respect in which the habit of correct and philosophical association assists the memory, and contributes to progress in knowledge. For by means of it, when applied to a great mass of facts relating to the same subject, we arrive at certain general facts, which represent a numerous body of the individuals, and the remembrance of which is equivalent to the remembrance of the whole.

The associations referred to under this first head, arise out of the real relatious of facts to each other, or to subjects of thought previously existing in the mind. The particular train of association, therefore, which is formed from the same facts by different individuals, may vary exceedingly. Thus the same facts may often admit of various applications, or in other words, of being associated in various ways, by different

persons, according to their intellectual habits, or by the same person at different times, according to the subject of thought

which happens to be more immediately present.

When various facts have been associated in the mind, in the manner now referred to, they form a series which hang together and recall each other in a very remarkable manner. There are two ways in which this takes place, which may be called voluntary and spontaneous. (1.) We call up facts by a voluntary effort, by directing the mind into particular trains of thought calculated to lead to those which we are in search of. This is what we call recollecting ourselves on a particular subject. We have an impression, perhaps, that the mind is in possession of information which bears upon the subject, but do not at the moment remember it; or we remember some circumstances, and wish to recall a more full and complete remembrance. We therefore commence a mental process which consists in putting in motion, to speak figuratively, a train of thoughts, or a series of associated facts, which we think calculated to lead us to the facts we wish to recall. (2.) Associations recur spontaneously, either when particular topics naturally leading to them are brought before the mind, in reading or conversation, or in that state in which the mind is left to follow, without any effort, the current of thoughts as they succeed each other. In the healthy state of the mind, we can give way to this spontaneous succession of thoughts; or we can check it at our pleasure, and direct the mind into some new train connected with the same subject, or arising out of it; or we can dismiss it altogether. While we allow it to go on, it does so, not only without effort, but often without consciousness; so that when the attention is, after some time, arrested by a subject of thought which is in the mind, we do not at first remember what led us to think of it, and begin to recollect ourselves by tracing the series backwards. In this state of mind, it is most interesting to observe the manner in which old associations are revived, and old recollections renewed, which seemed to have been lost and forgotten; and how facts and occurrences come into the mind which had not been thought of for many years. They are recalled, we scarcely know how, by some train of association which we can hardly trace, and which had long ceased to be the subject

of any voluntary effort of attention. We shall again allude to this most interesting subject, in relation to the manner in which associations, long forgotten, are sometimes brought into the mind in dreaming, and in certain states of delirium.

The voluntary power over the succession of thoughts and associations which has now been alluded to, is a subject of extreme interest. We shall have occasion to refer to it again when we come to speak of a remarkable condition in which it is lost; and in which the mind is left entirely under the influence of the series of thoughts as they happen to succeed each other, according probably to old associations, without the power of arresting or varying it. This occurs in two very interesting mental conditions to be afterwards more particularly mentioned,—dreaming, and insanity.

II. LOCAL OR INCIDENTAL ASSOCIATION.—In the mental process referred to under the preceding head, facts or thoughts are associated according to certain real relations; though these, we have seen, may be various, and the particular relation which is fixed upon, in particular cases, depends upon the intellectual habits of the individual. In the class now to be mentioned, the associations are formed according to no other relations than such as are entirely local or casual. Thus, a fact, a thought, or a mental impression, is associated with the person by whom it was communicated, or the place where the communication was made; and is recalled to the mind when the place or person is seen, mentioned, or thought of. Some persons seem to form almost no other associations than those of this description. When a place which they had visited, for example, is spoken of, they immediately relate, in connexion with it, the persons whom they met there, incidents which occurred in their company, and opinions or statements which were mentioned in conversation with them; and from this, perhaps, they may branch off to other circumstances relating to these individuals, their families, or connexions.

These mere local associations, however, often make a very deep impression upon the mind; more vivid, certainly, than simple memory of the facts or transactions connected with them. Thus, we avoid a place which is associated with some painful recollection; yet the very fact of avoiding it shows

that we have a full remembrance of the circumstances, and. at the same time, a conviction that the sight of the spot would make the impression more vivid and more painful, After the death of a beloved child or a much-valued friend. we may retain a lively remembrance of them, and even anxiously cherish the impression of their endearing qualities; yet, after time has in some measure blunted the acuteness of feeling, the accidental discovery of some trifling memorial. strongly associated with the lamented object of our affection. produces a freshness and intensity of emotion, known only to those who have experienced it. This feeling is peculiarly strong, if the memorial has been long lost sight of, and discovered by accident, -because, as has been well remarked by Dr. Brown, it in this case presents the unmixed image of the friend with whom it is associated; whereas, a memorial, which has become familiar to us, is associated with other feelings not relating exclusively to him. Philosophers have endeavoured to explain the mental phenomenon here referred to by supposing, that, in such cases, the mingling of mental emotion with actual perception gives a feeling of reality to the emotion, and, for the time, a kind of belief of the existence of the object of it. This is sufficiently plausible, but. after all, amounts to little more than expressing the fact in other words, without conveying any real explanation.

Similar impressions, whether of a pleasurable or painful character, according to the original feeling which is thus recalled, are excited by the sight of a spot which we have visited while under the influence of strong emotion; by a tune, -a piece of poetry,-an article of dress, or the most trifling object with which, from incidental circumstances, the association was made. The effect of a particular tune on the Swiss regiments in foreign service, is familiar to every one; and a similar effect has been remarked, from a similar cause. among the Highland regiments of our own country. The feelings thus produced may be so vivid, as even to overpower. present emotions; to excite pleasure amid circumstances of min or depression; and to produce depressing and painful emotions, when all present circumstances are calculated to give satisfaction. Hence, it is probable that the principle might often be employed with much advantage, as a moral remedy, in various circumstances of depressing disease, as in the low

state of fever, and certain conditions of insanity. A pleasing anecdote of this kind is mentioned by Dr. Rush. "During the time that I passed at a country school, in Cecil county in Maryland, I often went on a holyday, with my schoolmates, to see an eagle's nest upon the summit of a dead tree, in the neighbourhood of the school; during the time of the incubation of the bird. The daughter of the farmer, in whose field the tree stood, and with whom I became acquainted, married, and settled in this city about forty years ago. In our occasional interviews, we now and then spoke of the innocent haunts and rural pleasures of our youth, and among others, of the eagle's nest in her father's field. A few years ago, I was called to visit this woman when she was in the lowest stage of typhus fever. Upon entering the room, I caught her eye, and, with a cheerful tone of voice, said only, the eagle's nest. She seized my hand, without being able to speak, and discovered strong emotions of pleasure in her countenance, probably from a sudden association of all her early domestic connexions and enjoyments with the words which I uttered. From that time, she began to recover. She is now living, and seldom fails, when we meet, to salute me with the echo of 'the eagle's nest.' "

There is even something in these mere local associations, which fixes an impression upon the mind, almost independent of memory, and upon a principle with which we are little acquainted. The following anecdote is, I believe, authentic, though I cannot at present refer to the work in which it is related. It is certainly one of the most extraordinary of its kind, and yet we see enough of the principle, in various instances, to give it a high degree of probability. A lady, in the last stage of a chronic disease, was carried from London to a lodging in the country; -there, her infant daughter was taken to visit her, and, after a short interview, carried back to town. The lady died a few days after, and the daughter grew up without any recollection of her mother, till she was of mature age. At this time, she happened to be taken into the room in which her mother died, without knowing it to have been so ;-she started on entering it, and, when a friend who was along with her, asked the cause of her agitation, replied, "I have a distinct impression of having

been in this room before, and that a lady, who lay in that corner, and seemed very ill, leaned over me and wept."

The singular influence of local association is often illustrated by the most trivial occurrences. Walking in the street lately, I met a lady, whose face was familiar to me, but whom I could not name. I had, at the same time, an impression that I ought to have spoken to her, and to have inquired for some relative who had lately been my patient; but, notwithstanding repeated efforts, I could not recognise her, and passed on. Some time after, in passing along the road a few miles from town, my eye caught a cottage, to which I had been taken about six months before, to see a gentleman who had been carried into it in a state of insensibility, in consequence of being thrown from a gig. The sight of the cottage instantly recalled the accident, and the gentleman who was the subject of it; and, at the same instant, the impression that the lady, whom I had passed in the manner now mentioned, was his wife. In this case no recollection was excited by the sight of the lady, even after repeated and anxious attempts; and I believe I should not have recognised the patient himself, had he been along with her; whereas the whole was recalled in an instant by the sight of the cottage. Similar illustrations must have occurred to every one. We meet a person in the street, who stops and speaks to us; but we cannot recognise him. We are unwilling to tell him so, and walk along with him conversing on various topics; at length, he makes an allusion to some person or some circumstance, by means of which, we instantly recollect who he is, and where we met with him. On the same principle, when we are endeavouring to remind a person of a transaction which he has forgotten, and which we are anxious to call to his recollection, we mention various circumstances connected with it, until at length we mention one which, by association, instantly brings the whole distinctly before him. There are even facts which seem to show, that the impression recalled by local association may affect the bodily organs. Van Swieten relates of himself, that he was passing a spot, where the dead body of a dog burst and produced such a stench as made him vomit; and that, happening to pass the same spot some years after, he was affected by sickness and vomiting from the recollection.

Finally, to the influence of local association we are to refer the impressions produced by the monuments of the illustrious dead; the trophies of other times; the remains of Greece and Rome; or by the visitation of spots distinguished by illustrious deeds, as Thermopylæ, Bannockburn, or Waterloo. "Far from me, says Dr. Johnson, and from my friends, be such frigid philosophy, as may conduct us, indifferent and unmoved, over any ground which has been dignified by wisdom, bravery, or virtue. That man is little to be envied, whose patriotism would not gain force upon the plains of Marathon, or whose piety would not grow warmer among the ruins of Iona."

III. ARBITRARY OR FICTITIOUS ASSOCIATION.—This assolation is generally produced by a voluntary effort of the mind; and the facts associated are not connected by any relation except what arises out of this effort. The process is exemplified in the connexion we establish between something which we wish to remember, and something which we are in no danger of forgetting; as in the common expedients of tying a thread about the finger, or making a knot on the pocket-handkerchief. A Roman for the same purpose, turned the stone of his ring inwards towards the palm of his hand. There is an analogous expedient which most people probably have employed for enabling them to remember the names of persons. It consists in forming an association between the name to be remembered, and that of some intimate friend, or public character of the same name, which is familiar to us. The remarkable circumstance in these cases is, that, whatever difficulty a person may have in simply remembering a name, he never forgets who the individual was with whose name he formed the association.

On this principle have been founded various schemes of artificial memory. One of the most ancient consisted in associating the divisions of a discourse to be delivered, with the various apartments of a building; and the leading sentiments with articles of furniture. This is said to have been much practised by the ancient orators, and to have given rise to the phraseology, by which we speak of the divisions of a discourse, as the first place, the second place, &c. I have repeatedly made experiments on this method, in remembering the discourses of public speakers, and the effect is certainly

astonishing; for, though it is many years since the experiments were made, I still find articles of furniture associated in the clearest manner with sentiments delivered by some of the speakers. Other systems of artificial memory are founded upon the same general principle, though the particular applications of it may vary, - and some of them are extremely absurd. One of the last which attracted notice in this country, was that of a German of the name of Feinagle, who delivered lectures on memory, to crowded and fashionable andiences, about the year 1809 or 1810. A leading part of his system was the memory of dates, and it consisted in changing the figures in the date into the letters of the alphabet corresponding to them in number. These letters were then formed into a word to be in some way associated with the date to be remembered. One example, which I happen to recollect, will be sufficient to illustrate the peculiarity of the system, and at the same time its efficiency for its purpose. Henry IV. king of England was born in the year 1366. This date, changed into letters, gives mff, which are very easily formed into the word muff. The method is not so obvious of establishing with this a relation to Henry IV. "Henry IV.," says M. Feinagle, "is four hens, and we put them into the muff, one in each corner." No one, certainly, after hearing this, is in any danger of forgetting the date of the birth of Henry IV.; but whether the remembrance is worth such a process, is a separate question.

It is unnecessary to enlarge upon the subject of arbitrary association, as the observation of every one will furnish numerous examples of it. There is one application of the principle, however, which deserves to be referred to in a more particular manner. I allude to the practice of commemorative rites, or periodical observances, for transmitting the remembrance of remarkable events. These are, in their nature, in general, entirely arbitrary; or, if they have any analogy to the events, the relation is only figurative. But the influence of such celebrations is of the most extensive and most important kind. If the events, particularly, are of a very uncommon character, these rites remove any feeling of uncertainty which attaches to traditional testimony, when it has been transmitted through a long period of time, and consequently, through a great number of individuals. They carry

us back, in one unbroken series, to the period of the events themselves, and to the individuals who were witnesses of them.

The most important application of the principle, in the manner now referred to, is in those observances of religion which are intended to commemorate the events connected with the revelation of the Christian faith. The importance of this mode of transmission has not been sufficiently attended to by those, who have urged the insufficiency of human testimony, to establish the truth of events which are at variance with the common course of nature. We have formerly alluded to one part of this sophism, and have stated the grounds on which we contend, that no objection to the credibility of these events can be founded upon our observation of what we call the course of nature. We have admitted, that a much higher species of evidence is required for them than would be required for events which correspond with our previous observation; and this high and peculiar evidence is confirmed in a striking manner by the periodical rites now referred to. By means of these we are freed entirely from every impression of the fallibility of testimony, and the possibility of the statements, having been fabricated; as we are conducted in one uninterrupted series, to the period when the events took place, and to the individuals who witnessed them. This will appear, if we state in a few words a hypothetical case. Let us conceive a person attempting to impose upon the world, by an account of some wonderful or miraculous event, which he alleges occurred 500 years ago. He, of course, exerts every possible ingenuity in fabricating decuments, and framing the appearance of a chain of testimony in support of his statement. It is quite possible that he might thus deceive a considerable number of credulous persons; and that others, who did not believe his statement, might yet find difficulty in proving its fallacy. But, if the report were farther to bear, that, ever since the occurrence of the alleged event, it had been regularly and specially celebrated by a certain periodical observance, it is clear that this would bring the statement to the test of a fact open to examination, and that the fallacy of the whole would be instantly detected.

On these principles it must appear, that the statements of the sacred writings, respecting miraculous events which are

said to have occurred upwards of 1800 years ago, could not have been fabricated at any intermediate era during that period. It is unnecessary to state, how much more improbable it is, that they could have been fabricated at the very time and place in which they are said to have occurred, and in the midst of thousands who are said to have witnessed them, many of whom were deeply interested in detecting their fallacy: This part of the question is not connected with our present inquiry, but it is impossible to dismiss the subject without one reflection ;-that if we are to proceed upon the principle of probabilities, we must balance fairly the probabilities of fabrication. If we do so, we hesitate not to assert, that the probability of the world being imposed upon, under all the circumstances now alluded to, is more at variance with our firm and unalterable experience, than all that we are called upon to believe.

It does not appear necessary to say much of that modification of memory which is called CONCEPTION. It is the recalling of a perception. If, for example, we have passed a person in the street, whose face we think we have seen, but without being able to recognise him, we can recall the impression of his countenance, and endeavour to recollect who he is. By a higher exercise of this faculty, a painter can draw from conception a landscape or a building, long after he has visited them, and even the portrait of a friend who is dead or absent, and whom he has not seen for a considerable time. By another modification of this power, we can embody into a conception a scene, a figure, or a transaction, which has been described to us by another. The vividness of our conception. in such cases, does not depend upon the accuracy or even the truth of the description, but upon the degree of liveliness with which it is given, or the intensity with which our attention is directed to it. Thus, it has been remarked, that we have a more clear conception of Don Quixote, or Sancho, than of any characters in real history, unless they have been made familiar to us by paintings. The business of the novelist being to create his hero, he gives a more full and graphic delineation of him than the authentic historian finds

it necessary to do: hence, the former begins his narrative by an impression made upon our conception; the latter disregards this, and proceeds at once to the facts which he has to

address to our attention and memory.

Conception, properly so called, or the recalling of a perception, does not appear to be necessarily connected with the impression of past time, but rather to be at first accompanied by a feeling of the present existence of the object. Connecting the impression with past time seems to be a distinct act of the mind; and the conception may be so strong as, for the moment, almost to exclude all idea of the past. That degree of conception by which a painter can take the likeness of a friend who has been long dead, or delineate a scene visited at a remote period, must amount to something of this nature. In the active and healthy state of the other faculties of the mind, this impression is but momentary, being almost instantly corrected by impressions received from the external world. We shall afterwards have occasion to refer to a remarkable state of mind in which it is not thus corrected, but in which objects, which exist only in conception, are believed to have a real and present existence. On this condition depend many of the peculiarities of dreaming, insanity, and spectral illusions.

Different individuals possess the faculty of conception in different degrees; and, connected with the degree of it, there is generally a corresponding talent for lively description. The faculty itself, or the formation of the conception, probably follows nearly the same laws with memory, and depends, in a great measure, upon the degree of attention which was originally directed to the objects. This, again, is influenced, as in the case of memory, partly by the general activity of mind of the individual, and partly by his particular habits and pursuits.—Thus, as formerly remarked, in describing the features of a country which they have passed over, one person will give a clear and lively description of its general characters, so as to place it, as it were, before you; a second will describe chiefly its pastures and produce; a third may include both; while a fourth may not be able to give an intelligible

account of any one feature of the scene.

There are particular situations in which conception is apt to be most intensely brought into exercise, especially those of

seclusion, and the absence of all external impressions. beautiful example of this occurs in the life of Niebuhr, the celebrated Danish traveller. When old, blind, and so infirm that he was able only to be carried from his bed to his chair. he used to describe to his friends the seenes which he had visited in his early days, with wonderful minuteness and vivacity. When they expressed their astonishment, he told them. "that as he lay in bed, all visible objects shut out, the pictures of what he had seen in the east continually floated before his mind's eve, so that it was no wonder he could speak of them as if he had seen them vesterday. With like vividness, the deep intense sky of Asia, with its brilliant and twinkling host of stars, which he had so often gazed at by night, or its lofty vault of blue by day, was reflected, in the hours of stillness and darkness, on his immost soul." This may, perhaps, be considered as an example of what we may call the highest degree of healthy conception. Something a little beyond this leads to that state on which depends the theory of apparitions or spectral illusions.

In concluding this brief allusion to the subject of conception, I shall only add the following example of another application of this mental process. In the church of St. Peter, at Cologne, the altar-piece is a large and valuable picture by Rubens, representing the martyrdom of the apostle. This picture having been carried away by the French in 1805, to the great regret of the inhabitants, a painter of that city undertook to make a copy of it from recollection; and succeeded in doing so in such a manner, that the most delicate time of the original are preserved with most minute accuracy. The original painting has now been restored, but the copy is preserved along with it; and, even when they are rigidly compared, it is scarcely possible to distinguish the one from the other. I am not aware that this remarkable anecdote has been recorded by any traveller; I am indebted for it to my friend the late Dr. Duncan, of the university of Edinburgh, who heard it on the spot in a visit to the continent, and saw

entire and the second second second second

both the pictures.

# OF THE CULTURE AND IMPROVEMENT OF ATTENTION AND MEMORY.

The facts which have been briefly referred to, in regard to the phenomena of memory, lead to some remarks of a practical nature. These relate to the improvement of attention and memory in persons of adult years; and the cultivation of these powers in the education of the young.

The rules from which benefit is to be derived for the improvement of memory, in persons of adult years, may be

chiefly referred to the following heads.

I. The cultivation of habits of attention, or of intense application of the mind to whatever is at the time its more

immediate object of pursuit.

II. Habits of correct association. These consist in the constant practice of tracing the relation between new facts and others with which we are previously acquainted; and of referring facts to principles which they are calculated to illustrate, or to opinions which they tend to confirm, modify, or overturn. This is the operation of what we call a reflecting mind; and that information, which is thus fully contemplated and associated, is not likely to be forgotten.

III. Intimately connected with both the former rules, is the cultivation of that active inquiring state of mind, which is always on the watch for knowledge, from every source that comes within its reach, either in reading, conversation, or observation. Such a mind is ever ready to refer newly acquired knowledge to its proper place. It is thus easily retained, and made to yield those conclusions which are legi-

timately deduced from it.

IV. Method,-that is, the pursuit of particular subjects,

upon a regular and connected plan.

All these principles are opposed to that listless inactive state of mind, which is occupied with trifles, or with its own waking dreams; or which seeks only amusement in desultory pursuits which pass away and are forgotten. They are likewise opposed to habits of irregular and desultory application, which even intellectual persons are apt to fall into, by means

of which the mind loses the train of investigation, or of argument, in which it had made some progress, and may not be able to recover it in a satisfactory manner. Nothing, indeed, appears to contribute more to progress in any intellectual pursuit, than the practice of keeping one subject habitually before the mind, and of daily contributing something towards

the prosecution of it.

V. Attention and memory are greatly promoted by writing on a subject, especially if it be done in a distinct and systematic manner; -also, by conversing on the subject, -and by instructing others in it. These exercises, indeed, may perhaps be considered as aids rather to attention, or a clear comprehension of the subject, than to memory. For in regard to memory, it is remarkable how much its power is increased in many instances, by that kind of exercise by which it is alone trusted to, without any aid from writing. I have known medical men, for example, who had to recollect numerous appointments, do so with perfect accuracy by trusting to memory, to which they had habituated themselves, but blunder continually when they kept a written memorandum. The mental power, which is in some cases acquired by constant and intense exercise, is indeed astonishing. Bloomfield the poet relates of himself, that nearly one-half of his poem, the Farmer's Boy, was composed, revised and corrected, without writing a word of it, while he was at work with other shoemakers in a garret.

Similar rules apply to the cultivation of these powers in young persons. They may be chiefly referred to the follow-

ing heads.

I. Exciting constant attention and constant interest. For this purpose it is of essential importance, that whatever reading is presented to children, shall be of a kind which they understand, and in which they can feel interest and pleasure. This will be greatly promoted by directing their attention to the meaning of words, and explaining them by familiar illustrations. The practice of setting tasks as punishments cannot be alluded to in terms adequate to its extreme absurdity. On this ground also, it must be considered as a great error in education to make children attempt too much, that is, more than they can do with close attention. When a sense of weariness or mental languor takes place, what follows

is not merely loss of time, but an important injury done to the mental constitution; and it appears to be of the utmost consequence, that the time of children should be as much as possible divided between intense attention and active recreation. By a shorter time occupied in this manner, not only is more progress made than by a longer, with listless and importect application; but an important part of mental discipline is secured, which by the other method is entirely neglected. Similar observations, indeed, apply to persons at every period of life; and we are fully persuaded that progress in any intellectual pursuit does not depend so much upon protracted laborious study, as on the practice of keeping the subject habitually before the mind, and on the intensity of mental application.

II. Cultivating habits of association, by pointing out to children the relation of facts to each other, and the manner in which they illustrate one another, or lead to some general conclusion. By directing them in this manner, from any particular fact, to recollect similar or analogous facts which had formerly passed before them, they will be trained at once

to attention, memory, and reflection.

III. Cultivating that general activity of mind, which seeks for information on every subject that comes in its way. The most common and trivial occurrences may thus be made the source of mental improvement; as the habits of animals; the natural history of the articles that are constantly before us, in clothes, food, furniture; articles of manufacture from a watch to a pin; the action of the mechanic powers, as illustrated by various contrivances in constant use; the structure of a leaf, a flower, a tree. To those farther advanced, a constant source of interest may be found in history, geography, and memoirs of eminent individuals; and in the leading principles of natural history, natural philosophy, and chemistry. Every new subject of thought, which is thus presented to the mind, is both valuable in itself, by the powers which it calls into action, and by proving a nucleus to which new facts may be afterwards associated.

IV. Memory and attention are greatly promoted in young persons by writing; provided it be done, not merely in the form of extracts from books, but in their own words; in history, for example, in the form of chronological tables; and on other subjects in clear and distinct abstracts, neatly and

methodically written.

V. These exercises of mind are greatly promoted in the young by verbal communication. Hence the importance of frequent examination. The teacher is thereby enabled, not only to ascertain their progress, but to explain what they do not understand; to impress upon them important points to which they may not have sufficiently attended; to excite attention. inquiry, and interest; and so to cultivate the habits of association and reflection. These, in fact, ought to be the objects to be kept in view in all such exercises, as of much greater moment than the mere putting of questions. On the same principle, a most useful exercise for young persons is, instructing others still younger, on subjects which they have themselves recently acquired.

VI. In the cultivation of the mental powers in the young. a point of essential importance is the selection of proper and worthy objects of acquirement. In the general conduct of education in this respect, the chief error appears, in general, to have been, devoting too much time and attention, in females, to superficial accomplishments, and in males, to mere acquirement in languages and mathematics: and the great object to be kept in view, from the very earliest period, is, the paramount importance of the actual knowledge of things on subjects of real utility; the actual cultivation of habits of observation, inquiry, association, and induction; and, as the foundation of the whole, the habit of steady and continued attention. The cultivation of these mental habits is of greater value by far than any one acquirement whatever, for they are the basis of all future improvement, and are calculated to give a tone to the whole character.

In this brief outline I have said nothing on the subject of religious instruction; for the same rules apply to it as to branches of inferior importance, in as far as it is to be considered as engaging the intellectual powers. The chief error here appears to be the practice of trusting too much to the mere repetition of tasks or catechisms, without that kind of direct personal instruction which is calculated to interest the attention, to fix the truths upon the understanding, and to cultivate the habits of association and reflection. A leading branch of this subject, the culture of the moral feelings, does

not belong to our present inquiry; but it is impossible to mention it without alluding to its intense interest, even in a philosophical point of view. One of the most striking phenomena, certainly, in the science of the human mind, is the high degree of culture of which the moral powers are susceptible, even in the infant mind, long before the powers of intellect are developed for the investigation of truth.

In reference to the whole science of education, nothing is of greater importance than the principle of association, which, we have formerly seen, exerts a most extensive influence, not in the remembrance of facts alone, but in perpetuating and recalling mental emotions. We take a very limited view, indeed, of this great subject, if we confine education entirely or chiefly to the acquisition of knowledge, or even to the culture of the intellectual powers. That system is deficient in its most essential part, which does not carry on, along with these, a careful and habitual culture and regulation of the passions and emotions of the young,-their attachments and antipathies, their hopes and fears, their joys and sorrows; -the cultivation of the social and benevolent affections :the liabit of repressing selfishness and bearing inconveniences and disappointments without murniuring; -a disposition to candour and ingenuousness, and a sacred regard to truth. Their future character, as social and moral beings, will be greatly influenced by the manner in which they are taught from an early period to regulate their emotions, by directing them to adequate and worthy objects, and controlling them by the great principles of wisdom and wirtne. In this important process the principle of association exerts a most extensive influence. The stern lessons of morality, and even the sublime truths of religion, may be rigidly impressed upon the minds of the young, and may, in after-life, recur from time to time as a mere matter of remembrance; but many must have experienced how different is the impression, when they recur in close association with a father's affection and a mother's tenderness,—with the lively recollection of a home, where the kindest sympathies of the human heart shed around the demestic circle all that is lovely in life, while a mild and consistent piety habitually pointed the way to a life which is to come.

## OF THE INFLUENCE OF DISEASE UPON ATTENTION AND MEMORY.

The preceding imperfect outline, of the subject of memory, naturally leads us briefly to investigate the manner in which this function is impaired in connexion with bodily disease. This takes place chiefly from injuries of the head, affections of the brain, fever, and diseases of extreme debility. Similar effects arise from intemperance and other habits of dissipation. Our present purpose, however, is, not to investigate the peculiar effects of these various causes, but to endeavour to trace the manner in which attention and memory, and we may include perception, are affected by any or all of them.

The first mental function which is impaired by bodily disease is usually the power of attention ;—this we see illustrated in all febrile affections. The patient, in the early or milder stages, is incapable of fixing his mind upon any thing that requires much attention, of following out an argument, or of transacting business which calls for much thought or consideration. He is acute and intelligent as to all common occurrences, and shows no want of recollection, or of the power of reasoning when his attention is excited; but he feels it an exertion that is painful to him. In a higher degree of this condition, he is still intelligent as to what is said or done at the time, or in recognising persons; but in a short time forgets every thing in regard to the person or the occurrence. He is incapable of that degree of attention which is necessary for memory, though the powers of perception are entire. In the next stage, he becomes incapable of receiving the full impression from external things; and, in consequence of this, he mistakes the objects of his own thoughts for realities. This is delirium, and there are various degrees of it. In some cases, the attention of the patient can be roused for a time, and directed to the true relations of external things, though he relapses into his delirious impressions when he is left undisturbed; in others, the false impression is constant, and cannot be corrected by any effort which is made to direct the attention; and, in a third modification of this remarkable

condition, he mixes up his hallucinations with external impressions in a most singular manner. He is still capable, however, of describing his impressions, that is, of talking so as to be understood, though what he speaks of relates only to his erroneous conceptions, or mere bodily feelings. In the next stage, he either does not attempt to express himself at all, or is entirely unintelligible. He is now cut off from communication with external things, and with other sentient beings; and the highest degree of this is what we call come or stupor, which resembles profound sleep.

This description refers chiefly to the gradations in the state of the mental functions which we observe in continued fever. It is particularly interesting to trace them in this disease, because we see the various grades passing into one another, and thus showing, in a connected series, the leading peculiarities, which, in other affections, we have to contemplate separately. These peculiarities may be chiefly referred to the

following heads.

I. A state in which the attention cannot be steadily directed to a long and connected train of thought, or to any thing requiring a continued effort of mind. This takes place, as already stated, in the earlier stages of all febrile diseases. It likewise occurs in connexion with the debility which succeeds acute diseases; in persons broken down by intemperance; and in the first approaches of old age. It is also often observed in a remarkable degree in connexion with a disordered state of the stomach.

II. A state in which the impression made by external things is not sufficient to produce remembrance, though there appears to be, at the time, a perfect perception. A person so affected understands what is said to him, and answers correctly, but very soon forgets what has passed; he knows a friend, and is happy to see him, but in a short time forgets the occurrence. This is met with in a more advanced state of febrile diseases, in the higher degrees of the condition which results from habitual intemperance, and in the more advanced periods of age. It also occurs in diseases of the brain, and in cases of injuries of the head. A lady, whom I attended some time ago, on account of an injury produced by a fall from a horse, lay, for the first week, in a state of perfect stupor; she then gradually revived, so as to be sensible

to external impressions, and after some time to recognise her friends. But afterwards, when she was entirely recovered, she had no recollection of this period of her convalescence, or of having seen various friends who then visited her, though, at the time, she recognised them, conversed with them sensi-

bly, and was very happy to see them.

III. The third condition is that in which external impressions are either not perceived at all, or are perceived in a manner which cannot convey any distinct notion of their relations to the mind. On this account the conceptions or trains of ideas existing in the mind itself are believed to be realities. This remarkable condition belongs properly to another part of our subject. It occurs in various forms of delirium, and constitutes the peculiar characters of insanity and dreaming. The ideas or conceptions which occupy the mind in this condition are various. They may be trains of thought excited by some passing event, or some bodily sensation; and frequently the patient repeats something which is said in his hearing, and then branches off into some other train to which that has given rise. In other cases, the impression is one which has been brought up by some old associations, even relating to things which the person when in health had not recollected.

It is rarely that we have an opportunity of knowing precisely the state of the mental operations during fever, and I therefore find considerable interest in a statement which I have received from a gentleman, whom I attended along with Dr. Andrew Combe. His case was one of protracted fever, which went on for about six weeks; and it came on after much excitement and intense application of mind, in bringing to perfection a piece of complicated machinery. During the first fortnight the febrile symptoms were mild, but with much complaint of headache; he lay like a person unwilling to be disturbed, and was little inclined to speak, but was quite sensible, and answered correctly whenever he was spoken to. This was succeeded by a period of restlessness, in which he was more inclined to talk, and often wished to get out of bed; he now began to have false perceptions, and paroxysms of delirium, with considerable excitement, and these continued during the remainder of the attack,-but with intervals of several hours, in which he was perfectly sensible.

During these he was able to converse correctly for some time; but it was found, that conversation, continued beyond a certain time, uniformly brought back the delirium. The account which he gives of his mental feelings during these two different periods of his illness, is curious and interesting. the first, namely, that during which he was quite sensible, he says he can give no intelligible account,—it was deep, dark, hideous, but indefinite, and he looks back upon it with horror. He has no recollection of suffering any bodily pain, but seemed to suffer the most excruciating mental agony, which he cannot describe. He remembers, in reference to this period, no persons, no passing of time, no distinction of night and day, no sleeping or waking, hearing, seeing, smelling, or tasting any thing; he has only the impression of a long, dull, horrible, but indescribable dream; and his own conviction is. that he was, at this time, altogether insensible to external objects. "A state of great mental activity followed, -- great, but not unpleasant excitement. All sorts of wild, but unconnected, fantasies successively occupied my mind. Sometimes my thoughts ran upon things which had formerly interested me; and at others, on things of which I had never dreamt. The distinguishing character of this period was, that thoughts were realities, as is the case in dreaming. Scenes and speculations of all kinds passed in rapid succession through my mind, but they had all the force and vigour of actual existence. I did not think of doing a thing, but did it. Persons, periods, places, and things, the most incompatible with each other, were all brought together without a shadow of difficulty; and when together, without exciting the idea of their own inconsistency. For some of these notions I can easily account, as being consistent with the general current of my thoughts; for others I can conceive no imaginable cause; but my recollection of many of the scenes is even now more vivid than of many things that have really happened to me." He then describes a variety of the scenes which passed before him, in a manner strictly analogous to dreaming. The first was a fair in a village in Derbyshire, where he had resided when a boy at school; and the Duke of Wellington was there, and appeared in the act of opening the gate of the church-yard. This was succeeded by a shooting excursion; this by extensive transactions with soldiers, in which he was concerned in

exertions for improving their condition. Then he was engaged in a duel; and finally, he was concerned in an extensive brewery, of which he was appointed manager; and so strong was this impression, that the belief of its reality was not removed after he was far advanced in convalescence. One point is deserving of notice, namely, that he seemed to have lost all idea of personal identity. Thus, in the course of his illness, he had a sore ear, which appeared to produce considerable uneasiness; but he had no idea that it was his own ear,—

he thought it belonged to a soldier boy.

IV. A remarkable circumstance in many of the cases referred to under the preceding heads is, that, along with a greater or less degree of incapacity of attending to present objects, there is often a wonderful activity of mind in regard to old impressions, and even the renewal of recollections which had been entirely lost. Of this kind there are various remarkable examples on record, especially in regard to the memory of languages. A man, mentioned by Mr. Abernethy, had been born in France, but had spent the greater part of his life in England, and, for many years, had entirely lost the habit of speaking French. But when under the care of Mr. Abernethy, on account of the effects of an injury of the head, he always spoke French. A similar case occurred in St. Thomas's Hospital, of a man who was in a state of stupor in consequence of an injury of the head. On his partial recovery he spoke a language which nobody in the hospital understood, but which was soon ascertained to be Welsh. It was then discovered that he had been thirty years absent from Wales, and, before the accident, had entirely forgotten his native language. On his perfect recovery, he completely forgot his Welsh again, and recovered the English language. A lady, mentioned by Dr. Prichard, when in a state of delirium, spoke a language which nobody about her understood : but which also was discovered to be Welsh. None of her friends could form any conception of the manner in which she had become acquainted with that language; but after much inquiry, it was discovered, that in her childhood she had a nurse, a native of a district on the cost of Brittany. the dialect of which is closely analogous to the Welsh. The lady had at that time learnt a good deal of this dialect, but had entirely forgotten it for many years before this attack of

fever. The case has also been communicated to me of a lady who was a native of Germany, but married to an English Gentleman, and for a considerable time accustomed to speak the English language. During an illness, of the nature of which I am not informed, she always spoke German, and could not make herself understood by her English attendants, except when her husband acted as interpreter. A woman who was a native of the Highlands, but accustomed to speak English, was under the care of Dr. Mackintosh of this city, on account of an attack of apoplexy. She was so far recovered as to look around her with an appearance of intelligence, but Dr. M. could not make her comprehend any thing he said to her, or answer the most simple question. He then desired one of her friends to address her in Gaelic, when she immediately answered with readiness and fluency,-an Italian gentleman, mentioned by Dr. Rush, who died of the yellow fever in New York, in the beginning of his illness spoke English, in the middle of it French, but on the day of his death he spoke only Italian. A Lutheran clergyman of Philadelphia informed Dr. Rush, that Germans and Swedes, of whom he had a considerable number in his congregation, when near death always prayed in their native languages, though some of them, he was confident, had not spoken these languages for fifty or sixty years.

A case has been related to me of a boy, who, at the age of four, received a fracture of the skull, for which he underwent the operation of trepan. He was at the time in a state of perfect stupor, and, after his recovery, retained no recollection either of the accident or the operation. At the age of fifteen, during the delirium of a fever, he gave his mother an account of the operation, and the persons who were present at it, with a correct description of their dress, and other minute particulars. He had never been observed to allude to it before, and no means were known by which he could have acquired the circumstances which he mentioned. An eminent medical friend informs me, that, during fever, without any delirium, he, on one occasion, repeated long passages from Homer, which he could not do when in health; and another friend has mentioned to me, that, in a similar situation, there were represented to his mind, in a most vivid manner, the circumstances of a journey in the Highlands.

which he had performed long before, including many minute particulars which he had entirely forgotten. A gentleman who was under the care of Dr. Johnston of Kirkaldy, when in a state of slight delirium, connected with erysipelas of the head, sung, with great precision, some Gaelic songs. In health he had no kind of turn for music; and though in his youth he had some knowledge of the Gaelic language, he had been for many years entirely unaccustomed to it, and his knowledge of the language was supposed to be nearly or altogether lost. An ignorant servant girl, mentioned by Coleridge, during the delirium of a fever, repeated passages from theological works in Latin, Greek, and Rabbinical Hebrew, which, being taken down and traced to the works from which they were derived, were found to be repeated with perfect accuracy. It turned out that she had been servant to a elergyman, a man of much learning and peculiar habits, who was in the practice of walking backwards and forwards, along a passage in his house which led to the kitchen, and there reading aloud his favourite authors,

In regard to the memory of languages as influenced by affections of the brain, a condition occurs, the reverse of that now mentioned, and presenting some singular phenomena; the cause of the difference is entirely beyond our researches. The late Dr. Gregory was accustomed to mention in his lectures the case of a clergyman, who, while labouring under a disease of the brain, spoke nothing but Hebrew, which was ascertained to be the last language that he had acquired. An English lady, mentioned by Dr. Prichard, in recovering from an apoplectic attack, always spoke to her attendants in French, and had actually lost the knowledge of the English language. This continued about a

V. The last condition is the state of stupor or coma, in which the mind is entirely cut off from intercourse with the external world. This occurs in the worst states of fever,—in various diseases of the brain and injuries of the head; and the same condition takes place, from a very different cause, in the state of fainting. In such cases, there is seldom any recollection of mental impressions; yet there are facts which tend to show, that the patient is not in such a state of total insensibility to external things as his appearance would

indicate. A gentleman, whom I attended in a state of perfect apoplexy, from which he did not recover, was frequently observed to adjust his nightcap with the utmost care, when it got into an uncomfortable state; first pulling it down over his eyes, and then turning up the front of it in the most exact manner. Another, whom I saw lately in a state of profound apoplexy, from which he recovered, had a perfect recollection of what took place during the attack, and mentioned many things which had been said in his hearing, when he was supposed to be in a state of perfect unconsciousness. A lady, on recovering from a similar state, said she had been asleep and dreaming, and mentioned what she had dreamt about. Facts are wanting on this curious subject: but there can be little doubt, that many of the stories related of things seen by persons in a state of trance, are referable to this head, and that their visions consisted of the conceptions of the mind itself, believed for the time to be real, in a man er analogous to dreaming. That such impressions should not be more frequently remembered, in the ordinary cases of stupor, probably arises from the higher degree and greater permanency of the affection than that which occurs in sleep. For we have reason to believe, that dreams which are remembered occur only in imperfect sleep, and that after very profound sleep we do not remember any mental impressions, though we have satisfactory proof that they exist. Thus, a person will talk in his sleep so as to be distinctly understood by another, but without having the least recollection of the mental impression which led to what he said.

In the preceding observations, we have referred chiefly to the temporary influence of disease, in impairing or suspending the powers of attention and memory in regard to recent impressions. But there is a part of the subject quite distinct from this, namely, the effect of certain diseases in obliterating impressions formerly received and long retained. The higher degrees of this condition amount to that state which we call idiotism, and this we find supervening both upon affections of the brain and protracted febrile diseases. The condition so produced is sometimes permanent, but frequently is recovered from; and recovery takes place in some cases gradually, in others very suddenly. A man mentioned by Willis, on recovering from a putrid fever, was found to

have so entirely lost his mental faculties, that he knew nobody, remembered nothing, and understood nothing: "viz supra brutum saperet." He continued in this state for two months, and then gradually recovered. Some years ago I attended a young man, who, on recovering from a tedious fever, was found to be in a state bordering upon idiotism; and this continued even after his bodily health was entirely restored. In this state he was taken to the country, where he gradually recovered after several months. A gentleman mentioned by Wepfer, on coming out of an apoplectic attack, was found to know nobody, and remember nothing. After several weeks, he began to know his friends, to remember words, to repeat the Lord's prayer, and to read a few words of Latin, rather than German, which was his own language. When urged to read more than a few words at a time, he said that he formerly understood these things, but now did not. After some time, he began to pay more attention to what was passing around him; but, while thus making slight and gradual progress, he was, after a few months, suddenly cut off by an attack of apoplexy.

The sudden recoveries from this condition of the mental powers are still more remarkable. Dr. Prichard, on the authority of the late Dr. Rush of Philadelphia, mentions an American student, a person of considerable attainments, who, on recovering from a fever, was found to have lost all his acquired knowledge. When his health was restored, he began to apply to the Latin grammar; had passed through the elementary parts and was beginning to construe, when, one day, in making a strong effort to recollect a part of his lesson, the whole of his lost impressions suddenly returned to his mind, and he found himself at once in possession of all his former

acquirements.

In slighter injuries of the head, accompanied by loss of recollection, we observe the circumstances gradually recalled in a very singular manner. Some years ago I saw a boy who had fallen from a wall, and struck his head against a stone which lay at the foot of it. He was carried home in a state of insensibility, from which he soon recovered, but without any recollection of the accident. He felt that his head was hurt, but he had no idea how he had received the injury. After a short time he recollected that he had struck his head

against a stone, but had no recollection how he had come to do so. After another interval, he recollected that he had been on the top of a wall, and had fallen from it and struck against the stone, but could not remember where the wall was. After some time longer, he recovered the recollection of all the circumstances. Dr. Prichard mentions a gentleman, who suffered a severe injury by a fall from his horse, and who, on his recovery, had no recollection of any thing relating to the accident, or for some time before it. A considerable time elapsed before his recollection of it began to return, and it was only as he repeatedly rode over the country where the accident had happened, that the sight of the various objects gradually recalled the circumstances of the journey in which it occurred, and of the accident itself. On the other hand, singular examples occur, on recovering from comatose affections, of the sudden revival of subjects of thought which had been in the mind at the time of the attack. A lady, whose case was lately communicated to me, was seized with an apoplectic attack while engaged at cards. The seizure took place on a Thursday evening,-she lay in a state of stupor on Friday and Saturday, and recovered her consciousness rather suddenly on Sunday. The first words she then uttered were by asking, " what is trump."

A still more remarkable phenomenon, connected with cases of this kind, occurs in some instances in which there is perfect intelligence in regard to recent circumstances, but an obliteration of former impressions. Of this I have received the following striking example from an eminent medical friend. A respectable surgeon was thrown from his horse while riding in the country, and was carried into an adjoining house in a state of insensibility. From this he very soon recovered, described the accident distinctly, and gave minute directions in regard to his own treatment. In particular, he requested that he might be immediately bled; the bleeding was repeated at his own desire, after two hours; and he conversed correctly regarding his feelings and the state of his pulse with the medical man who visited him. In the evening he was so much recovered as to be able to be removed to his own house, and a medical friend accompanied him in the carriage. As they drew near home, the latter made some observation, respecting precautions calculated to prevent unnecessary alarm to the

wife and family of the patient, when, to his astonishment, he discovered that his friend had lost all idea of having either a wife or children. This condition continued during the following day, and it was only on the third day, and after farther bleeding, that the circumstances of his past life began to recur to his mind. On the other hand, remarkable instances occur of the permanence of impressions made upon the mind previously to such injuries, though the mental faculties are entirely obscured as to all subsequent impressions. An affecting example is mentioned by Dr. Conolly;—a young clergyman, when on the point of being married, suffered an injury of the head by which his understanding was entirely and permanently deranged. He lived in this condition till the age of eighty; and to the last talked of nothing but his approaching wedding, and expressed impatience for the arrival of the happy day.

It is chiefly in connexion with attacks of an apoplectic nature, that we meet with singular examples of loss of memory on particular topics, or extending only to a particular period. One of the most common is loss of the memory of words, or of names, while the patient retains a correct idea of things and persons. The late Dr. Gregory used to mention a lady, who, after an apoplectic attack, recovered correctly her ideas of things, but could not name them. In giving directions respecting family matters, she was quite distinct as to what she wished to be done, but could make herself understood only by going through the house, and pointing to the various articles. A gentleman whom I attended some years ago, after recovering from an apoplectic attack, knew his friends perfectly, but could not name them. Walking one day in the street, he met a gentleman to whom he was very anxious to communicate something respecting a mutual friend. After various ineffectual attempts to make him understand whom he meant, he at last seized him by the arm and dragged him through several streets to the house of the gentleman of whom he was speaking, and pointed to the name-plate on the door,

A singular modification of this condition has been related to me. The gentleman to whom it referred, could not be made to understand the name of an object if it was spoken to him, but understood it perfectly when it was written. His mental faculties were so entire, that he was engaged in most extensive agricultural concerns, and he managed them with perfect correctness by means of a remarkable contrivance. He kept before him, in the room where he transacted business, a list of the words which were most apt to occur in his intercourse with his workmen. When one of these wished to communicate with him on any subject, he first heard what the workman had to say, but without understanding him farther than simply to catch the words. He then turned to the words in his written list, and whenever they met his eye, he understood them perfectly. These particulars I had from his son, a gentleman of high intelligence. Another frequent modification consists in putting one name for another, but, always using the words in the same sense. An example of this also occurred in the gentleman last mentioned. He uniformly called his snuff-box a hogshead, and the association which led to this appeared to be obvious. In the early part of his life he had been in Virginia, and connected will the trade in tobacco; so that the transition from smiff to tobacco, and from tobacco to a hogshead, seemed to be natural. Another gentleman affected in this manner, when he wanted coals put upon his fire, always called for paper, and when he wanted paper called for coals; and these words he always used in the same manner. In other cases, the patient seems to invent names, using words which to a stranger are quite unintelligible; but he always uses them in the same sense, and his immediate attendants come to understand what he means by them.

A gentleman upwards of eighty years of age, whom I attended some time ago on account of an attack in his head, lost almost entirely the use of the English language, and expressed himself in a dialect which seemed to be a mixture of French, Italian, Spanish, German, and Turkish, with many words which were entirely without meaning. He had been acquainted with these languages in the early part of his life, when in diplomatic situations in foreign countries, but had lived in retirement in Scotland for more than thirty years. He seemed to be quite distinct in his ideas of things, as appeared from his going to shops and making purchases in the most correct manner, but always by searching about till he found the article he wanted; and when driving out in his carriage, though he could not make himself intelligible to his coachman

in words, he was quite distinct in directing him by signs where he wished to be driven. The language which he chiefly employed appeared to be Spanish, and with a Portuguese gentleman who paid him a visit, he conversed for some time very correctly in Spanish, introducing, however, occasionally words from other languages. For a long time after the attack, he rarely spoke the shortest sentence in English, though he frequently used single words, but in a manner in which no meaning could be attached to them. Having been afterwards considerably burnt about the head, by setting fire to the curtains of his bed, he was observed to use more English words for some time, and this being followed by a course of blistering, he continued to speak more in English, but only occasionally and in very short sentences. These were sometimes correctly expressed, and sometimes with that misapplication of words which is usual in such affections; thus, having at one time occasion to inspect a house which was of very small dimensions, but fitted up with great neatness, he said, "it is very neat, but a very little child." Latterly, it has been observed, that he speaks more English than usual when he begins a conversation with a person whom he has not seen for some time, but that he soon relapses into his unintelligible language; and the same effect is produced by any communication that excites either surprise or distress.

Another remarkable modification of this condition of the mental powers, is found in those cases in which there is loss of the recollection of a particular period. A clergyman mentioned by Dr. Beattie, on recovering from an apoplectic attack, was found to have lost the recollection of exactly four years; every thing that occurred before that period he remembered perfectly. He gradually recovered, partly by a spontaneous revival of his memory, and partly by acquiring a knowledge of the leading events of the period. A young lady who was present at a late catastrophe in Scotland, in which many people lost their lives by the fall of the gallery of a church, escaped without any injury, but with the complete loss of the recollection of any of the circumstances; and this extended, not only to the accident, but to every thing that had occurred to her for a certain time before going to church. A lady, whom I attended some years ago in a protracted illness, in which her memory became much

impaired, lost the recollection of a period of about ten or twelve years, but spoke with perfect consistency of things as

they stood before that time.

As far as I have been able to trace it, the principle in such cases seems to be, that, when the memory is impaired to a certain degree, the loss of it extends backwards to some event or some period, by which a particularly deep impression had been made upon the mind. In the lady last mentioned, for instance, the period of which she lost the recollection was that during which she had resided in Edinburgh, and it extended back to her removal from another city in which she had lived for many years. During her residence in the latter, she had become the mother of a numerous family, and other events had occurred likely to make a deep impression on her mind. The period of her residence in Edinburgh had been uniform and tranquil, and without any occurrence calculated to excite much attention in a person of rather slender mental endowments. I do not know whether we can give a similar explanation of cases, in which the loss of memory has extended only to particular subjects; namely, by supposing, that these subjects had been more slightly impressed upon the mind, than those which were retained. A gentleman is mentioned by Dr. Beattie, who, after a blow on the head, lost his knowledge of Greek, and did not appear to have lost any thing else.

While we thus review the manner in which the manifestations of mind are affected, in certain cases, by diseases and injuries of the brain, it is necessary that we should refer briefly to the remarkable instances in which the brain has been extensively diseased without the phenomena of mind being impaired in any sensible degree. This holds true both in regard to the destruction of each individual part of the brain, and likewise to the extent to which the cerebral mass may be diseased or destroyed. In another work, I have mentioned various cases which illustrate this fact in a very striking manner: particularly the case of a lady in whom one half of the brain was reduced to a mass of disease; but who retained all her faculties to the last, except that there was an imperfection of vision, and had been enjoying herself at a convivial party in the house of a friend, a few hours before

her death. A man mentioned by Dr. Ferriar, who died of an

affection of the brain, retained all his faculties entire till the very moment of death which was sudden: on examining his head, the whole right hemisphere, that is one half of his brain, was found destroyed by suppuration. In a similar case recorded by Diemerbroek, half a pound of matter was found in the brain; and in one by Dr. Heberden, there was half a pound of water. A man, mentioned by Mr. O'Halloran, suffered such an injury of the head, that a large portion of the bone was removed on the right side; and extensive suppuration having taken place, there was discharged at each dressing, through the opening, an immense quantity of matter mixed with large masses of the substance of the brain. This went on for seventeen days, and it appears that nearly one half of the brain was thrown out mixed with the matter; yet the man retained all his intellectual faculties to the very moment of dissolution; and, through the whole course of the disease, his mind maintained uniform tranquillity, These remarkable histories might be greatly multiplied if it were required, but at present it seems only necessary to add the very interesting case related by Mr. Marshall. It is that of a man who died with a pound of water in his brain, after having been long in a state of idiocy, but who, a very short time before death, became perfectly rational.

The facts, which have been thus briefly referred to, present a series of phenomena of the most remarkable kind, but on which we cannot speculate in the smallest degree without advancing beyond the sphere of our limited faculties; one thing, however, is certain,—that they give no countenance to the doctrine of materialism, which some have presumptuously deduced from a very partial view of the influence of cerebral disease upon the manifestations of mind. They show us, indeed, in a very striking manner, the mind holding intercourse with the external world, through the medium of the brain and nervous system; and, by certain diseases of these organs, they show this intercourse impaired or suspended; but they show nothing more. In particular, they warrant nothing in any degree analogous to those partial deductions which form the basis of materialism. On the contrary, they show us the brain injured and diseased to an extraordinary extent, without the mental functions being affected in any sensible degree. They show us, farther, the manifestations of

mind obscured for a time, and yet reviving in all their original vigour, almost in the very moment of dissolution. Finally, they exhibit to us the mind, cut off from all intercourse with the external world, recalling its old impressions, even of things long forgotten; and exercising its powers on those which had long ceased to exist, in a manner totally irreconcilable with any idea we can form of a material function.

Self-to the total and the transfer of the territory of the self-to-

# SECTION II.

### ABSTRACTION.

By ABSTRACTION, we separate various facts from each other and examine them individually. We separate, for examp the qualities of a substance, and contemplate one of the apart from the rest. This act of the mind is employed in to processes of the utmost importance. By the one, we examia variety of objects, select the properties in which certain numbers of them agree, and thus arrange them into class genera, and species. By the other, we take a more compa hensive view of an extensive collection of facts, and select of which is common to the whole. This we call generalizing. deducing a general fact, or general principle; and the process is of extensive application in all philosophical inquiries. T particular points to be attended to, in conducting it, will co under view in another part of our subject. The most imp tant is, that the fact, assumed as general, really belongs all the individual instances, and has not been deduced fr the examination of only a part of them.

There have been disputes among writers on the science mind, whether abstraction is to be considered as a distinental operation, or is referable to judgment. But I laready stated that my object in this outline is to avoid such discussions, and to allude simply to the actual proce of the mind in a practical view. One thing at least is cleamely, that our abstractions must be corrected by reasons.

the province of which is to judge whether the process is performed correctly, and on sound principles. This, however, is distinct from the primary act of the mind, to which I now apply the term abstraction, which is simply the power of contemplating one property of a substance apart from its other properties. It thus disjoins things which by nature are intimately united, and which cannot be separated in any other manner. Reason does not appear to be immediately concerned in this, though it is most closely connected with the purposes to which the process is afterwards applied; namely, classifying substances according to a certain agreement of properties, and fixing upon those which are common to all the individuals of a numerous series, in the act of generalizing, or deducing a general fact or general principle.

I have formerly alluded to a period in the science of mind. when our ideas of external things were supposed to be certain actual essences, separated from the substances and conveyed to the thinking principle. In connexion with this theory. there arose a controversy, whether, when we perform the interestal act of generalizing, there exists in nature any essence wocorresponding to a general idea; or whether, in generalizing, newe merely make use of an abstract term; whether, for air xample, in using the word man, we only employ a term; or es whether we have the power of forming an idea of man in the re abstract, without thinking of any individual man: and, in the negame nanner, whether we can reason respecting a class of or ubstances, without thinking of any of the individuals compssposing it. Hence arose two sects, whose disputes make a henost remarkable figure in the history of intellectual science, me\_the Nominalists and Realists.

for The controversies of these sects we now consider as little topore than a matter of historical curiosity; but, for soveral omignturies, they divided the learned of Europe, and were often parried on with an asperity amounting to actual persecution.

of The Nominalists," says Mosheim, "procured the death of netJohn Huss, who was a Realist; and in their letter to Lewis, avering of France, do not pretend to deny that he fell a victim all o the resentment of their sect. The Realists, on the other sexual, obtained, in the year 1479, the condemnation of John with Wesalia, who was attached to the party of the Nominalists, days se contending sects, carried their fury so far as to charge

each other with the sin against the Holy Ghost." "The dispute," says Mr. Stewart, "was carried on with great warmth in the universities of France, Germany, and England, more particularly in the two former countries, where the sovereigns were led by some political views to interest themselves deeply in the contest, and even to employ the civil power in support of their favourite opinions. The emperor Lewis of Bavaria, in return for the assistance which, in his disputes with the Pope, Occam had given him by his writings, sided with the Nominalists; Lewis the eleventh of France, on the other hand, attached himself to the Realists, and made their antagonists the objects of a cruel persecution."

We find some difficulty in believing, in the present day, that the controversy which thus embroiled the continent of Europe in all the rancour of actual persecution, related to the question, whether, in employing general terms, we use words or names only, or whether there is in nature any thing corresponding to what we mean by a general idea. It is well designed by Mr. Stewart as "one of the most curious events which occur in the history of the human mind."

The question is one of no practical importance, and, when it is cleared from its connexion with the ancient doctrine of ideas, appears to be one of no difficulty. Without supposing that there is in nature any actual essence corresponding to a general idea, the truth seems to be, that we do form a certain notion or conception of a quality in which several substances agree, distinct from any one substance to which the quality belongs. Hence some have proposed the term Notionalist, or Conceptualist, as designating opinions distinct from those both of the Nominalists and Realists. But, according to the principles of modern science, we cannot consider the discussion as any thing more than an ingenious arguing on points of no real importance. The process which the mind really carries on, in that mental operation to which these remarks have referred, consists simply in tracing relations or points of resemblance in which certain individual things agree, though they may in others be remarkably different. We then give a name to that common quality, and so form the individuals into a class of which this quality is the distinguishing character. Thus, we may take a number of animals differing remarkably from each other, and say,—they are all quadrupeds.

We may take a number of substances very dissimilar in their external and mechanical properties, and say, they are all acids. Some of these substances are solid, some fluid, and some gaseous; but the property of acidity is common to them all, and this accordingly becomes the name and the distinguishing character of the class into which we now arrange them.

## SECTION III.

mental agency and the control of the

### IMAGINATION.

In the exercise of imagination, we take the component elements of real scenes, events or characters, and combine them anew by a process of the mind itself, so as to form compounds which have no existence in nature. A painter, by this process, depicts a landscape combining the beauties of various real landscapes, and excluding their defects. A poet, or a novelist, in the same manner, calls into being a fictitious character, endowed with those qualities with which it suits his purpose to invest him,-places him in contact with other beings equally imaginary, and arranges, according to his will, the scenes in which he shall bear a part, and the line of conduct which he shall follow. The compound in these cases is entirely fictitious and arbitrary; but it is expected, that the individual elements shall be such as actually occur in nature, and that the combination shall not differ remarkably from what might really happen. When this is not attended to, as in a picture, or a novel, we speak of the work being extravagant or out of nature. But, avoiding combinations which are grossly at variance with reality, the framer of such a compound may make it superior to any thing that actually occurs. A painter may draw a combination of beauties in a landscape superior to any thing that is actually known to exist; and a novelist may delineate a more perfect character than is met with in real life. It is remarked by Mr. Stewart, that Milton in his Garden of Eden has "created a landscape more perfect, probably, in all its parts, than has ever been realized in nature, and certainly very different from any thing that this country exhibited at the time when he wrote." "It is a curious remark of Mr. Walpole," he adds, "that Milton's Eden is free from the defects of the Old English Garden, and is imagined on the same principles which it was reserved for the present age to carry into execution."

The mode of artificial combination which results from the exercise of imagination, is chiefly applicable to four kinds of

composition.

1. Fictitious narrative, in which the author delineates imaginary scenes or transactions; and paints imaginary characters, endowing them with such qualities as may suit the

purpose which he has in view.

2. Composition or verbal address, directed to the passions, and intended to excite particular mental emotions. To this head are referable many of the combinations of the poet, and addresses calculated to operate upon the feelings of a popular assembly; also, those which derive their character from the language of trope and metaphor. The genius of the orator, and the inventive powers of the poet, are exhibited in the variety and the novelty of the analogies, resemblances, illustrations, and figures, which he thus brings to bear upon his subject.

3. Those unexpected and peculiar associations which form

the basis of wit and humour.

4. Combinations of objects of sense calculated to produce mental emotions of a pleasurable or painful kind, as our impressions of the sublime, the beautiful, the terrible, or the ludicrous. The combinations of this class are chiefly referable to the head of objects of taste, or the fine arts; and are exemplified in the inventions of the painter and the statuary, in decorative architecture and artificial gardening,—we may add theatrical exhibitions and music.

The facility of rapidly forming, in these several departments, combinations calculated to produce the effect which is intended, constitutes what we call inventive genius. Similar power of invention, founded on an exercise of imagination, may also be applied to the investigations of science. It may be employed, for example, in the contrivance of experiments, calculated to aid an investigation, or to illustrate a doctrine:

and in the construction of those legitimate hypotheses, which have often led to the most important discoveries. It leads the philosophical inquirer, not to be satisfied with observing facts as they happen to be presented to him, but to grasp after principles by eager anticipation, and then to contrive courses of observations or experiments calculated to ascertain their truth.

The union of elements, in all such productions of the imagination, is regulated by the knowledge, the taste, and the intellectual habits of the author; and, we must add, by his moral principles. According to the views, the habits, and the principles of him who frames them, therefore, they may either contribute to moral and intellectual improvement; or they may tend to mislead the judgment, vitiate the taste, and

corrupt the moral feelings.

Similar observations apply to the conduct of the imagination in individuals, and its influence in the cultivation of moral and intellectual character. There is certainly no power of the mind that requires more cautious management and stern control; and the proper regulation of it cannot be too strongly impressed upon the young. The sound and proper exercise of it may be made to contribute to the cultivation of all that is virtuous and estimable in human character. It leads us, in particular, to place ourselves in the situation of others, to enter into their feelings and wants, and to participate in their distresses. It thus tends to the cultivation of sympathy and the benevolent affections; and promotes all those feelings which exerts so extensive an influence in the duties of friendship, and the harmonies of civil and social intercourse. We may even say that we exercise imagination, when we endeayour to act upon that high standard of morals, which requires us "to do to others as we would that they should do unto us," For in this mental act we must imagine ourselves in the situation of other men, and, in their character, judge of our own conduct towards them. Thus a man, deficient in imagination, though he may be free from any thing unjust or dishonourable, is apt to be cold, contracted, and selfish; regardless of the feelings, and indifferent to the distresses of others. Farther, we may be said to exercise imagination, when we carry our views beyond present and sensible objects, and endeavour to feel the power of "things which are not

seen," and the reality of scenes and times which are yet to come. On the other hand, imagination may be employed for calling into being evils which have no existence, or for exaggerating those which are real; for fostering malevolent feelings, and for imputing to those with whom we are connected, motives and intentions which have no foundation in truth. Finally, an ill-regulated imagination may be employed in occupying the mind with waking dreams and vain delusions, to the exclusion of all those high pursuits which ought to

employ the faculties of a rational being.

There has been considerable difference of opinion in regard to the effects produced upon the mind by fictitious narrative. Without entering minutely upon the merits of this controversy, I think it may be contended, that two evils are likely to arise from much indulgence in works of fiction. The one is a tendency to give way to the wild play of the imagination, a practice most deleterious both to the intellectual and moral The other is a disruption of the harmony which ought to exist between the moral emotions and the conduct. -a principle of extensive and important influence. In the healthy state of the moral feelings, for example, the emotion of sympathy, excited by a tale of sorrow, ought to be followed by some efforts for the relief of the sufferer. When such relations in real life are listened to from time to time without any such efforts, the emotion gradually becomes weakened, and that moral condition is produced which we call selfishness, or hardness of heart. Fictitious tales of sorrow appear to have a similar tendency; -the emotion is produced without the corresponding conduct; and, when this habit has been much indulged, the result seems to be, that a cold and barren sentimentalism is produced, instead of the habit of active benevolence. If fictitious narratives be employed for depicting scenes of vice, another evil of the greatest magnitude is likely to result from them, even though the conduct exhibited should be shown to end in remorse and misery. For by the mere familiarity with vice, an injury is done to the youthful mind, which is in no degree compensated by the moral at the close.

Imagination, therefore, is a mental power of extensive influence, and capable of being turned to important purposes in the cultivation of individual character. But to be so, it

must be kept under the strict control both of reason and of virtue. If it be allowed to wander at discretion, through scenes of imagined wealth, ambition, frivolity, or pleasure. it tends to withdraw the mind from the important pursuits of life, to weaken the habit of attention, and to impair the indgment. It tends, in a most material manner, to prevent the due exercise of those nobler powers which are directed to the cultivation both of science and virtue. The state of a mind. which has yielded itself to the influence of this delusive habit. cannot be more forcibly represented than in the words of an eloquent writer :- "The influence of this habit of dwelling on the beautiful fallacious forms of imagination, will accompany the mind into the most serious speculations, or rather musings, on the real world, and what is to be done in it. and expected; as the image which the eye acquires from looking at any dazzling object, still appears before it wherever it turns. The vulgar materials that constitute the actual economy of the world, will rise up to its sight in fictitious forms. which it cannot disenchant into plain reality, nor will even suspeet to be deceptive. It cannot go about with sober, rational inspection, and ascertain the nature and value of all things around it. Indeed such a mind is not disposed to examine. with any careful minuteness, the real condition of things. It is content with ignorance, because environed with something more delicious than such knowledge, in the paradise which imagination creates. In that paradise it walks delighted, till some imperious circumstance of real life call it thence, and gladly escapes thither again when the avocation is past. There, every thing is beautiful and noble, as could be desired to form the residence of an angel. If a tenth part of the felicities that have been enjoyed, the great actions that have been performed, the beneficent institutions that have been established, and the beautiful objects that have been seen in that happy region, could have been imported into this terrestrial place, what a delightful thing it would have been to awake each morning to see such a world once more."\*

To the same purpose are the words of another writer of the highest authority.—" To indulge the power of fiction, and send imagination out upon the wing, is often the sport of

<sup>\*</sup> Foster's Essays.

those who delight too much in silent speculation.-He who has nothing external that can divert him, must find pleasure in his own thoughts, and must conceive himself what he is not, for who is pleased with what he is? He then expatiates in boundless futurity, and culls from all imaginable conditions. that which for the present moment he should most desire; amuses his desires with impossible enjoyments, and confers upon his pride unattainable dominion. The mind dances from scene to scene, unites all pleasures in all combinations. and riots in delights which nature and fortune, with all their bounty, cannot bestow. In time, some particular train of ideas fixes the attention; all other intellectual gratifications are rejected; the mind, in weariness or leisure, recurs constantly to the favourite conception, and feasts on the luscious falsehood whenever she is offended with the bitterness of truth. By degrees the reign of fancy is confirmed; she grows first imperious, and in time despotic. Then fictions begin to operate as realities, false opinions fasten upon the mind, and life passes in dreams of rapture or of anguish."\*

# SECTION IV.

e appearance and a series of the series of t

### OF REASON OR JUDGMENT.

The most simple view which we can take of reason, probably, is, that it is the exercise of mind by which we compare facts with each other, and mental impressions with external things. The applications of this mental process may be referred to the following heads:—

I. We compare facts with each other, so as to trace their relations, connexions, and tendencies; and to distinguish the connexions which are incidental from those which are fixed and uniform.

What we call the relations of things, whether referring to

. Johnson's Rasselas.

external events or mental processes, comprehend all those facts which form the great objects of human knowledge, with respect either to the individuals, or their tendencies towards each other. They may be briefly enumerated in the follow-

ing manner :---

1. Relations of character,—or those marks, characters or properties, by which a substance may be recognised and may be distinguished from all others, for example, the botanical characters of a plant,—the chemical properties of a mineral,—the symptoms of a disease,—sensible properties of colour, taste, smell, &c.—the mental endowments and moral qualities of individual men.

- 2. Relations of resemblance and analogy, arising out of a comparison of the qualities of various individual substances or events. These admit of various degrees. When there is a close agreement between two events or classes of events, it constitutes resemblance: when there are points of difference. it is analogy. In the latter case, we then trace the degrees of analogy, depending upon the number of points in which the resemblance holds, and the number of points in which there is a difference. On the relations of resemblance, also, depend the arts of arrangement and classification; and the use of those general terms by which we learn to express a great number of individual objects by a single term, derived from certain characters in which they agree, such as solids, fluids, quadrupeds, &c. We find a certain number of substances which agree so much in their properties, that we class them together as one species. We then find other substances, which agree with these in a certain number of their properties, but differ in others. We dismiss the latter, and retain those only in which they all agree, and so form the whole into a genus. The individuals, forming the genus, are still found to agree in some of their properties with various other substances, and, by leaving out of view those in which they differ, we again form this still larger number into a class or order.
- 3. Nearly connected with the former, but still more extensive, is that important process by which, among a great series of facts, we trace an accordance, and thus deduce from the whole a general fact or general principle.

4. Relations of composition,—comprehending the resolu-

tion of a substance into its elements or constituent parts, the connexion of the parts as constituting a whole,—of the whole to the parts, and of the parts to each other.

5. Relations of causation, or the tendencies of bodies to produce or be followed by certain actions upon each other in certain circumstances. These refer chiefly to that uniform sequence of events from which we derive our idea of the one being the cause of the other. But the class likewise includes other relations arising out of the same subject; such as the relation of two events as the joint causes of a common effect, or the joint effects of a common cause; or as forming links in a chain of sequences in which we have still to look for other events, as the true antecedents or final results. It includes also that most important mental process, by which, from the properties of a known effect, we infer the powers and properties of au unknown cause.

6. Relations of degree and proportion, as in those truths

and relations which are the subject of mathematics.

7. The important question of moral relations, which does not properly belong to the present part of our inquiry,—including the relation of certain actions to the great standard of moral rectitude,—and to those principles which bind men together in the harmonies of social and domestic intercourse.

These appear to include the principal relations of things, which the mind requires to investigate in an intellectual point of view. The facts respecting them are acquired by attention and memory; but it is the province of reason to separate, in the mass so acquired, those which are incidental and temporary from those which are uniform,-to ascertain, for example, those characters by which a substance may be certainly recognised,-the symptoms by which a disease may be distinguished from other diseases which resemble it.—and the actions which a substance may be confidently expected to produce upon other substances in particular circumstances. When the mental process required for doing so is performed in a legitimate manner, the deduction constitutes truth, in regard to the particular point which is the immediate subject of it; when the contrary, it leads to fallacy or falsehood. Hence reason has sometimes been defined, that exercise of mind by which we distinguish truth from falsehood.

II. Having, by the preceding processes, ascertained the

uniform tendencies of bodies to be followed by certain actions upon each other, we bring these tendencies into operation for the production of certain results. Hence reason has been considered, also, to be that power, by which we combine means for accomplishing an end; but this, perhaps, may be regarded rather as the practical application of the knowledge to which reason leads us, than as a primary part of the province of reason itself.

III. We compare mental impressions with external things, so as to correct the impressions of the mind in regard to the external world. Mental processes of the most important kind

are connected with this application of reason.

Reason or judgment, when duly exercised, conducts us through these various mental operations, and guides us towards the discovery of truth. It does so by enabling us to compare facts with facts and events with events; to weigh their relations, bearings, and tendencies; and to assign to each circumstance its proper weight and influence in the conclusions which we are to deduce from them. The person who does so, we call a man of sound judgment, whose opinions and conclusions we receive with confidence. On the contrary, we receive with distrust and suspicion the conclusions of a man of an opposite character, who forms his opinions and deductions hastily,—that is, from a limited number of facts, or a hasty and imperfect examination of their relations.

A distinction has sometimes been made between the term reason, as used in the language of science, and as employed in the common affairs of life: but there seems to be no real

ground for the distinction.

Reason in the language of intellectual science, appears to be that process by which we judge correctly of the true and uniform relations of facts or events, and give to each circumstance its due influence in the deductions. It is chiefly opposed to imagination, in which the mind is allowed to ramble through chains of events which are connected by loose and casual associations, leading to no true results. It is also distinguished from simple memory, in which facts or events are connected in the mind by certain principles of association, without a full view of their relations. Thus, when we find a person remembering an extensive collection of facts, and forming certain combinations among them, or deductions

from them, without attending to points of difference which tend to other deductions, we say, his memory is better than

his judgment.

Reasoning, again, appears to be the consecutive exercise of reason, when applied to the investigation of a particular subject, or a certain series of facts or events, so as to trace their relations or to establish a particular conclusion as deduced from such a series. This process, however, which is commonly called the Discursive Faculty, is to be distinguished. from the simple exercise of reason. It ought to be guided by reason, that is, by a full view of the real relations of the facts about which it is exercised; but it is often allowed to fix on a slight and partial view of them; or is applied ingeniously to discover relations of a particular kind only. Thus, we speak of a man who reasons closely, or with correct attention to the real relations of things, and the true weight of every fact in the investigation; of another who reasons loosely, or who is led away by casual relations, and partial views, affording no true deductions; and of a third who reasons ingeniously and plausibly, but not soundly, that is, who argues on one side of a question, and contemplates facts in particular relations only, or as supporting particular opinions, neglecting those views of them which tend to a different conclusion. This art of ingenious reasoning or disputation, accordingly, we shall afterwards have occasion to show, is not only to be distinguished from the sound exercise of reason or judgment, but is often found directly opposed to it.

In the language of theology, reason is distinguished from revelation; and means that exercise of the mind, by which we deduce a certain knowledge of the Deity from the power and wisdom displayed in the works of creation, apart from

any direct revelation of his character and will,

In the language of common life, the mental process, which we term reason or judgment, appears to be the same, though the facts on which it is exercised may be different. A reasonable man is one who, both in the formation of his opinions and the regulation of his conduct, gives the due weight and influence to all the facts and considerations which ought to influence his decision. A man of the opposite character is one who takes up his opinions upon slight, partial, and inade-

quate grounds; and then cannot, or will not, admit the impression of facts or arguments which are calculated to correct these unsound deductions; or who, in the regulation of his conduct, is led away by hasty impressions, or feeble and inadequate motives, without giving due consideration to those which are calculated to lead him into a different course. The former, we call a reasonable, considerate, thinking man; the latter, we say, is an unreasonable, inconsiderate man, who cannot or will not think. It also very often happens that the latter, having formed his conclusions, is obstinately tenacious of them; while the former is still open to the true and full impression of any new fact or argument that is proposed to him. Solomon has expressed in a very striking manner the leading features of two such characters, namely, of the man who takes up opinions with little examination, and then adheres to them with inaccessible pertinacity; and him who forms them only after full and candid examination, and with a clear conception of the grounds on which they are formed; -" the shiggard is wiser in his own conceit than seven men that can render a reason."

The process of mind which we call reason or judgment, therefore, seems to be essentially the same, whether it be applied to the investigation of truth or the affairs of common life. In both cases, it consists in comparing and weighing facts, considerations and motives, and deducing from them conclusions, both as principles of belief, and rules of conduct. In doing so, a man of sound judgment proceeds with caution, and with the consideration of all the facts which he ought to take into the inquiry. Having formed his conclusions, he is still open to the influence of new facts, by which they may be corrected or modified; but he is not be shaken in his confidence by trivial statements, or frivolous objections. Opposed to this there are two modifications of character which present an interesting subject for observation. Both form their conclusions hastily, and without due examination of the facts and considerations which ought to influence them; but their subsequent conduct is widely different. The one is shaken in his conclusions by every new fact that is presented to him, and every slight objection that is brought against his inductions; and the consequence is, that his opinions and his principles of conduct are constantly changing. The other,

having framed his opinions, though on grounds the most inadequate, adheres to them with inaccessible firmness; and seems totally proof against the force of any facts or arguments that can be brought against them. The former is the more hopeful character of the two,-his error consisting in a want of attention, rather than of judgment; or in a habit of framing his conclusions too hastily. By education, or exertion on his own part, this habit may be corrected in a greater or less degree; but the latter appears to labour under a radical defect of judgment, which makes him insensible to the due force of the considerations and arguments which influence other men. In the affairs of life, the former, after perhaps committing various indiscretions, acquires wisdom from experience.that is, by having the fallacy of his conclusions in many instances forced upon him. The latter remains unchanged ; retaining the same confidence in his own conclusions, and the same contempt for every thing that can be opposed to them. This unfortunate condition of mind, though it may have had its origin in peculiarity of mental constitution or deficient edncation, is fostered and increased by indulgence, and by a neglect of cultivating the important habit of calm and candid in-The man seems at last to become totally insensible to the motives and evidences which influence other men: and the more striking and convincing these may be to others, the more remarkable appears the condition of that mind which does not feel or estimate their importance. This state of mind is emphatically ascribed, in the sacred writings, to the man who denies the existence of a great first cause ;- "the fool hath said in his heart, there is no God." By some process of mind, known to himself, he has arrived at this conclusion; and he is totally insensible to the manifold evidence, which meets him wherever he turns his eye, of its futility and folly. And surely if there is among human beings an affecting representation of a mind lost to every function of a healthy understanding, incapable of rising from effects to or of tracing the relations of things,—a mind deserted by its rightful guardian, and left the unprotected victim of every wild delusion that flutters by,-it is to be found in him, who, possessed of the senses of a living man, can stand before the fair face of creation and say in his heart—" there is no God."

In every exercise of judgment, it is of essential importance. that the mind shall be entirely unbiassed by any personal feeling or emotion which might restrain or influence its decisions. Hence the difficulty we feel in deciding on a subject in which we are deeply interested, especially if our inclination, and the facts and motives presented by the case, be in any degree opposed to each other. Thus, we speak of a man who allows his feelings to influence his judgment; and of another, of a cool head, who allows no feeling to interfere with his decisions. Any particular emotion, which has been deeply indulged and fostered, comes in this manner to influence the judgment in a most extraordinary degree. It is thus that a vitiated and depraved state of the moral feelings at last misleads the judgment, in regard to the great principles of moral rectitude; and terminates in a state of mind, emphatically described in the sacred writings, in which a man puts evil for good and good for evil, and is left to the influence of strong delusion, so that he "believes a lie." This remarkable condition of the power of reasoning and judging, we cannot refer to any principle with which we are acquainted; but we must receive it as a fact in the history of our moral constitution, which is not to be questioned. A poet has sung, that vice, which at first is hated as an odious monster, is, when seen too oft, endured, then pitied, then embraced: and he has only added his evidence to a fact, which has been received upon the testimony of the philosopher and the moralist in every age, and is acted upon as a fixed and uniform principle of our nature by all classes of men.

Upon the grounds which have been briefly referred to in the above observations, it will appear, that the principles, on which a man should form his opinions, are essentially the same with those by which he ought to regulate his conduct. If this conclusion be admitted, it will enable us to perceive the fallacy of a dogma which has often been brought forward with much confidence,—that a man is not responsible for his belief. When taken abstractly, this is true; but, in the practical application of it, there is a great and dangerous fallacy. In the opinions which a man forms on any particular subject, he is indeed influenced, not by his own will, but by the facts or evidence by which the doctrines are supported; and, in this sense, a man may justly be said, not to be respon-

sible for his belief. But when we apply the principle to practical purposes, and especially to those truths of religious belief, to which the dogma has been pointed, it may easily be seen to be as fallacious as it is dangerous. A man is undoubtedly responsible for the care with which he has informed himself, of the facts and evidences by which his belief on these subjects ought to be influenced; and for the care, attention, and anxiety with which he gives to each of these facts and evidences its due weight in the momentous inquiry. He is farther responsible for any degree of that vitiated and corrupted state of the moral feelings, by which his judgment may have been biassed, so as to prevent him from approaching the subject with the sincere desire for truth, of a pure and uncontaminated mind. If, in this sense, we say that a man is not responsible for his belief, we may quite as reasonably allege, that he is not responsible for his conduct, because he chooses. on some slight and partial grounds, to frame for himself principles of action, without taking into consideration those fundamental rules of moral rectitude by which mankind in general are expected to be influenced. We may as well contend, that the man is not responsible for his conduct, who, by long familiarity with vice, has lost sight of its malignity, and has come to approve and love that which he once contemplated with abhorrence.

It appears, then, that the exercise of reason is precisely the same, and is guided by the same laws, whether it be applied to the investigation of truth, or to the regulation of conduct. The former is more particularly connected with the farther prosecution of our inquiry; but the leading principles apply equally to the great question of morals, and the important subject of religious belief. In prosecuting the subject as a branch of intellectual science, it seems to resolve itself into two parts:—

I. The use of reason in the investigation of truth.

II. The use of reason in correcting the impressions of the

mind in regard to external things.

Before proceeding to these branches of the subject, however, this may perhaps be the proper place for again stating in a few words, that, in the preceding observations, my object, has been to confine myself to facts, respecting the process which the mind actually performs, without entering on the

question how it performs them. On this subject we find great differences among philosophers, which I have alluded to only in an incidental manner. Some appear to have spoken in too unqualified terms, respecting various and distinct FACULTIES of the mind, and have enumerated a variety of these, corresponding to the various mental operations. Dr. Brown, on the other hand, has followed a very different course, by referring all our mental processes to the two principles of simple and relative suggestion. According to this eloquent and ingenious writer, we have no direct voluntary power over the succession of our thoughts; but these follow each other in consequence of certain principles of suggestion, by which conceptions, in certain circumsances, call up or suggest other conceptions, which are in some manner related to them. We have the power only of fixing the mind more intensely upon some images of this series, when they arise, in consequence of approving of them, as referring to some subject of thought which is before us, while we disapprove of others of the series as less allied to it. The former become more fixed and vivid in consequence of this approbation, while the latter are allowed to sink back into oblivion. What systematic writers have called the faculty of conception, is, according to this system, the simple presence in the mind of one of these suggested or recalled images. Memory is this simple suggestion combined with the impression of past time. In imagination again, which has been considered as a voluntary power of forming conceptions or images into new combinations, by a peculiar mental process, Dr. Brown believes that we have only the power of perceiving images as they are brought up by established principles of suggestion,—approving of some which thus become fixed, and disapproving of others which thus pass away. In thus approving or disapproving of the suggested images, we are guided by a perception of their relation to any particular subject which is before us, and which we may desire to cultivate or illustrate. According to this writer, therefore, what is usually called conception, is simple suggestion: memory is simple suggestion with a feeling of past time; -imagination is simple suggestion combined with desire and with a perception of relation. The relative suggestion of Dr. Brown, again, is that perception of relations

arising out of the comparison of different facts or objects, which we have treated of under the more familiar name of judgment;—and the mental process, usually called abstraction, he resolves simply into a perception of resemblances. Various objections might be urged against this system; and we may, perhaps, be allowed to doubt, whether, by means of it, any thing has been gained to the science of mind. But the plan which I proposed to myself in this outline does not lead me into any consideration of it, or of those systems to which it is opposed. My object has been simply to inquire what the mind does, without entering on the question how it does so. On this ground, the division which has been adopted of distinct mental operations, not distinct faculties, appears to be that best calculated for practical utility.

# § 1.

### ON THE USE OF REASON IN THE INVESTIGATION OF TRUTH.

In applying our reason to the investigation of truth in any department of knowledge, we are, in the first place, to keep in mind, that there are certain intuitive articles of belief. which lie at the foundation of all reasoning. For, in every process of reasoning, we proceed by founding one step upon another which has gone before it; and, when we trace such a process backwards, we must arrive at certain truths which are recognised as fundamental, requiring no proof, and admitting of none. These are usually called First Truths. They are not the result of any process of reasoning, but force themselves, with a conviction of infallible certainty, upon every sound understanding, without regard to its logical habits or powers of induction. The force of them is accordingly felt in an equal degree by all classes of men; and they are acted upon with absolute confidence in the daily transactions of life. This is a subject of great and extensive importance. The truths, or articles of belief, which are referable to it, were briefly mentioned in a former part of our inquiry: they are chiefly the

following.

I. A conviction of our own existence, as sentient and thinking beings; and of mind, as something distinct from the functions of the body. From the first exercise of perception, we acquire a knowledge of two things,—the thing perceived, -and the sentient being who perceives it. In the same manner, from the exercise of any mental operation, such as memory, we acquire an impression of the thing remembered. of an essence or principle which remembers it,-and of this essence as something entirely distinct from any function of the body. This last conviction must be considered as a first truth, or intuitive article of belief, standing on the same ground with the other truths which are referable to this class. It does not, as was formerly stated, rest upon any metaphysical or physiological argument, but upon an appeal made to the conviction of every man who attends to what is passing within. It resolves itself into a consciousness of the various mental processes, impressions and emotions, as referable to one permanent and unchanging essence, while the body is known to be in a constant state of change; and of these processes as being exercised without any necessary dependence upon present impressions from external things. Like other truths of this class, it is, consequently, unaffected by sophisms which are brought against it; and the answer to these does not properly consist in any process of reasoning, but in this appeal to every man's absolute conviction. If brought into comparison, indeed, the evidence which we have for the existence of mind, is, perhaps, less liable to deception, than that which we have for the existence of matter.

II. A confidence in the evidence of our senses, in regard to the existence and the properties of external things; or a conviction, that these have a real existence independently of our sensations. We have formerly referred to a celebrated doctrine, by which it was maintained, that the mind perceives only its own ideas or impressions; and that, consequently, we derive from our senses no evidence of the existence of external things. The only answer to such a sophism is, that a confidence in the evidence of our senses is a first truth, or intuitive principle of belief, admitting of no other proof than

that which is derived from the universal conviction of mankind.

III. A confidence in our own mental processes;—that facts, for example, which are suggested to us by our memory, really occurred.

IV. A belief in our personal identity. This is derived from the combined operation of consciousness and memory; and it consists in a remembrance of past mental feelings, and a comparison of them with present feelings as belonging to the same sentient being. There were formerly many disputes on this subject, -some maintaining that the notion of personal identity is inconsistent with the different states in which the mind exists at different times, as love and hatred, joy and sorrow,-and also with the remarkable changes of character, which often take place at different periods of life. This was one of the sophisms of the schools, founded upon an obscure analogy with changes which take place in material things, and is not at all applicable to mind. The only answer to the paradox is, that every man, under every variety of mental emotion, and every possible change of character, retains an absolute conviction, that the sentient being whom he calls himself remains invariably the same; and that, in all the affairs of life, whether referring to the past or the future, every man acts upon this conviction.

V. A conviction that every event must have a cause, and a cause adequate to the effect; and that appearances, showing a correct adaptation of means to an end, indicate design and intelligence in the cause. These, as fundamental truths, are quite distinct from the question relating to the connexion of any two specified events as cause and effect. The latter belongs to another part of our inquiry.

VI. A confidence in the uniformity of nature; or, that the same substance will always exhibit the same characters; and that the same cause, under the same circumstances, will always be followed by the same effect. This, as a first truth, is a fundamental and instinctive conviction. The province of experience, we have already seen, is to ascertain the particular events, which are so connected as to be included under the law.

Our confidence in the uniformity of nature is the foundation of all the calculations which we make for the future, in regard to our protection, or comfort, or even for the continuance of our existence; and, without it, the whole system of human things would be thrown into inextricable confusion. It is referable to the two heads now stated,—uniformity of characters, and uniformity of sequences or operations.

By uniformity of characters, in any substance, we mean, that the substance will always continue to exhibit the same combination of characters, so that when we have ascertained its presence by some of them, we conclude that it also possesses the others. These characters may be numerous, and referable to various classes, -such as the botanical characters of a plant,—the chemical properties of a mineral,—sensible qualities of smell, taste, and colour, and capabilities of action upon other bodies. Such is our confidence in the undeviating uniformity of nature, that, whatever number of these qualities we have ascertained to belong to a substance, we expect to find in every specimen of it in all time coming. For example, I find a substance which, by its smell and colour, I know to be opium. Without any farther information, I decide with confidence, on its taste, its composition, its chemical affinities. its action on the human body, and the characters of the plant from which it was derived; and I never calculate upon the possibility of being deceived in any of these particulars.

Our confidence in the uniformity of the sequences or operations of nature, resolves itself into a conviction of the continuance of that order which experience has shown us to exist in a uniform manner, in the succession of phenomena. conviction itself is an original or instinctive principle, felt and acted upon by all classes of men, in the daily transactions of life. It is from experience that we learn the particular cases to which we are warranted in applying it; or, in other words. the successions of phenomena which, there is sufficient ground for believing, have occurred in a certain order in time past. These we expect with perfect confidence to continue to be equally uniform, or to occur in the same order in time to come. The error to be guarded against in such investigations, is, assuming the past uniformity of phenomena on insufficient grounds, or, in other words, concluding that events have always occurred in a certain order, because we have seen them occur in that order in a few instances. A principle assumed in this manner may, of course, disappoint us, if applied to

future phenomena; but, in this case, there is no deviation from the uniformity of nature,—the error consisted in assum-

ing such a uniformity where none existed.

The uniformity of the sequences of phenomena is the foundation of our idea of causation, in regard to these phenomena; that is to say, when we have observed one event uniformly follow another event, we consider the first as cause, and the second as effect; and, when this relation has been ascertained to be uniform; we conclude that it will continue to be uniform, or that the same cause, in the same circumstances, will always be followed by the same effect. This expectation will, of course, disappoint us, if we have assumed the relation on inadequate grounds; or have considered two events as cause and effect, which have been only accidentally combined in a few instances. To entitle us to assume that the relation will be uniform in time to come, we must have full and adequate grounds for believing that it has been uniform in time past.

In the great operations of nature, a very extensive observation often enables us to trace a remarkable uniformity, even in regard to events which at first sight appear to be most irregular and uncertain. Thus, the most uncertain of all things is human life, as far as respects individuals; but the doctrine of the continuance of life, in regard to a large body of men, is by extensive observation reduced almost to a certainty. Nothing is more uncertain than the proportion of males and females that shall be born in one family; but, in great communities, this also is uniform. There is much uncertainty in the character of different seasons, but there are facts which give probability to the conjecture, that, in a long series of years, there may also be discovered a remarkable uniformity. An impression of this kind was carried so far by the ancients, as to lead to the doctrine of the Annus Magnus, or Platonic year, in which it was believed, that the whole series of human events would be acted over again.

The uniform successions of phonomena are, with reasonable care, easily ascertained in regard to material things; and, when they are ascertainted, we rely upon their uniform continuance; or, if we find a deviation in any instance, we easily ascertain the incidental cause by which the sequence is interrupted, and can provide against the interference of the same

or any similar cause in future instances. There is greater uncertainty when our researches refer to the phenomena of mind, or the actions of living bodies. The causes of this uncertainty were formerly mentioned. It arises, partly from the greater difficulty of ascertaining the true relations,—that is, of tracing causes to their true effects, and effects to their true causes; and partly from the tendency to these being interrupted in future instances, by some new cause, in regard to which we cannot calculate either the existence or the precise effects. Hence, for example, the uncertainty of human laws; one of the contingencies by which they are interrupted, being the chances of evading them. If we could conceive a case, in which every crime was with certainty detected, and every criminal brought to punishment, it is probable that the effect of human laws would be nearly as certain as the operation of material causes. But the criminal, in the first instance. calculates on the chances of evading detection, and, even in the event of detection, of escaping punishment; and thus, the tendency of the wisest laws is constantly interrupted, in a manner which no human wisdom can calculate upon or prevent. There is often a similiar uncertainty in human character, in other situations; for example, in judging how an individual will act in particular circumstances, or be influenced by particular motives; for a motive which we have found to induce a particular line of conduct in one individual, may fail in producing the same result in another, being prevented by circumstances in his moral condition, which entirely elude our observation.

Yet there is a uniformity in moral phenomena, which though it may be ascertained with greater difficulty than the order of natural phenomena, we calculate upon with similar confidence, when it has been ascertained. Thus, a man may have acquired such a character for integrity, that we rely upon his upright conduct in any situation in which he may be placed, with the same confidence with which we rely on the uniformity of nature; and there is a man distinguished by veracity and fidelity to his promise, of whom we say, in common language, that his word is as good as his bond. In such examples as these, indeed, our confidence is founded not upon any laws which have been observed in regard to the whole species, but on a uniformity which has been observed in re-

gard to the individuals, or rather a class to which the individuals belong. There are also, however, laws which apply to mankind in general, and on which we rely as far as they go; namely, principles of conduct in which we confide, as regulating every man of a sane mind, whatever may be our knowledge of his previous habits of judging or acting. It is in this manner, for example, as formerly stated, that we regulate our confidence in testimony. If a man, who is either a stranger to us, or bears a character of doubtful veracity, relates circumstances which tend greatly to promote his own purposes, we calculate on the probability of fabrication, and reject his testimony; and, if we even suspect that he has a purpose to serve, a similar impression is produced. If, on the contrary we are satisfied that the circumstances are indifferent to him and that he has no purpose to answer, we give greater credito his testimony. If, farther than this, we perceive that the statement operates against himself, conveying an imputation against his own conduct, or exposing him to contempt, ridicule, or personal injury, we are satisfied that nothing could make him adhere to such a testimony, but an honest conviction of its truth. Under the former circumstances, we believe only a man whom we consider as a person of known and established veracity; under the latter, we believe any man whom we consider to be of a sane mind. Thus, in both instances, we proceed upon a certain uniformity of moral phenomena; only that we refer them to two classes, -namely, one which is ascertained to be uniform in regard to the whole species, and another which is uniform only in regard to a certain order. that is, all men of integrity and veracity. In the one case, we rely upon the uniformity in every instance; in the other, we do not rely upon it until we are satisfied that the individual example belongs to that order, in which the other kind of moral uniformity has been ascertained.

There are other inquiries closely connected with the uniformity of moral relations; but at present we must allude to them very briefly. We have every reason to believe that there are moral causes, that is, truths and motives, which have a tendency to influence human volition and human conduct, with a uniformity similar to that with which physical agents produce their actions upon each other. These moral causes, indeed; do not operate in every instance, or in all circum-

stances; but neither do physical causes. Substances in chemistry, for example, have certain tendencies to act upon each other, which are uniform and necessary; but no action takes place, unless the substances are placed in certain circumstances which are required for bringing these tendencies into They must, in the first place, be brought into contact; and, besides this, many of them require other collateral circumstances, as a particular temperature, or a particular state of concentration or dilution. It is the same with moral causes; their tendencies are uniform, and there are principles in the mind of man which these are adapted for acting upon. But they require certain circumstances in the man on whom they are expected to act, without which they produce no influence upon him. It is necessary, for example, that he be fully informed in regard to them as truths; and that his attention be directed to them with such a degree of intensity as shall bring him fully under their influence as statements addressed to his understanding; also, that there be a certain healthy state of his moral feelings,—for this has a most extensive influence on the due operation of moral causes. Without these, the most powerful moral causes may produce no effect upon a man; as the most active chemical agents may fail entirely of their actions, if the substances are not placed in the requisite circumstances of temperature, distinct or concentration.

These considerations seem to bear an important reference to a question which has been much argued, namely, that respecting liberty, necessity, and the freedom of the will. On a subject on which some of the wisest and the best of men have been found on opposite sides, I would express myself with becoming caution and diffidence; but, perhaps, some of the obscurity in which the question has been involved, arises from the want of a clear definition of the terms in which it has been argued; and from not fully distinguishing between will or simple volition, and desire or inclination. Will, or simple volition, is the state of mind which immediately precedes action; and the action following upon this not only is free, but it is absolutely impossible to suppose it should be otherwise. Not only is a man free to do what he wills, but we cannot conceive a case in which he could exert a power of not doing what he wills, or of doing what he wills not. Impulse or restraint from without, acting upon his bodily organs, could alone interfere with his following, in this sense, the tendency of his will, or simple volition. The only idea, indeed, that we can form of free agency, or freedom of the will, is, that it consists in a man being able to do what he wills, or to abstain from doing what he wills not. Necessary agency, on the other hand, would consists in the man being compelled, by a force from without, to do what he wills not.

or prevented from doing what he wills.

The real bearing of the inquiry does not lie in this connexion between the volition and the act, but in the origin or cause of the volition, or in the connexion between the volition and the desire; and this will be seen to be entirely distinct. A man, for example, may desire, or have an inclination to, that which he has not the power to will; because he may be under the influence of motives and principles which prevent the inclination from being followed by volition, with as absolute a necessity as we observe in the sequences of natural phenomena. Thus, also, we may say to a man of strict integrity and virtue, that he has not the power to commit murder or robbery, or any act of gross injustice or oppression. He may reply, that he has the power to do it if he willed; and this is granted, for this is free agency; but it is not the question in dispute. We do not say that he has not the power to do any or all of these acts, if he willed, but that he has not the power to will such deeds. He is under the influence of motives and principles, which make it as much a matter of necessity for him not to will such acts, as it is for a stone not to rise from the earth's surface contrary. to its gravity. Such a necessity as this, if we must retain the term, so far from being unfavourable to the interests of virtue and morals, or opposed to the practice of exhorting men to virtue, seems, on the contrary, to hold out the strongest encouragement in doing so; and to be, in fact, the only scheme on which we can expect an argument or motive to have any influence upon human conduct. For it represents man as possessed of certain uniform principles in his nature, which are capable of being acted upon by certain moral causes, truths, laws, or motives, with a uniformity similar to that which we observe in physical phenomena, provided he can be brought under their in-

fluence, and into those circumstances which are required for their due operation. These circumstances are,-that the moral causes, laws, motives, or truths, shall be brought before his understanding; that he shall direct his attention to them with suitable intensity; and that he is free from that degree of corruption of his moral feelings, or any of those distorted moral habits, which we know to produce a most extensive influence on the operation of moral causes. To suppose a kind of moral liberty opposed to such a necessity as this, would be to represent man as a being possessed of no fixed or uniform principles,—not to be calculated upon as to his conduct in any instance, and not capable of being acted upon by any motive or principle, except the blind caprice of the moment. To endeavour to act upon such a being by persuadding him to virtue or dissuading him from vice, would be like expecting fixed results in chemistry, by bringing substances to act upon each other, the actions of which we had previously found to be without any kind of uniformity. This is in fact precisely the situation of the maniac, whom, accordingly, we never expect to guide or influence by motives or arguments. but by external restraint. He may act harmlessly, or he may act mischievously; but we never can calculate upon his actions in any one instance; we therefore shut him up so as to prevent him from being dangerous to the community.

Necessity, then, as appplied to the operation of meral causes, appears simply to correspond with the uniformity which we observe in the operation of physical causes. We calculate that a man of a certain character will act in a particular manner in particular circumstances, or that he will be acted upon in a certain manner by particular truths and motives, when they are presented to him, by a principle of uniformity similar to that with which we expect an acid to act in a particular manner upon an alkali. The action of the acid we know to be uniform, but we know also, that no action will take place till the substances are brought fully into contact, and in certain circumstances which are required for their action; and the action of moral causes is uniform. but they exert no influence on a man till he is fully acquainted with them, directs his attention to them with suitable care, - and is besides in a certain healthy state of moral feeling. It is thus that we calculate on the full and uniform

operation of moral causes on some individuals, and not on others; namely, by having previously ascertained that the former are in those intellectual and moral circumstances which are required for their action. When, in another individual, we find these causes fail in their natural actions, we endeavour, as far as may be in our power; to supply those collateral circumstances, by instructing him in the facts, truths, or motives; -by rousing his attention to their importance; -by impressing them upon him in their strongest characters, and by all such arguments and representations as we think calculated to fix the impression. All this we do under a conviction, that these causes have a certain, fixed, uniform, or necessary action, in regard to human volition and human conduct; and it is this conviction which encourages us to persevere in our attempts to bring the individual under their influence. If we had not this conviction, we should abandon the attempt as altogether hopeless; because we could have no ground on which to form any calculation, and no rules to guide us in our measures. Precisely in the same manner. when we find a chemical agent fail of the effect which we expect from it, we add it in larger quantity, or in a state of of increased concentration, or at higher temperature, -or with some other change of circumstances calculated to favour its action; and we persevere in these measures, under a conviction, that its action is perfectly uniform or necessary, and will take place whenever these circumstances have been provided for. On the same principle, we see how blame may attach to the intelligent agent in both cases, though the action of the causes are uniform and necessary. Such is the actic of chemical agents, but blame may attach to the chemist wh has not provided them in the necessary circumstances, as t quantity, concentration, and temperature. Such is the action of moral causes,—but deep guilt may attach to the moral agent who has been proof against their influence. There is guilt i ignorance, when knowledge was within his reach ;—there i guilt in heedless inattention, when truths and motives of the highest interest claimed his serious consideration; -there is guilt in that corruption of his moral feelings which impedes the action of moral causes, because this has originated, in a great measure, in a course of vicious desires, and vicious conduct, by which the mind, familiarized with vice, has

gradually lost sight of its malignity. During the whole of this course, also, the man felt that he was a free agent ;- that he had power to pursue the course which he followed, and that he had power to refrain from it. When a particular desire was first present to his mind, he had the power immediately to act with a view to its accomplishment; or he had the power to abstain from acting, and to direct his attention more fully to the various considerations and motives which were calculated to guide his determination. In acting as he did, he not only withheld his attention from those truths which were thus calculated to operate upon him as a moral being: but he did still more direct violence to an impulse within, which warned him that he was wandering from the path of rectitude. The state of moral feeling, which gradually results from this habitual violation of the indications of conscience. and this habitual neglect of the serious consideration of moral causes, every individual must feel to be attended with moral guilt. The effect of it is not only to prevent the due operation of moral causes on his future volitions, but even to vitiate and distort the judgment itself respecting the great principles of moral rectitude. Without attempting any explanation of this remarkable condition of the mental functions, its actual existence must be received as a fact in the constitution of human nature, which cannot be called in question; and it offers one of the most remarkable phenomena that can be presented to him who turns his attention to the moral economy of man.

Before concluding this incidental allusion to a much controverted subject, I may be allowed to remark, that the term necessity, as applied to moral phenomena, is not fortunate, and perhaps not philosophical; and something would perhaps be gained in conducting the inquiry, if, for necessity, we were to substitute uniformity. In strict propriety, indeed, the terms necessity and necessary ought to be applied only to mathematical truth. Of physical relations, all that we know is the fact of their uniformity; and it would appear equally philosophical to apply the same term to mental phenomena. On this principle, therefore, we should say,—that the tendency of moral causes or motives is not necessary, but uniformity and that on this depends all our confidence in the uniformity of human character, and in the power of truths, motives, or

arguments, to produce particular results on human conduct. To suppose the mind possessed of a power of determining, apart from all this influence of moral causes or motives, would be to overthrow this confidence, and to reduce our whole calculations on haman character to conjecture and uncertainty. When, indeed, we talk of a self-determining power of the will, we seem to use a combination of words without any definite meaning. For the will is not distinct from the being who wills; and to speak of an individual determining his will, is only saying, in other words, that he wills. He wills some act, for some reason which is known to himself: if communicated to another, the reason might not appear a satisfactory one,-but it is to him the reason which induced him to will the act, and this appears to be all that we can make of the subject. A power of determining without any reason, appears to be not only unphilosophical, but, in point of fact, inapplicable to any conceivable case. Ignorance, inattention, or gross perversion of the moral feelings, may make the worse reason appear the better; but we cannot conceive a case, in which an individual could exert a power of determining without any reason, or according to what appears to him at the time to be a weaker reason, in opposition to one which appears a stronger. It will also, I think, be found, that the warmest advocates for philosophical liberty, and a self-determining power, in actual practice recognise as much as others the principle of the uniformity of moral causes. Thus, if we find a person acting in a manner widely different from that which we expected from him, all men concur in saving, "What motive could induce him to act in that manner?" and if we cannot reconcile his conduct to any conceivable motive, we say. "it really looks like insanity." Another may remark, "his conduct indicates a singular want of consideration;"-thus clearly recognising the existence of certain motives or moral causes, which would have led the man into a different line of conduct, had he allowed his attention to fix upon them. The doctrine of a self-determining power should remove every difficulty in such a case, to those who believe in it; but I am not aware that it ever was made use of for such a purpose. It will also be found to agree with the universal conviction of mankind, that the circumstance, which gives to an action the character of merit or demerit, is

entirely the motive from which it was done; and that, if we could conceive such a thing as an action performed by the impulse of a free self-determining power, apart from any influence of motives or moral causes, no man of sane mind would for a moment allow to such an act the character of virtue. On the contrary, it is familiar to every one, that we often find in a man's motive an excuse for conduct in which we think he has acted wrong. We say, he erred in judgment, but his motive was good; and this mode of reasoning meets with the cordial concurrence of the whole mass of mankind.

The First Truths, or intuitive principles of belief, which have been the subject of the preceding observations, are of the utmost practical importance, as they furnish the true and only answer to many of the sophisms of the scholastic philosophy, and to many sceptical arguments of more modern times. They admit of no other evidence than an appeal to the consciousness of every man, that he does and must believe them. "We believe them," says Dr. Brown, "because it is impossible not to believe them."—"In all these cases," says Mr. Stewart, "the only account that can be given of our belief is, that it forms a necessary part of our constitution, against which metaphysicians may argue, so as to perplex the judgment, but of which it is impossible to divest ourselves for a moment, when we are called to employ our reason, either in the business of life or in the pursuits of science."

It is likewise to be kept in mind, as was formerly stated, that our idea of reasoning necessarily supposes the existence of a certain number of truths, which require and admit of no evidence. The maxim, indeed, is as old as the days of Aristotle, and has never been called in question, "that, except some first principles be taken for granted, there can be neither reason nor reasoning; that it is impossible that every truth should admit of proof, otherwise proof would extend in infinitum, which is incompatible with its nature; and that, if ever men attempt to prove a first principle, it is because they are ignorant of the nature of proof," As

<sup>\*</sup> Artistotle's Metaphysics, book iv.

these truths, therefore, do not admit of being called in question by any sound understanding, neither do they admit of being supported by any process of reasoning; and, when paradoxes or sophisms in opposition to them are proposed, any attempt to argue with such, upon logical principles, only leads to discussions as absurd as themselves. Of attempts of both kinds, many examples are to be met with among the writers of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, as Des Cartes and Hobbes; and even some eminent persons, of more modern times, are not entirely free from them. Thus, Des Cartes, Malebranche and others, thought it necessary to prove. that external objects, and the sentient beings with whom we are connected, have a real existence, whether we think of them or not, and are not merely ideas in our own minds. Berkeley showed the weakness of this argument, and on this founded the well known doctrine by which he denied the real existence of all material things.

Many of the dogmas of modern sophistical writers, such as Mr. Hume, have consisted of attempts to overturn, by processes of argument, these fundamental or first truths. On the other hand, the unsatisfactory nature of some of the replies to these sophisms, depends upon the attempts to combat them having been made by reasoning, of which the subject is not susceptible. For these principles admit of no proof by processes of reasoning, and, consequently, are in no degree affected by demonstrations of the fallacy of attempts to establish them by such processes. An interesting illustration of this has been preserved by Mr. Stewart, in a correspondence between Mr. Hume and Sir Gilbert Elliot.\* "From the reply to this letter," says Mr. Stewart, "by Mr. Hume's very ingenious and accomplished correspondent, we learn, that he had drawn from Mr. Hume's metaphysical discussions, the only sound and philosophical inference; that the lameness of the proofs offered by Des Cartes and his successors, of some fundamental truths, universally acknowledged by mankind, proceeded, not from any defect in the evidence, but, on the contrary, from their being self-evident, and consequently unsusceptible of demonstration." The same

<sup>\*</sup> Introductory Essay to the Appendix of the Encyclopædia Britannica,

view of Mr. Hume's sceptical reasonings was taken by other eminent persons, by whom his system was attacked,—particularly Reid, Beattie, and Oswald; and, on the continent, the nature and importance of these first truths had been, at an earlier period, illustrated in a full and able manner by Father Buffier.

Various characters have been proposed, by which these primary and fundamental truths may be distinguished. One of those given by Father Buffier appears to be the best, and to be alone sufficient to identify them. It is, that their practical influence extends even to persons who affect to dispute their authority; in other words, that, in all the affairs of life, the most sceptical philosopher acts, as much as the mass of mankind, upon the absolute belief of these truths. Let a person of this description, for example, be contending very keenly, in regard to something which deeply concerns his interest or his comfort, he would scarcely be satisfied by being told, that the thing about which he contends has no real existence, and that he who contends about it so eagerly is himself a nonentity, or, at best, nothing more than an idea. Let him be taking cognizance of an offence committed against him ten years ago,—he never doubts that he is still the person against whom the offence was committed. Let him lay plans for future advantage or comfort, -it is done under a full conviction that he is still to continue the individual who may enjoy them. Has a building started up on his premises, which he did not expect to see,—he immediately asks who ordered the masons, and would be very ill satisfied by being told, that the thing had appeared without any known cause. by a fortuitous combination of atoms. However much he may reason to the contrary, he shows no doubt, in his own practice, that every event must have an adequate cause. The same mode of reasoning will be seen to apply to the other principles which belong to the class under consideration, namely, that those who argue against them act in all cases on a belief of their truth.

The distinction between a process of reasoning, and the act of the mind, in arriving at these fundamental and intuitive truths, is a principle of the utmost practical importance. For a chain of correct reasoning requires logical habits, and a certain cultivation of the mental powers; and, consequently,

it is confined to a comparatively small number of mankind. But the process here referred to is the spontaneous and immediate induction of the untutored mind, and the correct exercise of it requires only, that the mind shall not be debased by depravity, nor bewildered by the refinements of a false philosophy. The truths which we derive from it, accordingly, do not concern the philosopher alone, but are of daily and essential importance to the whole mass of mankind. Let us take, for example, the principle referred to under the fifth head, namely, our intuitive conviction that every change or event must have an adequate cause. This is a principle of daily application, and one which is acted upon with absolute confidence in the ordinary affairs of life by all classes of men. By the immediate and unconscious exercise of it, we infer the skill of one workman from works indicating skill, and the vigour of another from works indicating strength. We infer from every work, not only a cause, but a cause which, both in degree and in kind, is exactly proportioned to the effect produced. From a chronometer, which varies only a second in a year, we infer exquisite skill in the artist; and, from the construction of the pyramids of Egypt, the united strength of a multitude of men. We never suppose for a moment, that the minute skill of the artist raised the pyramid, or that the united force of the multitude constructed the chronometer; still less, that these monuments of art started into their present condition without a cause. We infer with absolute certainty in both cases an adequate cause; that is, a cause, distinguished, in the one case, by design and mechanical power,-in the other, by design, adaptation and exquisite skill.

The principle which is thus acted upen, in the ordinary affairs of life, with a conviction of infallible certainty, is precisely the same, by which, from the stupendous works of creation, we infer, by the most simple step of reasoning, the existence of a great first cause. This cause, also, we conclude to be a designing and intelligent mind, infinite in wisdom, and boundless in power; and by a very slight and natural extension of the same principle, we arrive with equal certainty at the conviction, of this cause being the first,—not arising out of any thing preceding it, consequently self-existent and eternal. All this is not such a process of

reasoning as requires logical habits, and admits of debate, deliberation, or doubt;—the metaphysician may be wilder himself in its very simplicity; but the uncontaminated mind finds its way to the conclusion with unerring certainty, and with a conviction, which is felt to be not only satisfactory, but irresistible.

ing in the property of the second property of

When we proceed, from these first or intuitive articles of belief, to the farther investigation of truth in any department of knowledge, various mental processes are brought into operation; but, in regard to all of them, reason is our ultimate guide, in judging whether they are performed in a legitimate manner, and upon principles calculated to lead to the discovery of truth. These processes may be chiefly referred to the following heads:

I. To make a careful collection of facts relating to the subject; and to abstain from deducing any conclusions till we have before us such a series as seems calculated to warrant them. The first operation of reason therefore is, to judge when we have a sufficient collection of facts for this purpose.

II. To separate from the mass those facts which are counected with it incidentally, and to retain those only which we have reason to consider as uniform and essential. In some sciences, this is accomplished by repeated and varied experiments; and in those departments which do not admit of this, It is done by cautious and extensive observation. Our object in both cases is, to ascertain how many of the circumstances observed, and what particular combinations of them uniformly accompany each other, or are really connected with the effects which are produced. In this careful clearing of our statement from all incidental combinations, consists that faithful observation of nature which forms the first step in every scientific investigation. It is opposed to two errors, both equally to be avoided, namely, leaving out of view, or not assigning an adequate value to, important and essential facts; and giving a place and an importance to those which are incidental and trivial. In every scientific investigation, this is a process of the utmost importance; and there is another nearly connected with it, namely, to judge of the authenticity of the facts. This also is a mental process of the utmost delicacy. In conducting it, there are two extremes from which the exercise of sound judgment ought equally to guard us, namely, receiving facts upon imperfect evidence, and rejecting those which have a sufficient title to credit; in other words, credulity and scepticism. Both these extremes are equally unworthy of a mind which is guided by sound reason.

III. To compare facts with each other so as to trace their resemblances, or to ascertain those characters or preperties in which a certain number of facts or substances agree. We

thus arrange them into classes, genera, and species.

IV. To compare facts or events with each other, so as to trace their relations and sequences; especially that relation of uniform sequence, on which is founded our notion of cause and effect. This delicate and most important process consists entirely in a patient observation of facts, and of their relation to each other. When, in a certain number of instances, we find two events following one another without any exception, we come to consider the sequence as uniform, and call the one cause and the other effect; and when, in other instances, we are disappointed in finding such a succession, this confidence is shaken, unless we can discover a cause by which the sequence was interrupted. Reason, acting upon extensive observation, must here guide us,—on the one hand, to judge of the uniformity of the sequences, and, on the other, to account for apparent deviations.

V. To review an extensive collection of facts, so as to discover some general fact common to the whole. This is the process which we call generalizing, or the induction of a general principle. The result of it is the last and greatest object of human science, and that to which all the other steps are preliminary and subservient. An ordinary mind is satisfied with the observation of facts as they pass before it, and those obvious relations which obtrude themselves upon its notice; but the philosopher analyzes the phenomena, and thus discovers their more minute relations. His genius is distinguished above the industry of the mere observer of facts, when he thus traces principles of accordance among facts which, to the vulgar eye, appear remote and dissimilar. A remarkable example of this is familiar to every one.

Between the fall of an apple from a tree and the motions of the heavenly bodies, a common mind would have been long ere it discovered any kind of relation; but, on such a relation, Newton founded those grand principles by which he brought to light the order and harmony of the universe. For it was this simple fact that first suggested to him the great principle of physical science, that matter attracts mat-

ter reciprocally, in the ratio of the masses.

In a practical view, these processes may be referred to three heads, namely, collecting authentic facts,—tracing causation,—and deducing general principles. Here various mental operations are brought into action, especially attention, memory, conception, and abstraction; but it is the province of reason to judge whether these are conducted in a legitimate manner, or, in other words, to distinguish truth from false-hood. It may therefore be important to keep in mind, what those circumstances are in which consist truth and falsehood,

in reference to any department of knowledge.

I. In collecting facts, it is required, in the first place, that they shall be authentic; secondly, that the statement shall include a full and fair view of all the circumstances which ought to be taken into our investigation of the case; and thirdly, that it shall not include any facts which are not connected with the subject, or whose connexion is only incidental. When we have thus formed a collection of facts, full, and essential, the statement, in as far as relates to the facts, constitutes truth. When any of the facts are not authentic; when important facts are left out of the statement, or misrepresented; or when facts are taken into it, which, though true, have no real relation to the subject;—this constitutes fallacy or falsehood.

II. In considering two events as connected in the manner of cause and effect;—when this relation is deduced from a full and extensive observation of the sequence being uniform,—this is truth. When it is assumed upon inadequate grounds, that is, from the observation of a connexion which is only incidental or limited,—this is either falsehood or hypothesis; for the relation may be assumed upon grounds which, though not actually false, are yet not sufficient to establish it as true; namely, on observation which is too limited in extent. This is conjecture or hypothesis; and it is, in some

cases, a legitimate process, provided it be used only as a guide for farther observation, and be not received as true, until such observation shall have been sufficient to confirm it.

III. In deducing from a large collection of facts, a general fact or general principle —when this induction is made from a full examination of all the individual cases to which the general fact is meant to apply, and actually does apply to them all,-this is truth. When it is deduced from a small number of observations, and extended to others to which it does not apply, this is falsehood. As in the former case, however, a general principle may be deduced hypothetically, or by conjecture; that is, it may be assumed as general so far as we at present know. This process is often legitimate and useful as a guide in farther inquiry, if it be employed for this purpose only, and the result be not received as truth until it be established by sufficient observation. A great and not unfrequent error is, that, when such hypothetical principles are proposed in a confident manner, they are very often received as true; and the consequence is, that a degree of observation is required for exposing their fallacy, perhaps as extensive as, if properly employed, might have been sufficient to discover the truth. Those who are acquainted with the history of medical doctrines will be best able to judge of the accuracy of this observation, and to estimate the extensive influence which this error has had in retarding the progress of medical science.

The proper rules to be observed, in deducing a general principle, are therefore opposed, in the first place, to the error of hasty generalizing, or deducing such a principle from a limited number of facts. They are farther opposed to another error, prevalent in the hypothetical systems of the old philosophy, by which phenomena were referred to principles altogether fictitions and imaginary, or, in other words, which could not be shown to be facts. In opposition to both these errors, the great rule of induction in modern science is, that the principle which is assumed as general shall be itself a fact, and that the fact shall be universal. Thus, what we call the law of gravitation, is primarily nothing more than the fact, that bodies fall to the earth: and that this is true of all bedies, without a single exception. Of the cause of this fact, or the hidden principle on which it depends, we

know nothing; and all the investigations of Newton were carried on independently even of the attempt to discover it. "When Newton," says Mr. Stewart, "showed that the same law of gravity extends to the celestial spaces, and that the power by which the moon and planets are retained in their orbits, is precisely similar in its effects to that which is manifested in the fall of a stone; he left the efficient cause of gravity as much in the dark as ever, and only generalized still farther the conclusions of his predecessors."

False investigation may be briefly referred to three heads,
fallacies in facts;—false induction,—and false reasoning.

I. Fallacies in Facts. A statement of facts is fallacious, when any of the alleged facts are not true,—when it includes facts not relating to the subject,—and when important facts are omitted. This last error is most frequently exemplified in those cases in which facts are collected on one side of a question only, or in support of a particular doctrine. To the same class we may likewise add those instances in which statements are received as facts, which are not facts but opinions.

II. FALSE INDUCTION includes false causation, and false reneralization. False causation is, when two events are considered as cause and effect without sufficient reason, and which are, in fact, only incidentally combined :- when events are considered as cause and effect which are only joint effects of a common cause; and when, of two events really connected as cause and effect, we mistake the order of the sequence, considering that as the cause which is really the effect, and that as the effect which is really the cause. The error of false causation is most apt to occur in those sciences in which there is peculiar difficulty in tracing effects to their true causes, and causes to their true effects. These, as formerly mentioned, are exemplified by medicine and political economy. A physician, for example, ascribes the cure of a patient to a remedy which he has taken, though it perhaps had no influence on his recovery; and a political declaimer refers some circumstance of national distress or commercial embarrassment to certain public measures, which happened to correspond in time, but were in fact entirely unconnected. False generalization, again, as was lately stated, includes general principles which are deduced from a limited unmber of facts; and hypotheses which cannot be shown to be facts. But are entirely fictitious and imaginary.

III. False Reasoning. This consists either,—in applying to the explanation of facts, principles which are unsound,—in applying sound principles to facts which have no relation to them,—or in deducing conclusions which do not follow from these facts and principles.

REASONING is usually divided into two parts, which have been called the intuitive and the discursive. Intuitive reasoning, or intuitive judgment, is when the truth of a proposition is perceived whenever it is announced. This applies to axioms or self-evident truths, -and to first truths or fundamental articles of belief, formerly referred to, which rest upon the absolute conviction of the whole mass of mankind. In discursive reasoning, again, some of these axioms or first truths are applied to particular facts, so as to deduce from the connexion new conclusions. Thus, when we say that "every event must have an adequate cause," we state a principle of intuitive judgment. When we then collect from the phenomena of nature, various examples of adaptation and design. and, applying that intuitive principle to these facts, arrive at the conclusion that the universe is the work of an intelligent and designing first cause,-this is discursive reasoning. The new principle or conclusion thus deduced may be applied in a similar manner to the deduction of farther conclusions, and so on through what we call a chain of reasoning. Any particular piece of reasoning, then, may generally be resolved into the following elements.

1. Certain principles or propositions which are stated either as axioms, as first truths, or as deductions from some former

process of reasoning,

2. Certain facts or relations of facts, derived either from observation or testimony, which are stated as true, and to which the principles are to be in some manner applied.

3. Certain new conclusions deduced from the application of

the principles to the facts.

In examining the validity of such a process, we have not only to attend to the correctness of the principles and the authenticity of the alleged facts, but likewise to inquire whether the facts are of that class to which the principles are legitimately applicable; for the principles may be true, and the facts authentic, and yet the reasoning may be unsound, from the principles being applied to facts to which they have no relation.

This method of examining, separately, the elements of an argument, appears to correspond with the ancient syllogism; and this, accordingly, when divested of its systematic shape, is the mental process which we perform, whenever we either state, or examine any piece of reasoning. If I say, for example, "the greatest kings are mortal, for they are but men;" I appear to state a very simple proposition; but it is in fact a process of reasoning which involves all the elements of the syllogism; namely.

1. The general fact or proposition, that all men are mortal.

2. The fact referable to the class of facts which are included under this proposition,—that kings are men.

3. The deduction from this connexion, that kings are mortal.

For the validity and efficacy of such a process, two things are necessary:

1. That the general proposition which forms the first part of the statement, or, in logical language, the major proposition, be absolutely and universally true, or true without exception in regard to facts of a certain class,—and be admitted as such by those to whom the reasoning is addressed.

2. That the fact referred to it, or the minor proposition, be admitted or proved to be one of that class of facts which

are included under the general proposition.

The conclusion then follows by a very simple process. If either of the two former propositions be deficient or untrue, the argument is unsound. Thus, if I had varied the statement as follows,—" Angels, like other human beings, are mortal;"—there is a fallacy which, when put into the syllogistic form, is immediately apparent; thus,

All human beings are mortal, Angels are human beings; Therefore, angels are mortal. The general or major proposition here is true; but the finner is not one of the class of facts which are included under it; therefore the conclusion is false. If I had said again, "Angels, like other created beings, are mortal;" the fallacy is equally apparent, though from a different source; thus,

All created beings are mortal, Angels are created beings; Therefore, angels are mortal.

Here the minor proposition is true, or is a fact included under the first; but the first, or major, is not true, for we have no ground to believe that all created beings are mortal. On the other hand, when a general fact is assumed as true of a certain class of cases, we must not assume the converse as true of those which are not included in the class; thus, from the proposition, that all human beings are mortal we are not entitled to infer that angels, who are not human beings, are immortal. Whether this conclusion be true or not, the argument is false; because the conclusion does not arise out of the premises; for, from the admitted general fact, that human beings are mortal, it does not follow, that all who are not human beings are not mortal. Yet this will be found a mode of fallacious reasoning of very frequent occur-The rule to be kept in mind for avoiding such fallacies is,-that a general truth, which applies invariably to a certain class, may be applied to any individual which can be shown to be included in that class: -but that we are not entitled to extend it to any which cannot be shown to belong to the class ;-and that we are not to assume the reverse to be true of those which do not belong to it. On the other hand, we are not to assume a property as belonging to a class, because we have ascertained it to belong to a certain number of individuals. This error comes under another part of our subject, and has been already alluded to under the head of false generalization. The syllogism, therefore, cannot properly be considered an engine for the discovery of truth, but rather for enabling us to judge of the application of, and deductions from, truths previously ascertained. For, before we can construct such a process as constitutes the syllogism, we require to have premised that most important process of inves-

tigation, by which a fact is ascertained to be general in regard to all the individuals of a class,—and likewise, that certain individuals specified in the argument belong to this class. Thus the syllogism is nothing more than that process of mind which we exercise every time when we examine the validity of an argument, though we may not always put it into this systematic form. And yet there may often be advantage in doing so, as it enables us to examine the elements of the argument more distinctly apart. It is related of an eminent English barrister, afterwards a distinguished judge, that, on one occasion, he was completely puzzled by an argument adduced by his opponent in an important case, and that he did not detect the fallacy, till he went home and put it into the form of a syllogism. Though a syllogism, therefore, may not lead to any discovery of truth, it may be an important instrument in the detection of sophistry, by directing the attention, distinctly and separately, to the various elements which compose a statement or an argument, and enabling us to detect the part in which the sophistry is involved.

In every process of reasoning there are two distinct objects of attention, or circumstances to be examined, before we admit the validity of the argument. These are,—the premises or data which the reasoner assumes, and which he expects us to admit as true;—and the conclusions which he proposes to found upon these premises. The premises again consist of three parts, which we require to examine separa-

tely and rigidly. These are,

1. Certain statements which he brings forward as facts, and which he expects to be admitted as such.

2. Certain principles or propositions which he assumes as

first truths, or articles of belief universally admitted.

3. Certain other propositions which he refers to, as deductions from former processes of investigation, or proces-

ses of reasoning.

If the statements referable to these three heads are admitted as true, the argument proceeds, and we have then only to judge of the validity or correctness of his farther deductions. If they are not at once admitted, the argument cannot proceed till we are satisfied on these preliminary points. If we do not admit his facts, we require him to go back to the evidence on which they rest. If we do not admit the general

propositions which he assumes, we require the processes of reasoning or investigation on which these are founded. When we are at last agreed upon these premises, we proceed to judge of the conclusions which he proposes to deduce from

The circumstances, now referred to, may be considered as the essential parts of a process of reasoning, in a logical view; but there is another point which we require to keep carefully in mind in examining such a process, and that is, the use of terms. Much of the confusion and perplexity in reasoning consists in the ambiguity of the terms; this is referable to three heads, namely: 1. Terms of a vague and indefinite character, the precise import of which has not been 2. Terms employed in a sense, in some respect different from their common and recognised acceptation. 3. Varying the import of a term, so as to use it in different meanings in different parts of the same argument; or employing it at different times, in different degrees of comprehension and extension.

In examining the validity of a process of reasoning, then, the mental operation which we ought to perform may be

guided by the following considerations:

1. What statements does the author propose as matters of fact; are these authentic; are they all really bearing upon, or connected with, the subject; do they comprise a full and fair view of all the facts which ought to be brought forward in reference to the inquiry; or have we reason to suspect that any of them have been disguised or modified, that important facts have been omitted or kept out of view,-that the author has not had sufficient opportunities of acquiring the facts which he ought to have been possessed of, -or that he has been collecting facts on one side of a question, or in support of a particular opinion?

2. What propositions are assumed, either as first or intuitive truths, or as deductions arising out of former processes of investigation; and are we satisfied that these are all legitimate and correct ?- In particular, does he make any statement in regard to two or more events being connected as cause and effect; and is this connexion assumed on sufficient grounds: - does he assume any general principle as applicable to a certain class of facts; is this principle in itself a fact,

and does it really apply to all the cases which he means to include under it; have we any reason to believe that it has been deduced from an insufficient number of facts,—or is it a mere fictitious hypothesis, founded upon a principle which cannot be proved to have a real existence?

3. Do these assumed principles and facts really belong to the same subject,—or, in other words, do the facts belong to

that class to which the principles apply?

4. Are the leading terms which he employs fully and distinctly defined as to their meaning; does he employ them in their common and recognised acceptation; and does he uniformly use them in the same sense; or does he seem to attach different meanings to the same term in different parts of his argument?

5. What are the new conclusions which he deduces from the whole view of the subject; are these correct and valid; and do they really follow from the premises laid down in the previous parts of his argument? For, on this head it is always to be kept in mind, that a conclusion may be true, while it does not follow from the argument which has been brought to prove it: in such a case, the argument is unsound.

Much of the confusion, fallacy, and sophistry of reasoning urises from these points not being sufficiently attended to, and distinctly and rigidly investigated. An argument may appear fair and consecutive, but, when we rigidly examine it, we may find, that the reasoner has, in his premises, contrived to introduce some statement, which is not true in point of fact, or some bold general position, which is not correct, or not proved; or that he has left out some fact, or some principle, which ought to have been brought forward in a prominent manner, as closely connected with the inquiry. Hence the necessity for keeping constantly in view the various sources of fallacy to which every process of reasoning is liable, and for examining the elements rigidly and separately, before we admit the conclusion.

A process of reasoning is to be distinguished from a process of investigation; and both may be illustrated in the following manner. All reasoning must be founded upon facts, and the ascertained relations of these facts to each other. The nature of these relations has already been mentioned, as referable to the various heads of resemblance,

cause, effect, &c. The statement of an ascertained relation of two facts to each other, is called a proposition, such as—that A is equal to B;—that C has a close resemblance to D;—that E is the cause of F, &c. These statements, propositions, or ascertained relations are discovered by processes of investigation. In a process of reasoning, again, we take a certain number of such propositions or ascertained relations, and deduce from them certain other truths or relations, arising out of the mutual connexion of some of these propositions to each other. Thus, if I state as propositions ascertained by processes of investigation, that A is equal to B,—and that B is equal to C;—I immediately decide by a single step of reasoning, that A is equal to C, in consequence of the mutual relation which both A and C have to B. Such a process may be rendered more complicated in two ways:

1. By the number of such ascertained relations which we require to bear in mind and compare with each other, before we arrive at the conclusion. Thus, the relation that A is equal to E, might rest on such a series of relations as the following;—A is equal to B; B is the double of C; C is the half of D; D is equal to E; therefore A is equal to E.

2. By propositions, which are the conclusions of one or more steps in a process, becoming the premises in a subsequent step. Thus,—I may take as one process; A is equal to B, and B is equal to C; therefore A is equal to C;—and, as a distinct process,—C is equal to D, and D is equal to E; therefore C is equal to E. The conclusions from these two processes I then take as the premises in a third process,—thus:—it has been proved that A is equal to C, and that C is equal to E; therefore A is equal to E.

In examining the validity of such processes, there are two circumstances or objects of inquiry which we ought to keep constantly in view. (1.) Have we confidence in the accuracy of the alleged facts, and ascertained relations which form the premises:—Can we rely on the process of investigation by which it is said to have been ascertained that A is equal to B, and that B is equal to C, &c.? (2.) Are the various propositions in the series so related as to bring out a new truth or new relation? For it is to be kept in mind, that a series of propositions may all be true, and yet lead to nothing,—such propositions, for example, as that A is equal to B,—C is

equal to D,—E is equal to F. There is here no mutual relation, and no new truth arises out of the series. But when I say,—A is equal to B, and B is equal to C, a new truth is immediately disclosed, in consequence of the relation which both A and C have to B; namely, that A is equal to C.

Inventive genius, in regard to processes of reasoning, consists in finding out relations or propositions which are thus capable of disclosing new truths or new relations; and in placing them in that order which is calculated to show how these new relations arise out of them. This is the exercise of a reflecting mind; and there may be much acquired knowledge, that is, many facts accumulated by memory alone, without any degree of this exercise or habit of reflection. But both are required for forming a well-cultivated mind;the memory must be stored with information, that is, ascertained facts, and ascertained relations; and the power of reflection must be habituated to discover new truths or new relations, by a comparison of these facts and ascertained relations with each other. For, the discovery of new truths may consist either of new facts, or of new relations among facts previously known. Thus, it might happen, that we had long been familiar with two facts, without being aware that they had any particular connexion. If we were then to ascertain, that the one of these was the cause of the other, it would be a real and important discovery of a new truth, though it would consist only of a new relation between facts which had long been known to us.

A process of reasoning, as we have seen, consists of two parts, namely, the premises and the conclusion deduced from them. If the premises be admitted as true, the remaining part of the process becomes comparatively simple. But it often happens, that a reasoner must begin by establishing his premises. This is most remarkably exemplified in what we call a chain of reasoning, consisting of numerous distinct arguments or steps, so arranged that the conclusion from one step becomes an essential part of the premises in the next; and this may be continued through a long series. The process then becomes much more complicated, and, in judging of the accuracy of the reasoning, we require to examine carefully every part of it as we proceed, to guard against the introduction of fallacy.

Without this attention it may often happen, that the more advanced parts of an argument may appear fair and consecutive, while a fallacy has been allowed to creep into some part of it, which, in fact, vitiates the whole. In the preceding observations, we have endeavoured to point out some of the leading cautions to be observed in this respect, especially in regard to the admission of facts, the assumption of causation, and the deduction of general principles; and also the sources of fallacy to be kept in view in conducting these processes. But there is another class of fallacies, which, though less immediately connected with our inquiries, it may be right briefly to point out in relation to this subject. These are what may be called logical fallacies, or perversions of reasoning. In regard to them, as well as to those formerly mentioned, it is to be kept in mind, that, however obvious they may appear when simply stated, this is by no means the case when they are skilfully involved in a long process of reasoning. The fallacies of this class may be chiefly referred to the following heads:

I. When a principle is assumed, which in fact, amounts to the thing to be proved; slightly disguised, perhaps, by some variation in the terms. This is commonly called Petitio Principii, or begging the question. When simply stated, it appears a fallacy not likely to be admitted; but will be found one of very frequent occurrence. It is indeed remarkable to observe the facility with which a dogma, when it has been boldly and confidently stated, is often admitted by numerous readers, without a single inquiry into the evidence on which

it is founded.

II. When a principle is assumed without proof; when this is employed to prove something else; and this is again applied, in some way, in support of the first-assumed principle. This is called reasoning in a circle; and the difficulty of detecting it is often in proportion to the extent of the circle, or the number of principles which are thus made to hang upon one another.

III. A frequent source of fallacy is, when a reasoner assumes a principle, and then launches out into various illustrations and analogies, which are artfully made to bear the appearance of proofs. The cautions to be kept in mind in such a case are, that the illustrations may be useful, and the

analogies may be of importance, provided the principle has been proved; but that, if it has not been proved, the illustrations must go for nothing, and even analogies seldom have any weight which can be considered as of the nature of evidence. Fallacies of this class are most apt to occur in the declamations of public speakers; and, when they are set off with all the powers of eloquence, it is often difficult to detect them. The questions which the hearer should propose to himself in such cases, are,—does this really contain any proof bearing upon the subject, or is it mere illustration and analogy, in itself proving nothing? If so, has the reasoner previously established his principle; or has he assumed it, and trusted to these analogies as his proofs?

IV. A fallacy somewhat analogous to the preceding, consists in arguing for or against a doctrine, on the ground of its supposed tendency, leaving out of view the primary question of its truth. Thus, a speculator in theology will contend, in regard to a doctrine which he opposes, that it is derogatory to the character of the Deity; and, respecting another which he brings forward, that it represents the Deity in an aspect more accordant with the benignity of his character. The previous question in all such cases, is,—not what is most accordant with our notions respecting the divine character.—

but what is truth.

V. When a principle which is true of one case, or one class of cases, is extended by analogy to others, which differ in some important particulars. The caution to be observed here is, to inquire strictly whether the cases are analogous. or whether there exists any difference which makes the principle not applicable. We have formerly alluded to a remarkable example of this fallacy, in notions relating to the properties of matter being applied to mind, without attention to the fact, that the cases are so distinct as to have nothing in common. An example somewhat analogous is found in Mr. Hume's objection to miracles, that they are violations of the established order of nature. The cases, we have seen, are not analogous; for miracles do not refer to the common course of nature, but to the operation of an agency altogether new and peculiar. Arguments founded upon analogy, therefore, require to be used with the utmost caution, when they are employed directly for the discovery or the establishment.

of truth. But there is another purpose to which they may be applied, with much greater freedom,—namely, for repelling objections. Thus, if we find a person bringing objections against a particular doctrine, it is a sound and valid mode of reasoning to contend, that he receives doctrines which rest upon the same kind of evidence; or that similar objections might be urged, with equal force, against truths which it is impossible to call in question. It is in this manner that the argument from analogy is employed in the valuable work of Bishop Butler. He does not derive from the analogy of nature any direct argument in support of natural or revealed religion; but shows that many of the objections, which are urged against the truths of religion, might be brought against circumstances in the economy and course of nature which are known and undoubted facts.

VI. A fallacy the reverse of the former is used by sophistical writers; namely, when two cases are strictly analogous, they endeavour to prove that they are not so, by pointing out trivial differences, not calculated in any degree to weaken

the force of the analogy.

VII. When a true general principle is made to apply exclusively to one fact or one class of facts, while it is equally true of various others. This is called, in logical language, the non-distribution of the middle term. In an example given by logical writers, one is supposed to maintain that corn is necessary for life, because food is necessary for life, and corn is food. It is true that food is necessary for life; but this does not apply to any one particular kind of food; it means only, that food of some kind or other is so. When simply stated, the fallacy of such a position is at once obvious, but it may be introduced into an argument in such a manner as not to be so immediately detected.

VIII. When an acknowledged proposition is inverted, and the converse assumed to be equally true. We may say, for example, that a badly governed country must be distressed; but we are not entitled to assume that every distressed country is badly governed; for there may be many other sources of national distress. I may say,—"all wise men live temperately;" but it does not follow that every man who lives temperately is a wise man. This fallacy was formerly referred to, under the syllogism. It is, at the same time, to be kept

in mind, that some propositions do admit of being inverted, and still remain equally true. This holds most remarkably of propositions which are universally negative, as in an example given by writers on logic.—"No ruminating animal is a beast of prey." It follows, as equally true, that no beast of prey ruminates. But if I were to vary the proposition by saying, "all animals which do not ruminate are beasts of prey," this would be obviously false,—and does not arise out of the former statement.

IX. A frequent source of fallacy, among sophistical writers, consists in boldly applying a character to a class of facts, in regard to which it carries a general aspect of truth, without attention to important distinctions, by which the statement requires to be modified. Thus, it has been objected to our belief of the miracles of the sacred writings, that they rest upon the evidence of testimony, and that testimony is fallacious. Now, when we speak of testimony in general, we may say, with an appearance of truth, that it is fallacious; but, in point of fact, testimony is to be referred to various species: and, though a large proportion of these may be fallacious, there is a species of testimony on which we rely with absolute confidence; that is, we feel it to be as improbable that this kind of testimony should deceive us, as that we should be disappointed in our expectation of the uniformity of nature. The kind of sophism now referred to, seems to correspond with that which logical writers have named the fallacy of division. It consists in applying to facts in their separate state, what only belongs to them collectively. The converse of it is the fallacy of composition. It consists in applying to the facts collectively, what belongs only to them, or to some of them, in their separate state; as if one were to show that a certain kind of testimony is absolutely to be relied on, and thence were to contend that testimony in general is worthy of absolute confidence.

X. A frequent fallacy consists in first overturning an unsound argument, and thence reasoning against the doctrine which this argument was meant to support. This is the part of a mere casuist, not of a sincere inquirer after truth; for it by no means follows that a doctrine is false, because unsound arguments have been adduced in support of it. We have formerly alluded to some remarkable examples of this fallacy,

especially in regard to those important principles, commonly called first truths; which, we have seen, admit of no processes of reasoning, and, consequently, are in no degree affected by arguments exposing the fallacy of such processes. We learn from this, on the other hand, the importance of avoiding all weak and inconclusive arguments, or doubtful statements; for, independently of the opening which they give for sophistical objections, it is obvious, that, on other grounds, the reasoning is only encumbered by them. It is the part of . the casuist to rest the weight of his objections on such weak points, leaving out of view those which he cannot contend with. It may even happen that a conclusion is true, though the whole reasoning may have been weak, unsound, and irrelevant. The casuist, of course, in such a case, attacks the reasoning and not the conclusion. On the other hand, there may be much in an argument which is true, or which may be conceded; while the most important part of it is untrue, and the conclusion false. An inexperienced reasoner, in such a case, thinks it necessary to combat every point, and thus exposes himself to sound replies from his adversary, on subjects which are of no importance. A skilful reasoner concedes or passes over all such positions, and rests his attack on those in which the fallacy is really involved. An example illustrative of this subject is familiar to those who are acquainted with the controversy respecting our idea of cause and effect. Mr. Hume stated in a clear manner the doctrine, that this idea is derived entirely from our experience of a uniform sequence of two events; and founded upon this an argument against our belief in a great first cause. This led to a controversy respecting the original doctrine itself; and it is not many years since it was contended by respectable individuals, that it is nothing less than the essence of atheism to maintain, that our notion of cause and effect originates in the observation of a uniform sequence. It is now, perhaps, universally admitted that this doctrine is correct,—and that the sophism of Mr. Hume consisted in deducing from it conclusions which it in no degree warrants. This important distinction we formerly alluded to,-namely, that our idea of cause and effect, in regard to any two individual events, is totally distinct from our intuitive impression of causation, or our absolute conviction that every event must have an adequate cause.

M. A sophism, somewhat connected with the former, consists in disproving a doctrine, and on that account assuming the opposite doctrine to be true. It may be true; but its truth does not depend upon the falsehood of that which is opposed to it; yet this will be found a principle of not unfre-

quent occurrence in unsound reasonings.

XII. Fallacies are often introduced, in what may be termed an oblique manner, or, as if upon a generally admitted authority. The effect of this is to take off the appearance of the statement being made directly by the author, and resting upon his authority, by which we might be led to examine its truth. For this purpose it is put, perhaps, in the form of question; or is introduced by such expressions as the following:—"it is a remarkable fact,"—"it is somewhat singular,"—"it has been argued with much justice,"—"it will be generally admitted," &c.

XIII. Fallacy may arise from leaving the main subject of discussion, and arguing upon points which have but a secondary relation to it. This is one of the resources of the casuist when he finds himself in the worst of the argument. Nearly allied to this is the art of skilfully dropping part of a statement, when the reasoner finds he cannot support it, and going on boldly with the remainder as if he still maintained

the whole.

XIV. Much of the fallacy and ambiguity of processes of reasoning depends entirely, as formerly stated, on the use of terms. This may consist in two contending parties using the same word in different meanings, without defining what their meanings are ;—in one or both using terms in a sense different from their commonly recognised acceptation; or in using them in one sense in one part of the argument, and in another in a different part of it. Such disputes, accordingly, are often interminable; and this mode of disputation is one of the great resources of the casuist, or of him who argues for victory, not for truth. The remedy is, that every reasoner shall be required clearly to define the terms which he employs; and that, in every controversy, certain premises or preliminaries shall be fixed, in which the parties are agreed. The ambiguity of terms is in fact so extensive a source of fallacy, that scarcely any sophistical argument will be found free from it; as, in almost every language, the same term is used with

great diversity of meanings. Let us take, for example the term Faith. It means—a mere system of opinions,—confidence in testimony,-reliance on the integrity, fidelity, and stability of character of other beings, an act of the understanding in regard to abstract truth presented to it,-and a mental condition by which truths, of another description, exert a uniform influence over the moral feelings, the will, and the whole character. In the controversies which have arisen out of this word, it will probably be found, that these various meanings have not been sufficiently distinguished from each other. A celebrated passage in the Spirit of Laws has been justly referred to, as a remarkable example of the same kind of sophism. "The Deity," says Montesquieu, "has his laws; the material world-its laws; intelligences superior to man-their laws; the brutes—their laws; man—his laws." In this short passage, the term laws is employed, probably, in four senses, remarkably different.

XV. There are various other sources of fallacy, consisting chiefly in the use of arguments which cannot be admitted as relevant in regard to the process of reasoning, though they may carry a certain weight in reference to the individuals concerned. Among these may be reckoned, appeals to high authorities, to popular prejudices, or to the passions of the multitude; and what is called the argumentum ad hominem. If a person, for example, be arguing in support of a particular rule of conduct, we may retort upon him, that his own conduct, in certain instances, was in direct opposition to it. This may be very true in regard to the individual, but can have

no influence in the decision of the question.

XVI. One of the most common sources of fallacy consists of distorted views and partial statements,—such as, facts disguised, modified, or collected on one side of a question;—or arguments and authorities adduced in support of particular opinions, leaving out of view those which tend to different conclusions. Misstatement, in one form or another, may, indeed, be considered as a most fruitful source of controversy; and, amid the contests of rival disputants, the chief difficulty which meets the candid inquirer after truth, is to have the subject presented to his mind without distortion. Hence the importance, in every inquiry, of suspending our judgment,—and of patiently devoting ourselves to clear the subject from

all imperfect views and partial statements. Without the most anxious attention to this rule, a statement may appear satisfactory, and a deduction legitimate, which are in fact

leading us widely astray from the truth.

After every possible care in any process of reasoning, we may still find, in many cases, a degree of doubt, and even certain varieties of opinion in regard to the import and bearing of the argument. This arises partly from actual differences in the power of judging, or what we call, in common language, vigour of mind; and partly from differences in attention, or in the habit of applying the judgment closely to the elements of an inquiry. Hence the varieties of opinion that may be held by different individuals on the same facts before them; and the degree of uncertainty which attends various processes of reasoning. There is one species of reasoning which is free from all this kind of uncertainty, namely, the mathematical; and the superiority of it depends, upon the following circumstances.

1. Nothing is taken for granted or depends upon mere authority; and, consequently, there is no room for fallacy or doubt in regard to the premises on which the reasoning is founded. No examination of facts is required in any degree analogous to that which is necessary in physical science. The mathematician proceeds upon simple relations of quantity and space, which can be correctly ascertained, and can be cleared from all ambiguity, and from every thing not connected with

the subject.

2. In the farther progress of a mathematical argument, if we have any doubt of a proposition which is assumed as the result of a former process, we have only to return to the demonstration of it, and be immediately satisfied. Thus, if any step of a process be founded upon the principle, that all the angles of a triangle are equal to two right angles, or that the square of the hypothenuse is equal to the sum of the squares of the two sides, should we have any doubt of the truth of these conclusions, the demonstration of them is before us. But, if an argument be founded on the principle, that the heavenly bodies attract one another with a force, which is directly as their quantity of matter, and inversely as the square of their distance, this great principle must be received on the authority of the eminent men by whom it was

ascertained, the mass of mankind having neither the power nor the means of verifying it.

3. All the terms are fully and distinctly defined, and there is no room for obscurity or ambiguity in regard to them.

4. The various steps in a process of mathematical reasoning follow each other so closely and consecutively, as to carry a constant conviction of absolute certainty; and, provided we are in possession of the necessary premises, each single step is short, and the result obvious.

5. The proper objects of mathematical reasoning are quantity and its relations; and these are capable of being defined and measured with a precision, of which the objects of other kinds of reasoning are entirely unsusceptible. It is, indeed, always to be kept in mind, that mathematical reasoning is only applicable to subjects which can be defined and measured in this manner, and that all attempts to extend it to subjects of other kinds have led to the greatest absurdities.

Notwithstanding the high degree of precision which thus distinguishes mathematical reasoning, the study of mathematics does not, as is commonly supposed, necessarily lead to precision in other species of reasoning, and still less to correct investigation in physical or mental science. The explanation that is given of this fact seems to be satisfactory. The mathematician argues certain conclusions from certain relations of quantity and space, which are ascertained with absolute precision; and these premises are so clearly defined, and so free from all extraneous matter, that their truth is obvious. or is ascertained without difficulty. By being conversant with truths of this nature, he does not learn that kind of caution and severe examination, which are required in other sciences, -for enabling us to judge whether the statements on which we proceed are true, and whether they include the whole truth which ought to enter into the investigation. He thus acquires a habit of too great facility in the admission of data or premises, which is the part of every investigation which the physical or mental inquirer scrutinizes with the most anxious care,—and too great confidence in the mere force of reasoning, without adequate attention to the previous processes of investigation on which all reasoning must be founded. It has been, accordingly, remarked, by Mr. Stewart, and other accurate observers of intellectual character, that mathematicians

are apt to be exceedingly credulous, in regard both to opinions and to matters of testimony; while, on the other hand, persons, who are chiefly conversant with the uncertain sciences, acquire a kind of scepticism in regard to statements, which is apt to lead them into the opposite error. These observations, of course, apply only to what we may call a mere mathematician,—a character which is now, probably, rare, since the close connexion was established between the mathematical

and physical sciences in the philosophy of Newton.

In the various steps constituting a process of reasoning, or a process of investigation, in any department of knowledge, our guide is reason or judgment. Its peculiar province is to give to each fact, or each principle, a proper place and due influence in the inquiry, and to trace the real and true tendency of it in the conclusion. It is, of course, assisted by other mental operations, as memory, conception, and abstraction, but especially by attention,—or a deliberate and careful application of the mind to each fact and each consideration which ought to have a place in the inquiry. This is entirely a voluntary exercise of the mind, strengthened and made easy by habit or frequent exercise, and weakened or impaired by disuse or misapplication; and there is, perhaps, nothing which has a greater influence in the formation of character, or in determining the place which a man is to assume among his fellow-men.

This sound exercise of judgment is widely distinct from the art of ingenious disputation. The object of the former is to weigh, fully and candidly, all the relations of things, and to give to each fact its proper weight in the inquiry: the aim of the latter is to seize, with rapidity, particular relations. and to find facts bearing upon a particular view of a subject. This habit, when much exercised, tends rather to withdraw the attention from the cultivation of the former. Thus, it has not unfrequently happened, that an ingenious pleader has made a bad judge; and that acute and powerful disputants have perplexed themselves by their own subtleties, till they have ended by doubting of every thing. The same observation applies to controversial writing; and hence the hesitation with which we receive the arguments and statements of a keen controvertist, and the necessity for hearing both sides. In making use of this caution, we may not accuse the reasoner of any unsound arguments or false statements. We only charge

him with acting the part of an ingenious pleader, who brings forward the statements and arguments calculated to favour one side of a question, and leaves those of the opposite side out The candid inquirer, like the just judge, considers both sides, and endeavours, according to the best of his judgment, to decide between them. To the same principle we trace the suspicion, with which we receive the statements of an author, who first brings forward his doctrine, and then proceeds to collect facts in support of it. To a similar process we may ascribe the paradoxical opinions, into which sophistical writers have brought themselves, often on subjects of the highest importance; and which they have continued to advocate, with much appearance of an honest conviction of their truth. It would be unjust to suppose that these writers have always intended to impose upon others; they have very often imposed upon themselves; but they have done so by their own voluntary act, in a misapplication of their reasoning powers. They have directed their attention, exclusively or chiefly, to one view of a subject, and have neglected to direct it, with the same care, to the facts and considerations which tend to support the opposite conclusions.

In regard to the sound exercise of judgment, it is farther to be remarked, that it may exist without the habit of observing the various steps in the mental process which is connected Thus we find men of that character, to which we give the name of strong sound sense, who form just and comprehensive conclusions on a subject, without being able to explain to others the chain of thought by which they arrived at them; and who, when they attempt to do so, are apt to bewilder themselves, and fall into absurdities. Such persons, accordingly, are adapted for situations requiring both soundness of judgment and promptitude in action; but they make a bad figure in public speaking or reasoning. They are, indeed, possessed of a faculty more valuable than any thing that metaphysics or logic can furnish; but a due attention to these sciences might increase their usefulness, by enabling them to communicate to others the mental process which led to their decisions. A person of this description, according to a well-known anecdote, when appointed to a judicial situation in one of the colonies, received from an eminent judge the advice, to trust to his own good sense in forming his opinions, but never to attempt to state the grounds of them. "The judgment," said he, "will probably be right, the argument will infallibly be wrong." When this strong sound judgment, and correct logical habits, are united in the same individual, they form the character of one who arrives at true conclusions on any subject to which his attention is directed, and, at the same time, carries others along with him to a full conviction of their truth.

We have, then, every reason to believe, that though there may be original differences in the power of judgment, the chief source of the actual varieties in this important function is rather to be found in its culture and regulation. On this subject there are various considerations of the highest interest, claiming the attention of those who wish to have the understanding trained to the investigation of truth. These are chiefly referable to two heads; namely, the manner in which the judgment suffers from deficient culture; and the manner in which it is distorted by want of due regulation.

The judgment is impaired by deficient culture. is exemplified in that listless and indifferent habit of the mind, in which there is no exercise of correct thinking, or of a close and continued application of the attention to subjects of real importance. The mind is engrossed by frivolities and trifles, or bewildered by the wild play of the imagination; and, in regard to opinions on the most important subjects, it either feels a total indifference, or receives them from others without the exertion of thinking or examining for itself. The individuals, who are thus affected, either become the dupes of sophistical opinions imposed upon them by other men, or spend their lives in frivolous and unworthy pursuits, with a total incapacity for all important inquiries. A slight degree removed from this condition of mind is another, in which opinions are formed on slight and partial examination, perhaps from viewing one side of a question, or, at least, without a full and candid direction of the attention to all the facts which ought to be taken into the inquiry. Both these conditions of mind may perhaps originate partly in constitutional peculiarities or erroneous education; but they are fixed and increased by habit and indulgence, until, after a certain time, they probably become irremediable. They can be corrected only by a diligent cultivation of the important habit, which, in common language, we call sound and correct thinking; and which is of equal value, whether it be applied to the formation of opinions, or the regulation of conduct.

The judgment is vitiated by want of due regulation; and this may be ascribed chiefly to two sources, -- prejudice and passion. Prejudice consists in the formation of opinions before the subject has been really examined. By means of this, the attention is misdirected, and the judgment biassed, in a manner of which the individual is often in a great measure unconscious. The highest degree of it is exemplified in that condition of the mind in which a man first forms an opinion, which interest or inclination may have suggested; then proceeds to collect arguments in support of it; and concludes by reasoning himself into the belief of what he wishes to be true. It is thus that the judgment is apt to be misled, in a greater or less degree, by party spirit, and personal attachments or antipathies; and it is clear that all such influence is directly opposed to its sound and healthy exercise. The same observations apply to passion, or the influence exerted by the moral feelings. The most striking example of this is presented by that depraved condition of the mind, which distorts the judgment in regard to the great principles of moral rectitude. "A man's understanding," says Mr. Locke, "seldom fails him in this part, unless his will would have it so; if he takes a wrong course, it is most commonly because he goes wilfully out of the way, or at least chooses to be bewildered; and there are few, if any, who dreadfully mistake, that are willing to be right."

These facts are worthy of much consideration, and they appear to be equally interesting to all classes of men, whatever may be the degree of their mental cultivation, and whatever the subjects are to which their attention is more particularly directed. There is one class of truths to which they apply with peculiar force,—namely, those which relate to the moral government of God, and the condition of man as a responsible being. These great truths, and the evidence on which they are founded, are addressed to our judgment as rational beings; they are pressed upon our attention as creatures destined for another state of existence; and the sacred duty from which no individual can be absolved, is a volunta-

ry exercise of his thinking and reasoning powers,-it is solemnly, seriously, and deliberately to consider. On these subjects a man may frame any system for himself, and may rest in that system as truth; but the solemn inquiry is, not what opinions he has formed, but in what manner he has formed them. Has he approached the great inquiry with a sincere desire to discover the truth; and has he brought to it a mind, neither misled by prejudice, nor distorted by the condition of its moral feelings; -has he directed his attention to all the facts and evidences, with an intensity suited to their momentous importance; and has he conducted the whole investigation with a deep and serious feeling, that it carries with it an interest which reaches into eternity? Truth is immutable and eternal, but it may elude the frivolous or prejudiced inquirer; and, even when he thinks his conclusions are the result of much examination, he may be resting his highest concerns in delusion and falsehood.

The human mind, indeed, even in its highest state of culture, has been found inadequate to the attainment of the true knowledge of the Deity; but a light from heaven has shone upon the scene of doubt and of darkness, which will conduct the humble inquirer through every difficulty, until he arrive at the full perception and commanding influence of the truth;—of truth such as human intellect never could have reached, and which, to every one who receives it, brings

its own evidence that it comes from God.

Finally, the sound exercise of judgment has a remarkable influence in producing and maintaining that tranquillity of mind, which results from a due application of its powers, and a correct estimate of the relations of things. The want of this exercise leads a man to be unduly engrossed with the frivolities of life, unreasonably elated by its joys, and unreasonably depressed by its sorrows. A sound and well-regulated judgment tends to preserve from all such disproportioned pursuits and emotions. It does so, by leading us to view all present things in their true relations, to estimate aright their relative value, and to fix the degree of attention of which they are worthy;—it does so in a more especial manner, by leading us to compare the present life, which is so rapidly passing over us, with the paramount importance and overwhelming interest of the life which is to come.

\$ 2. ···

## OF THE USE OF REASON

Same and the second second

## CORRECTING THE IMPRESSIONS OF THE MIND IN REGARD TO EXTERNAL THINGS.

This subject leads to an investigation of great and extensive interest, of which I cannot hope to give more than a slight and imperfect outline. My anxiety is, that what is attempted may be confined to authentic facts, and the most cautious conclusions; and that it may be of some use in.

leading to farther inquiry.

We have seen the power which the mind possesses, of recalling the vivid impression of scenes, or events long gone by, in that mental process which we call conception. We have seen also its power of taking the elements of actual scenes, and forming them into new combinations, so as to represent to itself scenes and events which have no real existence. We have likewise observed the remarkable manner in which persons, events, or scenes, long past, perhaps forgotten, are recalled into the mind by means of association ;trains of thought taking possession of the mind, in a manner which we often cannot account for, and bringing back facts or occurrences, which had long ceased to be objects of attention. These remarkable processes are most apt to take place, when the mind is in that passive state which we call a reverie; and they are more rarely observed, when the attention is actively exerted upon any distinct and continued subject of thought.

During the presence in the mind of such a representation, whether recalled by conception or association, or fabricated by imagination, there is, probably, for the time, a kind of belief of its real and present existence. But on the least return of the attention to the affairs of life, the vision is

instantly dissipated; and this is done by reason, comparing the vision with the actual state of things in the external world. The poet or the novelist, it is probable, feels himself, for the time, actually embodied in the person of his hero, and, in that character, judges, talks, and acts in the scene which he is depicting. This we call imagination; but, were the vision not to be dissipated on his return to the ordinary relations of life,—were he then to act in a single instance in the character of the being of his imagination,—this

would constitute insanity.

The condition of mind here referred to does actually take place; namely, a state in which the visions or impressions of the mind itself are believed to have a real and present existence in the external world, and in which reason fails to correct this belief by the actual relations of external things. There are two conditions in which this occurs in a striking manner,-Insanity and Dreaming. Considered as mental phenomena, they have a remarkable affinity to each other. The great difference between them is, that, in insanity, the erroneous impression being permanent affects the conduct; whereas in dreaming, no influence on the conduct is produced, because the vision is dissipated upon awaking. The difference, again, between the mind under the influence of imagination, and in the state now under consideration, is, that in the former the vision is built up by a voluntary effort, and is varied or dismissed at pleasure; while, in dreaming and insanity, this power is suspended, and the mind is left entirely under the influence of the chain of thoughts which happens to be present, without being able either to vary or dismiss it. The particular chain or series seems, in general, perhaps always, to depend upon associations previously formed; the various elements of which bring up one another in a variety of singular combinations, and in a manner which we often cannot trace, or in any degree account for. The facts connected with this branch of the subject form one of the most interesting parts of this investigation.

There are some other affections, which come under the same class; but insanity and dreaming are the two extreme examples. In dreaming, the bodily senses are in a great measure shut up from external impressions; and the influence of the will upon bodily motions is also suspended, so

that no actions in general follow. We shall afterwards see. that there are exceptions to this, -but it is the common state in dreaming. In insanity, on the other hand, the bodily senses are awake to impressions from without, and bodily motion is under the influence of the will; hence the maniac acts, under his erroneous impressions, in a manner which often makes him dangerous to the community. There is an affection which holds an intermediate place between these two extremes, and presents a variety of interesting phenomena. This is Somnambulism. It differs from dreaming, in the senses being, to a certain degree, awake to external things; though that power is suspended, by which the mental impressions are corrected by the influence of the external world. Thus the somnambulist often understands what is said to him, and can converse with another person in a tolerably connected manner, though always with some reference to his erroneous mental impressions. He acts, also, under the influence of these; but the remarkable difference between him and the maniac is, that the somnambulist can be roused from his vision, and then the whole is dissipated. There are cases, indeed, in which the hallucination is more permanent, and cannot be at once interrupted in this manner: -these of course come to border on insanity.

There is still a fourth condition connected with this curious subject; namely, that in which a person awake, and in other respects in possession of his rational powers, perceives spectral illusions. This, we shall see, is allied in a singular manner to the affections now referred to.

The subject, therefore, divides itself into four parts, which will form the separate topics of the following observations:—

- 1. Dreaming.
- 2. Somnambulism.
- 3. Insanity.
- 4. Spectral Illusions.

The causes of these remarkable conditions of the mental functions are entirely beyond the reach of our inquiries; but the phenomena connected with them present a subject of most interesting investigation.

en in de la companya Na companya de la co

## I.—DREAMING.

The Court of Court of the State of the State

Sellen and comment property and a selection of the select

The peculiar condition of the mind in dreaming appears to be referable to two heads.

1. The impressions which arise in the mind are believed to have a real and present existence; and this belief is not corrected, as in the waking state, by comparing the concep-

tion with the things of the external world.

2. The ideas or images in the mind follow one another according to association over which we have no control; we cannot, as in the waking state, vary the series, or stop it at our will.

One of the most curious objects of investigation is to trace the manner in which the particular visions or series of images arise. When considered in this view, a great variety may be observed in dreams. Some of those which we are able to

trace most distinctly appear to be the following.

I. Recent events, and recent mental emotions, mingled up into one continuous series, with each other, or with old events,-by means of some feeling which had been in a greater or less degree allied to each of them, though in other respects they were entirely unconnected. We hear, perhaps, of a distressing accident; we have received some unpleasant news of an absent friend; und we have been concerned in some business which gave rise to anxiety; A dream takes place, in which all these are combined together; we are ourselves connected with the accident; the absent friend is in our company; and the person with whom the business was transacted also appears in the scene. The only bond of union among those occurrences was, that each of them gave rise to a similar kind of emotion; and the train was probably excited by some bodily feeling of uneasiness, perhaps an oppression at the stomach, at the time when the dream occurred. Without this, the particular series might not have taken place at all; or some of the elements of it might have occurred in a totally different association. The absent friend might have appeared in connexion with old and pleasing recollections, combined perhaps with persons and events associated with these, and without any reference to the

166 REASON.

painful intelligence by which the attention had been directed to him.—We meet a person whom we have not seen for many years, and are led to inquire after old friends, and to allude to events long past. Dreams follow, in which these persons appear, and other persons and occurrences connected with them; but the individual, whose conversation gave rise to the series, does not appear in it, because he was not connected with the particular chain of events which was thus recalled into the mind.

A woman, who was a patient in the Clinical Ward of the Infirmary of Edinburgh, under the care of my friend the late Dr. Duncan, talked a great deal in her sleep, and made numerous and very distinct allusions to the cases of other sick persons. These allusions did not apply to any patients who were in the ward at that time; but, after some observation, they were found to refer correctly to the cases of individuals who were there, when this woman was a patient in the ward,

two years before.

II. Trains of images brought up by association with bedily sensations. Examples of this kind are of frequent occurrence. By the kind attention of my lamented friend, the late Dr. James Gregory, I received a most interesting manuscript by his late eminent father, which contains a variety of curious matter on this subject. In this paper, Dr. Gregory mentions of himself, that, having on one occasion gone to bed with a vessel of hot water at his feet, he dreamt of walking up the crater of Mount Etna, and of feeling the ground warm under him. He had, at an early period of his life, visited Mount Vesuvius, and actually felt a strong sensation of warmth in his feet, when walking up the side of the crater : but, it was remarkable that the dream was not of Vesuvius, but of Etna, of which he had only read Brydone's description. This was probably from the latter impression having been the more recent. On another occasion, he dreamt of spending a winter at Hudson's Bay, and of suffering much distress from the intense frost. He found that he had thrown off the bed-clothes in his sleep; and, a few days before, he had been reading a very particular account of the state of the colonies in that country during winter. Again, when suffering from toothache, he dreamt of undergoing the operation of tooth-drawing, with the additional circumstance, that the ope-

rator drew a sound tooth, leaving the aching one in its place. But the most striking anecdote in this interesting document, is one in which similar dreams were produced in a gentleman and his wife, at the same time, and by the same cause. liappened at the period when there was an alarm of French invasion, and almost every man in Edinburgh was a soldier. All things had been arranged in expectation of the landing of an enemy; the first notice of which was to be given by a gun from the Castle, and this was to be followed by a chain of signals calculated to alarm the country in all directions. " Farther, there had been recently in Edinburgh a splendid military spectacle, in which five thousand men had been drawn up in Princes Street, fronting the castle—The gentleman to whom the dream occurred, and who had been a most zealous volunteer, was in bed between two and three o'clock in the morning, when he dreamt of hearing the signal-gun. He was immediately at the Castle, witnessed the proceedings for displaying the signals, and saw and heard a great bustle over the town, from troops and artillery assembling, especially in Princes Street. At this time, he was roused by his wife, who awoke in a fright, in consequence of a similar dream, connected with much noise and the landing of an enemy, and concluding with the death of a particular friend of her husband's, who had served with him as a volunteer during the late war. The origin of this remarkable concurrence was ascertained, in the morning, to be the noise produced in the room above, by the fall of a pair of tongs, which had been left in some very awkward position, in support of a clothes-screen.-Dr. Reid relates of himself, that the dressing, applied after a blister on his head, having become ruffled so as to produce considerable uneasiness, he dreamt of falling into the hands of savages and being scalped by them.

To this part of the subject are to be referred some remarkable cases, in which, in particular individuals, dreams can be produced by whispering into their ears when they are asleep. One of the most curious, as well as authentic examples of this kind, has been referred to by several writers: I find the particulars in the paper of Dr. Gregory, and they were related to him by a gentleman who witnessed them. The subject of it was an officer in the expedition to Louisburgh in 1758, who had this peculiarity in so remarkable a degree, that his com-

panions in the transport were in the constant habit of amusing themselves at his expense. They could produce in him any kind of dream, by whispering into his ear, especially if this was done by a friend with whose voice he was familiar. At one time, they conducted him through the whole progress of a quarrel, which ended in a duel; and, when the parties were supposed to be met, a pistol was put into his hand, which he fired, and was awakened by the report. On another occasion, they found him asleep on the top of a locker or bunker in the cabin, when they made him believe he had fallen overboard, and exhorted him to save himself by swimming. He immediately imitated all the motions of swimming. They then told him that a shark was pursuing him, and entreated him to dive for his life. He instantly did so, with such force as to throw himself entirely from the locker upon the cabinfloor, by which he was much bruised, and awakened of course. After the landing of the army at Louisburgh, his friends found him one day asleep in his tent, and evidently much annoyed by the cannonading. They then made him believe that he was engaged, when he expressed great fear, and showed an evident disposition to run away. Against this they remonstrated, but, at the same time, increased his fears, by imitating the groans of the wounded and the dying; and, when he asked, as he often did, who was down, they named his particular friends. At last they told him that the man next himself in the line had fallen, when he instantly sprung from his bed, rushed out of the tent, and was roused from his danger and his dream together by falling over the tentropes. A remarkable circumstance in this case was, that, after these experiments, he had no distinct recollection of his dreams, but only a confused feeling of oppression or fatigue; and used to tell his friends that he was sure they had been playing some trick upon him. A case entirely similar is related in Smellie's Natural History, the subject of which was a medical student at the university of Edinburgh.

A singular fact has often been observed in dreams which are excited by a noise; namely, that the same sound awakes the person, and produces a dream which appears to him to occupy a considerable time. The following example of this has been related to me. A gentleman dreamt that he had enlisted as a soldier, joined his regiment, deserted, was apprehended.

carried back, tried, condemned to be shot, and at last, led out for execution. After all the usual preparations, a gun was fired; he awoke with the report, and found that a noise in an adjoining room had both produced the dream and awaked him. The same want of the notion of time is observed in dreams from other causes. Dr. Gregory mentions a gentleman, who, after sleeping in a damp place, was for a long time liable to a feeling of suffocation whenever he slept in a lying posture; and this was always accompanied by a dream of a skeleton which grasped him violently by the throat. could sleep in a sitting posture without any uneasy feeling; and, after trying various expedients, he at last had a sentinel placed beside him, with orders to awake him whenever he sunk down. On one occasion he was attacked by the skeleton, and a severe and long struggle ensued before he awoke. On finding fault with his attendant for allowing him to lie so long in such a state of suffering, he was assured that he had not lain an instant, but had been awakened the moment he began to sink. The gentleman, after a considerable time, recovered from the affection. A friend of mine dreamt that he crossed the Atlantic, and spent a fortnight in America. In embarking on his return, he fell into the sea; and, having awaked with the fright, discovered that he had not been asleep above ten minutes.

III. Dreams consisting of the revival of old associations, respecting things which had entirely passed out of the mind, and which seemed to have been forgotten. It is often impossible to trace the manner in which these dreams arise: and some of the facts connected with them scarcely appear referable to any principle with which we are at present acquainted. The following example occurred to a particular friend of mine, and may be relied upon in its most minute particulars.

The gentleman was at the time connected with one of the principal banks in Glasgow, and was at his place at the tellers' table, where money is paid, when a person entered demanding payment of a sum of six pounds. There were several people waiting, who were, in turn, entitled to be attended to before him, but he was extremely impatient, and rather noisy; and, being besides a remarkable stammerer, he became so annoying, that another gentleman requested my

friend to pay him his money and get rid of him. He did so, accordingly, but with an expression of impatience at being obliged to attend to him before his turn, and thought no more of the transaction. At the end of the year, which was eight or nine months after, the books of the bank could not be made to balance, the deficiency being exactly six pounds. Several days and nights had been spent in endeavouring to discover the error, but without success; when, at last, my friend returned home, much fatigued, and went to bed. He dreamt of being at his place in the bank,—and the whole transaction with the stammerer, as now detailed, passed before him in all its particulars. He awoke under a full impression that the dream was to lead him to the discovery of what he was so anxiously in search of; and, on examination, soon discovered that the sum paid to this person in the manner now mentioned, had been neglected to be inserted in the book of interests, and that it exactly accounted for the error in the balance.

This case, upon a little consideration, will appear to be exceedingly remarkable, because the impression, called up in this singular manner, was one of which there was no consciousness at the time when it occurred; and, consequently, we cannot suppose, that any association took place which could have assisted in recalling it. For the fact, upon which the importance of the case rested, was, not his having paid the money, but having neglected to insert the payment. Now of this there was no impression made upon the mind at the time, and we can scarcely conceive on what principle it could be recalled. The deficiency being six pounds, we may, indeed, suppose the gentleman endeavouring to recollect, whether there could have been a payment of this sum made in any irregular manner, which could have led to an omission, or an error; but, in the transactions of an extensive bank, in a great commercial city, a payment of six pounds, at the distance of eight or nine months, could have made but a very faint impression; and, upon the whole, the case presents, perhaps, one of the most remarkable mental phenomena connected with this curious subject.

The following is of the same nature, though much less extraordinary, from the shortness of the interval; and it may perhaps be considered as a simple act of memory, though, for the

same reason as in the former case, we cannot trace any association which could have recalled the circumstance. gentleman who was appointed to an office in one of the principal banks in Edinburgh, found, on balancing his first day's transactions, that the money under his charge was deficient by ten pounds. After many fruitless attempts to discover the cause of the error, he went home, not a little annoyed by the result of his first experiment in banking. In the night, he freamt that he was at his place in the bank, and that a gentleman, who was personally known to him, presented a draught for ten pounds. On awaking, he recollected the dream, and also recollected, that the gentleman who appeared in it had actually received ten pounds. On going to the bank, he found that he had neglected to enter the payment, and that the gentleman's order had by accident fallen among some pieces of paper, which had been thrown on the floor to be swept away. I have formerly referred to some remarkable cases in which languages long forgotten were recovered during a state of delirium. Something very analogous seems to occur in dreaming, of which I have received the following example from an able and intelligent friend. In his youth he was very fond of the Greek language, and made considerable progress in it; but, being afterwards actively engaged in other pursuits, he so entirely forgot it that he cannot now even read the words. But he has often dreamt of reading Greek works which he had been accustomed to use at college, and with a most vivid impression of fully understanding them.

A farther and most interesting illustration of the class of dreams referred to under this head, is found in an anecdote published by the distinguished author of the Waverley novels, and considered by him as authentic:—"Mr. R. of Bowland, a gentleman of landed property in the vale of Gala, was prosecuted for a very considerable sum, the accumulated arrears of teind (or tithe) for which he was said to be indebted to a noble family, the titulars (law impropriators of the tithes.) Mr. R. was strongly impressed with the belief that his father had, by a form of process peculiar to the law of Scotland, purchased these teinds from the titular, and, therefore, that the present prosecution was groundless. But, after an industrious search among his father's papers, an

investigation of the public records, and a careful inquiry among all persons who had transacted law-business for his father, no evidence could be recovered to support his defence. The period was now near at hand when he conceived the loss of his lawsuit to be inevitable, and he had formed his determination to ride to Edinburgh next day, and make the best bargin he could in the way of compromise. He went to bed with this resolution, and, with all the circumstances. of the case floating upon his mind, had a dream to the following purpose. His father, who had been many years dead, appeared to him, he thought, and asked him why he was disturbed in his mind. In dreams men are not surprised at such apparitions. Mr. R. thought that he informed his father of the cause of his distress, adding, that the payment of a considerable sum of money was the more unpleasant to him, because he had a strong consciousness that it was not due, though he was unable to recover any evidence in support of his belief. 'You are right, my son,' replied the paternal shade; 'I did acquire right to these teinds, for payment of which you are now prosecuted. The papers relating to the transaction are in the hands of Mr. -, a writer, (or attorney,) who is now retired from professional business, and resides at Inveresk, near Edinburgh. He was a person whom I employed on that occasion for a particular reason, but who never, on any other occasion, transacted business on my account. It is very possible,' pursued the vision, 'that Mr. — may have forgotten a matter, which is now of a very old date; but you may call it to his recollection by this token, that, when I came to pay his account, there was difficulty in getting change for a Portugal piece of gold, and that we were forced to drink out the balance at a tavern.

"Mr. R. awaked in the morning, with all the words of the vision imprinted on his mind, and thought it worth while to ride across the country to Inveresk, instead of going straight to Edinburgh. When he came there he waited on the gentleman mentioned in the dream, a very old man; without saying any thing of the vision, he inquired whether he remembered having conducted such a matter for his deceased father. The old gentleman could not at first bring the circumstance to his recollection, but, on mention of the Portugal piece of gold, the whole returned upon his memory; he made an

immediate search for the papers, and recovered them,—so that Mr. R. carried to Edinburgh the document necessary to gain

the cause which he was on the verge on losing."\*

There is every reason to believe that this very interesting case is referable to the principle lately mentioned; that the gentleman had heard the circumstances from his father, but had entirely forgotten them, until the frequent and intense application of his mind to the subject with which they were connected, at length gave rise to a train of association which recalled them in the dream. To the same principle are referable the two following anecdotes, which I have received as entirely authentic,—the first of them from the individual to whom it occurred. A gentleman of the law in Endinburgh had mislaid an important paper connected with the conveyance of a property which was to be settled on a particular day. Most anxious search had been made for it, for many days. but the evening of the day previous to that on which the parties were to meet for the final settlement had arrived. without the paper being discovered. The son of the gentleman then went to bed under much anxiety and disappointment; and dreamt, that at the time when the missing paper was delivered to his father, his table was covered with papers connected with the affairs of a particular client. He awoke under the impression, went immediately to a box appropriated to the papers of that client, and there found the paper they had been in search of, which had been tied up by mistake in a parcel to which it was in no way related. Another individual connected with a public office, had mislaid a paper of such importance, that he was threatened with the loss of his situation if he did not produce it. After a long but unsuccessful search, under intense anxiety, he also dreamt of discovering the paper in a particular place, and found it there accordingly.

There is therefore little reason to doubt, that facts or events may be brought up by association in dreams, which had entirely passed out of the mind, and had long ceased to be objects either of attention or memory. But there is reason, farther, to believe, that events may be brought up in

<sup>\*</sup> Note to the new edition of the Waverley Novels, vol. v.

this manner, which had been so communicated to the mind as never to become objects of memory. The case lately referred to, respecting the banker in Glasgow, may perhaps be considered as of this nature; and there are other authentic facts which can only be explained on this principle. During a late investigation in the north of Scotland, respecting an atrocious murder committed on a pedler, a man came forward voluntarily and declared, that he had a dream, in which there was represented to him a house, and a voice directed him to a spot near the house, in which there was buried the pack, or box for small aticles of merchandise, of the murdered person. On search being made, the pack was found, not precisely at the spot which he had mentioned, but very near it. The first impression on the minds of the public authorities was, that he was either the murderer, or an accomplice in the crime. But the individual accused was soon after clearly convicted ;before his execution he fully confessed his crime, and, in the strongest manner, exculpated the dreamer from any participation in, or knowledge of, the murder. The only fact that could be discovered, calculated to throw any light upon the occurrence was, that, immediately after the murder, the dreamer and the murderer had been together, in a state of almost constant intoxication, for several days; and it is supposed that the latter might have allowed statements to escape from him, which had been recalled to the other in his dream, though he had no remembrance of them in his sober hours.

IV. A class of dreams, which presents an interesting subject of observation, includes those in which a strong propensity of character, or a strong mental emotion, is embodied into a dream, and, by some natural coincidence, is fulfilled. A murderer mentioned by Mr. Combe had dreamt of committing murder some years before the event happened;—and I have received, from a distinguished officer to whom it occurred, the following history, in which a dream of a very improbable kind was fulfilled ten years after it took place, and when the dream was entirely forgotten. At the age of between fourteen and fifteen, being then living in England, he dreamt that he had ascended the crater of Mount Etna; that, not contented with what he saw on the outside, he determined to descend into the interior, and proceeded

accordingly. About the top there seemed to be a good deal of flame and smoke, but a short way down all was quiet, and he managed to descend by means of steps like the holes in a pigeon-house. His footing, however, soon gave way, and he awoke in all the horrors of having narrowly escaped the fate of the philosopher Empedocles. In the year 1811, being then a captain in the British army, and stationed at Messina. he made one of a party of British officers, who proceeded to visit the top of Mount Etna. By the time they reached the bottom of the cone, several of the party became so unwell that they could proceed no farther; but this gentleman, accompained by two other officers, and two guides, proceeded upwards; and, after a severe scramble of several hours, they reached the summit in time to witness the rising of the sun. "After having rested for about an hour," says my informer. "and had something to eat, I said to my companions, -we are now on the top of this famous crater, why should we not pay a visit to the bottom? I was of course laughed at, and, on applying to the guides to know if they would accompany me, they said, we have always heard that the English are mad, but now we know it. I was not, however, to be put off, and being strong and active, determined to go alone. -but Captain M - at last agreed to go with me ; the guides would not assist in any way. The circumference of the crater is about three miles outside, the interior is like a large amphitheatre, with an area of about an acre, I should say, at the bottom. It is only towards the upper lips of the crater that smoke now issues, -no eruption have taken place from the bottom for very many years. At one particular part of the crater the matter had given way, and slid down, so as to form a sort of sloping bank to the very bottom. To this point we proceeded, and found out descent easy enough; and without much difficulty or any great danger, we stood, in the course of an hour, to the no small astonishment of the guides, on the very lowest stone on the inside of the crater of Mount Etna. In the centre is a large hole, like an old draw-well, partly filled up with large stones and ashes. Our ascent was tremendous, and the fatigue excessive. I suppose we were at least 500 feet below the lowest part of the upper mouth of the crater, and, as our footing was entirely on ashes, and stuff which gave way, the

struggle upwards was a trial of bottom, which I believe very few would have gone through. We reached the top much exhausted, but very proud of our achievement; and we had the satisfaction to learn, at Catania, that we were not only the first who ever went down, but the first who had ever thought of it. When in bed that night, but not asleep, the dream of ten years back came to my recollection for the first time; and it does appear to me remarkable, that I should have dreamt of what I never could have heard of as being possible, and that ten years afterwards I should accomplish what no one ever had attempted, and what was looked

npon by the natives as an impossibility."

To this part of the subject we are to refer those instances, many of them authentic, in which a dream has given notice; of an event which was occurring at the time, or occurred soon after. The following story has been long mentioned in Edinburgh, and there seems no reason to doubt its authenticity. A clergyman had come to this city from a short distance in the country, and was sleeping at an inn, when he dreamt of seeing a fire, and one of his children in the midst of it. He awoke with the impression, and instantly left town on his return home. When he arrived within sight of his house, he found it on fire, and got there in time to assist in saving one of his children, who, in the alarm and confusion, had been left in a signation of danger. Without calling in question the possibility of supernatural communication in such cases, this striking occurrence, of which I believe there is little reason to doubt the truth, may perhaps be accounted for on simple and natural principles. Let us suppose, that the gentleman had a servant who had shewn great carelessness in regard to fire, and had often given rise in his mind to a strong apprehension that he might set fire to the house. His anxiety might be increased by being from home, and the same circumstance might make the servant still more careless. Let us farther suppose, that the gentleman, before going to bed, had, in addition to this anxiety, suddenly recollected, that there was on that day, in the neighbourhood of his house, some fair or periodical merry-making, from which the servant was very likely to return home in a state of intoxication. It was most natural that these impressions should be embodied into a dream of his house being on fire,

and that the same circumstances might lead to the dream

being fulfilled.

A gentleman in Edinburgh was affected with aneurism of the popliteal artery, for which he was under the care of two eminent surgeons, and the day was fixed for the operation. About two days before the time appointed for it, the wife of the patient dreamt that a change had taken place in the disease, in consequence of which the operation would not be required. On examining the tumor in the morning, the gentleman was astonished to find that the pulsation had entirely ceased; and, in short, this turned out to be a spon-To persons not professional, it may be right taneous cure. to mention, that the cure of popliteal aneurism without an operation is a very uncommon occurrence, not happening in one out of numerous instances, and never to be looked upon as probable in any individual case. It is likely, however, that the lady had heard of the possibility of such a termination, and that her anxiety had very naturally embodied this into a dream: the fulfilment of it at the very time when the event took place is certainly a very remarkable coincidence. The following anecdotes also I am enabled to give as entirely authentic .- A lady dreamt that an aged female relative had been murdered by a black servant, and the dream occurred more than once. She was then so impressed by it, that she went to the house of the lady to whom it related, and prevailed upon a gentleman to watch in an adjoining room during the following night. About three o'clock in the morning, the gentleman, hearing footsteps on the stair, left his place of concealment, and met the servant carrying up a quantity of coals. Being questioned as to where he was going, he replied, in a confused and hurried manner, that he was going to mend his mistress' fire, -which, at three o'clock in the morning, in the middle of summer, was evidently impossible; and, on farther investigation, a strong knife was found concealed beneath the coals.—Another lady dreamt that a boy, her nephew, had been drowned along with some young companions with whom he had engaged to go on a sailing excursion in the Firth of Forth. She sent for him in the morning, and, with much difficulty, prevailed upon him to give up his engagement; his companions went and were all drowned .- A gentleman dreamt that the devil carried

him down to the bottom of a coal pit, where he threatened to burn him, unless he would agree to give himself up to his service. This he refused to do, and a warm altercation followed. He was at last allowed to depart, upon condition of sending down an individual whom the devil named, a worthless character well known in the neighbourhood. A few days after, this person was found drowned, and under circumstances which gave every reason to believe that his death had been voluntary .- A lady in Edinburgh had sent her watch to be repaired : a long time elapsed without her being able to recover it, and, after many excuses, she began to suspect that something was wrong. She now dreamt that the watchmaker's boy by whom the watch was sent, had dropped it in the street, and injured it in such a manner that it could not be repaired. She then went to the master, and, without any allusion to her dream, put the question to him

directly, when he confessed that it was true.

Such coincidences derive their wonderful character from standing alone, and apart from those numerous instances in which such dreams the standing alone.

which such dreams take place without any fulfilment. An instance of a very singular kind is mentioned by Mr. Joseph Taylor, and is given by him as an undoubted fact. A young man, who was at an academy a hundred miles from home. dreamt that he went to his father's house in the night, tried the front-door, but found it locked; got in by a back-door, and, finding nobody out of bed, went directly to the bed-room of his parents. He then said to his mother, whom he found awake, "Mother, I am going a long journey, and am come to bid you good bye." On this, she answered under much agitation, "Oh dear son, thou art dead!" He instantly awoke, and thought no more of his dream, until, a few days after, he received a letter from his father, inquiring very anxiously after his health, in consequence of a frightful dream his mother had on the same night in which the dream now mentioned occurred to him. She dreamt that she heard some one attempt to open the front-door, then go to the back-door, and at last come into her bed-room. She then saw it was her son, who came to the side of her bed, and said, "Mother, I am going a long journey, and am come to bid you good bye :" on which she exclaimed, " Oh dear son, thou art dead!" But nothing unusual happened to any of

the parties;—the singular dream must have originated in some strong mental impression which had been made on both the individuals about the same time; and to have traced the source of it would have been a subject of great interest.

On a similar principle, we are to account for some of the stories of second sight. A gentleman sitting by the fire on a stormy night, and anxious about some of his domestics who are at sea in a boat, drops asleep for a few seconds, dreams very naturally of drowning men, and starts up with the exclamation that his boat is lost. If the boat returns in safety, the vision is no more thought of. If it is lost, as is very likely to happen, the story passes for second sight; and it is, in fact, one of the anecdotes that are given as the most authentic instances of it.

It is unnecessary to multiply examples of the fulfilment of dreams on the principles which have now been mentioned; but I am induced to add the following, as is it certainly of a very interesting kind, and as I am enabled to give it as entirely authentic in all its particulars. A most respectable clergyman, in a country parish of Scotland, made a collection at his church for an object of public benevolence, in which he felt deeply interested. The amount of the collection, which was received in ladles carried through the church, fell greatly short of his expectation; and, during the evening of the day, he frequently alluded to this with expressions of much disappointment. In the following night he dreamt that three one-pound notes had been left in one of the ladles, having been so compressed by the money which had been thrown in above them, that they had stuck in the corner when the ladle was emptied. He was so impressed by the vision, that at an early hour in the morning he went to the church ;-found the ladle which he had seen in his dream, and drew from one of the corners of it three one-pound notes. This interesting case is perhaps capable of explanation upon simple principles. It appears, that, on the evening preceding the day of the collection, the clergyman had been amusing himself by calculating what sum his congregation would probably contribute. and that, in doing so, he had calculated on a certain number of families, who would not give him less than a pound each. Let us then suppose, that a particular ladle, which he knew to have been presented to three of these families, had been

emptied in his presence, and found to contain no pound notes. His first feeling would be that of disappointment; but, in afterwards thinking of the subject, and connecting it with his former calculation, the possibility of the ladde not having been fully emptied might dart across his mind. This impression, which perhaps he did not himself recollect, might then be embodied into the dream, which, by a natural coincidence, was fulfilled.

The four classes which have now been mentioned, appear to include the principal varieties of dreams; and it is often a matter of great interest to trace the manner in which the particular associations arise. Cases of dreams are indeed on record, which are not referable to any of the classes which have been mentioned, and which do not admit of explanation on any principle which we are able to trace. Many of these histories, there is every reason to believe, derive their marvellous character from embellishment and exaggeration; and, in some instances which have been related to me in the most confident manner, I have found this to be the case after a little investigation. Others, however, do not admit of this explanation, and we are compelled to receive them as facts which we can in no degree account for. Of this kind I shall add the following example; and I shall do so without any attempt at explanation, and without any other comment than that its accuracy may be relied on, in all its particulars.—Two ladies, sisters, had been for several days in attendance upon their brother, who was ill of a common sore throat, severe and protracted, but not considered as attended with danger. At the same time, one of them had borrowed a watch from a female friend, in consequence of her own being under repair ;-this watch was one to which particular value was attached on accunt of family associations, and some anxiety was expressed that it might not meet with any injury. The sisters were sleeping together in a room communicating with that of their brother, when the elder of them awoke in a state of great agitation, and having roused the other, told her that she had had a frightful dream, "I dreamt," she said, "that Mary's watch stopped; and that, when I told you of the circumstance, you replied, much worse than that has happened, for -----'s breath has stopped also,"-naming their brother, who was ill. To quiet her

agitation, the younger sister immediately got up, and found the brother sleeping quietly, and the watch, which had been carefully put by in a drawer, going correctly. The following night the very same dream occurred, followed by similar agitation, which was again composed in the same manner,the brother being again found in a quiet sleep, and the watch going well. On the following morning, soon after the family had breakfasted, one of the sisters was sitting by her brother, while the other was writing a note in the adjoining room. When her note was ready for being sealed, she was proceeding to take out, for this purpose, the watch alluded to, which had been put by in her writing-desk; -she was astonished to find it had stopped. At the same instant she heard a scream of intense distress from her sister in the other room,-their brother, who had still been considered as going on favourably, had been seized with a sudden fit of suffocation, and had just breathed his last.

Many examples have been related of dreams which gave warning of events which were taking place at the time, or took place soon after; but they are certainly to be received with great caution. The following instances I can state as perfectly authentic, having received them from most respectable and intelligent individuals to whom they occurred.

A gentleman of Scotland was residing in Italy, when he dreamt that he was standing on a bridge near his property in Scotland, and saw preparations for a funeral, and a servant riding by him in great haste, whom he recognised as wearing the livery of a neighbouring proprietor. He mentioned his dream to a friend who was with him, and expressed his fear that something had occurred in the family of his neighbour,—but was only laughed at for his apprehension. In due time, however, he learnt, that the wife of the gentleman, whom he named, had died at the time when the dream occurred to him; and it may be right to state, that she was a young woman, previously in good health, and died of a short illness after her acconchement.

Many years ago there was mentioned in several of the newspapers, a dream which gave notice of the murder of Mr. Percival. Through the kindness of an eminent medical friend in England, I have received the authentic particulars of this remarkable case, from the gentleman to whom the dream occurred. He resides in Cornwall, and eight days before the murder was committed, dreamt that he was in the lobby of the House of Commons, and saw a small man enter, dressed in a blue coat and white waistcoat. Immediately after, he saw a man dressed in a brown coat with yellow basket metal buttons, draw a pistol from under his coat, and discharge it at the former, who instantly fell,—the blood issuing from a wound a little below the left breast. He saw the murderer seized by some gentlemen who were present. and observed his countenance; and, on asking who the gentleman was who had been shot, he was told it was the (Mr. Percival was, at the time, Chanceller of the Exchequer.) He then awoke, and mentioned the dream to his wife, who made light of it, -but in the course of the night the dream occurred three times without the least variation in any of the circumstances. He was now so much impressed by it, that he felt much inclined to give notice to Mr. Percival, but was dissuaded by some friends whom he consulted, who assured him he would only get himself treated as a fanatic. On the evening of the eighth day after he received the account of the murder. Being in London a short time after, he found in the print-shops a representation of the scene, and recognised in it the countenances and dress of the parties, the blood on Mr. Percival's waistcoat, and the peculiar vellow basket buttons on Bellingham's coat, precisely as he had seen them in his dream.

The gentleman to whom I am indebted for the following case, was born in Madras; and was brought from thence at the age of three years, to be educated in England, where he grew up without the least recollection of any thing relating to Madras, or to his parents who remained in India. At the age of fourteen, he dreamt that he saw his mother sitting in the dress of a widow, and apparently under deep distress; and he gave a distinct account of the apartment in which he saw her, with the position of various prominent articles of furniture which he described minutely. It afterwards turned out, his father died about the time of the dream; and that he had correctly described a drawing-room in the house at Madras in which his mother was in the habit of sitting. This last feature of the case, I think, we may fairly consider as the revival of an old impression respecting the drawing-room,

though it had long ceased to be an object of memory ;-of

the other part I do not attempt an explanation.

There are various other circumstances relating to the philosophy of dreams, which may be mentioned very briefly. It has been alleged that we never dream of objects which we have not seen. On this I cannot decide; but we certainly dream of things in combinations in which they never occurred to us. Our dreams appear to be very much influenced by the intensity of our conceptions, and, in this respect, there is great variety in regard to the objects of the different senses. Our most vivid conceptions are certainly of objects of sight; and they appear to be much less distinct in regard to tastes, smells, and even sounds. Accordingly, I think dreams are chiefly occupied with objects of sight; and I am not sure that we dream of tastes, or smells, or even of sounds, unless when a sound actually takes place, as in several instances which have been mentioned. This, indeed, only applies to simple sounds, for we certainly dream of persons speaking to us, and of understanding what they say,-but I am not sure that this is necessarily accompanied with a conception of sound. I am informed by a friend, who is a keen sportsman, that he often dreams of being on shooting excursions ;-that he starts his game, and points his gun, but never succeeds in firing it. It sometimes seems to miss fire, but in general there appears to be something wrong with the lock, so that it cannot be moved. A gentleman, mentioned by Dr. Darwin, had been for thirty years so deaf that he could be conversed with only in writing, or by forming letters with the fingers. He assured Dr. Darwin that he never dreamt of persons conversing with him except by the fingers or in writing, and that he never had the impression of hearing them speak. Two persons who had long been blind, also informed him, that they never dreamt of visible objects since the loss of their sight. Mr. Bew, however, in the Manchester Memoirs, mentions a blind gentleman who dreamt of the figure, though he could not distinguish the varieties, of the human countenance; and Smellie mentions of Dr. Blacklock who lost his sight at the age of a few months, that, in his dreams, he had a distinct impression of a sense which he did not possess when awake. He described his impression by saying, that when awake there were three ways by which he

could distinguish persons,—namely, by hearing them speak, by feeling the head and shoulders, and by attending to the sound and manner of their breathing. In his dreams, however, he had a vivid impression of objects, in a manner distinct from any of these modes. He imagined that he was united to them, by a kind of distant contact, which was effected by threads or strings passing from their bodies to his own.

On a similar principle, probably, we may explain the fact, that dreams refer chiefly to persons or events which we have actually seen, though they are put into new combinations; and that we more rarely dream of objects of simple memory, unless they have been strongly associated with some object of conception. Thus we seldom dream of events or characters in ancient history. Dr. Beattie, indeed, mentions having dreamt of crossing the Alps with Hannibal; but such dreams, I think, are very rare. It would be curious to observe their occurrence, and to trace the train that leads to them.

It appears, then, that the mental operations which take place in dreaming, consist chiefly of old conceptions and old associations, following one another according to some principle of succession, over which we have no control. But there are facts on record which show mental operations in dreams, of a much more intellectual character. Many people have been conscious of something like composition in dreams. Dr. Gregory mentions, that thoughts, which sometimes occurred to him in dreams, and even the particular expressions in which they were conveyed, appeared to him afterwards, when awake, so just in point of reasoning and illustration, and so good in point of language, that he has used them in his college lectures, and in his written lucubrations. Condorcet related of himself, that, when engaged in some profound and obscure calculations, he was often obliged to leave them in an incomplete state, and retire to rest; and that the remaining steps, and the conclusion of his calculations, had more than once presented themselves in his dreams. Dr. Franklin also informed Cabanis, that the bearings and issue of political events, which had puzzled him when awake, were not unfrequently unfolded to him in his dreams. A gentleman of Edinburgh, whose name is deeply associated with the literature of his country, had been one day much amused by reading a very witty epigram, by Piron, on the French Academy. In a dream the following night, he composed a parody or imitation of it, much at the expense of a learned society in Edinburgh, and some individuals of this city.—A gentleman had been reading an account of the cruelties practised upon some Christians in Turkey, by the mutilation of their noses and ears. In a dream the following night, he witnessed the execution of a punishment of this kind, and heard a Turk, who was standing by, address the sufferer in some doggerel rhymes, which he distinctly recollected and repeated in the morning. Another gentleman invented a French verb in a dream. He thought he was in a very close sort of pent-house, with such a number of persons, that they were threatened with suffocation, as there appeared no way of letting in air. In this state he called out, -il faut detoiter. There is no such word, but it was evidently formed from toit, the roof of a building.

The following anecdote has been preserved in a family of rank in Scotland, the descendants of a distinguished lawyer of the last age. This eminent person had been consulted respecting a case of great importance and much difficulty; and he had been studying it with intense anxiety and attention. After several days had been occupied in this manner, he was observed by his wife to rise from his bed in the night, and go to a writing-desk which stood in the bed-room. He then sat down, and wrote a long paper, which he put carefully by in the desk, and returned to bed. The following morning he told his wife that he had had a most interesting dream ;-that he had dreamt of delivering a clear and luminons opinion respecting a case which had exceedingly perplexed him; and that he would give any thing to recover the train of thought which had passed before him in his dream. She then directed him to the writing-desk, where he found the opinion clearly and fully written out, and which was after-

There can be no doubt, that many dreams take place which are not remembered, as appears from the fact of a person talking in his sleep, so as to be distinctly understood, without remembering any thing of the impression that gave rise to it. It is probable, also, that the dreams

wards found to be perfectly correct.

which are most distinctly remembered, are those which occur during imperfect sleep, or when the sleep begins to be broken by an approach towards waking. Another very peculiar state has perhaps occurred to most people, in which there is a distressing dream, and at the same time an impression that it probably is only a dream. This appears to take place in a still more imperfect state of sleep, in which there is the immediate approach to waking, and to the exercise of the reasoning powers. But there are some very singular facts on record, of this kind of reasoning being applied to dreams for the purpose of dissipating them. Dr. Beattie mentions of himself, that, in a dream, he once found himself standing in a very peculiar situation on the parapet of a bridge. Recollecting, he says, that he never was given to pranks of this nature, he began to fancy that it might be a dream, and determined to throw himself headlong, in the belief that this would restore his senses, which accordingly took place. In the same manner, Dr. Reid cured himself of a tendency to frightful dreams, with which he had been annoved from his early years. He endeavoured to fix strongly on his mind the impression, that all such dangers in dreams are but imaginary: and determined, whenever, in a dream, he found himself on the brink of a precipice, to throw himself over, and so dissipate the vision. By persevering in this method, he so removed the propensity, that, for forty years, he was never sensible of dreaming, though he was very attentive in his observation on the subject.

Some persons are never conscious of dreaming; and a gentleman, mentioned by Locke, was not sensible of dreaming till he had a fever at the age of twenty-six or twenty-seven.

A leading peculiarity in the phenomena of dreaming is the loss of power over the succession of our thoughts. We have seen that there are some exceptions to this, but the fact applies to by far the greater number of dreams, and some curious phenomena appear to be referable to it. Of this kind are probably some of those singular instances of imaginary difficulties occurring in dreams, on subjects on which none could be felt in the waking state. It is not uncommon for a clergyman to dream that he is going to preach, and cannot find his text; or for a clergyman of the church of England, that he cannot find the place in the prayer-book.

This, I think, can only be explained by supposing, that, in the chain of ideas passing through the mind, the church and prayer-book had come up, but had then led off into some other train, and not into that of actually going on with the service; while, at the same time, there arose in the mind a kind of impression that, under these circumstances, it ought

to have been gone on with.

The remarkable analogy between dreaming and insanity has already been referred to; and I shall only add the following illustration. Dr. Gregory mentions a maniac who had been for some time under his care, and entirely recovered. For a week after his recovery he was harassed, during his dreams, by the same rapid and tunultuous thoughts, and the same violent passions, by which he had been agitated during his insanity.

The slight outline which has now been given of dreaming may serve to show that the subject is not only curious but important. It appears to be worthy of careful investigation, and there is much reason to believe that an extensive collection of authentic facts, carefully analyzed, would unfold principles of very great interest in reference to the philosophy of

the mental powers.

## H .- SOMNAMBULISM.

Somnambulism appears to differ from dreaming chiefly in the degree in which the bodily functions are affected. The mind is fixed, in the same manner as in dreaming, upon its own impressions, as possessing a real and present existence in external things; but the bodily organs are more under the control of the will, so that the individual acts under the influence of his erroneous conceptions, and holds conversation in regard to them. He is also, to a certain degree, susceptible of impressions from without through his organs of sense; not however so as to correct his erroneous impressions, but rather to be mixed up with them. A variety of remarkable phenomena arise out of these peculiarities, which will be illustrated by a slight outline of this singular affection.

The first degree of somnambulism generally shows itself by a propensity to talk during sleep;—the person giving a full and connected account of what passes before him in dreams. and often revealing his own secrets or those of his friends. Walking during sleep is the next degree, and that from which the affection derives its name. The phenomena connected with this form are familiar to every one. The individual gets out of bed; dresses himself; if not prevented, goes out of doors; walks, frequently over dangerous places in safety; sometimes escapes by a window, and gets to the roof of a house; after a considerable interval, returns and goes to bed; and all that has passed conveys to his mind merely the impression of a dream. A young nobleman, mentioned by Horstius, living in the citadel of Breslau, was observed by his brother, who occupied the same room, to rise in his sleep, wrap himself in a cloak, and escape by a window to the roof of the building. He there tore in pieces a magpie's nest, wrapped the young birds in his cloak, returned to his apartment, and went to bed. In the morning, he mentioned the circumstances as having occurred in a dream, and could not be persuaded that there had been any thing more than a dream, till he was shown the magpies in his cloak. Prichard mentions a man who rose in his sleep, dressed himself, saddled his horse, and rode to the place of a market which he was in the habit of attending once every week; and Martinet mentions a man who was accustomed to rise in his sleep, and pursue his business as a saddler. An American farmer, mentioned by Professor Upham, rose in his sleep, went to his barn, and threshed out in the dark five bushels of rye, separating the grain from the straw with the greatest correctness. There are many instances on record of persons composing during the state of somnambulism; as of boys rising in their sleep, and finishing their tasks which they had left incomplete. A gentleman, at one of the foreign universities, had been very intent during the day in the composition of some verses which he had not been able to complete: during the following night he rose in his sleep, and finished his composition; then expressed great exultation, and returned to bed.

I have received, from an eminent medical gentleman in London, a case presenting some interesting features, which

occurred in the person of a young man, residing in his house as a pupil. This young gentleman was a zealous botanist, and had lately received the highest botanical prize from a public institution. One night, about an hour after he had gone to bed, after his return from a long botanical excursion, his master, who was sitting in a room below, heard a person coming down stairs with a heavy measured step, and, on going into the passage, found his pupil, with nothing on him but his hat and his shirt, his tin case swung across his shoulders, and a large stick in his hand. "His eyes" says my informer, "were more open than natural, but I observed he never directed them to me, or to the candle which I held. While I was contemplating the best method of getting him to bed again, he commenced the following dialogue: 'Are you going to Geenwich, Sir?' 'Yes, Sir.' 'Going by water, Sir?' 'Yes, Sir.' 'May I go with you, Sir?' 'Yes, Sir; but I am going directly, therefore please to follow me.' Upon this I walked up to his room, and he followed me without the least error in stepping up the stairs. At the side of his bed, I begged he would get into the boat, as I must be off immediately. I then removed the tin case from his shoulders, his hat dropped off, and he got into bed, observing he knew my face very well,-he had often seen me at the river side." A long conversation then ensued between him and the supposed boatman, in which he understood all that was said to him, and answered quite correctly respecting botanical excursions to Greenwich made by the professor of botany and his pupils; and named a rare plant he had lately found, of which the superintendent of the botanic garden had seen only one specimen in his life, and the professor only two. After some farther conversation, he was asked whether he knew who had gained the highest botanical prize, when he named a gentleman, but did not name himself. "Indeed!" was the reply; "did he gain the highest prize?" To this he made no answer. He was then asked, "do you know a Mr. ," naming himself; after much hesitation, he replied, "if I must confess it, my name is \_\_\_\_." This conversation lasted three quarters of an hour, during which time he never made an irrelevant anwer, and never hesitated, except about the prize and his own name. He then lav down in bed, saying he felt tired, and would lie upon the grass till the professor came. But he soon sat up again, and held a long conversation with another gentleman who then came into the room, when he again understood every thing that was said to him, and answered readily and correctly, sometimes uttering long sentences without the least hesitation. After a conversation of about an hour, he said, "it is very cold on this grass, but I am so tired, I must lie down." He soon after lay down, and remained quiet the rest of the night. Next morning he had not the least recollection of what had passed, and was not

even aware of having dreamt of any thing.

In these common cases the affection occurs during ordinary sleep; but a condition very analogous is met with, coming on in the day-time, in paroxysms, during which the person is affected in the same manner as in the state of somnambulism, particularly with an insensibility to external impressions;these presents some singular phenomena. These attacks in some cases come on without any warning; in others, they are preceded by noise or a sense of confusion in the head. individuals then become more or less abstracted, and are either unconscious of any external impression, or very confused in their notions of external things. They are very frequently able to talk in an intelligible and consistent manner, but always in reference to the impression which is present in their own minds. They, in some cases, repeat long pieces of poetry, often more correctly than they can do in their waking state, and not unfrequently things which they could not repeat in their state of health, or of which they were supposed to be entirely ignorant. In other cases, they hold conversation with imaginary beings, or relate circumstances or conversations which occurred at remote periods, and which they were supposed to have forgotten. Some have been known to sing in a style far superior to any thing they could do in their waking state; and there are some wellauthenticated instances of persons in this condition expressing themselves correctly, in languages with which they were imperfectly acquainted. Some years ago I had under my care a young lady, who was liable to an affection of this kind, which came on repeatedly during the day, and continued from ten minutes to an hour at a time. Without any warning, her body became motionless, her eves open, fixed, and entirely insensible; and slie became totally unconscious of any external impression. She was frequently seized while playing on the piano, and continued to play over and over a part of a tune, with perfect correctness, but without advancing beyond a certain point. On one occasion, she was seized after she had begun to play from the book a piece of music which was new to her. During the paroxysm, she continued the part which she had played, and repeated it five or six times with perfect correctness; but, on coming out of the attack, she

could not play it without the book.

During the paroxysms, the individuals are, in some instances, totally insensible to any thing that is said to them; but in others, they are capable of holding conversation with another person with a tolerable degree of consistency, though they are influenced to a certain degree by their mental visions. and are very confused in their notions of external things. many cases, again, they are capable of going on with the manual occupations in which they had been engaged before the attack. This occurred remarkably in a watchmaker's apprentice mentioned by Martinet. The paroxysms in him appeared once in fourteen days, and commenced with a feeling of heat extending from the epigastrium to the head. This was followed by confusion of thought, and this by complete insensibility; his eyes were open, but fixed and vacant, and he was totally insensible to any thing that was said to him. or to any external impression. But he continued his usual employment, and was always much astonished, on his recovery, to find the change that had taken place in his work since the commencement of the paroxysm. This case afterwards passed into epilepsy.

Some remarkable phenomena are presented by this singular affection, especially in regard to exercises of memory, and the manner in which old associations are recalled into the mind;—also in the distinct manner in which the individuals sometimes express themselves, on subjects with which they had formerly shown but an imperfect acquaintance. In some of the French cases of epidemic "extase," this has been magnified into speaking unknown languages, predicting future events, and describing occurrences of which the persons could not have possessed any knowledge. These stories seem in some cases to resolve themselves merely into embellishment of what really occurred, but in others there can be no doubt of

connivance and imposture. Some facts however appear to be authentic, and are sufficiently remarkable. Two females. mentioned by Bertrand, expressed themselves during the paroxysm very distinctly in Latin. They afterwards admitted that they had some acquaintance with the language, though it was imperfect. An ignorant servant girl, mentioned by Dr. Dewar, during paroxysms of this kind, showed an astonishing knowledge of geography and astronomy; and expressed herself, in her own language, in a manner which. though often ludicrous, showed an understanding of the sub-The alternations of the seasons, for example, she explained by saying, that the earth was set a-qee. It was afterwards discovered that her notions on these subjects had been derived from overhearing a tutor giving instructions to the young people of the family. A woman who was, some time ago, in the Infirmary of Edinburgh, on account of an affection of this kind, during the paroxysms mimicked the manner of the physicians, and repeated correctly some of their prescriptions in the Latin language.

Another very singular phenomenon, presented by some instances of this affection, is what has been called, rather incorrectly, a state of double consciousness. It consists in the individual recollecting, during a paroxysm, circumstances which occurred in a former attack, though there was no remembrance of them during the interval. This, as well as various other phenomena connected with the affection, is strikingly illustrated in a case described by Dr. Dyce of Aberdeen, in the Edinburgh Philosophical Transactions. The patient was a servant girl, and the affection began with fits of somnolency, which came upon her suddenly during the day, and from which she could, at first, be roused by shaking, or by being taken into the open air. She soon began to talk a great deal during the attacks, regarding things which seemed to be passing before her as a dream; and she was not, at this time, sensible of any thing that was said to her. On one occasion, she repeated distinctly the baptismal service of the church of England, and concluded with an extemporary prayer. In her subsequent paroxsyms she began to understand what was said to her, and to answer with a considerable degree of consistency, though the answers were generally to a certain degree influenced by her hallucinations.

She also became capable of following her usual employments during the paroxysm; at one time she laid out the table correctly for breakfast, and repeatedly dressed herself and the children of the family, her eyes remaining shut the whole time. The remarkable circumstance was now discovered, that, during the paroxysm, she had a distinct recollection of what took place in former paroxysms, though she had no remembrance of it during the intervals. At one time, she was taken to church while under the attack, and there behaved with propriety, evidently attending to the preacher; and she was at one time so much affected as to shed tears. In the interval, she had no recollection of having been at church; but in the next paroxysm she gave a most distinct account of the sermon, and mentioned particularly the part of

it by which she had been so much affected.

This woman described the paroxysm as coming on with a cloudiness before her eyes, and a noise in the head. During the attack, her eyelids were generally half-shut; her eyes sometimes resembled those of a person affected with amaurosis, that is, with a dilated and insensible state of the pupil. but sometimes they were quite natural. She had a dull vacant look, but, when excited, knew what was said to her, though she often mistook the person who was speaking; and. it was observed, that she seemed to discern objects best which were faintly illuminated. The paroxysms generally continued about an hour, but she could often be roused out of them; she then yawned and stretched herself, like a person awaking out of sleep, and instantly knew those about her. At one time. during the attack, she read distinctly a portion of a book which was presented to her: and she often sung, both sacred and common pieces, incomparably better, Dr. Dyce affirms. than she could do in the waking state. The affection continued to recur for about six months, and ceased when a particular change took place in her constitution.

Several points in this remarkable case will be strikingly illustrated by the following history which I have received from a lady of high intelligence, who was herself a witness of the facts she has related. A girl aged seven years, an orphan of the lowest rank, residing in the house of a farmer, by whom she was employed in tending cattle, was accustomed to sleep in an apartment separated by a very thin partition from one which

was frequently occupied by an itinerant fiddler. This person was a musician of very considerable skill, and often spent a part of the night in performing pieces of a refined description; but his performance was not taken notice of by the child except as a disagreeable noise. After a residence of six months in this family she fell into bad health, and was removed to the house of a benevolent lady, where, on her recovery after a protracted illness, she was employed as a servant. Some years after she came to reside with this lady, the most beautiful music was often heard in the house during the night, which excited no small interest and wonder in the family; and many a waking hour was spent in endeavours to discover the invisible minstrel. At length the sound was traced to the sleeping-room of the girl, who was found fast asleep, but uttering from her lips a sound exactly resembling the sweetest tones of a small violin. On farther observation it was found, that, after being about two hours in bed, she became restless and began to mutter to herself ;-she then uttered sounds precisely resembling the tuning of a violin, and at length, after some prelude, dashed off into elaborate pieces of music, which she performed in a clear and accurate manner, and with a sound exactly resembling the most delicate modulations of that instrument. During the performance she sometimes stopped, made the sound of re-tuning her instrument, and then began exactly where she had stopped in the most correct manner. These paroxysms occurred at irregular intervals, varying from one to fourteen or even twenty nights; and they were generally followed by a degree of fever, and pains over various parts of her body. After a year or two, her music was not confined to the imi-

After a year or two, her music was not confined to the imitation of the violin, but was often exchanged for that of a piano of a very old description which she was accustomed to hear in the house where she now lived; and she then also began to sing, imitating exactly the voices of several ladies of the family. In another year from this time she began to talk a great deal in her sleep, in which she seemed to fancy herself instructing a younger companion. She often descanted with the utmost fluency and correctness on a variety of topics, both political and religious, the news of the day, the historical parts of scripture, public characters, and particularly the characters of members of the family and their visitors. In these discus-

sions she showed the most wonderful discrimination, often combined with sarcasm, and astonishing powers of mimicry. Her language through the whole was fluent and correct, and her illustrations often forcible and even eloquent. She was fond of illustrating her subjects by what she called a fable, and in these her imagery was both appropriate and elegant. "She was by no means," says my informer, "limited in her range,—Buonaparte, Wellington, Blucher, and all the kings of the earth, figured among the phantasmagoria of her brain; and all were animadverted upon with such freedom from restraint, as often made me think poor Nancy had been transported into Madame Genlis' Palace of Truth. ness and truth of her remarks on all subjects excited the utmost astonishment in those who are acquainted with her limited means of acquiring information." She has been known to conjugate correctly Latin verbs which she had probably heard in the school-room of the family; and she was once heard to speak several sentences very correctly in French,—at the same time stating that she heard them from a foreign gentleman whom she had met accidentally in a shop. Being questioned on this subject when awake, she remembered having seen the gentleman, but could not repeat a word of what he said. During her paroxysms it was almost impossible to awake her, and when her evelids were raised, and a candle brought near the eye, the pupil seemed insensible to the light. For several years she was, during the paroxysms, entirely unconscious of the presence of other persons; but, about the age of sixteen, she began to observe those who were in the apartment, and she could tell correctly their numbers, though the utmost care was taken to have the room darkened. She now also became capable of answering questions that were put to her, and of noticing remarks made in her presence; and, with regard to both, she showed astonishing acuteness. Her observations indeed were often of such a nature, and corresponded so accurately with characters and events, that, by the country people, she was believed to be endowed with supernatural powers.

During the whole period of this remarkable affection, which seems to have gone on for at least ten or eleven years, she was, when awake, a dull awkward girl, very slow in receiving any kind of instruction, though much care was

bestowed upon her; and, in point of intellect, she was much inferior to the other servants of the family. In particular she showed no kind of turn for music. She did not appear to have any recollection of what passed during her sleep; but, during her nocturnal ramblings, she was more than once heard to lament her infirmity of speaking in her sleep, adding how fortunate it was that she did not sleep among the other servants, as they teased her enough about it as it was. About the age of twenty-one, she became immoral in her conduct, and was dismissed from the family. Her propensity to talk in her sleep continued to the time of her dismissal, but a great change had taken place in her nocturnal conversation. It had gradually lost its acuteness and brilliancy, and latterly became the mere babblings of a vulgar mind, often mingled with insolent remarks against her superiors, and the most profane scoffing at morality and religion. It is believed that she afterwards became insane.

To this remarkable history I shall only add a short account of another servant girl, whose case I have received from her master, a most intelligent clergyman. This woman was very much addicted to talking in her sleep; and, after some observation, it was discovered, that, in doing so, she went over all the transactions of the preceding day; every thing, especially, that she had herself said, was distinctly repeated in the order in which she had spoken it. In general she commenced immediately after she had fallen asleep, and began by repeating the first words she had spoken in the morning; and then went through the other conversation of the day, adapting her tone and manner to the real occurrences. Thus, whether she had called aloud to a person at a distance, or whispered something which she did not wish to be overheard,—whether she had laughed or sung, every thing was repeated in the order and in the tone of voice in which it had actually occurred. In repeating conversations with others, she regularly left intervals in her discourse corresponding to the period when the other party was supposed to be replying; and she also left intervals between different conversations, shorter in reality, but corresponding in relative length to the intervals which had in fact taken place. Thus, if she had been for two hours without conversing with any other person, the interval in her nocturnal conversation was

about ten minutes. In this manner she generally required about two hours to rehearse the occurrences of the day. She was scarcely ever known to repeat any thing she had read; but she occasionally repeated psalms, as if she had been teaching them to a child; and it was observed that she repeated them much more correctly than she could do when awake. Along with these peculiarities, she exhibited the more common characters of somnambulism, frequently rising in her sleep, and pursuing her ordinary occupations in the kitchen, and even out of doors. On one occasion she awoke in the act of mounting a horse at the stable door, - and, at another time, was roused by spraining her ancle while cutting grass in a ditch, at some distance from the house. These occupations were observed to have a relation to her engagements during the day, being either a repetition of something she had done, or the accomplishment of what she had intended to do, but had been prevented from performing; and sometimes it appeared to be something which she meant to do at the earliest hour on the following day. These various peculiarities had been matter of interesting observation for a considerable time, when she at length fell into a state of continued unconsciousness to external things, which went on for three days, during which time she attended to all her usual occupations. This began on a Sunday, and continued to the Wednesday. On that day, her master met her returning from an outhouse, carrying a number of eggs, when he determined to attempt rousing her by shouting loudly in her ear. On his doing so, she awoke as from a sleep, and spoke to him sensibly -but could give no account of the eggs, and could scarcely be persuaded that the day was not Sunday. In an hour she relapsed into the unconscious state, and was again roused in the same manner; but, after some farther experiments, this expedient failed, in consequence of which she was taken to the house of her parents, and did not recover entirely for several weeks. After this her former peculiarities became less remarkable, and gradually ceased.

Among the histories of this singular affection, I have not found any thing resembling the nocturnal conversation which occurred in this case. One of a different kind, and also very remarkable, is mentioned by Von Hoven, as quoted by Treviranus. It occurred in a young man, a student, who, as

soon as he fell asleep, began to speak aloud. The subject of his discourse was found to be a continued and connected dream, which each night began exactly where it had left off on the preceding. It had no relation to any thing that had occurred to him in his waking state, and when awake he had no recollection of his dream. The affection continued about three weeks.

Another very remarkable modification of this affection is referred to by Mr. Combe, as described by Major Elliot, Professor of Mathematics in the United States' Military Academy at West Point. The patient was a young lady of cultivated mind, and the affection began with an attack of somnolency, which was protracted several hours beyond the usual time. When she came out of it, she was found to have lost every kind of acquired knowledge. She immediately began to apply herself to the first elements of education, and was making considerable progress, when, after several months, she was seized with a second fit of somnolency. now at once restored to all the knowledge which she possessed before the first attack, but without the least recollection of any thing that had taken place during the interval. After another interval, she had a third attack of somnolency, which left her in the same state as after the first. In this manner, she suffered these alternate conditions for a period of four years, with the very remarkable circumstance,-that, during the one state, she retained all her original knowledge, but, during the other, that only which she had acquired since the first attack. During the healthy interval, for example, she was remarkable for the beauty of her penmanship, but, during the paroxysm, wrote a poor awkward hand. Persons introduced to her during the paroxysm, she recognised only in a subsequent paroxysm, but not in the interval; and persons whom she had seen for the first time during the healthy interval, she did not recognise during the attack.

Of the remarkable condition of the mental faculties exemplified in these cases, it is impossible to give any explanation. Something very analogous to it occurs in other affections, though in a smaller degree. Dr. Prichard mentions a lady who was liable to sudden attacks of delirium, which, after continuing for various periods, went off as suddenly, leaving her at once perfectly rational. The attack was often

so sudden, that it commenced while she was engaged in interesting conversation; and, on such occasions, it happened, that, on her recovery from the state of delirium, she instantly recurred to the conversation she had been engaged in at the time of the attack, though she had never referred to it during the continuance of the affection. To such a degree was this carried, that she would even complete an unfinished sentence. During the subsequent paroxysm, again, she would pursue the train of ideas which had occupied her mind in the former. Mr. Combe also mentions a porter, who, in a state of intoxication, left a parcel at a wrong house, and, when sober, could not recollect what he had done with it. But the next time he got drunk, he recollected where he had left it, and went and recovered it.

On this curious subject, I shall only add a remarkable case which I have received from a most respectable clergyman in England, and the facts of which occurred under his own immediate observation. A young woman of the lower rank, aged 19, became insane; but was gentle, and applied herself eagerly to various occupations. Before her insanity she had been only learning to read, and to form a few letters; but during her insanity she taught herself to write perfectly, though all attempts of others to teach her failed, as she could not attend to any person who tried to do so. She has intervals of reason, which have frequently continued three weeks, sometimes longer. During these, she can neither read nor write; but, immediately on the return of her insanity, she recovers her power of writing, and can read perfectly.

## III.—INSANITY.

d gamengale. An interpretation of the transfer and the control of the control of the control of the control of

Barrier of the second of the second of the second of the second

Reason we have considered to be that exercise of mind by which we compare facts with each other, and mental impressions with external things. By means of it we are enabled to judge of the relations of facts, and of the agreement between our impressions and the actual state of things in the external world. We have seen also that peculiar power,

part of my life I had imbibed a liking for a most unprofitable study, namely, controversial divinity. On reviewing my library, I found a great part of it to consist of books of this description, and I was so anxious that my family should not be led to follow the same pursuit, that I determined to burn the whole." He gave answers equally plausible to questions which were put to him, respecting other parts of his conduct; and the result was, that the jury found no sufficient ground for cognoscing him; but, in the course of a formight from that time, he was in state of decided mania.

It is therefore incorrect to say of insanity, as has been said, that the maniac reasons correctly upon unsound data. His data may be unsound, that is, they may consist of a mental image which is purely visionary, as in the state of perfect mania lately referred to; but this is by no means necessary to constitute the disease; for his premises may be sound, though he distorts them in the results which he deduces from them. This was remarkably the case in the clergyman now mentioned. His premises were sound and consistent, namely, his opinion of the unprofitable nature of the study of controversial divinity, and his anxiety that his family should not prosecute it. His insanity consisted in the rapid and partial view which he took of the means for accomplishing his purpose,-burning his whole library. Had he sold his library, or that part of it which cousisted of controversial divinity, the measure would have been in correct relation to the object which he had in view; and if we suppose that, in going over his library, he had met with some books of an immoral tendency,—to have burnt these, to prevent them from falling into the hands of any individual, would have been the act both of a wise and a virtuous man. But to burn his whole library, to prevent his family from studying controversial divinity, was the suggestion of insanity,—distorting entirely the true relation of things, and carrying an impression, in itself correct, into consequences which it in no degree warranted.

A remarkable peculiarity, in many cases of insanity, is a great activity of mind, and rapidity of conception,—a tendency to seize rapidly upon incidental or partial relations of things,—and often a fertility of imagination, which changes the character of the mind, sometimes without remarkably

distorting it. The memory, in such cases, is entire, and even appears more ready than in health; and old associations are called up with a rapidity quite unknown to the individual in his sound state of mind. A gentleman, mentioned by Dr. Willis, who was liable to periodical attacks of insanity, said that he expected the paroxysms with impatience, because he enjoyed during them a high degree of pleasure. " Every thing appeared easy to me. No obstacles presented themselves, either in theory or practice. My memory acquired. all of a sudden, a singular degree of perfection. Long passages of Latin authors occurred to my mind. In general I have great difficulty in finding rhythmical terminations, but then I could write verses with as great facility as prose." "I have often," says Pinel, "stopped at the chamber-door of a literary gentleman, who, during his paroxysms, appears to soar above the mediocrity of intellect that was familiar to him, solely to admire his newly-acquired powers of eloquence. He declaimed upon the subject of the Revolution with all the force, the dignity, and the purity of language that this very interesting subject could admit of. At other times he was a man of very ordinary abilities."

It is this activity of thought, and readiness of association, that gives to maniacs of a particular class an appearance of great ingenuity and acuteness. Hence they have been said to reason acutely upon false premises; and one author has even alleged, that a maniac of a particular kind would make an excellent logician. But to say that a maniac reasons either soundly or acutely, is an abuse of terms. He reasons plausibly and ingeniously; that is, he catches rapidly incidental and partial relations; and, from the rapidity with which they are seized upon, it may sometimes be difficult at first to detect their fallacy. He might have made a skilful logician of the schools, whose ingenuity consisted in verbal disputes; and frivolous distinctions; but he never can be considered as exercising that sound logic, the aim of which is to trace the real relations of things, and the object of which is truth.

The peculiar character of insanity, in all its modifications, appears to be, that a certain impression has fixed itself upon the mind, in such a manner as to exclude all others; or to exclude them from that influence which they ought to have on

the mind in its estimate of the relations of things. This impression may be entirely visionary and unfounded; or it may be in itself true, but distorted in the applications which the unsound mind makes of it, and the consequences which are deduced from it. Thus, a man of wealth fancies himself a beggar, and in danger of dying from hunger. Another takes up the same impression, who has, in fact, sustained some considerable loss. In the one, the impression is entirely visionary, like that which might occur in a dream. In the other, it is a real and true impression, carried to consequences which it does not warrant.

There is great variety in the degree to which the mind is influenced by the erroneous impression. In some cases it is such as entirely excludes all others, even those immediately arising from the evidence of the senses, as in the state of perfect mania formerly referred to. In many others, though in a less degree than this, it is such as to change the whole character. The particular manner, in which this more immediately appears, will depend of course upon the nature of the erroneous impression. A person, formerly most correct in his conduct and habits, may become obscene and blasphemous; accustomed occupations become odious to him; the nearest and most beloved friends become objects of his aversion and abhorrence. Much interesting matter of observation often arises out of these peculiarities; and it is no less interesting to observe, during convalescence, the gradual return to former habits and attachments. A young lady, mentioned by Dr. Rush, who had been for some time confined in a lunatic asylum, had shown, for several weeks, every mark of a sound mind, except one,—she hated her father. At length, she one day acknowledged, with pleasure, the return of her filial attachment, and was soon after discharged, entirely recovered. Even when the erroneous impression is confined to a single subject, it is remarkable how it absorbs the attention, to the exclusion of other feelings of a most intense and powerful kind. I knew a person of wealth, who had fallen into a temporary state of melancholic hallucination, in connexion with a transaction in business which he regretted having made, but of which the real effect was of a trifling nature. While in this situation, the most severe distress occurred in his family, by the death of one of them under painful circumstances, without his being affected by it in the

slightest degree.

The uniformity of the impressions of maniacs is indeed so remarkable, that it has been proposed by Pinel, as a test for distinguishing real from feigned insanity. He has seen melancholics confined in the Bicetre, for twelve, fifteen, twenty, and even thirty years; and through the whole of that period, their hallucination has been limited to one subject. Others, after a course of years, have changed from one hallucination to another. A man, mentioned by him, was for eight years constantly haunted with the idea of being poisoned; he then changed his hallucination, became sovereign of the world and

extremely happy, and thus continued for four years.

The sudden revival of old impressions, after having been long entirely suspended by mental hallucination, presents some of the most singular phenomena connected with this subject. Dr. Prichard mentions an interesting case of this kind from the American Journal of Science. A man had been employed for a day with a beetle and wedges in splitting pieces of wood for erecting a fence. At night before going home, he put the beetle and wedges into the hollow of an old tree, and directed his sons, who had been at work in an adjoining field. to accompany him next morning to assist in making the fence. In the night he became maniacal, and continued in a state of insanity for several years, during which time his mind was not occupied with any of the subjects with which he had been conversant when in health. After several years his reason returned suddenly, and the first question he asked was, whether his sons had brought home the beetle and wedges. They, being afraid of entering upon any explanation, only said, that they could not find them; on which he rose from his bed, went to the field where he had been at work so many years before, and found, where he had left them, the wedges, and the iron rings of the beetle, the wooden part being entirely mouldered away.—A lady, mentioned in the same journal, had been intensely engaged for some time, in a piece of needlework. Before she had completed it, she became insane, and continued in that state for seven years, after which her reason returned suddenly. One of the first questions she asked related to her needlework, though she had never alluded

to it, so far as was recollected, during the illness. I have formerly alluded to the remarkable case of a lady, who was liable to periodical paroxysms of delirium, which often attacked her so suddenly, that, in conversation, she would stop in the middle of a story, or even of a sentence, and branch off into the subject of her hallucination. On the return of her reason, she would resume the conversation in which she was engaged at the time of the attack, beginning exactly where she had left off, though she had never alluded to it during the delirium; and. on the next attack of delirium, she would resume the subject of hallucination, with which she had been occupied at the conclusion of the former paroxysm. In some cases there is a total loss of the impression of time respecting the period occupied by the attack, which on the partial recovery of the patient shows itself by singular fancies. A man, mentioned by Haslam, maintained that he had seen the seed sown in a particular field, and on passing it again three or four days after, saw the reapers at work cutting down the corn. The interval, of which he had thus lost entirely the impression, had been spent in a state of furious insanity ;-from this he had in so far recovered, as, by a mere act of observation and memory, to form this notion, but not so far as, by an act of comparison or judgment, to perceive its absurdity.

Among the most singular phenomena connected with insanity, we must reckon those cases in which the hallucination is confined to a single point, while, on every other subject, the patient speaks and acts like a rational man; and he often shows the most astonishing power of avoiding the subject of his disordered impression, when circumstances make it advisable for him to do so. A man mentioned by Pinel, who had been for some time confined in the Bicetre, was, on the visitation of a commissary, ordered to be discharged as perfectly sane, after a long conversation in which he had conducted himself with the greatest propriety. The officer prepared the proces verbal for his discharge, and gave it him to put his name to it, when he subscribed himself Jesus Christ, and then indulged in all the reveries connected with that delusion. Lord Erskine gives a very remarkable history of a man, who indicted Dr. Monro for confining him without cause in a mad-house. He underwent the most rigid examination by the counsel of the defendant, without discovering any appearance of insanity, until a gentleman came into court, who desired a question to be put to him respecting a princess with whom he had corresponded in cherry-juice. He immediately talked about the princess in the most insane manner, and the cause was at an end. But, this having taken place in Westminster, he commenced another action in the city of London, and, on this occasion, no effort could induce him to expose his insanity; so that the cause was dismissed only by bringing against him the evidence taken at Westminster. On another occasion, Lord Erskine examined a gentleman, who had indicted his brother for confining him as a maniac, and the examination had gone on for great part of a day, without discovering any trace of insanity. Dr. Sims then came into court, and informed the counsel, that the gentleman considered himself as the Saviour of the world. A single observation addressed to him in this character showed his insanity, and put an end to the cause. Many similar cases are on record. Several years ago a gentleman in Edinburgh, who was brought before a jury to be cognosced, defeated every attempt of the opposite counsel to discover any trace of insanity, until a gentleman came into court, who ought to have been present at the beginning of the case, but had been accidentally detained. He immediately addressed the patient by asking him what were his latest accounts from the planet Saturn, and speedily elicited ample proofs of insanity.

Of the nature and cause of that remarkable condition of the mental faculties which gives rise to the phenomena of insanity, we know nothing. We can only observe the facts, and endeavour to trace among them some general principle of connexion; and even in this there is great difficulty, chiefly from the want of observations particularly directed to this object. There would be much interesting subject of inquiry, in tracing the origin of the particular chain of ideas which arise in individual cases of insanity; and likewise the manner in which similar impressions are modified in different cases, either by circumstances in the natural disposition of the individual, or by the state of his bodily functions at the time. From what has been observed, it seems probable, that, in both these respects, there is preserved a remarkable analogy to dreaming. The particular hallucinations may be chiefly

referred to the following heads:-

I. Propensities of character, which had been kept under restraint by reason or by external circumstances, and old habits which had been subdued or restrained, developing themselves without control, and leading the mind into trains of fancies arising out of them. Thus a man of an aspiring ambitious character may imagine himself a king or great personage; while, in a man of a timid, suspicious disposition, the mind may fix upon some supposed injury, or loss either of property or reputation.

II. Old associations recalled into the mind, and mixed up perhaps with more recent occurrences, in the same manner as we often see in dreaming. A lady, mentioned by Dr. Gooch, who became insane in consequence of an alarm from a house on fire in her neighbourhood, imagined that she was the Virgin Mary, and had a luminous halo round her head.

III. Visions of the imagination which have formerly been indulged in, of that kind which we call waking dreams, or castle-building, recurring to the mind in this condition, and now believed to have a real existence. I have been able to trace this source of the hallucination. In one case, for example, it turned upon an office to which the individual imagined he had been appointed; and it was impossible to persuade him to the contrary, or even that the office was not vacant. He afterwards acknowledged that his fancy had at various times been fixed upon that appointment, though there were no circumstances that warranted him in entertaining any expectation of it. In a man mentioned by Dr. Morison, the hallucination turned upon circumstances which had been mentioned when his fortune was told by a gipsy.

IV. Bodily feelings giving rise to trains of associations, in the same extravagant manner as in dreaming. A man, mentioned by Dr. Rush, imagined that he had a Caffre in his stomach, who had got into it at the Cape of Good Hope, and had occasioned him a constant uneasiness ever since. In such a case, it is probable that there had been some fixed or frequent uneasy feeling at the stomach, and that, about the commencement of his complaint, he had been strongly impressed by some transaction in which a Caffre was concerned.

V. There seems reason to believe that the hallucinations of the insane are often influenced by a certain sense of the new and singular state in which their mental powers really

are, and a certain feeling, though confused and ill-defined, of the loss of that power over their mental processes, which they possessed when in health. To a feeling of this kind, I am disposed to refer the impression, so common among the insane, of being under the influence of some supernatural power. They sometimes represent it as the working of an evil spirit, and sometimes as witchcraft. Very often they describe it as a mysterious and undue influence which some individual has obtained over them; and this influence they often represent as being carried on by means of electricity, galvanism, or magnetism. This impression being once established of a mysterious agency, or a mysterious change in the state and feelings of the individual, various other incidental associations may be brought into connexion with it, according as particular circumstances have made a deep impression on the mind. A man mentioned by Pinel, who had become insane during the French revolution, imagined that he had been guillotined. that the judges had changed their mind after the sentence was executed, and had ordered his head to be put on again; and that the persons intrusted with this duty had made a mistake, and put a wrong head upon him. Another individual, mentioned by Dr. Conolly, imagined that he had been hanged, and brought to life by means of galvanism; and that the whole of his life had not been restored to him.

Out of the same undefined feeling, of mental processes very different from those of the healthy state, probably arises another common impression, namely, of intercourse with spiritual beings, visions and revelations. The particular character of these, perhaps, depends on some previous process of the mind, or strong propensity of the character; and the notion of a supernatural revelation may proceed from a certain feeling of the new and peculiar manner in which the impression is fixed upon the mind. A priest mentioned by Pinel imagined that he had a commission from the Virgin Mary, to murder a certain individual, who was accused of intidelity. It is probable that the patient, in this case, had been naturally of a violent and irascible disposition; that he had come in contact with this person, and had been annoyed and irritated by infidel sentiments uttered by him; and that a strong feeling in regard to him had thus been excited in his mind, which, in his insane state, was formed into this vision.

When the mental impression is of a depressing character, that modification of the disease is produced which is called melancholia. It seems to differ from mania merely in the subject of hallucination, and accordingly we find the two modifications pass into each other,—the same patient being, at one time, in a state of melancholic depression, and at another, of maniacal excitement. It is, however, more common for the melancholic to continue in the state of depression, and generally in reference to one subject; and the difference between him and the exalted maniac does not appear to depend upon the occasional cause. For we sometimes find persons who have become deranged, in connexion with overwhelming calamities, show no depression, nor even a recollection of their distresses, but the highest state of exalted mania. The difference appears to depend chiefly upon constitutional

peculiarities of character.

The most striking peculiarity of melancholia is the prevailing propensity to suicide; and there are facts connected with this subject, which remarkably illustrate what may be called the philosophy of insanity. When the melancholic hallucination has fully taken possession of the mind, it becomes the sole object of attention, without the power of varying the impression, or of directing the thoughts to any facts or considerations calculated to remove or palliate it. The evil seems overwhelming and irremediable, admitting neither of palliation, consolation, nor hope. For the process of mind calculated to diminish such an impression, or even to produce the hope of a palliation of the evil, is precisely that exercise of mind which, in this singular condition, is lost or suspended ;namely, a power of changing the subject of thought, of transferring the attention to other facts and considerations, and of comparing the mental impression with these, and with the actual state of external things. Under such a conviction of overwhelming and hopcless misery, the feeling naturally arises of life being a burden, and this is succeeded by a determination to quit it. When such an association has once been formed, it also fixes itself upon the mind, and fails to be corrected by those considerations which ought to remove it. That it is in this manner the impression arises, and not from any process analogous to the determination of a sound mind, appears, among other circumstances, from the singular manner in which it is often dissipated; namely, by the accidental production of some new impression, not calculated, in any degree, to influence the subject of thought, but simply to give a momentary direction of the mind to some other feeling. Thus, a man mentioned by Pinel had left his house in the night, with the determined resolution of drowning himself, when he was attacked by robbers. He did his best to escape from them, and, having done so, returned home, the resolution of suicide being entirely dissipated. A woman, mentioned, I believe, by Dr. Burrows, had her resolution changed in the same manner, by something falling on her head, after she

had gone out for a similar purpose.

A very singular modification occurs in some of these cases. With the earnest desire of death, there is combined an impression of the criminality of suicide; but this, instead of correcting the liallucination, only leads to another and most extraordinary mode of effecting the purpose; namely, by committing murder, and so dying by the hand of justice. Several instances are on record, in which this remarkable mental process was distinctly traced and acknowledged: and in which there was no mixture of malice against the individuals who were murdered. On the contrary, these were generally children; and, in one of the cases, the maniac distinctly avowed his resolution to commit murder, with the view of dving by a sentence of law, and at the same time his determination, that his victim should be a child,—as he should thus avoid the additional guilt of sending a person out of the world in a state of unrepented sin. The mental process, in such a case, presents a most interesting subject of reflection. It appears to be purely a process of association, without the power of reasoning. I should suppose, that there had been at a former period, during a comparatively healthy state of the mental faculties, a repeated contemplation of suicide, which had been always checked by an immediate conviction of its dreadful criminality. In this manner, a strong connexion had been formed, which, when the idea of suicide afterwards came into the mind, during the state of insanity, led to the impression of its heinousness, not by a process of reasoning, but by simple association. The subsequent steps are the distorted reasonings of insanity, mixed with some previous impression of the safe condition of children dying in infancy. This

explanation; I think, is strongly countenanced by the consideration, that, had the idea of the criminality of suicide been in any degree a process of reasoning, a corresponding conviction of the guilt of murder must have followed it. I find, however, one case which is at variance with this hypothesis. The reasoning of that unfortunate individual was, that if he committed murder and died by the hand of justice, there would be time for making his peace with the Almighty between the crime and his execution, which would not be the case if he should die by suicide. This was a species of reasoning,—but

it was purely the reasoning of insanity.

Attempts have been made to refer insanity to disease of bodily organs, but hitherto without much success. In some instances, we are able to trace a connexion of this kind; but, in a large proportion, we can trace no bodily disease. On this subject, as well as various other points connected with the phenomena of insanity, extensive and careful observation will be required, before we shall be entitled to advance to any conclusions. In regard to what have been called the moral causes of insanity, also, I suspect there has been a good deal of fallacy, arising from considering as a moral cause, what was really a part of the disease. Thus, we find so many cases of insanity referred to erroneous views of religion, so many to love, so many to ambition, &c. But, perhaps it may be doubted whether that which was, in these cases, considered as the cause, was not rather, in many instances, a part of the hallucination. This, I think, applies in a peculiar manner to the important subject of religion, which, by a common but very loose mode of speaking, is often mentioned as a frequent cause of insanity. When there is a constitutional tendency to insanity, or to melancholy, one of its leading modifications, every subject is distorted to which the mind can be directed, and none more frequently or more remarkably than the great questions of religious belief. But this is the effect, not the cause; and the frequency of this kind of hallucination, and the various forms which it assumes, may be ascribed to the subject being one to which the minds of all men are so naturally directed in one degree or another, and of which no man living can entirely divest himself. Even when the mind does give way under a great moral cause, such as overwhelming misfortunes, we often find that the hallucination does not refer

to them, but to something entirely distinct: striking examples

of this are mentioned by Pinel.

Insanity is, in a large portion of cases, to be traced to hereditary predisposition; and this is often so strong, that no prominent moral cause is necessary for the production of the disease, and probably no moral treatment would have any effect in preventing it. We must however suppose, that, where a tendency to insanity exists, there may be, in many cases, circumstances in mental habits or mental discipline, calculated either to favor or to counteract the tendency. Insanity frequently commences with a state in which particular impressions fix themselves upon the mind, in a manner entirely disproportioned to their true relations; and in which these false impressions fail to be corrected by the judgment, comparing them with other impressions, or with external things. In so far as mental habits may be supposed to favour or promote such a condition, this may be likely to result from allowing the mind to wander away from the proper duties of life, or to luxuriate amid scenes of the imagination; and permitting mental emotions, of whatever kind, to be excited in a manner disproportioned to the true relations of the objects which give rise to them; in short, from allowing the mind to ramble among imaginary events, or to be led away by slight and casual relations, instead of steadily exercising the judgment in the investigation of truth. We might refer to the same head habits of distorting events, and of founding upon them conclusions which they do not warrant. These, and other propensities and habits of a similar kind, constitute what is called an ill-regulated mind. Opposed to it is that habit of cool and sound exercise of the understanding, by which events are contemplated in their true relations and consequences, and mental emotions arise out of them such as they are really calculated to produce. Every one must be familiar with the difference which exists among different individuals, in this respect; and even in the same individual at different times. We trace the influence of the principle in the impression which is made by events coming upon us suddenly and unexpectedly; and the manner in which the emotion is gradually brought to its proper bearings, as the mind accommodates itself to the event, by contemplating it in its true relations. In such a mental process as this, we observe the most

remarkable diversities among various individuals. In some, the mind rapidly contemplates the event in all its relations, and speedily arrives at the precise impression or emotion which it is in truth fitted to produce. In others, this is done more slowly, perhaps more imperfectly, and probably not without the aid of suggestions from other minds; while, in some, the first impression is so strong and so permanent, and resists in such a manner those considerations which might remove or moderate it, that we find difficulty in drawing the line between it and that kind of false impression which constitutes the lower degree of insanity. Habits of mental application must also exert a great influence; and we certainly remark a striking difference between those who are accustomed merely to works of imagination and taste, and those whose minds have been rigidly exercised to habits of calm and severe inquiry. A fact is mentioned by Dr. Conolly, which, if it shall be confirmed by farther observation, would lead to some most important reflections. He states that it appears from the registers of the Bicetre, that maniacs of the more educated classes consist almost entirely of priests, artists, painters, sculptors, poets, and musicians; while no instance, it is said, occurs of the disease in naturalists, physicians, geometricians, or chemists.

The higher degrees of insanity are in general so distinctly defined in their characters, as to leave no room for doubt in deciding upon the nature of the affection. But it is otherwise in regard to many of the lower modifications; and great discretion is often required, in judging whether the conduct of an individual, in particular instances, is to be considered as indicative of insanity. This arises from the principle, which must never be lost sight of, that, in such cases, we are not to decide simply from the facts themselves, but by their relation to other circumstances, and to the previous habits and character of the individual. There are many peculiarities and eccentricities of character which do not constitute insanity; and the same peculiarities may afford reason for suspecting insanity in one person and not in another:—namely, when in the former, they have appeared suddenly, and are much opposed to his previous uniform character; while, to the latter they have been long known to be habitual and natural. Thus, acts of thoughtless prodigality and extravagance may, in one person, be considered entirely in accordance with his uniform character; while the same acts, committed by a person formerly distinguished by sedate and prudent conduct, may give good ground for suspecting insanity,—and in fact constitute a form in which the affection very often appears. In ordinary cases of insanity, a man's conduct is to be tried by a comparison with the average conduct of other men; but, in many of the cases now referred to, he must be compared

with his former self.

Another caution is to be kept in mind, respecting the mental impressions of the individual in these slight or suspected cases of insanity; that an impression, which gives reason for suspecting insanity in one case, because we know it to be entirely unfounded and imaginary, may allow of no such conclusion in another, in which it has some reasonable or plausible foundation. Insane persons indeed often relate stories which hang together so plausibly and consistently, that we cannot say whether we are to consider them as indicative of insanity. until we have ascertained whether they have any foundation, or are entirely imaginary. In one instance which was referred to in the discussions respecting a late remarkable case, the principal fact alleged against the individual was, his having taken up a suspicion of the fidelity of his wife. But it turned out to be a very general opinion among his neighbours that the impression was well founded. The same principle applies to the antipathies against intimate friends which are often so remarkable in the insane. They may be of such a nature as decidedly to mark the hallucination of insanity.as when a person expresses a dislike to a child, formerly beloved, on the ground that he is not really his child, but an evil spirit which has assumed his form. This is clearly insanity; but if the antipathy be against a friend or relative, without any such reason assigned for it, we require to keep in view the inquiry, whether the impression be the result of hallucination, or whether the relative has really given any ground for it. In all slight or doubtful cases, much discretion should be used in putting an individual under restraint, and still more in immediately subjecting him to confinement in an asylum for lunatics. But there is one modification in which all such delicacy must be dispensed with,-namely, in those melancholic cases which have shown any tendency to suicide. Whenever this propensity has appeared, no time is to be lost in taking the most effectual precautions; and the most painful consequences have very often resulted, in cases of this description, from misplaced delicacy and delay.

The subject of hallucination, in insanity, we have seen, may be either entirely imaginary and groundless, or may be a real event viewed in false relations, and carried to false consequences. This view of the subject bears upon an important practical point which has been much agitated,—the liability of maniaes to punishment; and which has been ably and ingeniously argued by Lord Erskine, in his defence of Hatfield, who fired at his Majesty, King George III. The principle contended for by this eminent person is, that when a maniac commits a crime under the influence of an impression which is entirely visionary, and purely the hallucination of insanity, he is not the object of punishment; but that. though he may have shown insanity in other things, he is liable to punishment, if the impression under which he acted was true, and the human passion arising out of it was directed to its proper object. He illustrates this principle by contrasting the case of Hatfield with that of Lord Ferrers. Hatfield had taken a fancy that the end of the world was at hand, and that the death of his Majesty was in some way connected with important events which were about to take place. Lord Ferrers, after showing various indications of insanity, murdered a man against whom he was known to harbour deep-rooted resentment, on account of real transactions, in which that individual had rendered himself obnoxious The former, therefore, is considered as an example of the pure hallucination of insanity; the latter as one of human passion founded on real events, and directed to its proper object. Hatfield, accordingly, was acquitted; but Lord Ferrers was convicted of murder, and executed. The contrast between the two cases is sufficiently striking; but it may be questioned whether it will bear all that Lord Erskine has founded upon it. There can be no doubt of the first of his propositions, that a person acting under the pure hallucination of insanity, in regard to impressions which are entirely unfounded, is not the object of punishment. But, the converse does not seem to follow; namely, that the man becomes an object of punishment, merely because the impression

was founded in fact, and because there was a human passion directed to its proper object. For it is among the characters of insanity, not only to call up impressions which are entirely visionary, but also to distort and exaggerate those which are true, and to carry them to consequences which they do not warrant in the estimation of a sound mind. A person, for instance, who has suffered a loss in business which does not affect his circumstances in any important degree, may imagine, under the influence of hallucination, that he is a ruined man, and that his family is reduced to beggary. Now, were a wealthy man, under the influence of such hallucination, to commit an outrage on a person who had defrauded him of a trifling sam, the case would afford the character mentioned by Lord Erskine,—human passion founded upon real events, and directed to its proper object : but no one, probably, would doubt for a moment, that the process was as much the result of insanity, as if the impression had been entirely visionary. In this hypothetical case, indeed, the injury, though real, is slight; but it does not appear, that the principle is necessarily affected by the injury being great, or more in relation to the result which it leads to according to the usual course of human passion. It would appear probable, therefore, that, in decidir a doubtful case, a jury ought to be guided, not merely by the circumstances of the case itself, but by the evidence of insanity in other things. This, accordingly, appears to have been the rule on which a jury acted in another important case mentioned by Lord Erskine, in which an unfortunate female. under the influence of insanity, murdered a man who had seduced and deserted her. Here was a real injury of the highest description, and human passion founded upon it and directed to its proper object; but the jury, on proof of derangement in other things, acquitted the prisoner, who. accordingly, soon passed into a state of "undoubted and deplorable insanity." In the case of Lord Ferrers, also, it would appear, that the decision proceeded, not so much upon the principle of human passion directed to its proper object, as upon an impression, that his Lordship's previous conduct had been indicative of uncontrolled violence of temper, rather than actual insanity.

Some of the points which have been briefly alluded to, seem to bear on the practical part of this important subject,—the

intellectual and moral treatment of insanity. Without entering on any lengthened discussion, some leading principles may

be referred to the following heads:—

I. It will be generally admitted, that every attempt to reason with a maniac is not only fruitless, but rather tends to fix more deeply his erroneous impression. An important rule in the management of the insane, will therefore be, to avoid every allusion to the subject of their hallucination, to remove from them every thing calculated by association to lead to it, and to separate them from scenes and persons likely to recall or keep up the erroneous impression. Hence, probably, in a great measure, arises the remarkable benefit of removing the insane from their usual residence, friends, and attendants, and placing them in new scenes, and entirely under the care of strangers. The actual effect of this measure is familiar to every one, who is in any degree conversant with the management of the insane. That the measure may have its full effect, it appears to be of importance that the patient should not, for a considerable time, be visited by any friend or acquaintance; but should be separated from every thing connected with his late erroneous associations. The danger also is well known which attends premature return to home and common associates; -immediate relapse having often followed this, in cases which had been going on for some time in the most favourable manner.

Occupation. This is referable to two kinds,-bodily The higher states of mania, in general, admit of no occupation; but, on the contrary, often require coercion. A degree below this may admit of bodily occupation. and, when this can be accomplished in such a manner as fully to occupy the attention, and produce fatigue, there is reason to believe that much benefit may result from it. Dr. Gregory used to mention a farmer in the north of Scotland, who had acquired uncommon celebrity in the treatment of the insane; and his method consisted chiefly in having them constantly employed in the most severe bodily labour. As soon, also, as the situation of the patient will admit of it, mental occupation must be considered as of the utmost importance. It should not consist merely of desultory employment or amusement, but should probably be regulated by two principles. 1. Ocenpations calculated to lead the mind gradually into a connected series of thought. When the mental condition of the patient is such as to make it practicable, nothing answers so well as a course of history, the leading events being distinctly written out in the form of a table, with the dates. Thus the attention is fixed in an easy and connected manner, and, in cases which admit of such occupation being continued, the effeet is often astonishing. 2. Endeavouring to discover the patient's former habits, and favourite pursuits, at a period previous to the hallucination, and unconnected with it; and using means for leading his attention to these. I have already alluded to the complete suspension of all former pursuits and attachments, which often takes place in insanity, and to a return of them as being frequently the most marked and satisfactory symptom of convalescence. This is, in such cases, to be considered as a sign, not a cause of the improvement; but there seems every reason to believe, that the principle might be acted upon with advantage in the moral treatment of certain forms of insanity. On a similar principle, it is probable, that, in many cases, much benefit might result from mental management calculated to revive associations of a pleasing kind, in regard to circumstances anterior to the occurrence of the malady.

III. Careful classification of the insane, so that the mild and peaceful melancholic may not be harassed by the ravings of the maniac. The importance of this is obvious; but of still greater importance it will probably be, to watch the first dawnings of reason, and instantly to remove the patient from all associates, by whom his mind might be again bewildered. The following case mentioned by Pinel is certainly an extreme one, but much important reflection arises out of it in reference both to this and the preceding topic. A musician confined in the Bicetre, as one of the first symptoms of returning reason, made some slight allusion to his favourite instrument. It was immediately procured for him; he occupied himself with music for several hours every day, and his convalescence seemed to be advancing rapidly. But he was then unfortunately allowed to come frequently into contact with a furious maniac, by meeting him in the gardens. The musician's mind was unhinged; his violin was destroyed; and he fell back into a state of insanity which was considered as con-

firmed and hopeless.

These observations contain only a very slight and imperfect view of a subject of intense interest. The mental management of the insane seems to deserve a much greater degree of attention than has hitherto been devoted to it; and it appears to open a field for intellectual experiment, which promises most interesting and important results. one such experiment, the effect of which I have contemplated with much interest, namely, the influence produced upon the insane by divine service. I have been informed by Dr. Yellowly, that in the asylum of Norwich the influence of this has been such, that on seeing the patients retiring from service, a stranger could scarcely detect in one of them any appearance of insanity; and that even when one has manifested, during service, any degree of restlessness or excitement, he has been instantly checked by the other patients near him. interesting fact shows what may be done; and I have no doubt that, when the intellectual and moral management of the insane shall be prosecuted with the attention which is due to it, principles will be developed of much practical interest on this important subject. There is another object of great importance to which a careful intellectual management appears to be applicable; I mean the prevention of insanity in those in whom there exists the hereditary predisposition to it. I have no doubt that in this respect much might be done by careful and appropriate mental culture, commenced in early life; and that irremediable injury often arises from the want of it. There is every reason to believe that, in such cases, the tendency is greatly promoted by an ill-regulated state of mind, and that in many instances it might be prevented by a sound mental discipline. 出现的是全国的关键的 海巴特里海巴特

Cases of decided insanity in general admit of little mental treatment, until the force of the disease has been broken in some considerable degree. But, among the numerous modifications which come under the view of the physician, there are various forms in which, by judicious management, a great deal is to be accomplished. Some of these affections are of a temporary nature, and have so little influence on a man's general conduct in life, that they are perhaps not known beyond his own family, or confidential friends. In some of these cases, the individual is sensible of the singular change which has taken place in the state of his mental powers, and

laments the distortion of his feelings and affections. He complains, perhaps, that he has lost his usual interest in his family, and his usual affection for them; and that he seems to be deprived of every feeling of which he was formerly susceptible. The truth is, that the mind has become so occupied by the erroneous impression, as to be inaccessible to any other, and incapable of applying to any pursuit, or following out a

train of thought.

A most interesting affection of this class often comes under the observation of the physician, consisting of deep but erroneous views of religion, generally accompanied with disturbed sleep, and considerable derangement of the system, and producing a state of mind closely bordering upon insanity, It occurs most commonly in young persons of acute and susceptible feelings, and requires the most delicate and cautious management. Two modes of treatment are frequently adopted in regard to it, both equally erroneous. The one consists in hurrying the individual into the distraction of company, or a rapid journey; the other, in urging religious discussions, and books of profound divinity. Both are equally injudicious, especially the latter; for every attempt to discuss the important subject, to which the distorted impression refers, only serves to fix the hallucination more deeply. The mode of treatment, which I have found most beneficial, consists of regular exercise, with attention to the general health; and in enforcing a course of reading of a nature likely to fix the mind, and carry it forward in a connected train. Light reading or mere amusement will not answer the purpose. A regular course of history, as formerly mentioned, appears to succeed best, and fixing the attention by writing out the dates and leading events in the form of a table. When the mind has been thus gradually exercised for some time, in a connected train of thought, it is often astonishing to observe how it will return to the subject which had entirely overpowered it, with a complete dissipation of former erroneous impressions. A frequent complaint at the commencement of such an exercise is, that the person finds it impossible to fix the attention, or to recollect the subject of even a few sentences: this is part of the disease, and, by perseverance, gradually disappears. This experiment I have had occasion to make many times, and it has always appeared to me one of extreme

interest. I do not say that it has uniformly succeeded, for the affection frequently passes into confirmed insanity; but it has succeeded in a sufficient number of instances to give every encouragement for a careful repetition of it. The same observations, and the same mode of treatment, apply to the other forms of partial hallucination. The plan is, of course, to be assisted by regular exercise, and attention to the general health, which is usually much impaired. The affections are particularly connected, in a very intimate manner, with a disordered state of the stomach and bowels, and with derangements in the female constitution. Means adapted to these become, therefore, an essential part of the management.

There has been considerable discussion respecting the distinction between insanity and idiocy. It has been said that the insane reason justly on false premises; and that idiots reason falsely on sound premises. This does not seem to be well founded. It would appear, that a maniac may reason either upon false or true premises; but that, in either case. his reasoning is influenced by distorted views of the relations of things. The idiot, on the other hand, does not reason at all: that is, though he may remember the facts, he does not trace their relations. Idiocy appears to consist, in a greater or less degree, in a simply impaired or weakened state of the mental powers; but this is not insanity. On the contrary, we have seen that, in the insane, certain mental powers may be in the highest state of activity,—the memory recalling things long gone by,-the imagination forming brilliant associations, -every faculty in the highest activity, except the power of tracing correct relations. I have already referred to a gentleman mentioned by Pinel, who possessed, during the paroxysm, a brilliancy of conception and a readiness of memory which were not natural to him. Another, mentioned by the same writer, who was infatuated with the chimera of perpetual motion, constructed pieces of mechanism which were the result of the most profound combinations, at the time when he was so mad that he believed his head to have been changed. A female mentioned, I believe, by Rush, sang with great beauty and sweetness, which she could not do when she was sane; and a musician played, when insane, much better than when he was well.

In that remarkable obliteration of the mental faculties, on

the other hand, which we call idiocy, fatuity, or dementia, there is none of the distortion of insanity. It is a simple torpor of the faculties, in the higher degrees amounting to total insensibility to every impression; and some remarkable facts are connected with the manner in which it arises without bodily disease. A man mentioned by Dr. Rush, was so violently affected by some losses in trade, that he was deprived almost instantly of all his mental faculties. He did not take notice of any thing, not even expressing a desire for food, but merely taking it when it was put into his mouth. A servant dressed him in the morning, and conducted him to a seat in his parlour, where he remained the whole day, with his body bent forward, and his eyes fixed on the floor. In this state he continued nearly five years, and then recovered completely and rather suddenly. The account which he afterwards gave of his condition during this period was, that his mind was entirely lost; and that it was only about two months before his final recovery, that he began to have sensations and thoughts of any kind. These at first served only to convey fears and apprehensions, especially in the nighttime. Of perfect idiocy produced in the same manner by a moral cause, an affecting example is given by Pinel. Two young men, brothers, were carried off by the conscription, and, in the first action in which they were engaged, one of them was shot dead by the side of the other. The survivor was instantly struck with perfect idlocy. He was taken home to his father's house, where another brother was so affected by the sight of him, that he was seized in the same manner; and in this state of perfect idiocy, they were both received into the Bicètre. For the production of such an extraordinary result, it is not necessary that the mental impression should be of a painful description. Pinel montions an engineer, who, on receiving a flattering letter from Robespierre respecting an improvement he had proposed in the construction of cannon, was struck motionless on the spot, and soon after conveyed to the Bicetre in a state of complete idiocy. I have formerly referred to various examples of this condition supervening on bodily disease. In some of them, the affection was permanent; in others, it was entirely recovered from.

The most striking illustration of the various shades of idlocy, is derived from the modifications of intellectual condition

observed in the Cretins of the Vallais. These singular beings are usually divided into three classes, which receive the names of cretins, semi-cretins, and cretins of the third degree. The first of these classes, or perfect cretins, are, in point of intellect, scarcely removed above mere animal life. Many of them cannot speak, and are only so far sensible of the common calls of nature, as to go, when excited by hunger, to places where they have been accustomed to receive their food. The rest of their time is spent, either in basking in the sun, or sitting by the fire, without any trace of intelli-The next class, or semi-cretins, show a higher degree of intelligence; they remember common events, understand what is said to them, and express themselves in an intelligible manner on the most common subjects. They are taught to repeat prayers, but scarcely appear to annex any meaning to the words which they employ: and they cannot be taught to read or write, or even to number their fingers. The cretins of the third degree learn to read and write, though with very little understanding of what they read, except on the most common topics. But they are acutely alive to their own interest, and extremely litigious. They are without prudence or discretion in the direction of their affairs, and the regulation of their conduct; yet obstinate and unwilling to be advised. Their memory is good as to what they have seen or heard, and they learn to imitate what they have observed in various arts, as machinery, painting, sculpture, and architecture; but it is mere imitation without invention. Some of them learn music in the same manner; and others attempt poetry of the lowest kind, distinguished by mere rhyme. It is said, that none of them can be taught arithmetic, but I do not know whether this has been ascertained to be invariably true; there is no doubt that it is a very Approximation of the second general peculiarity.

The imbecile in other situations show characters very analogous to these. Their memory is often remarkably retentive; but it appears to be merely a power of retaining facts or words in the order and connexion in which they have been presented to them, without the capacity of tracing relations, and forming new associations. In this manner, they sometimes acquire languages, and even procure a name for a kind of scholarship; and they learn to imitate in various

arts, but without invention. The deficiency appears to be in the powers of abstracting, recombining, and tracing relations; consequently they are deficient in judgment, for which these processes are necessary. The maniac, on the other hand, seizes relations acutely, rapidly, and often ingeniously,—but not soundly. They are only incidental relations, to which he is led by some train of association existing in his own mind; but they occupy his attention in such a manner, that he does not admit the consideration of other relations, or compare them with those which have fixed themselves upon his mind.

The states of idiocy and insanity, therefore, are clearly distinguished in the more complete examples of both; but many instances occur in which they pass into each other, and where it is difficult to say to which of the affections the case is to be referred. I believe they may also be, to a certain extent, combined; or that there may be a certain diminution of the mental powers existing along with that distortion which constitutes insanity. They likewise alternate with one andther,-maniacal paroxysm often leaving the patient, in the intervals, in a state of idiocy. A very interesting modification of another kind is mentioned by Pinel. Five young men were received into the Bicetre, whose intellectual faculties appeared to be really obliterated; and they continued in this state for periods of from three to upwards of twelve months. They were then seized with paroxysms of considerable violence, which continued from fifteen to twenty-five days, after which they all entirely recovered.

Idioey can seldom be the subject either of medical or moral treatment; but the peculiar characters of it often become the object of attention in courts of law, in relation to the competency of imbecile persons to manage their own affairs; and much difficulty often occurs in tracing the line between competency and incompetency. Several years ago a case occurred in Edinburgh, which excited much discussion, and shows, in a striking manner, some of the peculiarities of this condition of the mental faculties.—A gentleman of considerable property having died intestate, his heir-at-law was a younger brother, who had always been reckoned very deficient in intellect; and, consequently, his relatives now brought an action into the Court of Session, for the purpose of

finding him incompetent, and obtaining the authority of the Court for putting him under trustees. In the investigation of this case, various respectable persons deposed, that they had long known the individual, and considered him as decidedly imbecile in his understanding, and incapable of managing his affairs. On the other hand, most respectable evidence was produced, that he had been, when at school, an excellent scholar in the languages, and had repeatedly acted as a private tutor to boys;—that he was remarkably attentive to his own interest, and very strict in making a bargain; that he had been proposed as a candidate for hely orders, and, on his first examination in the languages, had acquitted himself well; but that, in the subsequent trials, in which the candidate is required to deliver a discourse, he had been found incompetent. The Court of Session, after long pleadings, decided that this individual was incapable of managing his affairs. The case was then appealed to the House of Lords, where, after farther protracted proceedings, this decision was affirmed. I was well acquainted with this person, and was decidedly of opinion that he was imbecile in his intellect. At my suggestion the following experiment was made, in the course of the investigation. A small sum of money was given him, with directions to spend it, and present an account of his disbursement, with the addition of the various articles. He soon got rid of the money, but was found totally incapable of this very simple process of arithmetic, though the sum did not exceed a few shillings. This individual, then, it would appear. possessed the simple state of memory, which enabled him to acquire languages; but was deficient in the capacity of combining, reflecting, or comparing. His total inability to perform the most simple process of arithmetic was a prominent character in the case, analogous to what I have already stated in regard to the Cretins. In doubtful cases of the kind, I think this might be employed as a negative test, with advantage; for it probably will not be doubted, that a person, who is incapable of such a process, is incompetent to manage his affairs.

I was lately consulted, and examined before a jury, respecting another young gentleman, the chief peculiarity of whose mental condition is, a total want of the power of tracing relations both as to time and numbers. He is about twenty-four

IDIOCY. 227

years of age, of a respectable and wealthy family, and has been educated with every care. He has made considerable proficiency in the languages; his memory is good, especially for dates, and he has a considerable turn for music. His deficiency is shown by such examples as the following: I laid before him a half-sovereign, a half-crown, and a few shillings. but he could not, after repeated attempts, tell the amount. He was shown a very common silver watch, and a handsome gold watch, and being asked their value, he answered correctly, about three or four pounds for the one, and forty guineas for the other. Being then asked, if they were to be exchanged, how much money the owner of the gold watch would have to receive in addition to the silver watch, he said about three pounds, and could not be brought to give a more correct answer. He tells his age correctly, and the year in which he was born, but if asked how many years there are between 1810 and 1834 he cannot give a correct answer. He shows various extravagancies in his notions respecting many of the ordinary affairs of life, but he is careful of his money, and in his ordinary conduct is gentle and amiable. From the facts which I have stated, the jury found him incompetent, and gave authority for placing him under trustees.

It is a singular fact, that the imbecile are, in general, extremely attentive to their own interest, and perhaps most commonly cautious in their proceedings. Ruinous extravagance, absurd schemes, and quixotic ideas of liberality and magnificence, are more allied to insanity;—the former may become the dupes of others, but it is the latter who are most

likely to involve and ruin themselves,

Before leaving the subject of Insanity, there is a point of great interest, which may be briefly referred to. It bears, in a very striking manner, upon what may be called the pathology of the mental powers,—but I presume not to touch upon it, except in the slightest manner. In the language of common life, we sometimes speak of a moral insanity, in which a man rushes headleng through a course of vice and crime, regardless of every moral restraint, of every social tie, and of all consequences, whether more immediate or future. Yet, if we take the most melancholy instance of this kind that can be furnished by the history of human depravity, the individual may still be recognised, in regard to all physical relations,

as a man of a sound mind; and he may be as well qualified as other men, for the details of business, or the investigations of science. He is correct in his judgment of all the physical relations of things; but in regard to their moral relations, every correct feeling appears to be obliterated. If a man. then, may thus be correct in his judgment of all physical relations, while he is lost to every moral relation, we have strong ground for believing that there is in his constitution a power, distinct from reason, but which holds the same sway over his moral powers, that reason does among his intellectual; and that the influence of this power may be weakened or lost, while reason remains unimpaired. This is the moral principle, or the power of conscience. It has been supposed by some to be a modification of reason, but the considerations now referred to appear to favour the opinion of their being That this power should so completely lose its sway, while reason remains unimpaired, is a point in the moral constitution of man which it does not belong to the physician to investigate. The fact is unquestionable ;-the solution is to be sought for in the records of eternal truth.

## IV.-SPECTRAL ILLUSIONS.

The theory of spectral illusions is closely connected with that of the affections treated of in the preceding parts of this section; and I shall conclude this subject with a very brief notice of some of the most authentic facts relating to them,

under the following heads.

I. False perceptions, or impressions made upon the senses only, in which the mind does not participate. Of this class there are several modifications, which have been referred to under the subject of perception;—I add, in this place, the following additional examples.—A gentleman of high mental endowments, now upwards of eighty years of age, of a spare habit, and enjoying uninterrupted health, has been, for nearly twelve years, liable to almost daily visitations from spectral figures. They, in general, present human countenances; the

head and upper parts of the body are distinctly defined; the lower parts are, for the most part, lost in a kind of cloud. The figures are various; but he recognises the same countenances repeated from time to time, -particularly, of late years, that of an elderly woman, with a peculiarly arch and playful expression, and a dazzling brilliancy of eye, who seems just ready to speak to him. They appear also in various dresses, such as,-that of the age of Louis XIV., the costume of ancient Rome,—that of the modern Turks and Greeks, but more frequently of late, as in the case of the female now mentioned, in an old-fashioned Scottish plaid of tartan, drawn up and brought forward over the head, and then crossed below the chin, as the plaid was worn by aged women in his younger days. He can seldom recognise, among the spectres, any figure or countenance which he remembers to have seen; but his own face has occasionally been presented to him, gradually undergoing the change from youth to manhood, and from manhood to old age. The figures appear at various times of the day, both night and morning ;-they continue before him for some time, and he sees them almost equally well with his eyes open or shut,-in full daylight or in darkness. They are almost always of a pleasant character, and he seems to court their presence, as a source of amusement to him. He finds that he can banish them by drawing his hand across his eyes, or by shutting and opening his eyelids once or twice for a second or two,-but, on these occasions, they often appear again soon after. The figures are sometimes of the size of life, and sometimes in miniature; but they are always defined and finished with the clearness and minuteness of the finest painting. They sometimes appear as if at a considerable distance, and gradually approach until they seem almost to touch his face :- at other times they float from side to side, or disappear in ascending or descending. In general, the countenance of the spectre is presented to him, but, on some occasions, he sees the back of the head, both of males and females, exhibiting various fashions of wigs and head-dresses, particularly the flowing, full-bottomed wig of a former age. At the time when these visions began to appear to him, he was in the habit of taking little or no wine; and this has been his common practice ever since; but he finds that any addition to his usual quantity of

wine increases the number and vivacity of the visions. Of the effect of bodily illness he can give no account, except that once, when he had a cold, and took a few drops of laudanum, the room appeared entirely filled with peculiarly brilliant objects, gold and silver ornaments, and precious gems; but the spectral visions were either not seen, or less distinct.

I leave this remarkable case as it stood in the former editions of this work. Soon after the publication of the fourth edition, this gentleman had an attack in his head. followed by confusion of thought, and loss of the use of the English language, as mentioned in page 96 of the present edition. Since that time less is known of his spectral illusions; but it has been ascertained that he is still liable to On one occasion, in particular, he saw the figure of his deceased wife, who seemed to beckon to him to follow her through a window; and he actually made his way through the window, and fell on the lawn, a height of between seven and eight feet. He got up instantly, and followed the apparition into the garden and conservatory, which had been a frequent resort of his lady. He then met his overseer, told him what had occurred, and asked him whether he had not seen his wife. Upon being reminded that she was dead, he seemed to awake as from a dream, and returned to the house. and he never again was heard to allude to the occurrence,

Another gentleman, who died some time age at the age of eighty, for several years before his death, never sat down to table at his meals, without the impression of sitting down with a large party, dressed in the fashion of fifty years back. This gentleman was blind of one eye, and the sight of the other was very imperfect: on this account he wore over it a green shade; and he had often before him the image of his own countenance, as if it were reflected from the inner surface of the shade. A very remarkable modification of this class of illusions has been communicated to me by Dr. Dewar of Stirling. It occurred in a lady who was quite blind, her eyes being also disorganized and sunk. She never walked out without seeing a little old woman with a red cloak and a crutch, who seemed to walk before her. She had no illusions when within doors.

Since the former edition of this volume was published, the case of a gentleman has been communicated to me, who has

been all his life affected by the appearance of spectral figures. To such an extent does this peculiarity exist, that, if he meet a friend in the street, he cannot at first satisfy himself whether he really sees the individual or a spectral figure. By close attention he can remark a difference between them, in the outline of the real figure being more distinctly defined than that of the spectral; but in general, he takes means for correcting his visual impression by touching the figure, or by listening to the sound of his footsteps. He has also the power of calling up spectral figures at his will, by directing his attention steadily to the conception of his own mind; and this may either consist of a figure or a scene which he has seen; or it may be a composition created by his imagination. But though he has the faculty of producing the illusion. he has no power of banishing it; and when he has called up any particular spectral figure or scene, he never can say how long it may continue to haunt him. The gentleman is in the prime of life, of sound mind, in good health, and engaged in business. Another of his family has been affected in the same manner, though in a slighter degree.

II. Real dreams, though the person was not at the time sensible of having slept, nor, consequently, of having dreamt. A person, under the influence of some strong mental impression, drops asleep for a few seconds, --perhaps without being sensible of it: some scene or person connected with the impression appears in a dream, and he starts up under the conviction that it was a spectral appearance. I have formerly proposed a conjecture, by which some of the most authentic stories of second sight may be referred to this principle; others seem to be referable to the principle to be mentioned under the next head. Several cases mentioned by Dr. Hibbert are also clearly of the nature of dreams. The analogy between dreaming and spectral illusions is also beautifully illustrated, by an anecdote which I received from the gentleman to whom it occurred, an eminent medical friend. Having sat up late one evening, under considerable anxiety about one of his children who was ill, he fell asleep in his chair and had a frightful dream, in which the prominent figure was an immense baboon. He awoke with the fright, got up instantly and walked to a table which was in the middle of the room. He was then quite awake and quite conscious of the articles

around him; but, close by the wall, in the end of the apartment, he distinctly saw the baboon, making the same horrible grimaces which he had seen in his dream; and the spectre continued visible for about half a minute.

III. Intense mental conceptions so strongly impressed upon the mind as, for the moment, to be believed to have a real existence. This takes place, when, along with the mental emotion, the individual is placed in circumstances in which external impressions are very slight.—as solitude, faint light. and quiescence of body. It is a state closely bordering upon dreaming, though the vision occurs while the person is in the waking state. The following example is mentioned by Dr. Hibbert. A gentleman was told of the sudden death of an old and intimate friend, and was deeply affected by it; impression, though partially banished by the business of the day, was renewed, from time to time, by conversing on the subject with his family and other friends. After supper, he went by himself to walk in a small court behind his house, which was bounded by extensive gardens. The sky was clear, and the night serene; and no light was falling upon the court from any of the windows. As he walked down stairs. he was not thinking of any thing connected with his deceased friend; but, when he had proceeded, at a slow pace, about half-way across the court, the figure of his friend started up before him in a most distinct manner at the opposite angle of the court. "He was not in his usual dress, but in a coat of a different colour which he had for some months left off wearing. I could even remark a figured vest, which he had also worn about the same time; also a coloured silk handkerchief around his neck, in which I had used to see him in a morning; and my powers of vision seemed to become more keen as I gazed on the phantom before me." The narrator then mentions the indescribable feeling which shot through his frame: but he soon recovered himself, and walked briskly up to the spot, keeping his eyes intently fixed upon the spectre. As he approached the spot, it vanished, not by sinking into the earth, but seeming to melt insensibly into air.\*

A similar example is related by a most intelligent writer in the Christian Observer for October 1829. "An intimate

<sup>\*</sup> Hibbert on Apparitions, p. 470, second edition.

friend of my early years, and most happy in his domestic arrangements, lost his wife under the most painful circumstances, suddenly, just after she had apparently escaped from the dangers of an untoward confinement with her first child. A few weeks after this melancholy event, while travelling during the night on horseback, and in all probability thinking over his sorrows, and contrasting his present cheerless prospects with the joys which so lately gilded the hours of his happy home, the form of his lost relative appeared to be presented to him, at a little distance in advance. He stopped his horse, and contemplated the vision with great trepidation. till in a few seconds it vanished away. Within a few days of this appearance, while he was sitting in his solitary parlour late at night, reading by the light of a shaded taper, the door. he thought, opened, and the form of his deceased partner entered, assured him of her complete happiness, and enjoined him to follow her footsteps." This second appearance was probably a dream; the first is distinctly referable to the principles stated in the preceding observations.

An interesting case, referable to this head, is described by Sir Walter Scott, in his work on Demonology and Witchcraft. "Not long after the death of a late illustrious poet. who had filled, while living, a great station in the eye of the public, a literary friend, to whom the deceased had been well known, was engaged, during the darkening twilight of an autumn evening, in perusing one of the publications which professed to detail the habits and opinions of the distinguished individual who was now no more. As the reader had enjoyed the intimacy of the deceased to a considerable degree, he was deeply interested in the publication. which contained some particulars relating to himself and other friends. A visitor was sitting in the apartment, who was also engaged in reading. Their sitting-room opened into an entrance-hall, rather fantastically fitted up with articles of armour, skins of wild animals, and the like. It was when laving down his book, and passing into this hall, through which the moon was beginning to shine, that the individual of whom I speak saw, right before him, and in a standing posture. the exact representation of his departed friend, whose recollection had been so strongly brought to his imagination. He stopped for a single moment, so as to notice the wonderful

accuracy with which fancy had impressed upon the bodily eye the peculiarities of dress and posture of the illustrious poet. Sensible, however, of the delusion, he felt no sentiment save that of wonder at the extraordinary accuracy of the resemblance, and stepped onwards towards the figure, which resolved itself, as he approached, into the various materials of which it was composed. These were merely a screen, occupied by great-coats, shawls, plaids, and such other articles

as usually are found in a country entrance-hall."

On this part of the subject, I shall only add the following example, which I have received from Dr. Andrew Combe. A gentleman, a friend of his, had in his house a number of phrenological casts, among which was particularly conspicuous a bust of Curran. A servant girl belonging to the family, after undergoing great fatigue, awoke early one morning, and beheld at the foot of her bed the apparition of Curran. He had the same pale and cadaverous aspect as in the bust, but he was now dressed in a sailor's jacket, and his face was decorated with an immense pair of whiskers. In a state of extreme terror she awoke her fellow-servant, and asked whether she did not see the spectre. She, however, saw nothing, and endeavoured to rally her out of her alarm ;-but the other persisted in the reality of the apparition, which continued visible for several minutes. The gentleman, it appears. at that time kept a pleasure yacht, the seamen belonging to which were frequently in the house. This, perhaps, was the origin of the sailor's dress in which the spectre appeared :and the immense whiskers had also probably been borrowed from one of these occasional visitors.

To the same principle we are probably to refer the stories of the apparitions of murdered persons, haunting the murderer, until he was driven to give himself up to justice: many examples of this kind are on record. Similar effects have resulted in other situations from intense mental excitement. A gentleman mentioned by Dr. Conolly, when in great danger of being wrecked in a boat on the Eddystone rocks, said he actually saw his family at the moment. In similar circumstances of extreme and immediate danger, others have described the history of their past lives being represented to them in such a vivid manner, that at a single glance the whole was before them, without the power of banishing the

impression. Of this I have received a very remarkable example, in the case of a naval officer, who fell overboard and was taken up in a state of suspended animation, from which he was recovered by the usual means. In giving an account of his feelings, he stated his only distinct recollection to be,—that, in the act of drowning, as it might correctly be called, the whole events of his past life were represented to him at

the instant in the most clear and striking manner.

To this head we are also to refer some of the stories of second sight,—namely, by supposing that they consisted of spectral illusions arising out of strong mental impression, and by some natural coincidence fulfilled in the same manner as we have seen in regard to dreams. Many of these anecdotes are evidently embellished and exaggerated; but the following I have received from a most respectable clergyman, as being to his personal knowledge strictly true. In one of the Western Isles of Scotland, a congregation was assembled on a Sunday morning, and in immediate expectation of the appearance of the clergyman, when a man started up, uttered a scream, and stood looking to the pulpit, with a countenance expressive of terror. As soon as he could be prevailed on to speak, he exclaimed, "Do you not see the minister in the pulpit, dressed in a shroud!"-A few minutes after this occurrence, the clergyman appeared in his place, and conducted the service, apparently in his usual health; but in a day or two after was taken ill, and died before the following Sunday.

The effect of opium is well known in giving an impression of reality to the visions of conception or imagination: several striking examples of this will be found in the Confessions of an Opium Eater. These are in general allied, or actually amount, to the delusions of delirium, but they are sometimes entirely of a different nature. My respected friend, the late Dr. Gregory, was accustomed to relate a remarkable instance which occurred to himself. He had gone to the north country by sea, to visit a lady, a near relation, in whom he felt deeply interested, and who was in an advanced state of consumption. In returning from the visit, he had taken a moderate dose of laudanum, with the view of preventing sea-sickness, and was lying on a couch in the cabin, when the figure of the lady appeared before him in so distinct a manner that her actual

presence could not have been more vivid. He was quite awake, and fully sensible that it was a phantasm produced by the opiate, along with his intense mental feeling, but he was

unable by any effort to banish the vision.

Some time ago I attended a gentleman, affected with a painful local disease, requiring the use of large opiates, but which often failed in producing sleep. In one watchful night, there passed before him a long and regular exhibition of characters and transactions, connected with certain occurrences which had been the subject of much conversation in Edinburgh some time before. The characters succeeded each other with all the regularity and vividness of a theatrical exhibition: he heard their conversation, and long speeches that were occasionally made, some of which were in rhyme; and he distinctly remembered, and repeated next day, long passages from these poetical effusions. He was quite awake, and quite sensible that the whole was a phantasm; and he remarked, that, when he opened his eyes, the vision vanished, but instantly re-appeared whenever he closed them.

IV. Erroneous impressions connected with bodily disease. generally disease in the brain. The illusions, in these cases. arise in a manner strictly analogous to dreaming, and probably consist of former conceptions recalled into the mind, and believed for the time to have a real and present existence. The diseases, in connexion with which they arise, are generally of an apoplectic or inflammatory character, -sometimes epileptic; and they are very frequent in the affection called delirium tremens, which is produced by a continued use of intoxicating liquors. Dr. Gregory used to mention in his lectures a gentleman liable to epileptic fits, in whom the paroxysm was generally preceded by the appearance of an old woman in a red cloak, who seemed to come up to him, and strike him on the head with her crutch; at that instant, he fell down in the fit. It is probable that there was in this case a sudden attack of headach connected with the accession of the paroxysm, and that this led to the vision in the same manner as bodily feelings give rise to dreams. One of the most singular cases on record, of spectral illusions referable to this class, is that of Nicolai, a bookseller in Berlin, as described by himself, and quoted by Dr. Ferriar. By strong mental emotions, he seems to have been thrown into a state

bordering upon mania; and, while in this condition, was haunted constantly, while awake, for several months, by figures of men, women, animals, and birds. A similar case is mentioned by Dr. Alderston; \*-a man, who kept a dramshop, saw a soldier endeavouring to force himself into his house in a menacing manner; and, in rushing forward to prevent him, he was astonished to find it a phantom. He had afterwards a succession of visions of persons long dead, and others who were living. This man was cured by bleeding and purgatives; and the source of his first vision was traced to a quarred which he had, some time before, with a drunken soldier. A gentleman from America, who is also mentioned by Dr. Alderston, was seized with severe headach, and complained of troublesome dreams; and, at the same time, had distinct visions of his wife and family, whom he had left in America. In the state of delirinm tremens, such visions are common, and assume a variety of forms. I have known a patient describe distinctly adance of fairies, going on in the floor of the apartment, and give a most minute account of their figures and dresses.

Similar phantasing occur in various forms, in febrile diseases. A lady whom I attended some years are on account of an inflammatory affection of the chest, awoke her husband one night, at the commencement of her disorder, and begged him to get up instantly. She said she had distinctly seen a man enter the apartment, pass the foot of her bed, and go into a closet which entered from the opposite side of the room. She was quite awake, and fully convinced of the reality of the appearance; and, even after the closet was examined, it was found almost impossible to convince her that it was a delusion. There are numerous examples of this kind on record. The writer in the Christian Observer, lately referred to, mentions a lady, who, during a severe illness, repeatedly saw her father, who resided at the distance of many hundred miles, come to her beside, and, withdrawing the curtain address her in his usual voice and manner. A farmer, mentioned by the same writer, in returning from a market, was deeply affected by a most extraordinary brilliant light, which he thought he saw

<sup>\*</sup> Edinburgh Medical Journal, vol. vi.

upon the road, and by an appearance, in the light, which he supposed to be our Saviour. He was greatly alarmed, and spurring his horse, galloped home; remained agitated during the evening; was seized with typhus fever, then prevailing in the neighbourhood, and died in about ten days. It was afterwards ascertained that on the morning of the day of the supposed vision, before he left home, he had complained of headach and languor; and there can be no doubt that the. spectral appearance was connected with the commencement of the fever.—Entirely analogous to this, but still more striking in its circumstances, is a case which I have received from an eminent medical friend; and the subject of it was a hear relation of his own, a lady about fifty years of age. returning one evening from a party, she went into a dark room, to lay aside some part of her dress, when she saw distinctly before her the figure of death, as a skeleton, with his arm uplifted and a dart in his hand. He instantly aimed a blow at her with the dart, which seemed to strike her on the left side. The same night she was seized with fever, accompanied by symptoms of inflammation in the left side; but recovered after a severe illness. So strongly was the vision impressed upon her mind, that, even for some time after her recovery, she could not pass the door of the room in which it occurred, without discovering agitation, -declaring that it was there she met with her illness.

A highly intelligent friend, whom I attended several years ago, in a mild but very protracted fever, without delirium, had frequent interviews with a spectral visitor, who presented the appearance of an old and grey-headed man, of a most benignant aspect. His visits were always conducted exactly in the same manner: he entered the room by a door which was on the left-hand side of the bed, passed the end of the bed, and seated himself on a chair on the right-hand side; he then fixed his eyes upon the patient with an expression of intense interest and pity, but never spoke; continued distinctly visible for some seconds, and then seemed to vanish into air. His visits were sometimes repeated daily for several days, but sometimes he missed a day:—and the appearance continued for several weeks. The same gentleman, on another occasion, when in perfect health, sitting in his par-

lour in the evening, saw distinctly, in the corner of the room, a female figure in a kneeling posture, who continued visible for several seconds.

In a lady, whose case is mentioned in the Edinburgh Journal of Science for April 1830, there was an illusion affecting both sight and hearing. She repeatedly heard her husband's . voice calling to her by name, as if from an adjoining room; and on one occasion saw his figure most distinctly standing before the fire in the drawing-room, when he had left the house half an hour before. She went and sat down within two feet of the figure, supposing it to be her husband, and was greatly astonished that he did not answer when she spoke to him. The figure continued visible for several minutes. then moved towards a window at the farther end of the room. and there disappeared. A few days after this appearance, she saw the figure of a cat lying on the hearth-rug; and, on another occasion, while adjusting her hair before a mirror. late at night, she saw the countenance of a friend, dressed in a shroud, reflected from the mirror, as if looking over her shoulder. This lady had been, for some time, in bad health. being affected with pectoral complaints, and much nervous debility.—A remarkable feature of this case was the illusion of hearing; and of this I have received another example from a medical friend in England. A clergyman, aged fifty-six. accustomed to full living, was suddenly seized with vomiting. vertigo, and ringing in his ears, and continued in rather an alarming condition for several days. During this time, he had the sound in his ears of tunes most distinctly played, and This patient had, at the same time, in accurate succession. a very remarkable condition of vision, such as I have not heard of in any other case. All objects appeared to him inverted. This peculiarity continued three days, and then ceased gradually; -the objects by degrees changing their position, first to the horizontal, and then to the erect.

V. To these sources of spectral illusions, we are to add, though not connected with our present subject, those which originate in pure misconception; the imagination working up into a spectral illusion something which is really a very trivial occurrence. Of this class is an anecdote mentioned by Dr. Hibbert, of a whole ship's company being thrown into the utmost state of consternation, by the apparition of a cook

who had died a few days before. He was distinctly seen walking a-head of the ship, with a peculiar gait, by which he was distinguished when alive, from having one of his legs shorter than the other. On steering the ship toward the object, it was found to be a piece of floating wreck. A story referable to the same principle is related by Dr. Ferriar:—A gentleman travelling in the highlands of Scotland, was conducted to a bedroom which was reported to be haunted by the spirit of a man who had there committed suicide. In the night he awoke under the influence of a frightful dream, and found himself sitting up in bed with a pistol grasped in his right hand. On looking round the room, he now discovered, by the moonlight, a corpse dressed in a shroud reared against the wall, close by the window; the features of the body, and every part of the funeral apparel being perceived distinctly. On recovering from the first impulse of terror, so far as to investigate the source of the phantom, it was found to be produced by the moonbeams forming a long bright image through the broken window.-Two esteemed friends of mine. while travelling in the Highlands, had occasion to sleep in separate beds in one apartment. One of them, having awaked in the night, saw, by the moonlight, a skeleton hanging from the head of his friend's bed,—every part of it being perceived in the most distinct manner. He instantly got up to investigate the source of the illusion, and found it to be produced by the moonbeams falling upon the drapery of the bed, which had been thrown back, in some unusual manner, on account of the heat of the weather. He returned to bed, and soon fell asleep. But having awaked again some time after, the skeleton was still so distinctly before him, that he could not sleep without again getting up to trace the origin of the phantom. Determined not to be disturbed a third time, he now brought down the curtain into its usual state, and the skeleton appeared no more,

> generalismen i de ge De generalismen i de

#### PART IV.

#### APPLICATION OF THE

## RULES OF PHILOSOPHICAL INVESTIGATION

TO

#### MEDICAL SCIENCE.

Consequences of the Consequence of the Marches Section 1889

THERE has been much difference of opinion among philosophers, in regard to the place which medicine is entitled to hold among the physical sciences; for, while one has maintained that it "rests upon an eternal basis, and has within it the power of rising to perfection," it has been distinctly asserted by another, that "almost the only resource of medicine is the art of conjecturing." "The following apologue." says D'Alembert, "made by a physician, a man of wit and of philosophy, represents very well the state of that science. 'Nature,' says he, 'is fighting with the disease; a blind man armed with a club, that is the physician, comes to settle the difference. He first tries to make peace; when he cannot accomplish this, he lifts his club and strikes at random; if he strikes the disease, he kills the disease; if he strikes nature. he kills nature." " An eminent physician," says the same writer, "renouncing a practice which he had exercised for thirty years, said, 'I am wearied of guessing.'"

The uncertainty of medicine, which is thus a theme both for the philosopher and the humorist, is deeply felt by the practical physician in the daily exercise of his art. It becomes, therefore, an inquiry of the utmost importance,—what the sources of this uncertainty are,—where that point is in our researches at which its influence begins,—and, when we arrive at this point, what the means are by which it may

be diminished.

The perfect uniformity of all the phenomena of nature, we have seen to be the foundation of the certainty of results in physical science. For, when the order and relations of these phenomena have once been ascertained, we calculate with confidence that they will continue to observe the same order, Proceeding upon this confidence, in relations which have been observed regarding the heavenly bodies, the astronomer foretells their positions even at very distant periods. In the same manner, the chemist, having ascertained the actions which take place when certain substances are brought into contact, and the new combinations which follow, decides with confidence, that, in every instance in which these agents are brought together, the same actions will take place, and will be followed by the same combinations. This confidence, which lies at the foundation of all science, we have seen to be an original or instinctive principle, and not the result of experience; but it is the province of experience to ascertain the particular sequences to which it may be applied; in other words, to distinguish casual relations and sequences, from those which we are entitled to consider as uniform.

The uncertainty of medicine resolves itself chiefly into an apparent want of that uniformity of phenomena, which is so remarkable in other branches of physical science. are, in particular, two departments of our inquiries, in which we feel continually the effect of this want of uniformity,-the characters and the progress of disease,-and the action of

external agents upon the body.

Since medicine was first cultivated as a science, a leading object of attention has ever been, to ascertain the characters or symptoms by which particular internal diseases are indicated, and by which they are distinguished from other diseases which resemble them. But, with the accumulated experience of ages hearing upon this important subject, our extended observation has only served to convince us how deficient we are in this department, and how often, even in the first step of our progress, we are left to conjecture. A writer of high eminence has even hazarded the assertion, that those persons are most confident in regard to the characters of disease, whose knowledge is most limited, and that more extended observation generally leads to doubt. After showing the uncertainty of the symptoms which are usually supposed to indicate effusion in the thorax, Morgagni adds the remarkable assertion to which I here allude :—" qui enim plura corpora inspexerunt, hi saltem, cum illi nil dubitant, ipsi dubitare didicerunt." If such uncertainty hangs over our knowledge of the characters of disease, it will not be denied, that at least an equal degree of uncertainty attends its progress. We have learned, for example, the various modes by which internal inflammation terminates,—as resolution, suppuration, gangrene, adhesion, and effusion; but, in regard to a particular case of inflammation which is before us, how little notion can we form of what will be its progress, or how it will terminate.

An equal, or even a more remarkable uncertainty, attends all our researches on the second head to which I have referred,—the action of external agents upon the body. These engage our attention in two respects, -as causes of disease, and, as remedies; and, in both these views, the action of them is fraught with the highest degree of uncertainty. In regard to the action of external agents as causes of disease, we may take a single example in the effects of cold. Of six individuals who have been exposed to cold in the same degree, and, so far as we can judge, under the same circumstances, one may be seized with inflammation of the lungs, one with diarrhea, and one with rheumatism, while three may escape without any injury. Not less remarkable is the uncertainty in regard to the action of remedies. One case appears to yield with readiness to the remedies that are employed; on another, which we have every reason to believe to be of the same nature, no effect is produced in arresting its fatal progress; while a third, which threatened to be equally formidable, appears to cease without the operation of any remedy at all.

With these complicated sources of uncertainty, which meet us at every step in our medical inquiries, it is almost unnecessary to contrast the perfect uniformity of phenomena, on a confidence in which we proceed in other departments of science. When we mix together pieces of zinc, sulphuric acid, and water, we pronounce with perfect confidence, that the water will be decomposed, hydrogen evolved, the metal oxidated, the oxide dissolved, and sulphate of zinc preduced; we pronounce with equal confidence on all the properties, mechanical and chemical, of the new compound which

is thus to be formed; and in no case have we the smallest doubt of the exact occurrence of every step in this complicated process. With what different feelings we contemplate, in its commencement, a case of dangerous internal disease,—its probable progress and termination, and the effect which our remedies are likely to produce in arresting it,—those best can tell who have most experienced them.

The certainty of a science, as was formerly stated, depends upon two circumstances; namely, the facility with which we ascertain the true relations and tendencies of things, or trace effects to their true causes, and causes to their true effects,and the confidence with which we rely on the actions dependent on these relations, continuing to occur in all cases with perfect uniformity. This confidence we easily attain in those sciences in which we have to deal only with inanimate matter. We do so by means of experiments, in which, by placing the substances in various circumstances towards each other, we come to ascertain their true tendencies with perfect certainty, and to separate them from the influence of all associations which are only casual and incidental. Having thus discovered their tendencies or actions, we rely with confidence on these continuing to be uniform; and, should we in any instance be disappointed in the action which we wish to produce, we are able to trace the cause by which the expected result has been prevented, and to obviate the effect of its interference.

In both these respects we find in medicine a degree of uncertainty which marks a striking distinction between it and the purely physical sciences.

I. There is great difficulty, in medicine, in tracing effects to their true causes, and causes to their true effects. This difficulty has already been illustrated, by the same cause appearing to produce in different instances different diseases, or no disease at all; and by a disease seeming to subside under the use of a remedy, which, in a similar case, fails to produce the smallest benefit. When we find our researches thus encumbered with uncertainty, we cannot, as in other sciences, clear them from the influence of casual relations, by means of direct experiment; but are obliged to trust chiefly to the slow course of observation, as the relations happen to be presented to us. Hence just conclusions are arrived at slowly,

and we may be obliged to go on through a long course of observations, before we arrive at any results which we feel to be worthy of confidence. Hence also arises the great temptation to grasp at partial and premature conclusions, from which medical science has suffered so much injury. For, when such conclusions are brought forward with confidence, as long a course of observation may be required for exposing their fallacy, as might have been sufficient for ascertaining the truth. In this respect, we see the remarkable difference between medicine and the purely physical sciences; as, in the latter, a single experiment may often be sufficient to overturn the most plausible hypothesis, or to establish one which has

been proposed only in conjecture.

II. Even after we have ascertained the true relations and tendencies of things, we are constantly liable to disappointment in medicine, when we endeavour to produce certain results by bringing these tendencies into action. This arises from the silent operation of a new order of causes, by which the phenomena of disease are varied and modified; and by which the action of external agents is aided, modified, or counteracted, in a manner which altogether cludes our researches. The causes which thus operate, are certain powers in the living body itself, the action of which is entirely beyond our control; and others arising out of the peculiarities of age, sex, temperament of body and mind, and mental emotions; constituting a class of agents of a most powerful kind, of which it is impossible to estimate the combined operation. It is farther to be kept in view, that these various agents may be acting together, or in opposition to each other, or under a variety of combinations; and that, in reference to our attempts to act upon the body by remedies, they may be operating in concert with, or in opposition to, these attempts. Hence arises a most extensive source of uncertainty, in all our investigations, of which it is impossible to calculate the effect or the extent. Hence also arises that apparent want of uniformity in the phenomena of disease, by which we are so much impeded in our researches; and that want of uniformity in the action of remedies, by which our efforts in medicine are so often disappointed.

III. Another source of uncertainty in the practical art of medicine, is the difficulty which we find in applying to new

cases the knowledge which we have acquired from observation. This application is made upon the principle either of experience or analogy. We are said to proceed upon experience, when the circumstances in the new case are the same as in those cases from which our knowledge was derived. When the circumstances are not the same, but similar, we proceed upon analogy; and our confidence in the result is weaker than when we proceed upon experience. The more numerous the points of resemblance are, the greater is our confidence, because it approaches the more nearly to that which we derive from experience; and the fewer the points of resemblance are, our confidence is more and more diminished, When, in the practice of medicine, we apply to new cases the knowledge acquired from others, which we believe to have been of the same nature, the difficulties are so great, that it is doubtful, whether, in any case, we can properly be said to act upon experience, as we do in other departments of science. For we have not the means of determining with certainty, that the condition of the disease, the habit of the patient, and all the circumstances which enter into the character of the affection, are in any two cases precisely the same: and, if they differ in any one particular, we cannot be said to act from experience, but only from analogy. The difficulties and sources of uncertainty, which meet us at every stage of such investigations, are, in fact, so great and so numerous, that those who have had the most extensive opportunities of observation will be the first to acknowledge, that our pretended experience must, in general, sink into analogy, and even our analogy too often into conjecture.

In a science encumbered with so many difficulties, and encompassed by so many sources of error, it is obvious what cause we have for proceeding with the utmost caution, and for advancing from step to step with the greatest circumspection. In attempting a slight outline of a subject so extensive and so important, I shall confine myself to a few leading rules

of a strictly practical nature.

The objects to be kept in view, in all our investigations,

appear to be the following:

1. To acquire an extensive collection of well authenticated facts.

II. To arrange, classify, combine, or separate these facts.

III. To trace, among the facts, sequences or relations, particularly the relation of cause and effect.

IV. From an extensive collection of facts, to deduce general facts or general principles. the second of th

Falling the Discourse Spice Carte, super help to the Large control appropriate referen Bellevier de Christian des <u>Romanistans de</u> compresentation de la compresentation de la

#### To the part of the action of the commence of the company of the continue of the commence of th the state of the s SECTION L micros in their the model at the tree states are in the

#### OF THE ACQUISITION AND RECEPTION OF FACTS.

The foundation of all knowledge must be a careful and extensive acquisition of facts; and the first duty of an inquirer in any department of science is to bind himself down to such a patient accumulation, bewaring of all premature attempts to

combine or generalize them

In the acquisition of facts, we depend partly upon our own observation, and partly on the testimony of others. The former source is necessarily limited in extent, but it is that in which we have the greatest confidence; for, in receiving facts on the testimony of others, we require to be satisfied, not only of the veracity of the narrators, but also of their habits as philosophical observers, and of the opportunities which they have had of ascertaining the facts. In the degree of evidence which we require for new facts, we are also influenced, as was formerly stated, by their probability, or their accordance with facts previously known to us; and for facts which appear to us improbable, we require a higher amount of testimony, than for those in accordance with our previous knowledge. This necessary caution, however, while it preserves us from credulity, should not, on the other hand, be allowed to engender scepticism; for both these extremes are equally unworthy of a mind which devotes itself with candour to the discovery of

In forming a collection of facts, therefore, in reference to any investigation, we may state the cautions to be observed. and the errors to be guarded against, as chiefly referable to the following points:

I. Receiving facts on the testimony of persons of doubtful veracity, or whom we suspect of having purposes to answer

by disguising, colouring, or modifying them.

II. Receiving facts on the testimony of persons, of whom we have doubts of their opportunities of acquiring correct information, or of their powers and habits of accurate observation: receiving, for example, important statements, on the authority of hasty and superficial observers, or of

incompetent persons, not professional.

III. Partial statements of facts, bearing upon one view of a subject, or one side of a question, or collected in support of a particular doctrine. This, when simply stated, will be universally admitted to be an error of the first magnitude in every scientific investigation; and vet. I imagine, it would not be difficult, even in very recent times, to find some remarkable examples of it. There is, indeed, scarcely any doctrine which may not, in the hands of an ingenious person. be wrought up, in this manner, into a fair system, amply supported by facts; and it is obvious, that nothing can be more contrary to the rules of sound inquiry. On this ground we may even make it a rule, to receive with suspicion the statements of a writer, when we find him first proposing his doctrine, and then proceeding to collect, from all quarters, facts in support of it. Such a mode of investigation must be considered as contrary to the principles of fair induction; for these lead us first to take a full view of the facts, and then to trace the principles or doctrines which arise out of them.

IV. Receiving as facts, on which important conclusions are to be founded, circumstances which are trivial, incidental, or foreign to the subject. For example, in the investigation of affections of the spinal cord, appearances have been often considered as indicative of disease, which we have good reason to believe have arisen merely from the position of the body after death. In the same manner, in the investigation of another class of diseases, an important place has been assigned to slight appearances in the gastro-intestinal membrane, which we have reason to believe, are entirely incidental, and worthy

of no confidence in a pathological inquiry.

V. Receiving as facts statements which falsely obtain that name. The sources of fallacy to be kept in view under this

head are chiefly the following.

(1.) Receiving as facts statements which are not facts but opinions.—A person dies after being affected with a certain set of symptoms, and we find, on examination after death, the usual appearances of hydrocephalus. Another is seized with similar symptoms, and recovers. He is therefore said to have recovered from hydrocephalus, and such a statement is often given as a medical fact. The man's recovery from certain symptoms is a fact; that he recovered from hydro-

cephalus is not a fact, but an opinion.

(2.) Receiving as a fact a statement which only assumes the relation of facts.—A person recovers from a particular disease, while he is using a particular remedy. His recovery is ascribed to the effect of the remedy; and the cure of the disease by this remedy is often given as a medical fact. The man's recovery is a fact; and that he used the remedy is another fact; but the connexion of the remedy with his recovery we are not entitled to assume as a fact;—it is tracing between the facts the relation of cause and effect,—a process of the utmost delicacy, and not to be admitted on any

occasion without the greatest caution.

(3.) Receiving as facts general statements, or the generalization of facts. One of the most common examples of this error occurs, when a statement is given of a symptom or set of symptoms as certainly diagnostic of any particular disease, or of a particular morbid condition of an internal organ. Such a statement we hold to be of no value, unless we have absolute confidence in the narrator, both in regard to his habits as a philosophical observer, and to the extent of the observations on which his statement is founded. But, with every possible advantage in these respects, we are to exercise the utmost caution before we receive the relation, thus stated, as a fact; for it is to be kept in mind, that it is not properly a fact, but a generalization of facts. Some writers, for instance, have maintained with much confidence, that a particular state of rigidity of some of the limbs is distinctly characteristic of ramollissement of the brain. But farther observation has shown that the disease may exist without this symptom, and that this condition of the limbs may appear in connexion with other diseases. Their observation of facts was so far correct, that this state of limbs does very often accompany ramollissement of the brain: the error consisted in

giving it as a general fact, or a fact applicable to all cases of ramollissement,—which is without foundation. Yet such statements, when brought forward with confidence, are often received as facts, and rested upon as established principles; and then the facts by which their fallacy might be detected

are apt to be overlooked or forgotten.

This may perhaps be considered as one of the most prevailing errors in the modern science of medicine; and it is indeed astonishing to observe the confidence with which such statements are brought forward, and the facility with which they are received as equivalent to facts, without attention to the manifold sources of fallacy with which they are encumbered. Does a writer, for example, tell us he has ascertained that the spinal cord is diseased in all cases of Tetanus. If we knew that such a statement had been founded on the careful observation of a hundred cases, it would be of value; if it was deduced from a few, its value is greatly diminished. even if it had been deduced from the large number, certain doubts would still arise in considering the relation thus stated as a fact. We should naturally ask ourselves,—was the narrator qualified to judge of the facts and their relations, -were the cases referred to all really cases of Tetanus, -were the appearances in the cord such as could properly be considered as indicating disease,—or might any of them have been mere changes of colour, or other incidental appearances, which might have taken place after death, or might have been the effect of the convulsion rather than its cause,—or were they such changes as may be found in other cases without any symptoms of Tetanus? Other sources of fallacy will come into view, if the statement be, that the narrator has uniformly found a certain remedy of great efficacy in a particular disease. Here, in the first place, similar questions occur as in the former instance;—on how many cases did he found his statement, how did he ascertain the disease, and was he qualified to decide that it really was a case of the disease which he alleges? But, supposing all these questions to be answered in a satisfactory manner, others still arise, namely,-had the alleged treatment really any influence on the recovery of the patients,-did they get well in consequence of the treatment, or in spite of it, or altogether independently of it, have not similar cases recovered spontaneously, or under modes of treatment entirely different?—Such is the uncertainty of causation and generalization in medicine; and such is the danger of receiving general statements as equivalent to facts.

VI. In forming a collection of facts on which we are to found any conclusion, it is always to be kept in mind, that fallacy may arise from the absence of important facts, as well as from the reception of statements which are untrue. Hence the erroneous conclusions that may be deduced from statements which are strictly true; and hence the fallacions systems that are built up with every appearance of plausibility and truth, when facts are collected on one side of a question, or in support of a particular doctrine.

In forming a collection of facts, therefore, as the preliminary step in any inquiry, the following rules ought to be kept strictly and constantly in view, before we advance to any con-

clusions.

I. That all the facts be fully ascertained,—that those collected by ourselves be derived from sufficient observation,—and that those which we receive from others be received only on the testimony of persons fully qualified to judge of their accuracy, and who have had sufficient opportunities of acquiring them.

II. That the statement include a full and fair view of all the facts which ought to be taken into the investigation;—that none of them be disguised, or modified so as to be made to bear upon a particular doctrine; and that no essential facts be wanting.

III. That the statement do not include facts which are

trivial, incidental, or foreign to the subject.

IV. That we do not receive as facts statements which are not facts, but opinions or general assumptions,

# SECTION II,

## OF ARRANGING, COMBINING, AND SEPARATING FACTS.

The precautions now suggested appear to be those which it is necessary to keep in view, in making a collection of facts respecting any subject under investigation. Our next step

is to arrange the facts according to the characters in which they agree; to separate from the mass those which appear to be only fortuitous or occasional concomitants; and to place by themselves those which we have reason to consider as a uniform and legitimate series or sequence. This is the first step towards tracing the relations of the facts; and, in every investigation, it is a process of the utmost consequence. In the other departments of physical science, this object is accomplished by means of experiments. These are so contrived as to bear distinctly upon particular points; and, by the result of them, we are enabled to separate associations which are incidental, from those which are uniform; or, in other words, to ascertain what number of the circumstances, which we find associated in a particular series, are really connected with the result which follows. In medicine. this is a process of greater difficulty, because we are obliged to trust to the slower course of minute and long-continued observation.

The rules to be observed under this head are essential to every department of medical inquiry; but, perhaps, they are peculiarly important in our observations respecting the phenomena of disease. By this, we mean such an acquaintance with the symptoms which characterize particular diseases. and the morbid appearances in the cases which are fatal, as shall enable us to trace the relation between the symptoms and the nature and seat of the disease. A full collection of uniform and essential facts on these subjects, cleared, as far as we are able, from all incidental combinations, is the only true foundation of medical science; and every system, however ingenious, which rests upon any other, can be nothing better than hypothesis and conjecture. It is an essential, but difficult part of medical investigation, and one which we must conduct with much patience, without allowing ourselves to be seduced by theory or system, from the path of rigid observation. In prosecuting it, we must be cautious in considering our conclusions as perfect, but make it our constant study, by farther observation, to clear them more and more from every source of error.

Whatever leads the mind from the importance and the difficulty of this investigation is injurious to medical science. The error to be chiefly avoided, is a fondness for system; and I

must confess my suspicion, that, in this respect, a zeal for nosology has been unfavourable to the progress of medicine. The nosologist proceeds upon the principle, that the characters of disease are, to a certain extent, fixed and determined, like the botanical characters of a plant, or the chemical properties Hence, it too frequently happens, that indiviof a mineral. dual cases are compared with the system, instead of the system being corrected by farther observation. In this manner, young practitioners are in danger of attempting to ascertain a disease by its agreement with the nosological characters, and are drawn away from that minute attention to the phenomena, which alone can lead to correct diagnosis. Thus a medical man might argue with regard to a case indicating disease in the brain, that there can be no effusion, because the pulse has never been below the natural standard, or because the pupils are not dilated; or, with regard to an affection of the abdomen, that there is no inflammation, because the pulse is strong and the bowels open. Nosology, it is true, teaches him, that, in hydrocephalus, at a certain period, the pulse becomes slow, and the pupils dilated; and that, in intestinal inflammation, the pulse is small and the bowels obstructed; but no great extent of observation is required to show, that the symptoms now mentioned are not uniform or essential to these diseases. Such a confidence in system must be equally injurious to the improvement of the individual, and to the progress of medical science; and the examples now given will be sufficient to illustrate the importance of the rule which these observations are intended to convey, -separating facts which are occasional or incidental, from those that are uniform and essential.

On this subject I shall only add the following anecdote, which I received from a medical man of very high intelligence. At an early period of his career as a naval surgeon, he was left in charge of a ship on the West India station, when several sailors presented themselves, with an affection of the legs, the nature of which was entirely new to him. Having expressed his difficulty to one of the officers, not medical, he was promptly told that the disease was scurvy, and that, if he examined the gums of his patients, he would find sufficient evidence. To this he replied, that the thing was impossible, because, in the nosology of Dr. Cullen, it is expressly specified, that scurvy occurs "in regione frigida."

He was, however, soon convinced that the disease was really scurvy, though it occurred in the West Indies; and, as he added, received a most important lesson, to observe for himadded, received a most important lesson, to observe for imm-self, instead of trusting to systems.

SECTION III.

# The test and the second of the

### ON TRACING AMONG FACTS THE RELATION OF CAUSE AND EFFECT.

The contract to the second and the second second second

Our knowledge of cause and effect, in reference to any two particular events, is founded entirely upon the observation of a uniform sequence of the events; or of the one following the other in a uniform manner in a great number of instances. The greater the number of instances is, in which the sequence has taken place, with the greater confidence, as formerly remarked, do we expect it to take place again under similar circumstances; and every single instance, in which it does not occur, weakens this confidence, unless we can discover some adequate cause by which the sequence was interrupted. The result of this confidence is, that, when we observe the first of two such events, we expect the second to follow it: and that, when we observe the second, we conclude the first has preceded it; -the first we call cause, the second effect.

In every department of science, it is a step of the utmost delicacy to assign to two events this relation; and manifold errors arise from assigning it on inadequate grounds,—that is. on an insufficient number of observations. In medical science we have farther to contend with peculiar difficulties and sources of error. These have been already mentioned as referable to two classes, -namely, the difficulty of tracing effects to their true causes, and causes to their true effects; -and the manner in which the real tendencies of antecedents or causes are modified or counteracted by a new series of causes, which elude our observation. From these peculiarities it often happens. that the true antecedents of important events are of an

obscure and hidden nature; while the apparent relations would lead us to associate them with antecedents more immediately under our view, but whose connexion with the results is entirely incidental. Other obstacles arise from difficulty in ascertaining the facts themselves, and in tracing the order of the sequences;—as, in doing so, we are often obliged to trust to obscure indications of actions, which are going on in internal parts, and which are themselves liable to much uncertainty. Thus, a complicated source of difficulty pervades the whole subject of medical causation, and makes it one of the most delicate topics that can engage the attention of the philosophical inquirer.

There are three particular views, in which, in medical investigations, we have occasion to trace among successive events the relation of uniform sequence,—namely, the effects of external agents as causes of disease,—the effects of external agents as remedies,—and the connexion of certain morbid conditions of internal organs, with certain symptoms by which these become known to us. In regard to all these objects of research, it is of importance to keep in mind the sources of fallacy to which we are liable, in assigning to a succession of events the relation of uniform sequence, or, in other words, in

considering the one as the cause of the other.

I. The connexion which we observe may be entirely accidental. That causation should be assigned on grounds so slight, as to admit of this explanation, may appear improbable; but no person acquainted with the history of medicine will find difficulty in pointing out examples of it, especially in the effects which are often ascribed to remedies on the slightest possible grounds. In this manner, by some bold and confident assertion, founded, probably, on very limited observation, a remedy is frequently brought into repute, as nearly infallible in a certain class of diseases, which we find, in a very short time, consigned to oblivion.

II. The events may be closely connected, but not as cause and effect. They may be effects of a third event, which is the cause of both; or they may be parts in a sequence, in which we have still to discover the true antecedent. Thus, in the examination of the bodies of those who have died of hydrocephalus, the liver has frequently been found in a state of disease; and, upon this ground, diseased liver has been

stated as one of the causes of hydrocephalus. This must be considered as an example of false causation, for, in its reference to hydrocephalus, diseased liver cannot be considered in any other light than as another effect of a common cause.namely, as a result of that unhealthy state of the constitution in which hydrocephalus is most apt to occur. When the nature of croup was first investigated, the formation of a new membrane was observed in the larynx, and this was considered as the cause of croup. Farther investigation, however, showed that this was but one of a chain of sequences, the real antecedent of which is inflammation of the membrane lining were the second of the contract of the contrac

the larvnx.

The events may be really connected as cause and effect, while there is difficulty in assigning to them their places in the sequence; that is, in determining which is cause and which is effect. This occurs when, in ascertaining the facts themselves, and the order of their sequence, we are obliged to trust to external indications of actions which are going on in internal parts. It is a difficulty of frequent occurrence; and want of due attention to it appears to have been the source of much fallacious reasoning. In the investigations, for example, respecting the nature of continued fever, various morbid appearances have been observed in the internal organs; and each of these has, in its turn, been confidently assigned as the cause of fever. Thus, one has placed the seat and cause of fever in the brain; another in the spinal cord; a third in the gastro-intestinal membrane; and, according to one of the latest doctrines, inflammation of the mucous follicles of Peyer and Brunner has been confidently stated as the cause of every modification of fever. It does not belong to this part of our inquiry to examine minutely the comparative merit of these systems. In all of them there is a correct observation of facts, and probably a real relation of cause and effect; the error consists in fixing the order of the sequence; for a very slight view of the subject is sufficient to show, that the morbid appearances on which they rest must be considered as effects, or incidental concomitants of fever, -not as its cause. This kind of false causation may also occur in other subjects. In certain states of the weather, for example, many people are in the habit of saving that a shower brings a change of the wind. There is every reason to believe that there is between

the two events a real connexion of causation, but the meteorologist rather tells us that the change of the wind produces the shower.

IV. When we observe a particular change in the living body, and trace an apparent relation between it and some external agent which seems to be the immediate antecedent, it may very often happen that other agents are concerned which elude our observation; though they have been the real antecedents or agents in the change which has taken place. or have contributed to it in a very great degree. This is a principle of most extensive application in medical causation, and is one of the chief sources of its difficulty and uncertainty. The agents referred to are chiefly certain powers in the living body itself. These in all cases exert a greater or less influence in the changes which are the objects of our inquiries, and, in many cases, are the sole agents in producing results which we falsely and ignorantly ascribe to our remedies. When a disease has terminated favourably, our treatment may have been proper, and may have co-operated with these powers; -it may have been totally inefficient and harmless, and have had no influence whatever in producing the result; -or it may have been improper and hurtful, and yet these powers may both have thrown off the disease, and have counteracted the effects of our blind interference. It is unnecessary to allude, also, to the extensive influence which, in certain classes of disease, is produced by passions and affections of the mind, often of so delicate a nature, that even the person who is the subject of them shall not be aware of their influence. September - State of September September 1

The sources of false causation in regard to the action of remedies, therefore, may be chiefly referred to the following heads.

1. The disease being thrown off by the powers of the constitution itself, or removed by some circumstances, either external or mental, which are not taken into account, or perhaps not known; while the recovery of the patient is ascribed to some remedy which he was using at the time, but which had no influence whatever in producing it. From this source have arisen the many instances of inert or trivial remedies acquiring a temporary reputation, which have been afterwards entirely neglected, or even expunged from the

pharmacopeia. The only means of avoiding this error, is by using the utmost caution in assigning effects to particular remedies, and doing so only after extensive and careful observation. On the other hand, we are not entitled to decide, a priori, that any particular substance to which certain effects are ascribed is inert or unworthy of attention. Candid and careful observation of facts must be our guide in

this case, as well as in the former,

This source of false causation is particularly to be kept in view, in regard to those diseases which are greatly influenced by adventitious causes, such as mental emotions, or the patient's external circumstances. A gentleman, immersed in the business or the pleasures of a great city, becomes disordered in his health, dyspeptic and hypochondriacal. He receives much good advice from his medical friend, which he professes to follow with implicit confidence, and proceeds to do so amidst the anxieties of business, bad air, late hours, luxurious dinners, and nearly the total want of bodily exercise. Deriving no benefit from all that is done for him, he hears of some celebrated water, which has acquired great reputation in the cure of stomach-complaints, and at length makes up his mind to resort thither, though with little hope of deriving benefit from any thing. He now lays aside all business, lives by rule, keeps early hours, and is all day long in the open air. He soon recovers excellent health, and cordially concurs in spreading the fame of the water by which a cure so wonderful has been accomplished. An anecdote has been related of a physician in London having advised a dyspeptic patient, who had baffled all his remedies, to go down and consult a celebrated physician in Inverness, whose name he gave him. On arriving there, he soon discovered that there was no such person to be found. He then returned to London, somewhat nettled at the trick which had been practised upon him, though he was obliged to acknowledge that he was cured of his disorder.

On this subject we have especially to keep in mind the extensive class of diseases which are acted upon, in a most powerful manner, by causes entirely mental. These are the numerous and ever-varying maladies which are included under the terms, dyspeptic, hypochondriacal, and nervous. Many of them have their origin in mental emotions, which

elude observation; and a very large proportion are entirely referable to indolence and inaction,—to that vacuity of mind attending the unfortunate condition in which there is no object in life but to find amusement for the passing hour. When, on patients of this description, the dexterous empiric produces results which the scientific physician had failed to accomplish, we are too apt to accuse him, in sweeping terms. of practising upon their credulity. He, in fact, employs a class of remedies of the most powerful kind, to which the other, perhaps, attaches too little importance, namely, mental excitement and mental occupation, -the stimulus of having something to hope and something to do. Examples of this kind must have occurred to every practical physician. I have known a young lady, who had been confined to bed for months, and had derived no benefit from the most careful medical treatment, restored to health by the excitement of a marriage taking place in the family. Changes of circumstances, also, or misfortunes which call for new and unusual exertion, have often been known to produce similar results; and it is a matter of old and frequent observation, that diseases of the nervous class disappear during periods of public alarm and political convulsion. Nor is it only on disorders of this nature that remarkable effects are produced by mental causes; for mental excitement is known to operate in a powerful manner on diseases of a much more tangible Dr. Gregory was accustomed to relate the case of a naval officer, who had been for some time laid up in his cabin, and entirely unable to move, from a violent attack of gout; when notice was brought to him that the vessel was on fire, in a few minutes he was on deck, and the most active man in the ship. Cases of a still more astonishing kind are on record. A woman, mentioned by Diemerbroeck, who had been many years paralytic, recovered the use of her limbs when she was much terrified during a thunder-storm, and was making violent efforts to escape from a chamber in which she had been left alone. A man, affected in the same manner, recovered as suddenly, when his house was on fire; and another, who had been ill for six years, was restored to the use of his paralytic limbs during a violent paroxysm of anger.

2. Referring symptoms to a cause which is altogether hypothetical, and then assigning to particular remedies the

power of removing this cause. To this head we may refer the remedies which were at one time supposed to expel morbific matter in fever,—those which are believed to purify the blood,—to remove congestions at the origin of the nerves,—

to equalize the circulation, &c.

3. Mistaking the nature of a disease, and representing a remedy as having cured an affection which did not exist. There is ground for believing that this error has frequently occurred in medical science, and has been the source of many statements, in which remarkable effects have been ascribed to particular modes of treatment in various formidable diseases. There seems little reason to doubt, that, in this manner, hysterical affections have sometimes been mistaken for epilepsy or tetanus, abdominal distension for peritonitis, chronic bronchial affections for consumption, -febrile oppression in children for hydrocephalus,-irritable urethra or bladder for stricture or calculus, and affections of the bowels for diseases of the liver. Many similar examples will occur to those who are conversant with the history of medicine. The error may occur to the respectable practitioner, from misapprehension. arising out of the uncertainty of the art; but it also appears to be one of the great resources of the empiric. When we hear, therefore, of marvellous cures of formidable diseases. our first inquiry ought to be, not merely whether the patient recovered, but what evidence there is that the alleged disease ever existed.

Such are the difficulties and uncertainties of medical causation; and such is the ground for caution in considering two events as connected in the manner of cause and effect. Among the sources of this difficulty, there are several circumstances which are entirely beyond our reach, and the influence of which upon our researches, we cannot hope entirely to overcome; but, by keeping steadily in view the sources of error by which we are surrounded, we may avoid any very fallacious conclusions, and may make some progress towards the truth. In regard to the effects of medicines, in particular, there are two opposite errors to be equally avoided;—namely, an implicit confidence in the power of particular remedies, and a total scepticism in regard to the resources of medicine. Both these extremes are equally unworthy of persons of calm philosophical observation; and they who advance carefully in the

middle course, not misled by the temptation to hasty conclusions, and cautioned, but not discouraged, by the danger of concluding falsely, are most likely to contribute something towards diminishing the

towards diminishing the uncertainty of medicine.

Before leaving the subject of causation I would briefly allude to a confusion which has been introduced into the language of medicine, by the division of the causes of disease into predisposing, exciting, and proximate. It is well known that a cause which appears to produce a disease, in one instance, will, in another, be followed by no result, or by a disease of a different kind. Attempts have, accordingly, been made, to investigate the circumstances which produce a tendency to be affected by particular diseases at certain times, -and these have received the name of predisposing causes, or sometimes of occasional causes. The effluvia of marshes, for example, are considered as the exciting cause of intermittent fever; but the disease is not produced in all who are exposed to these effluvia. Various circumstances, such as fatigue and intemperance, are said to act as the predisposing or occasional causes. But, in other situations, fatigue and intemperance were never known to produce intermittent fever; and they cannot, therefore, in correct language, be said to be connected with the disease in the manner of cause. The term proximate cause, again, has been applied to minute changes which take place in certain functions of the body, so as to constitute particular diseases. Such speculations are, in general, in a great measure hypothetical; but, even if they were ascertained to be true, these changes must be considered as constituting the nature and essence of the disease, and could not be regarded in the light of a cause. If these observations shall be considered as entitled to any weight, it will follow that the term cause ought to be restricted to that which has commonly been called the exciting cause.

## SECTION IV.

### OF DEDUCING GENERAL FACTS OR GENERAL PRINCIPLES. grand to the control of the control

Having, with the cautions which have been referred to. collected a body of authentic facts, and having traced among these facts the relation of uniform sequence, or uniform conjunction, the next step in our investigation is to bring together a number of these facts, or classes of facts, and to

endeavour to deduce from them general principles.

By the process of mind which we call abstraction, we separate one property of a substance from its other properties, or one fact from a chain of facts, and contemplate it apart. When we thus view a number of substances, or a number of classes of facts, and separate an individual property or individual fact, which is common to them all, we may then contemplate this fact or property as characteristic of the whole class,-and the process constitutes generalizing, or deducing a general fact, or general principle.

Generalizing is to be distinguished from classification, though the mental process concerned in both is essentially the same. We class together a certain number of substances, by a property in which they agree; and, in doing so, we specify and enumerate the individual substances included in the class. Thus, we may take a number of substances differing widely in their external and mechanical properties, some being solid, some fluid, and some gaseous, and say, they are all acids. The class being thus formed, and consisting of a defined number of substances, which agree in the property of acidity, we may next investigate some other property which is common to all the individuals of the class, and belongs to no other, and say, for example, that all acids redden vegetable-blues. The former of these operations is properly classification,—the latter is generalizing in reference to the class. In the former, we take or exclude individual substances.

according as they possess or not the property on which the classification rests; in performing the latter, the property which is assumed must belong to all the individuals without a single exception; or, if it does not, it must be abandoned as a general fact or general principle in reference to the class. In classifying, we may use every freedom regarding individuals, in taking or excluding them. In generalizing, we must not exclude a single individual; for the principle, which does not include every one of them, that is, the proposed fact, which is not true of all the individuals, is not a general fact. and consequently cannot be admitted as a general principle. For in physical science, to talk of exceptions to a general rule, is only to say, in other words, that the rule is not general, and, consequently, is unworthy of confidence. If one acid were discovered which does not redden vegetableblues, it would belong to a history of these substances to state that a certain number of them have this property; but the property of reddening vegetable-blues would require to be abandoned as a general fact or general principle, applicable to the class of acids.

A general law, or general principle, then, is nothing more than a general fact; or a fact which is invariably true of all the individual cases to which it professes to apply. Deducing such facts, is the great object of modern science; and it is by this peculiar character that it is distinguished from the ancient science of the schools, the constant aim of which was to discover causes. The general law of gravitation, for example. is nothing more than the general fact, or fact invariably true. that all bodies, when left unsupported, fall to the ground. There were, at one time, certain apparent exceptions to the universality of this law, namely, in some very light bodies, which were not observed to fall. But a little farther observation showed, that these are prevented from falling by being lighter than the atmosphere, and that in vacuo they observe the same law as the heaviest bodies. The apparent exceptions being thus brought under the law, it became general, namely, the fact universally true, that all unsupported bodies fall to the ground. Now, of the cause of this phenomenon, we know nothing; and what we call the general law or general principle of gravitation is nothing more than a universal fact, or a fact that is true without a single exception.

But, having ascertained the fact to be invariably and universally true, we assume it as a part of the established order of nature, and proceed upon it with as much confidence as if we knew the mysterious agency on which the phenomenon depends. The establishment of the fact as universal brings us to that point in the inquiry which is the limit of our powers and capacities, and it is sufficient for the purposes of science. On the same principle it is familiar to every one, that extensive discoveries have been made in regard to the properties and laws of heat; but we do not know what heat is, whether a distinct essence, or, as has been supposed by some philosophers, a peculiar metion of the minute atoms of bodies.

In the same manner, the person who first observed iron attracted by the magnet, observed a fact which was to him new and unaccountable. But the same phenomenon having been observed a certain number of times, a belief would arise, that there existed between it and the substances concerned, a connexion of cause and effect. The result of this belief would be, that, when the substances were brought together, the attraction would be expected to take place. Observations would then probably be made with other substances, and farther observations with the same substances; and it being found, that the attraction took place between iron and the magnet only, and that between these it took place in every instance, the general principle would be deduced, or the fact universally true in all instances, that the magnet attracts irou. The same observation applies to the other remarkable property derived from the magnet, -pointing to the north. The phenomenon received the name of magnetism, and the laws were then investigated by which it was regulated; but what we call magnetism is still nothing more than a mode of expressing the universal fact, that the magnet attracts iron, and points to the north. On what hidden influence these remarkable phenomena depend, we are still nearly as ignorant as the man who first observed them; and, however interesting it would be to know it, the knowledge is not necessary to the investigation of the laws of magnetism.

These may, perhaps, be considered as fair examples of the inductive philosophy, as distinguished from the hypothetical systems of the era which preceded it. According to these, the constant aim of the inquirer was the explanation of pheno-

mena; and, in the case before us, a theory would have been constructed, calculated to account for the attraction, by the fluxes and refluxes of some invisible fluid or ether, which would have been described with as much minuteness as if there had been real ground for believing it to exist. Strikingly opposed to all such speculations, is the leading principle of the inductive philosophy, that the last object of science is to "ascertain the universality of a fact."

"The study of nature," says an eminent writer, "is the study of facts, not of causes." In conformity with this trath, the objects of science may perhaps be defined to be,—to observe facts,—to trace their relations and sequences,—and to ascertain the facts which are universal. It consists in simply tracing the order which is observed by the phenomena of nature;—the efficient causes of these phenomena being considered as beyond the reach of the human faculties, and, consequently, not the legitimate objects of scientific inquiry. It is thus strikingly opposed to the old philosophy, the constant aim of which was the explanation of phenomena, and which has therefore received the name of "the philosophy of causes."

This important distinction between induction and hypothetical speculation, which is now so firmly established in other departments of science, it is to be feared has not been so fully recognised in medical investigations. On the contrary. every one who is acquainted with the history of medical doctrines will probably admit, that medicine is still deeply tinged with the philosophy of causes; in other words, that there is a remarkable tendency to refer phenomena to certain obscure principles, which cannot be shown to be facts, and consequently cannot be considered as the objects of legitimate inquiry. It is unnecessary, in this place, to refer more particularly to fictitious and hypothetical principles of this description, which, one after another, have held a prominent place in medical science. If the rules of the inductive philosophy are to be applied to medicine, the immediate effect of them must be, to banish all such speculations, as contrary to the first rules of sound investigation. They are entirely fictitious principles, framed to correspond with the phenomena. instead of being deduced from them. It is also, in general, beyond the reach of observation, either to establish or overturn them; and the only mode of detecting their character, is to bring them to the test of the inquiry,—are they facts, and are the facts universal?

The rules to be observed in deducing general principles

appear therefore to be the following:

1. That the principle assumed be itself a fact.

2. That it be true, without a single exception, of all the individual cases; or, in other words, that the fact be universal.

I. The first of these rules is opposed to a practice, lately referred to, which must be admitted to have been very prevalent in medical science; namely, that of referring phenomena to fictitious principles which cannot be shown to be facts. Of the principles of this class, which at various periods have held a prominent place in medical doctrine, some have had their day, and are now forgotten; but it may be doubted whether they were inferior in value to those which have succeeded them. We do not now hear of viscidity of the blood, lentor of the fluids, or rigidity of the solids; of morbific matter in the blood, of hot or cold humours, of obstruction of the animal spirits, and other doctrines by which various phenomena were explained by the inquirers of former times; but. perhaps, those of more recent date can scarcely be considered as more satisfactory. It may certainly, at least, be a question whether we can concede the character of facts to irregular excitement of the nervous system, hepatic derangement, as that term is very commonly employed, and the numerous modifications under which we meet with the doctrines of determination, irritation, congestion, sympathy, and spasm.

II. The second rule is opposed to the error of hasty generalizing, or of deducing a general statement from a limited number of facts. We can avoid this error, only by keeping steadily in view, that general principles derive their whole value from being universal facts,—or facts that are true without a single exception, in regard to all the individual cases to which the principle is meant to apply. When they are deduced prematurely, that is, from a limited number of facts, or a partial view of their minute characters, they fail entirely of the purposes which they are meant to serve, and when trusted lead us into error. I have formerly alluded to several examples of hasty generalizing in medical science.

Some writers have maintained, that a certain state of rigidity of the limbs is distinctly characteristic of ramollissement of the brain; and others consider every modification of fever as depending upon inflammation of the gastro-intestinal membrane. This rigidity of the limbs is a frequent occurrence in ramollissement of the brain; and, in many cases of fever, there is disease of the gastro-intestinal membrane. As a part of the history of the affections, therefore, these are important facts; but they are not true of all the cases of ramollissement and of fever, and consequently cannot be admitted as general principles, in reference to these affections;—for, though they

are facts, the facts are not universal.

In a science such as medicine, indeed, requiring an accumulation of facts which must often be the result of the labour of ages, partial generalizing may sometimes be admitted merely as a help to the memory; provided we keep constantly in view the imperfect nature of such deductions, and be constantly attentive to correct them by farther observations. But, when imperfect results of this kind are received as established principles, they retard our progress in search of truth, or even lead us farther and farther away from it. The confidence is truly remarkable with which such premature deductions in medicine are brought forward, and the facility with which they are often received, without examination, as established principles; -much laborious investigation indeed is often devoted to no other purpose than showing them to be The zeal for hypothetical systems is considerably gone by; but this tendency to unsound generalizing must be viewed as one of the chief errors which at present retard the progress of medical science; and it may, perhaps, be contended, that medicine will never attain a place among the inductive sciences, till inquirers agree to act steadily upon the rule, that every medical doctrine shall be a fact, and that the fact shall be universal.

There are two respects in which a fondness for generalizing, in medicine, may be abused, and may lead to errors of a practical nature. The one consists in assuming a fact as general, which is not really true of all the individual cases;—various examples of this have been already referred to. The other arises from extending a fact or principle which is true of one class of cases, to others with which it is not connected.

and the season of the season

Thus, a medical man, who decides upon general principles. without attending to individual facts, may pronounce a patient to labour under consumption, when he perceives expectoration of a purulent character. Admitting that purulent expectoration may occur in all cases of consumption, the sound observer knows, that it is not confined to this disease, but also occurs in others of a much less dangerous character.

For a legitimate theory, then, it is required, that the principle which is assumed be true, and that it be common to all the cases. But there are certain instances, in which a principle ascertained to be true, in regard to one set of cases. may be extended by conjecture to others, in regard to which its existence is only hypothetical. This may be called legitimate hypothesis, or anticipation of principles; and it differs in this respect from the fictitious theories already referred to. that it is liable to be either established or overturned by the progress of observation. In this manner, the theory of gravitation was hypothetically extended to the motions of the heavenly bodies, long before the observations of Newton had actually established the truth of the doctrine; and the same principle is of legitimate use in medical investigations. Thus, there has been some difference of opinion in regard to the origin of the affection which is called ramollissement of the brain; and a conjecture has been offered, that it is a result of inflammation in that particular structure. Now this, at first, was mere hypothesis or conjecture; but it was hypothesis only in regard to the relation of facts, or the application of a known principle. For the principle which is assumed, namely, inflammation, is a real and true principle; its relation to this particular affection is the hypothesis. It is such an hypothesis, or auticipation of a principle, as serves to guide us in observation, and which, by such observation, is likely to be soon either established or overturned. Hypothesis of this kind is to be considered as a legitimate guide to inquiry, and may be of extensive use in medicine, when kept under proper regulation. But it is to be regretted, that such conjectures, if brought forward with confidence, are too often received without farther investigation, as established principles. In this manner, the proper use of them is entirely lost, and they rather lead to error and fallacy.

The laws in regard to such hypotheses, therefore, are, that

they shall be considered as nothing more than conjecture, until such observations or experiments have been made as are sufficient to ascertain their truth; and that, if they are not thus verified, they shall be instantly abandoned. To the process now mentioned, some writers have proposed to apply the term theory, as distinct from hypothesis; and to restrict the latter term to the fictitious principles formerly mentioned, namely, those which cannot be proved to have any real existence. Others apply the term hypothesis to both kinds of principles, whether fictitious or legitimate, and call the latter a theory, only after its truth has been established. But the fact seems to be, that the two terms are used in philosophical writings, in an undefined and indiscriminate manner.

In every scientific investigation, it is to be kept in mind. that efficient causes are beyond our reach. The objects of our research are physical causes only, by which we mean nothing more than the uniform sequences of events as ascertained by extensive observation. What we call the explanation of phenomena, consists in being able to trace distinctly all the links of such a chain of sequences, so as to perceive their uniform relation to each other. Thus, there may be many instances in which we are acquainted with facts forming part of such a chain, and are satisfied that they are so connected. while we cannot explain their connexion. This is occasioned by the want of some fact which forms an intermediate part of the chain, and the discovery of which would enable us to see the relation of the whole sequence, or, in common language. to explain the phenomena. Such a chain of facts was, at one time, presented by the rise of water in a vacuum to the height of thirty-two feet. The circumstances were well known, as well as their uniform relation, that is to say, the fact of a vacuum,-the fact of the water rising,-and the fact of this uniformly taking place. But the phenomenon could not be explained; for an intermediate fact was required to show the manner in which these known facts were connected. The doctrine of nature abhorring a vacuum afforded no explanation, for it furnished no fact; but the fact required was

common language, the phenomenon was accounted for.

There are, indeed, many cases in which the investigation of

supplied by the discoveries of Torricelli on atmospheric pressure. The chain of events was then filled up, or, in

intermediate events in the chain of sequences is beyond our reach. In these, we must be satisfied with a knowledge of the facts, and their actual connexion as we observe them, without being able to trace the events on which the connexion depends. This happens in some of the great phenomena of nature, such as gravitation and magnetism. We know the facts, but we cannot account for them; that is, we are ignorant of certain intermediate facts by which those we do know are connected together. If, in such cases, we amuse ourselves with visionary hypothesis or conjecture, instead of facts, we wander from the path of philosophical inquiry. Of this mature were the vortices of Des Cartes, and the doctrine of an invisible ether, which was at one time proposed to explain the phenomena of gravitation. Other examples of the same kind are to be met with in the old philosophy; and those who are acquainted with the history of medicine need not be told. that such speculations have also been frequent in medical Supplied the party of the second of the second of E Controller en en sintensi e profesi sarra de la

If we would contribute something towards diminishing the uncertainty of medical researches, and introducing a greater degree of precision into medical reasonings, there are certain rules which we ought to keep steadily in view, both in conducting our own inquiries, and in examining the investigations of others. These may be briefly recapitulated in the following manner, as arising out of the preceding observations.

I. We should endeavour to have all our terms fully and distinctly defined. If we speak, for example, of a person being bilious, or labouring under biliary derangement, or derangement of the chylopoietic viscera, let it be explained what particular condition of the biliary or digestive organs we mean to express by these terms; or, if this cannot be done, let it at least be clearly understood, what particular symptoms we include under them. The same observation applies to various other terms of an equally indefinite character, which have been formerly mentioned. If they were defined in this manner, they would be merely names, and no harm could

result from the use of them; but, as they are frequently employed, they seem to have no explicit signification.

II. In making a statement of facts, or examining a statement made by another person, we should be satisfied that the facts are authentic,—that they are fully and fairly stated,—and that no important facts are left out of view, disguised, or modified. It is also necessary that no facts be taken into the statement, which are not really connected with the subject. I formerly alluded to examples of this last error,—appearances being considered as indicating diseases of internal organs, which are incidental or trivial, perhaps taking place after death, or under circumstances not connected with diseased action.

III. When we find two events placed in a state of contiguity to each other, we should use the utmost caution in considering them as connected in the manner of cause and effect. Nothing warrants us in assuming this relation, but such an extent of observation as shows the connexion to be constant and uniform; and we should keep in view the various sources of fallacy, formerly referred to, which encompass the whole subject of medical causation.

IV. In deducing general conclusions, or general doctrines, we must beware, on the one hand, of assuming imaginary principles which cannot be proved really to exist; and, on the other, of deducing principles or doctrines from a limited number of facts. We must remember that such deductions are of no value, unless they are invariably true in regard to all the

cases to which they are meant to refer.

V. In examining a statement made by any writer, there is cause for exercising similar caution. The credibility of a narrator of medical statements does not rest upon his veracity only, or the total absence of any intention to deceive. With perfect sincerity and conviction of the truth of what he delivers, he may present fallacious statements. This may happen from a partial narration of facts,—from unsound causation,—and from delivering as equivalent to a fact what is really a general statement. In regard to these, we require to be satisfied, not only of his veracity, but of his habits as an observer, and the extent of the observations on which his statement is founded. In all cases of this kind, therefore, we ought to exercise such a mental process as the following:

1. Are the terms which the author employs fully and distinctly defined; and are they employed in the usual and

recognised meaning.

2. Are the facts authentic; are they fully and fairly stated; do they all relate to the subject; have we reason to suspect, that any important facts have been omitted, disguised, or modified, or that facts have been collected on one side only of a question; does the statement include any points which, though called facts, are merely assumptions requiring to be proved.

3. What events does the author consider as connected in the manner of cause and effect;—have we reason to believe that this relation has been assumed upon an extent of observation which proved it to be constant and uniform:—What does he propose as general principles or doctrines; are these facts; and are they true in regard to all the cases to

which he applies them.

4. What are the new conclusions which he proposes to deduce from his whole view of the subject; and are these legitimate deductions from such of his premises as we admit to be authentic.

The rules thus shortly proposed, I submit as those which ought to guide us in all our inquiries. Without constant attention to them, numerous facts may pass before us, from which we can derive no real knowledge; and many ingenious and plausible doctrines may be presented, which tend only to lead us into error. In the same manner, the benefit which a physician derives from his own opportunities of observation. in common language called his experience, is not in proportion to the period of time over which it has extended, or the number of facts which have passed under his view. It must depend on the attention with which he has observed these facts, and traced their relations to each other, on the anxiety with which he has separated incidental relations from those which are uniform,—and the caution with which he has ventured on assuming the relation of cause and effect, or has advanced to general principles. It must depend, farther, on the jealousy and suspicion with which he has received even his own conclusions, and the care with which he has corrected them from time to time by farther observations. Finally, it must depend on the judgment with which he applies the

knowledge thus acquired to the investigation and treatment of new cases, by tracing promptly the points of affinity between the case under his view, and those cases on which his knowledge was founded ;-by discovering real points of resemblance where there is an apparent difference, and real points of difference where there is an apparent resemblance. The farther a physician advances in this course of rigid inquiry, he becomes more sensible of the difficulties with which his science is encumbered, more suspicious of all general conclusions, and more anxious to bring them to the test of minute and extensive observation ;-in particular, he learns to exercise more and more caution in considering any one event in medicine as the cause of another. In real acquisition, consequently, his progress is slow; for much of his improvement consists in detecting the fallacy of systems which he once considered as established, and the instability of principles in which he once confided as infallible. But these discoveries prepare the way for his actual progress, and the conclusions at which he does arrive then fall upon his mind with all the authority of truth. 

## PART V.

ang balang kalang kalang kang at ang at

VIEW OF THE QUALITIES AND ACQUIREMENTS WHICH CONSTITUTE A WELL-REGULATED MIND.

In concluding this outline of facts regarding the intellectual powers and the investigation of truth, we may take a slight review of what those qualities are which constitute a well-regulated mind, and which ought to be aimed at by those who desire either their own mental culture, or that of others who are under their care. The more important considerations may be briefly recapitulated in the following manner:

I. The cultivation of a habit of steady and continuous attention; or of properly directing the mind to any subject which is before it, so as fully to contemplate its elements and relations. This is necessary for the due exercise of every other mental process, and is the foundation of all improvement of character, both intellectual and moral. We shall afterwards have occasion to remark, how often sophistical opinions and various distortions of character may be traced to errors in this first act of the mind, or to a misdirection and want of due regulation of the attention. There is, indeed, every reason to believe, that the diversities in the power of judging, in different individuals, are much less than we are apt to imagine; and that the remarkable differences, observed in the act of judging, are rather to be ascribed to the manner in which the mind is previously directed to the facts, on which the judgment is afterwards to be exercised. It is related of Sir Isaac Newton, that, when he was questioned respecting the mental qualities which formed the peculiarity of his character, he referred it entirely to the power which he had acquired of continuous attention.

II. Nearly connected with the former, and of equal importance, is a careful regulation and control of the succes-

sion of our thoughts. This remarkable faculty is very much under the influence of cultivation, and on the power so acquired depends the important habit of regular and connected thinking. It is primarily a voluntary act; and, in the exercise of it in different individuals, there are the most remarkable differences. In some, the thoughts are allowed to wander at large, without any regulation, -or are devoted only to frivolous and transient objects; while others habitually exercise over them a stern control,-directing them to subjects of real importance, and prosecuting these in a regular and connected This important habit gains strength by exercise. and nothing, certainly, has a greater influence in giving tone and consistency to the whole character. It may not, indeed. be going too far to assert, that our condition, in the scale both of moral and intellectual beings, is, in a great measure. determined by the control which we have acquired over the succession of our thoughts, and by the subjects on which they

are habitually exercised.

The regulation of the thoughts is, therefore, a high concern:-in the man who devotes his attention to it as a study of supreme importance, the first great source of astonishment will be, the manner in which his thoughts have been occupied in many an hour and many a day that has passed over him. The leading objects to which the thoughts may be directed are referable to three classes. (1.) The ordinary engagements of life, or matters of business, with which every man is occupied in one degree or another, -including concerns of domestic arrangement, personal comfort, and necessary recreation. Each of these deserves a certain degree of attention, but this requires to be strictly guided by its real and relative importance; and it is entirely unworthy of a sound and regulated mind to have the attention solely or chiefly occupied with matters of personal comfort, or of trivial importance calculated merely to afford amusement for the passing hour. (2.) Visions of the imagination built up by the mind itself when it has nothing better to occupy it. The mind cannot be idle. and, when it is not occupied by subjects of a useful kind, it will find a resource in those which are frivolous or hurtful. in mere visions, waking dreams, or fictions, in which the mind wanders from scene to scene, unrestrained by reason, probability, or truth. No habit can be more opposed to a healthy condition of the mental powers; -and none ought to be more carefully guarded against by every one who would cultivate the high acquirement of a well-regulated mind. (3.) Entirely opposed to the latter of these modes, and distinct also in a great measure from the former, is the habit of following out a connected chain of thought on subjects of importance and of truth, whenever the mind is disengaged from the proper and necessary attention to the ordinary transactions of life. particular subjects to which the thoughts are directed, in cultivating this habit, will vary in different individuals; but the consideration of the relative value of them does not belong to our present subject. The purpose of these observations is simply to impress the value of that regulation of the thoughts, by which they can always find an occupation of interest and importance, distinct from the ordinary transactions of life, or the mere pursuit of frivolous engagements,and also totally distinct from that destructive habit by which the mind is allowed to run to waste, amid visions and fictions unworthy of a waking man.

III. The cultivation of an active inquiring state of mind, which seeks for information from every source that comes within its reach, whether in reading, conversation, or personal observation. With this state of mental activity ought to be closely connected attention to the authenticity of facts so received,—avoiding the two extremes of credulity and

scepticism.

IV. The habit of correct associating;—that is, connecting facts in the mind according to their true relations, and to the manner in which they tend to illustrate each other. This, as we have formerly seen, is one of the principal means of improving the memory, particularly of the kind of memory which is an essential quality of a cultivated mind, namely, that which is founded, not upon incidental connexions, but on true and important relations. Nearly allied to this, is the habit of reflection, or of tracing carefully the relations of facts, and the conclusions and principles which arise out of them. It is in this manner, as was formerly mentioned, that the philosophical mind often traces remarkable relations, and deduces important conclusions; while, to the common understanding, the facts appear to be very remote or entirely unconnected.

V. A careful selection of the subjects to which the mind

ought to be directed. These are, in some respects, different in different persons, according to their situations in life: but there are certain objects of attention which are peculiarly adapted to each individual,—and there are some which are equally interesting to all. In regard to the latter, an appropriate degree of attention is the part of every wise man; in regard to the former, a proper selection is the foundation of excellence. One individual may waste his powers in that desultory application of them, which leads to an imperfect acquaintance with a variety of subjects; while another allows his life to steal over him, in listless inactivity, or application to trifling pursuits. It is equally melancholy to see high powers devoted to unworthy objects, such as the contests of party on matters involving no important principle, or the subtleties of sophistical controversy. For rising to eminence in any intellectual pursuit, there is not a rule of more essential importance than that of doing one thing at a time, avoiding distracting and desultory occupations,-and keeping a leading object habitually before the mind, as one in which it can at all times find an interesting resource, when leisure from necessary avocations allows the thoughts to recur to it. A subject which is cultivated in this manner, not by regular periods of study merely, but as an habitual object of thought. rises up and expands before the mind in a manner which is altogether astonishing. If, along with this habit, there be cultivated the practice of constantly writing such views as arise, we perhaps describe the state of mental discipline by which talents of a very moderate order may be applied in a conspicuous and useful manner to any subject to which they are devoted. Such writing need not be made at first with any great attention to method, but merely put aside for future consideration; and, in this manner, the different departments of a subject will develop and arrange themselves as they advance, in a manner equally pleasing and wonderful.

VI. A due regulation and proper control of the imagination:—that is, restricting its range to objects which harmonize with truth, and are adapted to the real state of things with which the individual is or may be connected. We have seen how much the character is influenced by this exercise of the mind;—that it may be turned to purposes of the greatest moment, both in the pursuits of science, and in the cultivation of benevolence and virtue;—but that, on the other hand, it may be so employed as to debase both the moral and intellectual character.

. VII. The cultivation of calm and correct judgment, applicable alike to the formation of opinions and the regulation of conduct. This is founded, as we have seen, upon the habit of directing attention, distinctly and steadily, to all the facts and considerations bearing upon a subject; and it consists in contemplating them in their true relations,-and assigning to each the degree of importance of which it is worthy. This mental habit tends to guard us against forming conclusions, either with listless inattention to the views by which we ought to be influenced, or with attention directed to some of these, while we neglect others of equal or greater importance. It is therefore opposed to the influence of prejudice and passion,-to the formation of sophistical opinions,-to party spirit, and to every propensity which leads to the adoption of principles on any other ground than calm and candid examination, guided by sincere desire to discover the truth. In the purely physical sciences, distorted opinions are seldom met with, or make little impression, -because they are brought to the test of experiment, and thus their fallacy is exposed. But it is otherwise in those departments which do not admit of this remedy. Sophisms and partial inductions are, accordingly, met with in medicine, political economy, and metaphysics; and too often in the still higher subjects of morals and religion. In the economy of the human mind, it is indeed impossible to observe a more remarkable phenomenon, than the manner in which a man, who, in the ordinary affairs of life, shows the general characters of a sound understanding, can thus resign himself to the influence of an opinion founded upon partial examination. He brings ingeniously to the support of his dogma every fact and argument that can possibly be turned to its defence; and explains away or overlooks every thing that tends to a different conclusion; while he appears anxious to convince others, and really seems to have persuaded himself, that he is engaged in an honest investigation of truth. This propensity gains strength by indulgence, and the mind which has yielded to its influence advances from one pretended discovery to another,-mistaking its own fancies for the sound conclusions of the understanding, until it either settles down into some monstrous sophism, or perhaps concludes by doubt-

ing of every thing.

The manner in which the most extravagant opinions are maintained, by persons who give way to this abuse of their powers of reasoning, is scarcely more remarkable than the facility with which they often find zealous proselytes. It is indeed difficult to trace the principles by which various individuals are influenced, in thus surrendering their assent, with little examination,—often on subjects of the highest importance. In some, it would appear to arise from the mere pleasure of mental excitement; in others, from the love of singularity, and the desire of appearing wiser than their neighbours; while, in not a few, the will evidently takes the lead in the mental process,—and opinions are seized upon with avidity, and embraced as truth, which recommend themselves to previously existing inclinations of the heart. But, whatever may be the explanation, the influence of the principle is most extensive; and sentiments of the most opposite kinds may often be traced to the facility with which the human mind receives opinions which have been presented to it by some extrinsic influence. This influence may be of various kinds. It may be the power of party,—or the persuasion of a plausible and persevering individual :- it may be the supposed infallibility of a particular system ;-it may be the mere empire of fashion,—or the pretensions of a false philosophy. The particular result, also, may differ, according as one or other of these causes may be in operation. But the intellectual condition is the same; and the distortion of character which arises out of it, whether bigotry, superstition, or scepticism, may be traced to a similar process; -namely, to an influence which directs the mind upon some other principle than a candid investigation of truth. In a similar manner, we may perhaps account for the facts,-that the lowest superstition, and the most daring scepticism, fequently pass into each other; and that the most remarkable examples of both are often met with in the same situations, namely, those in which the human mind is restrained from free and candid inquiry. On the other hand, it would appear, that the universal toleration, and full liberty of conscience, which characterize a free and enlightened country, are calculated to

preserve from the two extremes of superstition and scepticism. In other situations, it is striking to remark how often those, who revolt from the errors of a false faith, take refuge in

infidelity.

The mental qualities which have been referred to in the preceding observations, constituting an active, attentive, and reflecting mind, should be carefully cultivated by all who desire their own mental improvement. The man who has cultivated them with adequate care, habitually exercises a process of mind which is equally a source of improvement and of refined enjoyment. Does a subject occur to him, either in conversation or reflection, in which he feels that his knowledge is deficient, he commences, without delay, an eager pursuit of the necessary information. In prosecuting any inquiry, whether by reading or observation, his attention, is acutely alive to the authenticity of facts,-the validity of arguments,-the accuracy of processes of investigation,principles which are illustrated by the facts,-and conclusions deduced from them,-the character of observers,-the style of writers ;- and thus, all the circumstances which come before him, are made acutely and individually the objects of attention and reflection. Such a man acquires a confidence in his own powers and resources, to which those are strangers who have not cultivated this kind of mental discipline. The intellectual condition arising out of it is applicable alike to every situation in which a man can be placed,-whether the affairs of ordinary life,—the pursuits of science,—or those higher inquiries and relations which concern him as a moral being.

In the affairs of ordinary life, this mental habit constitutes what we call an intelligent reflecting man, whose attention is alive to all that is passing before him,—who thinks acutely and eagerly on his own conduct and that of others,—and is constantly deriving useful information and subjects of reflection, from occurrences, which, by the listless mind, are passed by and forgotten. This habit is not necessarily connected with acquired knowledge, or with what is commonly called intellectual cultivation; but is often met with, in a high degree, in persons whose direct attainments are of a very limited kind. It is the foundation of caution and prudence in the affairs of life, and may perhaps be considered as the

basis of that quality, of more value to its possessor than any of the sciences, which is commonly called sound good sense. It is the origin, also, of what we call presence of mind,—or a readiness in adapting resources to circumstances. A man of this character, in whatever emergency he happens to be placed, forms a prompt, clear, and defined judgment of the conduct or expedient which the situation requires, and acts with promptitude upon his decision. In both these respects, he differs equally from the listless inactivity of one description of men, and the rash, hasty, and inconsiderate conduct of another. He differs not less from characters of a third class, who, though they may be correct in their judgment of what ought to be done, arrive at their decision, or act upon it, too slowly for the circumstances, and consequently are said according to a common proverb, to be wise behind time. The listless and torpid character, indeed, may occasionally be excited by emergencies, to a degree of mental activity which is not natural to him; and this is, in many instances, the source of a readiness of conception, and a promptitude in action, which the individual does not exhibit in ordinary circumstances.

In the pursuits of science these mental qualities constitute observing and inventive genius,-two conditions of mind which lie at the foundation of all philosophical eminences. By Observing Genius, I mean that habit of mind by which the philosopher not only acquires truths relating to any subject, but arranges and generalizes them in such a manner, as to show how they yield conclusions which escape the mere collector of facts. He likewise analyzes phenomena, and thus traces important relations among facts, which, to the common mind, appear very remote and dissimilar. I have formerly illustrated this, by the manner in which Newton traced a relation between the fall of an apple from a tree, and those great principles which regulate the movements of the heavenly bodies. By Inventive Genius, again, I mean that active, inquiring state of mind, which not only deduces, in this manner, principles from facts when they are before it, but which. grasping after principles by eager anticipation, makes its own conjectures the guides to observation and experiment. This habit of mind is peculiarly adapted to the experimental sciences; and in these, indeed, it may be considered as the source of the most important discoveries. It leads a man, not only to observe and connect the facts, but to go in search of them, and to draw them, as it were, out of that concealment in which they escape the ordinary observer. In doing so, he takes for his guides certain conjectures or assumptions which have arisen out of his own intense contemplation of the subject: and then commences a course of experiments or observations calculated to ascertain their truth. By these, his conjectures may be found as often false as true; but, if found false, they are instantly abandoned; and, by such a course of active inquiry, he at length arrives at the development of truth. From him are to be expected discoveries which elude the observation, not of the vulgar alone, but even of the philosopher, who, without cultivating this habit of invention, is satisfied with tracing the relation of facts, as they happen to be brought before him by the slower course of testimony, or occasional observation. The man, on the other hand, who only amuses himself with conjectures, and rests satisfied in them without proof, is the mere visionary or speculatist, who injures every subject to which his speculations are directed.

In the concerns which relate to man as a moral being, this active, inquiring, and reflecting habit of mind is not less applicable than in matters of inferior moment. The man who cultivates it directs his attention intensely and eagerly to the great truths which belong to his moral condition,—seeks to estimate distinctly his relation to them, and to feel their influence upon his moral principles. This constitutes the distinction between the individual who merely professes a particular creed, and him who examines it till he makes it a matter of understanding and conviction, and then takes its principles as the rule of his emotions and the guide of his conduct. Such a man also contemplates, in the same manner, his relations to other men; questions himself rigidly regarding the duties which belong to his situation, and his own observance of them. He contemplates others with a kind of personal interest,-enters into their wants and feelings, and participates in their distresses. In all his relations, whether of justice, benevolence, or friendship, he acts, not from mere incidental impulse, but upon clear and steady principles. In this course of action, many may go along with him when the requirements of the individual case are pointed out and impressed upon them; but that in which the mass of mankind are wanting, is the state of mental activity, which eagerly contemplates its various duties and relations, and thus finds its way to the line of conduct appropriate to the importance of each of them.

VIII. For a well-regulated understanding, and particularly for the application of it to inquiries of his highest import there is indispensably necessary a sound condition of the moral feelings. This important subject belongs properly to another department of mental science; but we have seen its extensive influence on the due exercise of the intellectual powers ;-and it is impossible to lose sight of the place which it holds in the general harmony of the mental functions, required for constituting that condition, of greater value than any earthly good, which is strictly to be called a well-regulated mind. This high attainment consists not in any cultivation, however great, of the intellectual powers, but requires also a corresponding and harmonious culture of the benevolent affections and moral feelings; -a due regulation of the passions, emotions, and desires ; and a full recognizance of the supreme authority of conscience over the whole intellectual and moral system. Cold and contracted, indeed, is that view of man which regards his understanding alone; and barren is that system, however wide its range, which rests in the mere attainment of truth. The highest state of man consists in his purity as a moral being; and in the habitual culture and full operation of those principles by which he looks forth to other scenes and other times. Among these are desires and longings, which nought in earthly science can satisfy; which soar beyond the sphere of sensible things, and find no object worthy of their capacities, until, in humble adoration, they rest in the contemplation of God. Truths then burst upon the mind, which seem to rise before it in a progressive series, each presenting characters of new and mightier import. The most aspiring understanding, awed by the view, feels the inadequacy of its utmost powers; yet the mind of the humble inquirer gains strength as it advances. There is now felt, in a peculiar manner, the influence of that healthy condition of the moral feelings, which leads a man not to be afraid of the truth. For, on this subject, we are never to lose sight of the remarkable principle of our nature, formerly referred to, by which a man comes to reason himself into the belief of what he wishes to be true,—and shuts his mind against, or even arrives at an actual disbelief of, truths which he fears to encounter. It is striking also to remark, how closely the philosophy of human nature harmonizes with the declarations of the sacred writings;—where this condition of mind is traced to its true source, in the corruption of the moral feelings, and is likewise shown to involve a high degree of guilt, in that rejection of truth which is its natural consequence;—"This is the condemnation, that light is come into the world, and men loved darkness rather than light, because their deeds were evil. For every one that doeth the light, neither cometh to the light, lest his deeds should be reproved. But he that doeth truth, cometh to the light that his deeds may be

made manifest, that they are wrought in God."

This condition of mind presents a subject of intense interest, to every one who would study his own mental condition, either as an intellectual or a moral being. In each individual instance, it may be traced to a particular course of thought and of conduct, by which the mind went gradually more and more astray from virtue. In this grogress, each single step was felt to be a voluntary act; but the influence of the whole, after a certain period, is to distort the judgment, and deaden the moral feelings on the great question of truth and rectitude. Of this remarkable phenomenon in the economy of man, the explanation is beyond the reach of our faculties; but the facts are unquestionable, and the practical lesson to be derived from them is of deep and serious import. The first volition, by which the mind consciously wanders from truth, or the moral feelings go astray from virtue, may impart a morbid influence which shall perpetuate itself and gain strength in future volitions, until the result shall be to poison the whole intellectual and moral system. Thus, in the wondrous scheme of sequences which has been established in the economy of the human heart, one volition may impart a character to the future man, -the first downward step may be fatal.

Every candid observer of human nature must feel this statement to be consistent with truth; and by a simple and legitimate step of reasoning, a principle of the greatest interest seems to arise out of it. When this loss of harmony

among the mental faculties has attained a certain degree, we do not perceive any power, in the mind itself, capable of correcting the disorder which has been introduced into the moral system. Either, therefore, the evil is irremediable and hopeless, or we must look for an influence from without the mind, which may afford an adequate remedy. We are thus led to discover the adaptation and the probability of the provisions of the Christian revelation, where an influence is indeed disclosed to us, capable of restoring the harmony which has been destroyed, and of raising man anew to the sound and healthy condition of a moral being. We cannot perceive any improbability, that the Being, who framed the wondrous fabric, may thus hold intercourse with it, and provide a remedy for its moral disorders; and thus a statement, such as human reason never could have anticipated, comes to us invested

with every element of credibility and of truth.

The sound exercise of the understanding, therefore, is closely connected with the important habit of looking within; or of rigidly investigating our intellectual and moral condition. This leads us to inquire what opinions we have formed, and upon what grounds we have formed them :- what have been our leading pursuits,—whether these have been guided by a sound consideration of their real value,-or whether important objects of attention have been lightly passed over, or entirely neglected. It leads us farther to contemplate our moral condition,—our desires, attachments and antipathies; -the government of the imagination, and the regimen of the heart :- what is the habitual current of our thoughts; and whether we exercise over them that control which indicates alike intellectual vigour and moral purity. It leads us to review our conduct, with its principles and motives, and to compare the whole with the great standards of truth and rectitude. This investigation is the part of every wise man. Without it, an individual may make the greatest attainments in science, may learn to measure the earth and to trace the course of the stars, while he is entirely wanting in that higher department,—the knowledge of himself.

On these important subjects, I would more particularly address myself to that interesting class, for whom this work is chiefly intended, the younger members of the medical profession. The considerations which have been submitted to

them, while they appear to carry the authority of truth, are applicable, at once, to their scientific investigations, and to those great inquiries, equally interesting to men of every degree, which relate to the principles of moral and religious belief. On these subjects, a sound condition of mind will lead them to think and judge for themselves, with a care and seriousness adapted to the solemn import of the inquiry, and without being influenced by the dogmas of those who, with little examination, presume to decide with confidence on matters of eternal moment. Of the modifications of that distortion of character which has commonly received the name of cant, the cant of hypoerisy has been said to be the worst; but there is another which may fairly be placed by its side, and that is the cant of infidelity,—the affectation of scoffing at sacred things, by men who have never examined the subject, or never with an attention in any degree adequate to its A well-regulated mind must at momentous importance. once perceive that this is alike unworthy of sound sense and sound philosophy. If we require the authority of names, we need only to be reminded, that truths which received the cordial assent of Boyle and Newton, of Haller and Boerhave, are, at least, deserving of grave and deliberate examination. But we may dismiss such an appeal as this; for, nothing more is wanted to challenge the utmost seriousness of every candid inquirer, than the solemn nature of the inquiry itself. The medical observer, in a especial manner, has facts at all times before him which are in the highest degree calculated to fix his deep and serious attention. In the structure and economy of the human body, he has proofs, such as no other branch of natural science can furnish, of the power and wisdom of the Eternal One. Let him resign his mind to the influence of these proofs, and learn to rise, in humble adoration. to the Almighty Being of whom they witness: and, familiar as he is with human suffering and death, let him learn to estimate the value of those truths which have power to heal the broken heart, and to cheer the bed of death with the prospect of immortality.

THE

A STATE OF THE STA 

#### PHILOSOPHY

of the 

the strate that a safe is the strate of the

## MORAL FEELINGS.

MANAGE TO THE RESIDENCE OF A STATE OF THE ST The state of the same of the s and the second principles of the second princi and he was a finding of the state of the sta Make A section with the first contract to the section of the section of

The second control of the second second

The state of the s CARLES OF THE SECRET STORE OF THE SECRET STORES The product of the state of the the state of the second se The state of the s and the second perhal torrectal articles a security and the second and the second of the second s and the second per para perantinan da ser la companya da ser la companya da ser la companya da ser la companya da ser la comp Baseline and the second se National State of the Control of the 

#### PRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS.

#### SECTION I.

NATURE AND IMPORTANCE OF THE SCIENCE OF THE MORAL FEELINGS.

Man is to be contemplated as an intellectual, and as a moral being. By his intellectual powers, he acquires the knowledge of facts, observes their connexions, and traces the conclusions which arise out of them. The mental operations, however, even in a high state of cultivation, may be directed entirely to truths of an extrinsic kind,—that is, to such as do not exert any influence either on the moral condition of the individual, or on his relations to other sentient beings. They may exist in an eminent degree in the man who lives only for himself, and feels little beyond the personal wants, or the selfish enjoyments of the hour that is passing over him.

But, when we contemplate man as a moral being, new relations open on our view,—and these are of mightler import. We find him occupying a place in a great system of moral government, in which he has an important station to fill and high duties to perform. We find him placed in certain relations to a great moral Governor, who presides over this

system of things, and to a future state of being for which the present scene is intended to prepare him. We find him possessed of powers which qualify him to feel these relations, and of principles calculated to guide him through the solemn responsibilities which attend his state of moral discipline.

These two parts of his mental constitution we perceive to be remarkably distinct from each other. The former may be in vigorous exercise in him who has little feeling of his moral condition;—and the latter may be in a high state of culture in the man, who, in point of intellectual acquirement, knows little beyond the truths which it most concerns him to know,—those great but simple principles which guide his conduct

as a responsible being.

In a well-regulated mind, there is an intimate harmony and co-operation between these two departments of the mental economy. Knowledge, received through the powers of sensation and simple intellect, whether relating to external things, or to mental phenomena,—and conclusions derived from these through the powers of reasoning, ought all to contribute to that which is the highest state of man,—his purity as a moral being. They ought all to lend their aid towards the cultivation of those principles of his nature which bind him to his fellow-men;—and those higher principles still, which raise his feeble powers to the Eternal Incomprehensible One, the first great cause of all things, and the moral Governor of the universe.

A slight degree of observation is sufficient to convince us, that such a regulated condition of the mental constitution does not exist in the generality of mankind. It is not my present purpose to inquire into the causes by which this is primarily deranged; but it may be interesting to trace some of the circumstances which bear a part in producing the derangement. In our present state of being, we are surrounded with objects of sense; and the mind is kept, in a great degree, under the influence of external things. In this manner it often happens, that facts and considerations elude our attention, and deeds escape from our memory, in a manner which would not occur, were the mind left at liberty to recall its own associations, and to feel the influence of principles which are really part of the mental constitution. It is thus that, amid the bustle of life, the attention is apt to be

engrossed by considerations of a local and an inferior character; - while facts and motives of the highest moment are overlooked, and deeds of our own, long gone by, escape from our remembrance. We thus lose a correct sense of our moral condition, and vield to the agency of present and external things, in a manner disproportioned to their real value. For our highest concern as moral beings is with things future. and things unseen; and often with circumstances in our own moral history, long past, and perhaps forgotten. Hence the benefit of retirement and calm reflection, and of every thing that tends to withdraw us from the impression of sensible objects, and that leads us to feel the superiority of things which are not seen. Under such influence, the mind displays an astonishing power of recalling the past and grasping the future,—and of viewing objects in their true relations, to itself and to each other. The first of these, indeed, we see exemplified in many affections, in which the mind is cut off, in a great or less degree, from its intercourse with the external world, by causes acting upon the bodily organization. In another work I have described many remarkable examples of the mind, in this condition, recalling its old impressions respecting things long past and entirely forgotten; and the facts there stated call our attention in a very striking manner to its inherent powers and its independent existence.

This subject is one of intense interest, and suggests reflections of the most important kind, respecting the powers and properties of the thinking principle. In particular, it leads us to a period, which we are taught to anticipate even by the inductions of intellectual science, when, the bodily frame being dissolved, the thinking and reasoning essence shall exercise its peculiar faculties in a higher state of being. There are facts in the mental phenomena which give a high degree of probability to the conjecture, that the whole transactions of life, with the motives and moral history of each individual, may then be recalled by a process of the mind itself, and placed, as at a single glance, distinctly before him. Were we to realize such a mental condition, we should not fail to contemplate the impressions so recalled, with feelings very different from those by which we are apt to be misled amid the influence of present and external things.—The tumult of life is over ; pursuits, principles, and motives,

which once bore an aspect of importance, are viewed with feelings more adapted to their true value.—The moral principle recovers that authority, which, amid the contests of passion, had been obscured or lost;—each act and each emotion is seen in its relations to the great dictate, of truth, and each pursuit of life in its real bearing on the great concerns of a moral being;—and the whole assumes a character of new and wondrous import, when viewed in relation to that Incomprehensible One, who is then disclosed in all his attributes as a moral governor.—Time past is contracted into a point, and that the infancy of being;—time to come is seen expanding into eternal existence.

Such are the views which open on him who would inquire into the essence by which man is distinguished as a rational and moral being. Compared with it, what are all the phenomena of nature,—what is all the history of the world,—the rise and fall of empires, -or the fate of those who rule them. These derive their interest from local and transient relations. -but this is to exist for ever. That science, therefore, must be considered as the highest of all human pursuits, which contemplates man in his relation to eternal things. With its importance we must feel its difficulties; and, did we confine the investigation to the mere principles of natural science, we should feel these difficulties to be insurmountable. But, in this great inquiry, we have two sources of knowledge, to which nothing analogous is to be found in the history of physical science, and which will prove infallible guides, if we resign ourselves to their direction with sincere desire to discover the truth. These are,—the light of conscience,—and the light of divine revelation. In making this statement, I am aware that I tread on delicate ground,—and that some will consider an appeal to the sacred writings as a departure from the strict course of philosophical inquiry. This opinion, I am satisfied, is entirely at variance with truth; -and, in every moral investigation, if we take the inductions of sound philosophy, along with the dictates of conscience, and the light of revealed truth, we shall find them to constitute one uniform and harmonious whole, the various parts of which tend, in a remarkable manner, to establish and illustrate each other. If, indeed, in any investigation of moral science, we disregard the light which is furnished by the sacred writings,

we resemble an astronomer who should rely entirely on his unaided sight, and reject those optical inventions which extend so remarkably the field of his vision, as to be to him the revelation of things not seen. Could we suppose a person thus entertaining doubts respecting the knowledge supplied by the telescope, yet proceeding in a candid manner to investigate its truth, he would perceive, in the telescopic observations themselves, principles developed which are calculated to remove his suspicions. For, in the limited knowledge which is furnished by vision alone, he finds difficulties which he cannot explain, apparent inconsistencies which he cannot reconcile, and insulated facts which he cannot refer to any known principles. But, in the more extended knowledge which the telescope yields, these difficulties disappear; facts are brought together which seemed unconnected or discordant; and the universe appears one beautiful system of order and consistency. It is the same in the experience of the moral inquirer, when he extends his views beyond the inductions of reason, and corrects his conclusions by the testimony of God. Discordant principles are brought together; doubts and difficulties disappear; and beauty, order, and harmony are seen to pervade the government of the Diety. In this manner there also arises a species of evidence for the doctrines of revelation, which is entirely independent of the external proofs of its divine origin; and which, to the candid mind, invests it with all the character of authenticity and truth.

From these combined sources of knowledge, thus illustrating and confirming each other, we are enabled to attain, in moral inquiries, a degree of certainty adapted to their high importance. We do so when, with sincere desire to discover the truth, we resign ourselves to the guidance of the light which is within, aided as it is by that light from heaven which shines upon the path of the humble inquirer. Cultivated on these principles, the science is fitted to engage the most powerful mind; while it will impart strength to the most common understanding. It terminates in no barren speculations, but tends directly to promote peace on earth, and goodwill among men. It is calculated both to enlarge the understanding, and to elevate and purify the feelings, and thus to cultivate the moral being for the life which is to come. It

spreads forth to the view, becoming smoother and brighter the further it is pursued; and the rays which illuminate the path converge in the throne of him who is eternal.

en de la companya de la co La companya de la co

# SECTION II.

### OF FIRST TRUTHS IN THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE MORAL FEELINGS.

The knowledge which we receive through our intellectual powers is referable to two classes. These may be distinguished by the names of acquired knowledge, and intuitive or fundamental articles of belief. The former is procured by the active use of our mental powers, in collecting facts. tracing their relations, and observing the deductions which arise out of particular combinations of them. These constitute the operations which I have referred to in another work. under the heads of processes of investigation, and processes of reasoning. The full exercise of them requires a certain culture of the mental faculties, and consequently is confined to a comparatively small number of men. We perceive. however, that such culture is not essential to every individual. for many are very deficient in it who yet are considered as persons of sound mind, and capable of discharging their duties in various situations of life in a creditable and useful manner.

But the knowledge which we derive from the other source is of immediate and essential importance to men of every degree; and, without it, no individual could engage, with confidence, in any of the common transactions of life, or make any provision for his protection or comfort, or even for the continuance of his existence. These are the principles also treated of in a former work, under the name of First Truths. They are not, like our knowledge of the other kind, the result of any process either of investigation or of reason-

ing; and, for the possession of them, no man either depends upon his own observation, or has recourse to that of other men. They are a part of his mental constitution, arising, with a feeling of absolute certainty, in every sound mind; and, while they admit of no proof by processes of reasoning, sophistical objections brought against them can be combated only by an appeal to the consciousness of every man, and to the absolute conviction which forces itself upon the whole mass of mankind.

If the Creator has thus implanted in the mind of man principles to guide him in his intellectual and physical relations. independently of any acquired knowledge, we might naturally expect to find him endowed, in the same manner, with principles adapted to his more important relations as a moral being. We might naturally expect, that, in these high concerns, he would not be left to the knowledge which he might casually acquire, either through his own powers of investiga. tion or reasoning, or through instruction received from other men. Impressions adapted to this important end we accordingly find developed in a remarkable manner,-and they are referable to that part of our constitution, which holds so important a place in the philosophy of the mind, by which we perceive differences in the moral aspect of actions, and approve or disapprove of them as right or wrong. The convictions derived from this source seem to occupy the same place in the moral system, that first truths, or intuitive articles of belief. do in the intellectual. Like them, also, they admit of no direct proofs by processes of reasoning; and, when sophistical arguments are brought against them, the only true answer consists in an appeal to the conscience of every uncontaminated mind ;-by which we mean chiefly the consciousness of its own moral impressions, in a mind which has not been degraded in its moral perceptions by a course of personal depravity. This is a consideration of the utmost practical importance: and it will probably appear that many well-intended arguments, respecting the first principles of moral truth, have been inconclusive, in the same manner as were attempts to establish first truths by processes of reasoning,-because the line of argument adopted in regard to them was one of which they are not susceptible. The force of this analogy is in no degree weakened by the fact, that there is, in many cases, an

apparent difference between that part of our mental constitution, on which is founded our conviction of first truths, and that principle from which is derived our impression of moral truth:-For the former continues the same in every mind which is neither obscured by idiocy nor distorted by insanity; but the moral feelings become vitiated by a process of the mind itself, by which it has gradually gone astray from rectitude, Hence the difference we find in the decisious of different men, respecting moral truth, arising from peculiarities in their own mental condition; -and hence that remarkable obscuration of mind, at which some men at length arrive, by which the judgment is entirely perverted respecting the first great principles of moral purity. When, therefore, we appeal to certain principles in the mental constitution, as the source of our first impressions of moral truth, our appeal is made chiefly to a mind which is neither obscured by depravity, nor bewildered by the refinements of a false philosophy :--it is made to a mind, in which conscience still holds some degree of its rightful authority, and in which there is a sincere and honest desire to discover the truth. These two elements of character must go together in every correct inquiry in moral science; and, to a man in an opposite condition, we should no more appeal, in regard to the principles of moral truth, than we should take from the fatuous person or the maniac our test of those first principles of intellectual truth, which are allowed to be original elements of belief in every sound mind.

To remedy the evils arising from this diversity and distortion of moral perception, is one of the objects of divine revelation. By means of it there is introduced a fixed and uniform standard of moral truth; but, it is of importance to remark, that, for the authority of this, an appeal is made to principles in the mind itself, and that every part of it challenges the assent of the man in whom conscience has not lost its power

in the mental economy.

Keeping in view the distinction which has now been referred to, it would appear, that there are certain first principles of moral truth, which arise in the mind by the most simple process of reflection,-either as constituting its own primary moral convictions, or as following from its consciousness of these convictions by a plain and obvious chain of relations. These are chiefly the following.

I. A perception of the nature and quality of actions, as just or unjust,—right or wrong;—and a conviction of certain duties, as of justice, veracity and benevolence, which every man owes to his fellow-men. Every man, in his own case, again, expects the same offices from others; and, on this reciprocity of feeling, is founded the precept, which is felt to be one of universal obligation, to do to others as we would

that they should do to us.

II. From this primary moral impression, there arises, by a most natural sequence, a conviction of the existence and superintendence of a great moral Governor of the universe,—a being of infinite perfection and infinite purity. A belief in this Being, as the first great cause, is derived, as we have formerly seen, by a simple step of reasoning, from a survey of the works of nature, taken in connexion with the First Truth, that every event aust have an adequate cause. Our sense of his moral attributes arises, with a feeling of equal certainty, when, from the moral impressions of our own minds, we infer the moral attributes of him who thus formed us.

III. From these combined impressions, there naturally springs a sense of moral responsibility;—or a conviction, that, for the due performance of the duties which are indicated by the conscience, or moral consciousness, man is responsible to the Governor of the universe;—and farther, that to this Being he owes, more immediately, a certain homage of the moral feelings, entirely distinct from the duties which he owes

to his fellow-men.

IV. From this chain of moral convictions, it is impossible to separate a deep impression of continued existence, or of a state of being beyond the present life,—and of that as a state

of moral retribution.

The consideration of these important objects of belief will afterwards occur to us in various parts of our inquiry. They are briefly stated here, in reference to the place which they hold as First Truths, or primary articles of moral belief, which arise by a natural and obvious chain of sequence, in the moral conviction of every sound understanding. For the truth of them we appeal not to any process of reasoning, properly so called, but to the conviction which forces itself upon every regulated mind. Neither do we go abroad

among savage nations, to inquire whether the impression of them be universal; for this may be obscured in communities, as it is in individuals, by a course of moral degradation. We appeal to the casuist himself, whether, in the calm moment of reflection, he can divest himself of their power. We appeal to the feelings of the man who, under the consciousness of guilt, shrinks from the dread of a present Deity, and the anticipation of a future reckening. But chiefly we appeal to the conviction of him, in whom conscience retains its rightful supremacy, and who habitually cherishes these momentous truths, as his guides in this life in its relation to the life that is to come.

In applying to these important articles of belief the name of Pirst Truths, or primary principles of moral conviction I do not mean to ascribe to them any thing of the nature of innate ideas. I mean only that they arise, with a rapid or instantaneous conviction, entirely distinct from what we call a process of reasoning, in every regulated mind, when it is directed, by the most simple course of reflection, to the phenomena of nature without, and to the moral feelings of which it is conscious within. It appears to be a point of the utmost practical importance, that we should consider them as thus arising out of principles which form a part of our moral constitution; as it is in this way only that we can consider them as calculated to influence the mass of mankind. For, if we do not believe them to arise, in this manner, by the spontaneous exercise of every uncorrupted mind, there are only two methods by which we can suppose them to origimate;—the one is a direct revelation from the Deity,—the other is a process of reasoning or of investigation, properly so called, analogous to that by which we acquire the knowledge of any principles in natural science. We cannot believe that they are derived entirely from revelation, because we find the belief existing where no revelation is known, and because we find the sacred writers appealing to them as sources of conviction existing in the mental constitution of every There is an obvious absurdity, again, in supposing that principles, which are to regulate the conduct of responsible beings, should be left to the chance of being unfolded by processes of reasoning, in which different minds may arrive at different conclusions, and in regard to

which many are incapable of following out any argument at all. What is called the argument a priori for the existence and attributes of the Diety, for instance, conveys little that is conclusive to most minds, and to many is entirely incomphrensible. The same observation may be applied to those well-intended and able arguments, by which the probability of a future state is shewn from analogy and from the constitution of the mind. These are founded chiefly on three considerations,—the tendency of virtue to produce happiness, and of vice to be followed by misery,—the unequal distribution of good and evil in the present life,—and the adaptation of our moral faculties to a state of being very different from that in which we are at present placed. There is much in these arguments calculated to elevate our conceptions of our condition as moral beings, and of that future state of existence for which we are destined; and there is much scope for the highest powers of reasoning, in shewing the accordance of these truths with the soundest inductions of true philosophy. But, notwithstanding all their truth and all their utility, it may be doubted whether they are to any one the foundation of his faith in another state of being. It must be admitted, at least, that their force is felt by those only whose minds have been in some degree trained to habits of reasoning, and that they are therefore not adapted to the mass of mankind. But the truths which they are intended to establish are of eternal importance to men of every degree. and we should therefore expect them to rest upon evidence which finds its way with unerring aim to the hearts of the unlearned. The unanswerable reasonings of Butler never reached the ear of the gray-haired pious peasant; but he needs not their powerful aid to establish his sure and certain hope of a blessed immortality. It is no induction of logic that has transfixed the heart of the victim of deep remorse, when he withers beneath an influence unseen by human eve. and shrinks from the anticipation of a reckoning to come. In both, the evidence is within, -a part of the original constitution of every rational mind, planted there by him who framed the wondrous fabric. This is the power of conscience; -with an authority, which no man can put away from him, it pleads at once for his own future existence, and for the moral attributes of an emuipotent, ever-present Deity,

In a healthy state of the moral feelings, the man recognises its claim to supreme dominion. Amid the degradation of guilt, it still raises its voice and asserts its right to govern the whole man; and, though its warnings are disregarded, and its claims disallowed, it proves within his inmost soul an accuser that cannot be stilled, and an avenging spirit that

never is quenched.

Similar observations apply to the uniformity of moral distinctions, or the conviction of a certain line of conduct which There have been many controman owes to his fellow-men. versies and various contending systems in reference to this subject; but I submit that the question may be disposed of in the same manner as the one now mentioned. Certain fixed and defined principles of relative duty appear to be recognised by the consent of mankind, as an essential part of their moral constitution, by as absolute a conviction as that by which are recognised our bodily qualities. The hardened criminal, whose life has been a series of injustice and fraud, when at length brought into circumstances which expose him to the knowledge or the retribution of his fellow-men, expects from them veracity and justice, or perhaps even throws himself upon their mercy. He thus recognises such principles as a part of the moral constitution, just as the blind man, when he has missed his way, asks direction of the first person he meets, presuming upon the latter possessing a sense which, though lost to him, he still considers as belonging to every sound man. In defending himself, also, the criminal shows the same recognition. For, his object is to disprove the alleged facts, or to frame excuses for his conduct :-- he never attempts to question those universal principles by which he feels that his actions must be condemned, if the facts are proved against him. Without such principles, indeed, thus universally recognised, it is evident that the whole system of human things would go into confusion and Human laws may restrain or punish gross acts of violence and injustice; but they can never provide for numberless methods by which a man may injure his neighbour, or promote his own interest at the expence of others. There are, in fact, but a very few cases which can be provided for by any human institution; it is a principle within that regulates the whole moral economy. In its extent and importance, when compared with all the devices of man, it may be likened to those great principles which guide the movements of the universe, contrasted with the contrivances by which men produce particular results for their own convenience; and one might as well expect to move a planet by machinery, or propel a comet by the power of steam, as to preserve the semblance of order in the moral world, without those fundamental principles of rectitude which form a part of the original constitution

of every rational being.

Farther, as each man has the consciousness of these principles in himself, he has the conviction that similar principles exist in others. Hence arises the impression, that, as he judges of their conduct by his own moral feelings, so will they judge of him by corresponding feelings in themselves. In this manner is produced that reciprocity of moral impression. by which a man feels the opinion of his fellow-men to be either a reward or a punishment; and hence also springs that: great rule of relative duty, which teaches us to do to others as we would that they should do to us. This uniformity of moral feeling and affection even proves a check upon those who have subdued the influence of these feelings in themselves. Thus, a man who has thrown off all sense of justice, compassion, or benevolence, is still kept under a certain degree of control by the conviction of these impressions existing in those by whom he is surrounded. There are indeed men in the world, as has been remarked by Butler, in whom this appears to be the only restraint to which their conduct is subjected.

Upon the whole, therefore, there seems to be ground for assuming, that the articles of belief, which have been the subject of the preceding observations, are primary principles arising with an immediate feeling of conviction in our moral constitution; and that they correspond with those elements in our intellectual economy, which are commonly called First Truths,—principles which are now universally admitted to require no other evidence than the conviction which forces

itself upon every sound understanding,

#### PHILOSOPHY

OF

#### THE MORAL FEELINGS.

When we analyze the principles which distinguish man as a moral being, our attention is first directed to his actions, as the external phenomena by which we judge of his internal principles. It is familiar to every one, however, that the same action may proceed from very different motives, and that, when we have the means of estimating motives or principles, it is from these that we form our judgment respecting the moral condition of the individual, and not from his actions alone. When we consider separately the elements which enter into the economy of an intelligent and responsible agent, they seem to resolve themselves into the following:—

I. His actual conduct, or actions.

II. In determining his conduct, the immediate principle is his will, or simple volition. He wills some act,—and the act follows of course, unless it be prevented by restraint from without, or by physical inability to perform it. These alone can interfere with a man following the determination of his

will, or simple volition.

III. The objects of will or simple volition are referable to two classes,—objects to be obtained,—and actions to be performed to others;—and these are connected with two distinct mental conditions, which exist previously to the act of voliton. In regard to objects to be obtained, this mental condition is Desire;—in regard to actions towards others, it is Affection. The Desires and Affections, therefore, hold a place in the mind previous to volition. From one of them originates the mental state which, under certain regulations, leads to volition, or to our willing a certain act. The act, which is then the

result of the volition, consists either in certain efforts towards attaining the object desired,—or in certain conduct towards other men, arising out of our affections or mental feelings towards them. The Desires and Affections, therefore, may be considered as the primary or moving powers from which our actions proceed. In connexion with them we have to keep in view another principle, which has an extensive influence on our conduct in regard to both these classes of emotions. This is Self-love;—which leads us to seek our own protection, comfort, and advantage. It is a sound and legitimate principle of action when kept in its proper place;—when allowed to usurp an undue influence, it degenerates into selfishness; and it then interferes in a material degree with the exercise of the affections, or, in other words, with our duty to other men.

- IV. We have next to attend to the fact, that every desire is not followed by actual volition towards obtaining the object ;- and that every affection does not lead to the conduct which might flow from it. Thus a man may feel a desire which, after consideration, he determines not to gratify. Another may experience an affection, and not act upon it :he may feel benevolence or friendship, and yet act, in the particular case, with cold selfishness; -or he may feel the impulse of anger, and yet conduct himself with forbearance. When, therefore, we go another step backwards in the chain of moral sequences, our attention is directed to certain principles by which the determination is actually decided,—either according to the desire or affection which is present to the mind, or in opposition to it. This brings us to a subject of the utmost practical importance:—and the principles, which thus decide the determination of the mind, are referable to two heads.
- (1:) The determination or decision may arise out of a certain state of arrangement of the moving powers themselves, in consequence of which some one of them has acquired a predominating influence in the moral system. This usually results from habit, or frequent indulgence, as we shall see in a subsequent part of our inquiry. A man, for example, may desire an object, but perceive that the attainment would require a degree of exertion greater than he is disposed to devote to it. This is the preponderating love

of ease, a branch of self-love. Another may perceive that the gratification would impair his good name, or the estimation in which he is anxious to stand in the eyes of other men; this is the predominating love of approbation, or regard to character. In the same manner, a third may feel that it would interfere with his schemes of avarice or ambition,—and so in regard to the other desires. On a similar principle, a man may experience a strong impulse of anger, but perceive that there would be danger in gratifying it, or that he would promote his reputation or his interest by not acting upon it; -he may experience a benevolent affection, but feel that the exercise would interfere too much with his personal interest or comfort.

(2.) The determination may arise from a sense of duty, or an impression of moral rectitude, apart from every consideration of a personal nature. This is the Moral Principle, or Conscience; in every mind in a state of moral health, it is the supreme and regulating principle, preserving among the moving powers a certain harmony, to each other, and to the principles of moral rectitude. It often excites to conduct which requires a sacrifice of self-love, and so prevents this principle from interfering with the sound exercise of the affections. It regulates the desires, and restrains them by the simple rule of purity; it directs and regulates the affections in the same manner by the high sense of moral responsibility; and it thus maintains order and harmony in the whole moral system. ·福州(1886年) - 1887年(1896年)

One, of the chief diversities of human character, indeed, arises from the circumstance of one man being habitually influenced by the simple and straight-forward principle of duty, another merely by a kind of contest between desires and motives of a very inferior or selfish nature. Thus also we acquire a knowledge of the moral temperament of different men, and learn to adopt our measures accordingly in our transactions with them. In endeavouring, for example, to excite three individuals to some act of usefulness, we come to know, that in one we have only to appeal to his sense of duty; in another to his vanity or love of approbation; while we have no hope of making any impression on the third, unless we can make it appear to bear upon his interest.

V. The principles referred to under the preceding heads

are chiefly those which regulate the connexion of man with his fellow-men. But there is another class of emotions, in their nature distinct from these; though, in a practical point of view, they are much connected. These are the emotions which arise out of his relation to the Deity. The regulation of the moral feelings, in reference to this relation, will therefore come to be considered in a department of the inquiry devoted to themselves, in connexion with the views of the character and attributes of God, which we obtain from the light of reason and conscience.

This analysis of the principles which constitute the moral feelings indicates the farther division of our inquiry in the

following manner :--

I. The Desires,—the Affections,—and Self-love.

II. The Will.

III. The Moral Principle, or Conscience.

IV. The moral relation of man towards the Deity.

These constitute what may be called the active principles of man, or those which are calculated to decide his conduct as a moral and responsible being. In connexion with them, there is another class of feelings, which may be called passive or connecting emotions. They exert a considerable influence of a secondary kind; but, in an Essay which is meant to be essentially practical, it perhaps will not be necessary to do more than enumerate them in such a manner as to point out

their relation to the active principles.

When an object presents qualities on account of which we wish to obtain it, we feel desire. If we have reason to think that it is within our reach, we experience hope; and the effect of this is to encourage us in our exertions. If we arrive at such a conviction as leaves no doubt of the attainment, this is confidence, one of the forms of that state of mind which we call faith. If we see no prospect of attaining it, we give way to despair,—and this leads us to abandon all exertion for the attainment. When we obtain the object, we experience pleasure or joy; if we are disappointed, we feel regret. If, again, we have the prospect of some evil which threatens us, we experience fear, and are thereby excited to exertions for averting it. If we succeed in doing so, we experience joy; if not, we feel sorrow. If the evil seem unavoidable, we again give way to despair, and are thus led to relinquish all

attempts to avert it.—Similar emotions attend on the affections. When we experience an affection, we desire to be able to act upon it. When we see a prospect of doing so, we hope; if there seem to be none, we despair of accomplishing our object. When we have acted upon a benevolent affection, or according to the dictates of the moral principle, we experience self-approbation; when the contrary, we feel remorse. When either a desire or an affection has acquired an undue influence, so as to carry us forward in a manner disproportioned to its real and proper tendencies, it becomes a passion.

Lightean aithe ann an t-aire a Lightean an t-aire a Lightean an t-aire a

BEREIT GEREITE GEREITE GER

Merchanism in the second

# PART I.

salahin bahar ini bi Bataura

proprieta de la compresión de la compres

OF THE DESIRES, THE AFFECTIONS, AND SELF-LOVE.

#### SECTION I.

#### THE DESIRES.

Desire is the immediate movement or act of the mind towards an object which presents some quality on account of which we wish to obtain it. The objects of desire, therefore, embrace all those attainments and gratifications, which mankind consider worthy of being sought after. The object pursued, in each particular ease, is determined by the views, habits, and moral dispositions of the individual. In this manner, one person may regard an object, as above every other worthy of being sought after, which to another appears insignificant or worthless. The principles which regulate these diversities, and consequently form one of the great differences in human character, belong to a subsequent part of our inquiry.

In forming a classification of the desires, we must be guided simply by the nature of the various objects which are desired. Those which may be specified as the most prevalent, and the most clearly to be distinguished as separate, may be

referred to the following heads.

I. The gratification of the animal propensities,—commonly called the Appetites. These, which we possess in common with the lower animals, are implanted in us for important purposes; but they require to be kept under the most rigid control, both of reason and of the moral principle. When they are allowed to break through these restraints,

and become leading principles of action, they form a character the lowest in the scale, whether intellectual or moral; and it is impossible to contemplate a more degraded condition of a rational and moral being. The consequences to society are also of the most baneful nature. Without alluding to the glutton or to the drunkard, what accumulated guilt, degradation, and wretchedness follow the course of the libertine,—blasting whatever comes within the reach of his influence, and extending a demoralizing power alike to him who inflicts and to those who suffer the wrong. Thus is constituted a class of evils, of which no human law can take any adequate cognizance, and which therefore raise our views, in a special and peculiar manner, to a supreme Moral Governor.

The desire of Wealth, commonly called Avarice; though avarice is perhaps justly to be regarded as the morbid excess or abuse of the propensity. This is properly to be considered as originating in the desire to possess the means of procuring other gratifications. But, by the influence of habit, the desire is transferred to the thing itself; and it often becomes a kind of mania, in which there is the pure love of gain, without the application of it to any other kind of enjoyment. It is a propensity which may, in a remarkable manner, engross the whole character, acquiring strength by continuance; and it is then generally accompanied by a contracted selfishness, which considers nothing as mean or unworthy that can be made to contribute to the ruling passion. This may be the case even when the propensity is regulated by the rules of justice ;-if it break through this restraint, it leads to fraud, extortion, deceit, and injustice, -and under another form, to theft or robbery. It is therefore always in danger of being opposed to the exercise of the benevolent affections, leading a man to live for himself, and to study only the means calculated to promote his own interest.

III. The Desire of Power, or Ambition. This is the love of ruling,—of giving the law to a circle whether more or less extensive. When it becomes the governing propensity, the strongest principles of human nature give way before it,—even those of personal comfort and safety. This we see in the conqueror, who braves every danger, difficulty, and privation, for the attainment of power; and in the statesman, who sacrifices for it every personal advantage, perhaps health and

peace. The principle, however, assumes another form, which, according to its direction, may aim at a higher object. Such is the desire of exercising power over the minds of men; of persuading a multitude, by arguments or eloquence, to deeds of usefulness; of pleading the cause of the oppressed;—a power of influencing the opinions of others, and of guiding them into sound sentiments and virtuous conduct. This is a species of power, the most gratifying by far to an exalted and virtuous mind, and one calculated to carry benefit to others

wherever it is exerted.

IV. The Desire of Superiority, or Emulation. This is allied to the former, except that it does not include any direct wish to rule, but aims simply at the acquirement of preeminence. It is a propensity of extensive influence, and not easily confined within the bounds of correct principle. It is apt to lead to undue means for the accomplishment of its object; and every real or imagined failure tends to excite hatred and envy. Hence it requires the most careful regulation, and, when much encouraged in the young, is not free from the danger of generating malignant passions. Its influence and tendency, as in other desires, depend in a great measure on the objects to which it is directed. It may be seen in the man who seeks to excel his associates in the guiety of his apparel, the splendour of his equipage, or the luxury of his table. It is found in him whose proud distinction is to be the most fearless rider at a steeple-chace or a fox-hunt, -or to perform some other exploit, the only claim of which to admiration consists in its never having been performed before. The same principle, directed to more worthy objects, may influence him who seeks to be distinguished in some high pursuit, calculated to confer a lasting benefit upon his country or on human kind.

V. The Desire of Society. This has been considered by most writers on the subject as a prominent principle of human nature, shewing itself at all periods of life, and in all conditions of civilization. In persons shut up from intercourse with their fellow-men, it has manifested itself in the closest attachment to animals; as if the human mind could not exist without some object on which to exercise the feelings intended to bind man to his fellows. It is found in the union of men in civil society and social intercourse,—in the ties of

friendship, and the still closer union of the domestic circle. It is necessary for the exercise of all the affections; and even our weaknesses require the presence of other men. There would be no enjoyment of rank or wealth, if there were none to admire; and even the misanthrope requires the presence of another to whom his spleen may be uttered. The abuse of this principle leads to the contracted spirit of party.

VI. The Desire of Esteem and Approbation. This is a principle of most extensive influence, and is in many instances the source of worthy and useful displays of human character. Though inferior to the high sense of moral obligation, it may vet be considered a laudable principle.—as when a man seeks the approbation of others by deeds of benevolence, public spirit, or patriotism,-by actions calculated to promote the advantage or the comfort either of communities or individuals. In the healthy exercise of it, a man desires the approbation of the good ;-in the distorted use of it, he seeks merely the praise of a party, or perhaps, by deeds of a frivolous or even vicious character, aims at the applause of associates whose praise is worthless. According to the object to which it is directed, therefore, the desire of approbation may be the attribute either of a virtuous or a perverted mind. But it is a principle which, in general, we expect to find operating, in every well-regulated mind, under certain restrictions. Thus a man who is totally regardless of character,-that is, of the opinion of all others respecting his conduct, we commonly consider as a person lost to correct virtuous feeling. On the other hand, however, there may be instances in which it is the quality of a man of the greatest mind to pursue some course to which, from adequate motives, he has devoted himself, regardless alike of the praise or the disapprobation of other men. The character in which the love of approbation is a ruling principle is therefore modified by the direction of it. To desire the approbation of the virtuous, leads to conduct of a corresponding kind, and to steadiness and consistency in such conduct. To seek the approbation of the vicious, leads, of course, to an opposite character. But there is a third modification, presenting a subject of some interest, in which the prevailing principle of the man is a general love of approbation, without any discrimination of the characters of those whose praise is sought, or

of the value of the qualities on account of which he seeks it. This is vanity; and it produces a conduct wavering and inconsistent,—perpetually changing with the circumstances in which the individual is placed. It often leads him to aim at admiration for distinctions of a very trivial character,—or even for qualities which he does not really possess. It thus includes the love of flattery. Pride, on the other hand, as opposed to vanity, seems to consist in a man entertaining a high opinion of himself, while he is indifferent to the opinion of others;—thus we speak of a man who is too proud to be vain.

Our regard to the opinion of others is the origin of our respect to character, in matters which do not come under the higher principle of morals; and is of extensive influence in promoting the harmonies, proprieties, and decencies of society. It is thus the foundation of good breeding, and leads to kindness and accomodation in little matters which do not belong to the class of duties. It is also the source of what we usually call decorum and propriety, which lead a man to conduct himself in a manner becoming his character and circumstances, in regard to things which do not involve any higher principle. For, apart entirely from any consideration either of morality or benevolence, there is a certain line of conduct which is unbecoming in all men; and there is conduct which is becoming in some, though it might not in other men, and in some circumstances, though it might not be so in others. It is unnecessary to add, how much of a man's respectability in life often depends upon finding his way, with proper discrimination, through the relations of society which are amenable to this principle; or, by how many actions which are not really wrong, a man may render himself despised and ridiculous. The love of esteem and approbation is also of extensive influence in the young,-both in the conduct of education and the cultivation of general character; and it is not liable to the objections, formerly referred to, which apply to the principle of Emulation. It leads also to those numerous expedients by which persons of various character seek for themselves notoriety or a name; or desire to leave a reputation behind them, when they are no more. This is the love of posthumous fame, a subject which has afforded an extensive theme both for the philosopher and

the humorist.

VII. The Desire of Knowledge, or of Intellectual Acquirement,-including the principle of Curiosity. The tendency of this high principle must depend, as in the former cases, on its regulation, and the objects to which it is directed. These may vary from the idle tattle of the day, to the highest attainments in literature or science. The principle may be applied to pursuits of a frivolous or useless kind, and to such acquirements as lead only to pedantry or sophism;or it may be directed to a desultory application, which leads to a superficial acquaintance with a variety of subjects, without a correct knowledge of any of them. On the other hand, the pursuit of knowledge may be allowed to interfere with important duties which we owe to others, in the particular situation in which we are placed. A well-regulated judgment conducts the propensity to worthy objects; and directs it in such a manner as to make it most useful to others. With such due regulations, the principle ought to be carefully cultivated in the young. It is closely connected with that activity of mind which seeks for knowledge on every subject that comes within its reach, and which is ever on the watch to make its knowledge more correct and more extensive.

VIII. The Desire of Moral Improvement. This leads to the highest state of man; and it bears this peculiar character, that it is adapted to men in every scale of society, and tends to diffuse a beneficial influence around the circle with which the individual is connected. The desire of power may exist in many, but its gratification is limited to a few :- he who fails may become a discontented misanthrope; and he who succeeds may be a scourge to his species. The desire of superiority or of praise may be misdirected in the same manner, leading to insolent triumph on the one hand, and envy on the other. Even the thirst for knowledge may be abused, and many are placed in circumstances in which it cannot be gratified. But the desire of moral improvement commends itself to every class of society, and its object is attainable by all. In proportion to its intensity and its steadiness, it tends to make the possessor both a happier and a better man, and to render him the instrument of diffusing happiness and usefulness to all who come within the reach of his influence. If he be in a superior station, these results will be felt more extensively; if he be in a humble sphere, they may be more limited; but their nature is the same, and their tendency is equally to elevate the character of man. This mental condition consists, as we shall afterwards have occasion to shew more particularly, in a habitual recognition of the supreme authority of conscience over the whole intellectual and moral system, and in a habitual effort to have every desire and every affection regulated by the moral principle and by a sense of the divine will. It leads to a uniformity of character which can never flow from any lower source, and to a conduct distinguished by the anxious discharge of every duty, and the

practice of the most active benevolence.

The Emotions which have been now briefly mentioned seem to include the more important of those which pertain to the class of desires. There is, however, another principle which ought to be mentioned as a leading peculiarity of human nature, though it may be somewhat difficult to determine the class to which it belongs. This is the Desire of Action,the restless activity of mind, which leads it to require some object on which its powers must be exercised, and without which it prevs upon itself and becomes miserable. On this principle we are to explain several facts which are of frequent observation. A person accustomed to a life of activity longs for ease and retirement, and, when he has accomplished his purpose, finds himself wretched. The frivolous engagements of the unoccupied are referable to the same principle. They arise, not from any interest which such occupations really possess, but simply from the desire of mental excitement,-the felicity of having something to do. The pleasure of relaxation, indeed, is known to those only who have regular and interesting employment. Continued relaxation soon becomes a weariness; and, on this ground, we may safely assert, that the greatest degree of real enjoyment belongs, not to the luxurious man of wealth, or the listless votary of fashion, but to the middle classes of society, who, along with the comforts of life, have constant and important occupation. Apart, indeed, from actual suffering, I believe there is nothing in the external circumstances of individuals, of greater or more

11

0

0

1

h

n

habitual importance for promoting personal happiness, than stated, rational, and interesting employment.

The mental condition which we call DESIRE, appears to lie in a great measure at the foundation of character; -and, for a sound moral condition, it is required that the desires be directed to worthy objects, - and that the degree or strength of them be accommodated to the true and relative value of each of these objects. If the desires are thus directed, worthy conduct will be likely to follow in a steady and uniform manner. If they are allowed to break from the restraints of reason and the moral principle, the man is left at the mercy of unhallowed passion, and is liable to those irregularities which naturally result from such a derangement of the moral feelings. If, indeed, we would see the evils produced by desire, when not thus controlled, we have only to look at the whole history of human kind. accumulated miseries arise from the want of due regulation of the animal propensities, in the various forms in which it degrades the character of rational and moral beings.—What evils spring from the love of money, and from the desire of power; -from the contests of rivals, and the tumults of party, -what envy, hatred, malignity and revenge. -What complicated wretchedness follows the train of ambition, -contempt of human suffering, countries depopulated, and fields deluged with blood. Such are the results of desire, when not directed to objects worthy of a moral being, and not kept under the rigid control of conscience, and the immutable laws of moral rectitude. When, in any of these forms, a sensual or selfish propensity is allowed to pass the due boundary which is fixed for it by reason and the moral principle, the mental harmony is destroyed, and even the judgment itself comes to be impaired and distorted in that highest of all inquiries, the search after moral truth.

The desires, indeed, may exist in an ill-regulated state, while the conduct is yet restrained by various principles, such as submission to human laws, a regard to character, or even a certain feeling of what is morally right, contending with the vitiated principle within. But this cannot be considered as the healthy condition of a moral being. It is only when the desire itself is sound, that we can say the man is in moral

health. "He who grieves at his abstinence," says Aristotle, " is a voluptuary;"—and this also is the great principle so often and so strikingly enforced in the sacred writings, "Keep thy heart with all diligence, because out of it are the issues of life." " Blessed are the pure in heart, for they shall see God." Thus, there are desires which are folly, and there are desires which are vice, even though they should not be followed by indulgence; and there are desires which tend to purify and elevate the moral nature, though their objects should be beyond the reach of our full attainment in the present state of being. Perfect moral purity is not the lot of man in this transient state, and is not to be attained by his own unaided efforts. But, subservient to it is that warfare within, that earnest and habitual desire after the perfection of a moral being, which is felt to be the great object of life, when it is viewed in relation to the life which is to come. For this attainment, however, man must feel his total inadequacy, and the utmost efforts of human reason have failed in unfolding the requisite aid. The conviction is thus forced upon us, that a higher influence is necessary, and this influence is fully disclosed by the light of revealed truth. We are there taught to look for a power from on high, capable of effecting what human efforts cannot accomplish—the purification of the heart.

# SECT. II.

## THE AFFECTIONS.

As the Desires are calculated to bring some gratification to ourselves, the Affections lead us to our relations to other men, and to a certain line of conduct which arises out of these relations. They are to be viewed as original principles of our nature, planted in us for wise purposes, and the operation of them is to be considered as distinct, both from that of the moral principle and of reason,—that is, from any sense of duty or the moral rectitude of the conduct to which they lead, and from any calculation of its propriety and utility.

Thus, when the mother devotes her attention by day and night to her infant, if from sickness or helplessness in want of her special care, and preseveres in doing so, with total disregard to her own ease, health, or comfort, she is not influenced either by a sense of duty, or by any feeling of the utility of her conduct: she acts upon an impulse within, which she feels to be a part of her constitution, and which carries her forward in a particular course of anxious and protracted exertion by the power of itself alone. This distinction appears to be of the utmost practical importance, and we shall have occasion to refer to it more particularly in the sequel.

An Affection, therefore, may be considered as an original feeling or emotion existing in ourselves, which leads us to a particular conduct towards other men, without reference to any principle except the intuitive impulse of the emotion itself. The Affections have been divided into the Benevolent and Malevolent: but these titles appear to be incorrect, especially the latter,—as the due exercise of the emotions to which it refers does not properly include what iscalled malevolence. They only tend to guard us against certain conduct in other men; and, when they are allowed to go beyond this, that is, to actual malevolence or revenge, the application is morbid. It will therefore accord better with the nature of these emotions, to give them the names of Uniting, and Defensive Affections ;-the former including justice, benevolence, veracity, friendship, love, gratitude, patriotism, and the domestic affections; the latter, jealousy, disapprobation, and anger.

## I. JUSTICE.

There may be some difference of opinion in regard to the propriety of including justice among the affections; but it seems to be more nearly allied to them than to any of the other classes of moral emotions which have been mentioned, and it may, therefore, as a mere matter of arrangement, be conveniently introduced here. Strictly speaking, it might perhaps be considered as a combined operation of an affection and the moral principle; but this is matter of speculation alone. The important consideration relating to it is,—that,

in whatever manner it arises, the sense of Justice is a primary and essential part of our moral constitution, conveying the distinct impression of certain conduct which a man owes to his fellow-men, without regard to any considerations of a personal nature, and apart from all positive enactments or laws, either divine or human. The requirements of Justice embrace certain points in which every man has an absolute right, and in regard to which it is the absolute duty of every other man not to interfere with him. These rights have usually been divided into three classes ; what I have a right to possess, and no man has any right to take from me,-what I have a right to do, and no man has any title to prevent me from doing, what I have a right to expect from other men, and it is their absolute duty to perform. These principles form the basis of what is called Natural Jurisprudence, a code of relative duty deriving its authority from impressions which are found in the moral feelings of all mankind, without regard to the enactments of any particular civil society. In the actual arrangements of civil communities, these great principles of justice are combined with others which are derived merely from utility or expediency, as calculated to promote the peace or the advantage of the community. These may differ in different countries, and they cease to be binding when the enactments on which they rest are abrogated or changed. But no difference of place can alter, and no laws can destroy, the essential requirements of justice. The sautory seeds

In these observations, it will be remarked, the word Justice is used as expressing a principle of individual character; and it is in this sense that it is to be properly classed with the affections. The term is employed in another sense, namely, that of distributive and corrective justice, which regulates the claims of individuals in a community, requires restitution or compensation for any deviation from such claims, or punishes those who have violated them. It is in the former sense that justice is properly to be considered as a branch of the philosophy of the moral feelings; but the same general principles

apply to both.

The sense of Justice, therefore, consists in a feeling experienced by every man, of a certain line of conduct which he owes to other men in give a circumstances; and this seems to be referable to the following heads,—attending to their interest,-not interfering with their freedom of action,-preserving their reputation—estimating their character and motives,-judging of their opinions,-consulting their feelings,—and preserving or improving their moral condition. As a guide for his conduct in particular instances, a man has usually a distinct impression of what he thinks due by other men towards himself; justice requires that he rigidly extend to others the same feelings and conduct which, in similar circumstances, he expects from them.

Justice is due to the persons, property, and interest of others. This constitutes Integrity or Honesty. It, of course, implies abstaining from every kind of injury, and preserving a conscientious regard to their rights. In this last respect, it allows us to exercise a prudent attention to our own interest, provided the means be fair and honourable, and that we carefully abstain from injuring others by the measures we employ for this purpose. The great rule for our guidance, in all such cases, is found in the immutable principles of moral rectitude: the test of our conduct in regard to individual instances is, that it be such as, were our own interest concerned, we should think fair and honourable in other men.

(2.) Justice requires us not to interfere with the freedom of action of others. This constitutes personal liberty ;-but in all civil communities the right is liable to certain restrictions; —as when a man uses his freedom of action to the danger or injury of other men. The principles of justice may also recognise a man's surrendering, to a certain extent, his personal liberty, by mutual and voluntary compact, as in the case of servants, apprentices, soldiers, &c.; but they are opposed to slavery, in which the individual concerned is not a Africal material and a

party to the arrangement.

(3.) Justice enjoins a regard to the reputation of others. This consists in avoiding every thing that could be injurious to their good name, either by direct evil speaking, or such insinuations as might give rise to suspicion or prejudice against them. It must extend also to the counteracting of such insinuations, when we hear them made by others, especially in circumstances in which the individual injured has no opportunity of defending himself. It includes, farther, that we do not deny to others, even to rivals, any praise or credit which is justly due to them. There is, however, one modification, equally consistent with justice, to which the former of these rules is liable; namely, that, in certain cases, we may be required to make a statement prejudicial to an individual, when duty to a third party, or to the public makes it incumbent on us to do so. In such a case, a person guided by the rules of justice will go no farther than is actually required by the circumstances; and will at all times beware of propagating a report injurious to another, though he should know it to be strictly true, unless he is

called upon by special duty to communicate it.

(4.) Justice requires us not only to avoid injuring an individual in the estimation of other men, but to exercise the same fairness in forming our own opinion of his character. without being misled or biassed by passion or prejudice. This consists in estimating his conduct and motives with calmness and impartiality; in regard to particular instances. making full allowance for the circumstances in which he was placed, and the feelings by which he was, or might be, at the time, naturally influenced. When an action admits of being referred to different motives, justice consists in taking the more favourable view, if we can do so with strict regard to truth, instead of harshly and hastily assigning a motive which is unworthy. Such justice in regard to character and motives we require to exercise with peculiar care, when the conduct referred to has been in any way opposed to our own self-love. In these cases we must be especially on our guard against the influence of the selfish principle, which might lead to partial and distorted views of actions and motives, less favourable to others, and more favourable to ourselves, than justice warrants. When viewed in this manner, we may often perceive, that conduct, which gave rise to emotions of displeasure as injurious to us, was fully warranted by some conduct on our own part, or was required by some higher duty which the individual owed to another.

(5.) Justice is to be exercised in judging of the opinions and statements of others. This constitutes Candour. It consists in giving a fair and deliberate hearing to their opinions, statements, and arguments, and weighing fairly and honestly their tendency. It is, therefore, opposed to prejudice, blind attachment to preconceived opinions, and that narrow disputations spirit which delights in captious criticism.

and will hear nothing with calmness that is opposed to its own views; which distorts or misrepresents the sentiments of its opponents, ascribing them to unworthy motives, or deducing from them conclusions which they do not warrant. Candour, accordingly, may be considered as a compound of justice and the love of truth. It leads us to give due attention to the opinions and statements of others,—in all cases to be chiefly solicitous to discover truth, and, in statements of a mixed character, containing perhaps much error and fallacy, anxiously to discover and separate what is true. It has accordingly been remarked, that a turn for acute disputation, and minute and rigid criticism, is often the characteristic of a contracted and prejudiced mind; and that the most enlarged understandings are always the most indulgent to the statements of others,—their leading object being to discover truth.

6. Justice is due to the feelings of others; and this applies to many circumstances which do not affect either their interest or their reputation. Without injuring them in any of these respects, or in our own good opinion, we may behave to them in such a manner as to wound their feelings. There are minds of an extreme delicacy, which, in this respeet, are peculiarly sensitive; -towards these a person of correct feelings strives to conduct himself with suitable tenderness. We may find, however, persons of honest and upright minds, who would shrink from the least approach to real injury, but yet neglect the necessary attention to the feelings; and may even confer a real benefit in such a manner as to wound the individual to whom they intended kindness. The lower degrees of this principle pertain to what is called mere good breeding, which has been defined "benevolence in trifles;" but the higher degrees may restrain from conduct which, without any real injury, inflicts permanent pain. To this head we may perhaps also refer a due regard to the estimate which we lead a man to form of himself. This is opposed to flattery on the one hand, and on the other to any unnecessary depreciation of his character. Flattery indeed is also to be considered as a violation of veracity.

(7.) While, upon the principles which have been referred to, we abstain from injuring the interests, the reputation, or the feelings of others, there is another class of injuries, of still higher magnitude, which the conscientious mind will

avoid with peculiar anxiety, namely, injuries done to the moral principles of other men. These form a class of offences of which no human law takes any adequate cognizance; but we know that they possess a character of the deepest malignity. Deep guilt attaches to the man who, by persuasion or ridicule, has unhinged the moral feelings of another, or has been the means of leading him astray from the paths of virtue. Of equal, or even greater malignity, is the aspect of the writer, whose works have contributed to violate the principles of truth and rectitude,—to pollute the imagination, or corrupt the heart. Inferior offenders are promptly seized by public authority, and suffer the award of public justice; but the destroyer of the moral being often walks securely through his own scene of moral discipline, as if no power could reach the measure of his guilt but the hand of the Eternal.

To the same head we are to assign the extensive and important influence of example. There are few men who have not in this respect some power, but it belongs more particularly to persons in situations of rank and public eminence. It is matter of deep regret, both to the friend of virtue and the friend of his country, when any of these are found manifesting disregard to sacred things, or giving an air of fashion to what is calculated to corrupt the moral principles of the unthinking classes of society. If they are restrained by no higher motive, the feelings of patriotism, and even of personal safety, ought to produce a solemn caution; and it becomes them seriously to consider, whether they may not thus be sowing among the ignorant multitude the seeds of tumult, revolution, and anarchy.

#### II. COMPASSION AND BENEVOLENCE.

water me the second and a second seco

Great diversity exists in the condition of different individuals in the present state,—some being in circumstances of ease, wealth, and comfort,—others of pain, deprivation, and sorrow. Such diversities we must consider as an arrangement established by the great disposer of all things, and calculated to promote important purposes in his moral government. Many of these purposes are entirely beyond

the reach of our faculties; but, as holding a prominent place among them, we may safely reckon the cultivation of our moral feelings, especially the affections of compassion and benevolence. The due exercise of these is, therefore. calculated to promote a double object, namely, the alleviation of distress in others,—and the cultivation in ourselves of a mental condition peculiarly adapted to a state of moral discipline. By bringing us into contact with individuals in various forms and degrees of suffering, they tend continually to remind us, that the present scene is but the infancy of our existence,—that the beings whom we thus contemplate are the children of the same Almighty Father with ourselves, inheriting the same nature, possessed of the same feelings, and soon to enter upon another state of existence, when all the distinctions which are to be found in this world shall cease for ever. They tend thus to withdraw us from the power of self-love, and the deluding influence of present things; and habitually to raise our views to that future life, for which the present is intended to prepare us. The due cultivation of the benevolent affections, therefore, is not properly to be considered as a source of moral approbation, but rather as a process of moral culture. They may enable us in some degree to benefit others, but their chief benefit is to ourselves. By neglecting them, we both incur much guilt, and deprive ourselves of an important mean of improvement. The diligent exercise of them, besides being a source of moral advantage, is accompanied with a degree of mental enjoyment which carries with it its own reward. Such appears to be the correct view which we ought to take of the arrangement established by the Creator in this part of our constitution. It is calculated to correct a misconception of an important kind, which considers the exercise of the benevolent affections as possessing a character of merit. To this subject we shall have occasion to refer more particularly in the sequel.

The exercise of the benevolent affections may be briefly treated of, under nearly the same heads as those referred to when considering the principle of justice;—keeping in mind that they lead to greater exertion for the benefit of others, and thus often demand a greater sacrifice of self-love, than is included under the mere requirements of justice. On the other hand, benevolence is not to be exercised at the expense of Justice;

as would be the case, if a man were found relieving distress by such expedients as involve the necessity of withholding the payment of just debts, or imply the neglector infringement of

some duty which he owes to another.

(1.) Compassion and benevolent exertion are due towards alleviating the distresses of others. This exercise of them, in many instances, calls for a decided sacrifice of personal interest, and, in others, for considerable personal exertion. We feel our way to the proper measure of these sacrifices, by the high principle of moral duty, along with that mental exercise which places us in the situation of others, and, by a kind of reflected self-love, judges of the conduct due by us to them in our respective circumstances.-The details of this subject would lead us into a field too extensive for our present purpose. Pecuniary aid, by those who have the means, is the most easy form in which benevolence can be gratified, and that which often requires the least, if any, sacrifice of personal comfort or self-love. The same affection may be exercised in a degree much higher in itself, and often much more useful to others, by personal exertion and personal kindness. The former, compared with the means of the individual, may present a mere mockery of mercy; while the latter, even in the lowest walks of life, often exhibit the brightest displays of active usefulness that can adorn the human character. This high and pure benevolence not only is dispensed with willingness, when occasions present themselves; but seeks out opportunities for itself, and feels in want of its natural and healthy exercise when deprived of an object on which it may be bestowed.

(2.) Benevolence is to be exercised towards the reputation of others. This consists not only in avoiding any injury to their characters, but in exertions to protect them against the injustice of others,—to correct misrepresentations,—to check the course of slander, and to obviate the efforts of those who would poison the confidence of friends, or disturb the harmony

of society.

(3.) Benevolence is to be exercised towards the character and conduct of others; especially when these have been in opposition to our personal interest or self-love. This consists in viewing their conduct with indulgence and forbearance, assigning the most favourable motives,—and making every allowance for their feelings, and the circumstances in which

they were placed. It leads us also to avoid all suspicions and jealousies which are not clearly justified by fact; and to abstain to the utmost from taking offence,—by putting upon the conduct of others the best construction of which it will possibly admit. It extends still farther to the actual forgiveness of injuries, and the repaying of evil with good,—a conduct represented in the sacred writings as one of the highest attainments the human character can reach, in so far as regards its relation to other men.

(4.) Benevolence is to be exercised towards the feelings of others; and this applies to many situations in which neither their interest nor their character is concerned. It includes those exercises of the kindly affections which produce so powerful an influence in all the relations of life, but which it is impossible for any description to delineate. It comprehends all our social and civil connexions, but seems peculiarly to belong to our intercourse with inferiors and dependants. Its most anxious exercise may often relate merely to trifles, but it. extends to innumerable circumstances in which we may surrender our own feelings to those of others. It implies solicitude to avoid wounding the feelings by pride, selfishness, or fretfulness, -by suspicions, imputations, and jealousies, or by allowing insignificant things to ruffle the temper and derange the social comfort. Many, who are not deficient in what we usually call deeds of benevolence, are too apt to forget, that a most important exercise of true benevolence consists in the habitual cultivation of courtesy, gentleness, and kindness; and that on these dispositions often depends our influence upon the comfort and happiness of others, in a greater degree than on any deeds of actual beneficence. To this department, also, we may refer the high character of the peace-maker, whose delight it is to allay angry feelings, even when he is in no degree personally interested, and to bring together as friends and brethren, those who have assumed the attitude of hatred and

revenge.

(5.) Benevolence is to be exercised in regard to the Moral Degradation of others, including their ignorance and vice. This prevents us from deriving satisfaction from moral evil, even though it should contribute to our advantage, as might often happen from the misconduct of rivals or enemies. It implies also that highest species of usefulness which aims at

raising the moral condition of man,—by instructing the ignorant, rescuing the unwary, and reclaiming the vicious. This exulted benevolence will therefore also seek to extend the light of divine truth to nations that sit in moral darkness; and looks anxiously for the period when the knowledge of Christianity shall dispel every false faith, and put an end to the horrors of superstition.

#### III. VERACITY.

In our own mental impressions relating to veracity, we have a striking illustration of the manner in which we rely on this class of moral feelings, as instinctive in the constitution of the mind. On a certain confidence in the veracity of mankind is founded so much of the knowledge on which we constantly depend, that, without it, the whole system of human things would go into confusion. It relates to all the intelligence which we derive from any other source than our own personal observation :- for example, to all that we receive through the historian, the traveller, the naturalist, or the astronomer. Even in regard to the most common events of a single day. we often proceed on a confidence in the veracity of a great variety of individuals. There is, indeed, a natural tendency to truth in all men, unless when this principle is overcome by some strong selfish purpose, to be answered by departing from it :- and there is an equally strong tendency to rely on the veracity of others, until we have learnt certain cautions by our actual experience of mankind. Hence children and inexperienced persons are easily imposed upon by unfounded statements: and the most practised liar confides in the credulity of those whom he attempts to deceive. Deception, indeed, would never accomplish its purpose, if it were not from the impression that men generally speak truth. It is obvious also, that the mutual confidence which men have in each other. both in regard to veracity of statement, and to sincerity of intention respecting engagements, is that which keeps together the whole of civil society. In the transactions of commerce it is indispensable, and without it all the relations of civil life would go into disorder. When treating of the intellectual powers in another work, I considered the principles which

regulate our confidence in human testimony; and it is unnecessary to recur to them in this place. Our present object is briefly to analyze the elements which are essential to veracity, when we view it as a moral emotion, or a branch of individual These appear to be three, -correctness in ascertaining facts, accuracy in relating them, -truth of purpose,

or fidelity in the fulfilment of promises.

(1.) An important element of veracity is correctness in ascertaining facts. This is essential to the Love of Truth. It requires us to exercise the most anxious care respecting every statement which we receive as true; and not to receive it as such, until we are satisfied that the authority on which it is asserted is of a nature on which we can fully rely, and that the statement contains all the facts to which our attention ought to be directed. It consequently guards us against those limited views, by which party spirit or a love of favourite dogmas leads a man to receive the facts which favour a particular opinion, and neglect those which are opposed to it The sound exercise of judgment, which is connected with this love of truth, differs therefore from the art of ingenious disputation, and is often found directly at variance with it. The same principle is applicable to the truths which are derived a deductions from processes of reasoning. It is thus opposed to all sophistical arguments, and partial or distorted reasonings, by which disputants strive to establish particular systems, instead of engaging in an honest and simple inquiry after The love of truth, therefore, is of equal importance in the reception of facts, and in the formation of opinions; and it includes also a readiness to relinquish our own opinions, when new facts or arguments are presented to us which are calculated to overturn them. The practice of this sincere and candid search after truth, on every subject to which the mind may be directed, ought to be cultivated in early life with the most assiduous care. It is a habit of the mind which must exercise a most important influence in the culture both of moral and intellectual character. . .

In the reception of truth, especially on the evidence of restimony, we acquire by experience a degree of caution, arising from having been sometimes deceived. In minds of a certain description, this may be allowed to produce a suspicion with regard to all evidence, -in other words, Scepticism. The want of the necessary and proper caution, again, leads to *Credulity*. It is the part of a well-regulated mind to avoid both these extremes, by attentively weighing the evidence and the character of the witnessess, and giving to each

circumstance its due influence in the conclusion.

(2.) Closely connected with the love of truth in receiving, is the exercise of veracity in the statement of facts, whether derived from our personal observation, or received by testimony from others. It consists not only in the most scrupulous accuracy of relation, but also in giving it in such a manner as to convey a correct impression to the hearer. It is consequently opposed to all those methods by which either a false statement may be made to assume the appearance of truth, or one essentially true may be so related as to convey a

false impression.

Direct fallacy may consist in the alleged facts being absolutely false, or in some of them being so, -in facts being wanting or kept out of view which would give a different import to the whole statement, -or in some of the facts being disguised, distorted, or coloured, so as to alter materially the impression conveyed by them. But, besides such actual fallacy, there are various methods by which a statement literally true may be so related as to convey an erroneous impression. Facts may be connected together in such a manner as to give the appearance of a relation of cause and effect, when they are in truth entirely unconnected ;-or an event may be represented as common which has occurred only in one or two instances. The character of an individual may be assumed from a single act, which, if the truth were known, might be seen to be opposed to his real disposition, and accounted for by the circumstances in which he happened at the time to be placed. Events may be connected together, which were entirely disjoined, and conclusions deduced from this fictitious connexion, which are of course unfounded. Several of these sources of fallacy may be illustrated by a ludicrous example.—A traveller from the Continent has represented the venality of the British House of Commons to be such, that, whenever the minister of the Crown enters the house, there is a general cry for "places.". It may be true that a cry of " places" has gone round the house at certain times, when business was about to commence, or to be resumed after an

interval,-meaning, of course, that members were to take their seats. It is very probable, that, on some occasion, this may have occurred at the moment when the minister entered -so that the statement of the traveller might, in point of fact, be strictly true. The erroneous impression which he endeavours to convey by it, arises from three sources of fallacy. which the anecdote will serve to illustrate, namely,-the falsemeaning he gives to the word employed, -connecting it with the entrance of the minister as cause and effect, -and representing the connexion as uniform which happened to occur in that particular instance. In the same manner it will appear that a false impression may be conveyed respecting the conduct of an individual,-by assigning motives which are entirely imaginary, by connecting things together which have no relation, by keeping out of view circumstances which would afford an explanation of his conduct, -or by attaching to his words a different meaning from that which he intended to convey by them. The common saving, that there are two ways of telling a story, does not therefore refer to what is strictly to be called fabrication or falsehood; but to those distortions or colourings of circumstances, which, however slight in themselves, have the effect of essentially changing the impression made by the whole.

To veracity, under this department, we are also to referthe rule,—of giving to others an honest and fair impression of our views, motives, and intentions. This is Sincerity. It is opposed to hypocrisy, that unworthy display of human character, in which a man disguises his real sentiments, and... on the contrary, professes principles which he neither feels nor values, merely for the purpose of promoting his selfish interests. Such a character exhibits a singular combination of moral delinquencies. It is founded on the lowest selfishness, and includes a departure from veracity and honesty. But besides, it implies a knowledge of virtuous principles and of their proper tendencies, while there is a practical denial of their influence. Sincerity is also opposed to flattery, which tends to give a man a false impression of our opinion, and of our feelings towards him, and likewise leads him to form a false estimate of his own character. It is opposed also to simulation or double-dealing, by which a man, for certain purposes, professes sentiments towards

another which he does not feel, or intentions which he does not entertain.

(3.) The third element of veracity is Truth of Purpose, or fidelity in the fulfilment of promises. This is opposed to actual departure from what was distinctly promised; likewise to all those evasions by which one may convey an impression, or excite the hope, of an intention which he does not mean to fulfil,—or avoid the performance of a real or implied engagement on any other ground than inability to perform it. By this straight-forward integrity of purpose, an individual gives a clear impression of what he honestly intends to perform; and performs it, though circumstances may have occurred to make the fulfilment disagreeable or even injurious to himself:—"he sweareth to his own hurt," says a sacred writer, "and changeth not."

### IV. FRIENDSHIP, LOVE, AND GRATITUDE.

These affections are so nearly allied, that in this slight analysis, they may be taken together. They consist in a personal and peculiar attachment to an individual, founded either upon some qualities in himself, or some benefits he has conferred on us, or on some one in whom we are interested. The feelings and conduct to which they give rise correspond with those referred to under the preceding affections, with this difference, that, in many instances, they lead to a much greater sacrifice of personal interest and comfort, than usually proceeds either from justice or simple benevolence. The exertions arising out of them are directed, according to the division formerly given, to promoting the interest or comfort of the object of our regard, -preserving, defending, or advancing his reputation, -treating his feelings with peculiar tenderness,—and his failings with peculiar indulgence, receiving his opinions with peculiar favour,-and anxiously endeavouring to improve his intellectual and moral condition. This last consideration is justly reckoned the highest office of friendship :- it is to be regretted that its operation is sometimes impeded by another feeling, which leads us to be blind to the failings and deficiencies of those whom we love.-In exercising simple love and friendship, we

rejoice in the advantage and happiness of the object, though they should be accomplished by others,—but, in exercising gratitude, we are not satisfied unless they be effected in some measure by ourselves.

### V. PATRIOTISM.

Patriotism is, perhaps, not properly to be considered as distinct principle of our nature; but rather as a result of combination of the other affections. It leads us, by every means in our power, to promote the peace and the prosperity of our country, -and to discourage, to the utmost of our ability, whatever tends to the contrary. Every member of the community has something in his power in this respect. He may set an example, in his own person, of dutiful and loval respect to the first authority, of strict obedience to the laws, and respectful submission to the institutions of his country. He may oppose the attempts of factions, individuals to sow among the ignorant the seeds of discontent, tumult, or discord. He may oppose and repress attempts to injure the revenue of the state; may aid in the preservation of public tranquillity, and in the execution of public justice. Finally, he may zealously exert himself in increasing the knowledge and improving the moral habits of the people,two of the most important means by which the conscientious man, in any rank of life, may aid in conferring a high and permanent benefit on his country.

#### VI THE DOMESTIC AFFECTIONS.

In this extensive and interesting class are included, conjugal affection,—the parental feelings,—filial reverence,—and the ties of brothers and sisters.—These call forth, in a still higher degree, the feelings and exertions already referred to, and a still greater sacrifice of personal ease, advantage and comfort, in the anxious and diligent discharge of the duties resulting from them. In the conjugal relation, they lead us to the tenderness, the confidence, the mutual forbearance, the united exertions of those, who have one hope, one interest,

and one course of duty. The parental relation implies the highest possible degree of that feeling which studies the advantage of the object of our care,—the promotion of his happiness,—the improvement of his mind,—the culture of his affections,—the formation of his habits;—the anxious watching over the development of his character, both as an intellectual and a moral being. The filial relation requires, in an equal degree, respect, affection, submission, and confidence,—a deference to parental opinion and control; and an impression that those parts of parental management, which may often be disagreeable, are guided by a sincere desire to promote the highest interests of the object of this affectionate

regard.

Among the feelings of our nature "which have less of earth in them than heaven," are those which bind together the domestic circle in the various sympathies, affections, and duties, which belong to this class of tender relations. It is beautiful also to observe, how these affections arise out of each other, and how the right exercise of them tends to their mutual cultivation. - The father ought to consider the son as, of all earthly concerns, the highest object of his anxious care ;-and should watch over the development of his intellectual character, and the culture of his moral feelings. In the zealous prosecution of this great purpose, he should study to convey a clear impression, that he is influenced purely by a feeling of solemn responsibility, and an anxious desire to promote the highest interests. When parental watchfulness is thus mingled with confidence and kindness, the son will naturally learn to estimate alike the conduct itself, and the principles from which it sprung, and will look to the faithful parent as his safest guide and counsellor, and most valued earthly friend. If we extend the same principles to the relation between the mother and the daughter, they apply with equal or even greater force. In the arrangements of society, these are thrown more constantly into each other's company; and that watchful superintendence may be still more habitually exercised, which, along with the great concern of cultivating the intellectual and moral being, neglects not those graces and delicacies which belong peculiarly to the female character. It is not by direct instruction alone, that, in such a domestic circle, the highest principles

and best feelings of our nature are cultivated in the minds of the young. It is by the actual exhibition of the principles themselves, and a uniform recognition of their supreme importance;—it is by a parental conduct, steadily manifesting the conviction, that, with every proper attention to the acquirements, the accomplishments, and the comforts of life, the chief concern of moral beings relates to the life which is to come. A domestic society, bound together by these principles, can retire, as it were, from the haunts of men, and retreat within a sanctuary where the storms of the world cannot enter.—When thus met together in the interchange of mutual affection and mutual confidence, they present the anticipation of that period, when, after the tunults of life are over, they shall meet again, "no wanderer lost, a family in heaven."



### THE DEFENSIVE AFFECTIONS.

The feelings of jealousy, anger, and resentment, are, not less than the other affections, to be considered as part of our moral constitution; and they are calculated to answer important purposes, provided they are kept under the strice. control of reason and the moral principle. Their proper object is primarily a sense of blamable conduct in others, and they lead us to use proper measures for protecting ourselves against such conduct. While we thus disapprove of the character and conduct of men in certain circumstances, we are led, by our feelings of justice and benevolence, to take part with the injured and oppressed against the oppressors, -or to protect those who are threatened with injuries, by measures for defeating the schemes of their enemies. still more refined exercise of this class of feelings leads us to seek the reformation of the offender, and to convert him from an enemy into a friend.

Resentment, in cases which concern the public peace, naturally leads to the infliction of punishment; the object of which is to prevent similar conduct in others, not to gratify personal vengeance. Hence it is required to be done in a public manner,—with proper deliberation and coolness,—and with an exact adaptation of the penalty to the offence,

and to the object to be attained. The person injured is not likely to do this with the requisite impartiality and candour; for we are apt to feel too deeply injuries offered to ourselves, and not to make the proper allowance for the feelings of others, and the circumstances which led to the offence. The higher degrees, indeed, of these tendencies usually go together,—they, who are most susceptible of offences, and most irritable under them, being generally least inclined to make allowances for others. Hence, in all cases, our disapprobation of personal vengeance, or of a man taking the law into his own hands;—and our perfect sympathy with the protectors of the public peace, when they dispassionately investigate a case of injury, and calmly adapt their measures to the real object to be attained by them,—the protection of the community.

The defensive affections are exercised in an unwarranted manner, when they are allowed to be excited by trifling causes; when they are, in degree, disproportioned to the offence, or prolonged in a manner which it did not require; and when they lead, in any measure, to retaliation or revenge. The sound exercise of them, therefore, is opposed to that iraseibility which takes fire on trivial occasions, or without due consideration of the intentions of the agent, or the circumstances in which he was placed,—to a disposition to resentment on occasions which do not warrant it,—and, on all occasions to harbouring the feeling after the offence and all its consequences have passed over:

Before concluding the subject of the affections, there are three points respecting them which remain to be mentioned as briefly as possible,—the influence of Attention, combined with a certain act of Imagination,—the influence of Habit,—and the estimate of the feeling of Moral Approbation which the exercise of the affections is calculated to produce.

Market and the first the state of the state

I. In every exercise of the affections, a most important influence is produced by Attention, aided by a certain act of imagination. This consists in directing the mind intensely and habitually to all the considerations which ought to guide

ar

of

th

in

ir

ar

15

p

P

c

a

2

us in the particular relation to which the affection refers. It leads us to place ourselves in the situation of others, and, with a kind of personal, or almost selfish interest, to enter into their wants, their anxieties and their feelings; and thus, in their place, to judge of the emotions and the conduct which are due from us to them. Such is the exercise of one who wishes to follow the great rule of doing to others as he would that they should do to him. He is not satisfied with the merely decent discharge of the duties which arise from the affections, but studies intensely the requirements which attach to his particular situation, -searches out the individuals towards whom they ought to be exercised, -and enters into their condition and their feelings with minute and tender Many who shew no want of friendly and benevolent affection, when an individual case is strongly brought before them, are deficient in the kind of exercise which would lead them, in this manner, to find their way to that correct exercise of the affections which really belongs to a scene of moral discipline. Such an exercise is adapted to every situation in life, and tends to guard a man, in his various relations, against the hindrances which indolence, self-love, and pure inattention are apt to bring in the way of his peculiar duties,—and of his discharging them with due regard to the feelings of others.

This mental exercise, of extensive application to the benevolent affections, constitutes what is usually called Sympathy. It is composed of an act of Imagination and Self-love, by which we transfer ourselves, as it were, into the situation of other men, and thereby regulate our conduct towards them. It is however to be kept in mind, that the principle of selflove, thus brought into action, is the test, not the rule of our conduct. This is a point on which there has been much vague and useless speculation; and, from not attending to the distinction, some have referred our ideas of benevolence entirely to the principle of selfishness. Such discussions are equally unsound and unprofitable, and are to be placed on a footing with the speculations of the scholastic philosophy, which we now look back upon merely as matters of historical curiosity. The application of self-love, in the manner which has been referred to, is chiefly useful in enabling us fully to appreciate the facts of the individual case, as we would do if

we were personally interested. The rule of our conduct is quite distinct from this, and rests on those fundamental principles of justice and compassion which form a part of our moral constitution. In the practical application of them, they are very much aided by the moral principle or conscience.

The man who acts habitually under the influence of these rules, learns to question himself rigidly respecting the claims and duties which result from his moral relations; and the feelings and circumstances of those with whom they bring him into contact. What, (he asks himself,) is the line of action which belongs to me in regard to that individual, -what are his feelings in his present situation; what are the feelings and conduct which he expects from me, -and what are those which I would expect from him were I in his circumstances and he in mine? It is not a due regulation of the affections alone that arises from this wholesome state of mental discipline. It is a moral culture to the mind itself, which may often be fraught with the most important results. For the man who exercises it realizes to himself the feelings of poverty,-the agonies of bereavement, the impressions of the bed of death :- and thus, without the pain of suffering, he may reap a portion of those important moral benefits which suffering is calculated to yield.

There is another view still to be taken of the advantages derived from that mental discipline which consists in attention to all the relations included under the affections. When habitually exercised, it may often bring before the mind important circumstances in our moral relations, which are apt to make an inadequate impression amid the distractions of present things. When the parent, for example, looks around the objects of his tender affection, what a new impulse is communicated by the thought, that the present life is but the infancy of their being; and that his chief and highest concern is to train them for immortality. A similar impulse must be given to the philanthropist, when he considers that the individuals, who share his benevolent attentions, are, like himself. passing through a scene of discipline to a higher state of existence, where they will assume a place corresponding to their rank in the scale of moral beings. The refined philanthropy thus arising, while it neglects no proper attention to the dis3

at

of

tl

il

ir

a

tl

i

p

tresses of the present life, will seek chiefly to contend with those greater evils which degrade the moral nature, and sever the immortal spirit from its God. He, who judges upon this extended principle, will learn to form a new estimate of the condition of man. Amid the pride of wealth and the splendour of power, he may mourn over a being lost to every feeling of his high destiny; and, by the death-bed of the peasant, amid discomfort and suffering, he may contemplate with interest a purified spirit rising to immortality.

II. Next to the power of attention, we have to notice the influence produced upon the affections by Habit. This is founded upon a principle of our nature, by which a remarkable relation exists between the affections and the actions which arise out of them. The tendency of all emotions is to become weaker by repetition, or to be less acutely felt the oftener they are experienced. The tendency of actions, again, as we have seen when treating of the Intellectual Powers, is to become easier by repetition,—so that those, which at first require close and continued attention, come to be performed without effort, and almost without consciousness. Now an affection properly consists of an emotion; leading to an action; and the natural progress of the mind, in the proper exercise of the affection, is, that the emotion becomes less acutely felt, as the action becomes easier and more familiar.-Thus, a scene of wretchedness, or a tale of sorrow, will produce in the inexperienced an intensity of emotion not felt by him whose life has been devoted to deeds of mercy: and a superficial observer is apt to consider the condition of the latter as one of insensibility, produced by familiarity with scenes of distress. It is, on the contrary, that healthy and natural progress of the mind, in which the emotion is gradually diminished in force as it is followed by its proper actions,—that is, as the mere intensity of feeling is exchanged for the habit of active benevolence. But that this may take place in the sound and healthy manner, the emotion must be steadily followed by the action which belongs to it. If this be neglected, the harmony of the moral process is destroyed, and, as the emotion becomes weakened, it is succeeded by cold insensibility or barren selfishness.

This is a subject of much importance,—and there are two conclusions which arise out of it respecting the cultiva-

tion of the benevolent affections. The one relates to the bad effects of fictitious scenes of sorrow, as represented on the stage, or in works of fancy. The evil arising from these appears to be that which has now been referred to ;-the emotion is produced without the corresponding action, and the consequence is likely to be a cold and useless sentimentalism, instead of a sound cultivation of the benevolent affections.-The second is,-that, in cultivating the benevolent affections in the young, we should be careful to observe the process so clearly pointed out by the philosophy of the moral feelings. They should be familiarized with actual scenes of suffering, but this ought to be accompanied by deeds of minute and active kindness, so as to produce a full and lively impression of the wants and feelings of the sufferer. On this ground, also, I think we should at first even abstain, in a great measure, from giving young persons the cautions they will afterwards find so requisite, respecting the characters of the objects of their benevolence, and the impositions so frequently practised by the poor. Suspicions of this kind might tend to interfere with the important moral process which ought to be our first object,-the necessary cautions will afterwards be learned with little difficulty.

The best mode of contending with the evils of pauperism, on the principles of political economy, is a problem on which I presume not to enter. But, on the principles of moral science, a consideration of the utmost importance should never be forgotten,—the great end to be answered by the varieties of human condition in the cultivation of the benevolent affections. Political science passes its proper boundary, when it is permitted in any degree to interfere with this high principle;—and, on the other hand, it is not to be denied, that this important purpose is in a great measure frustrated by many of those institutions, which cut off the direct intercourse of the prosperous and the wealthy with those whom providence has committed to them, in this scene of moral discipline, as

the objects of their benevolent care.

III. The third point, which remains to be briefly mentioned, is the feeling of moral approbation, or rather the impression of merit, which is frequently attached to the exercise of the affections. This important subject has been already referred to. When the mother, with total disregard to her health

ai of the till it is the till it is

3

and comfort, devotes herself to watching over her child, she is not influenced by any sense of duty, nor do we attach to her conduct the feeling of moral approbation. She acts simply upon an impulse within, which she perceives to be a part of her constitution, and which carries her forward with unshrinking firmness in a particular course of laborious and auxious service. She may, indeed, be sensible that the violation of these feelings would expose her to the reprobation of her kind; but she does not imagine that the zealous fulfilment of them entitles her to any special praise. The same principle applies to all the affections. They are a part of our moral constitution, intended to bind men together by certain offices of justice, friendship, and compassion; and have been well named by a distinguished writer, "the voice of God within us." They serve a purpose in our moral economy analogous to that which the appetites answer in our physical system. The appetite of hunger, for example, ensures a regular supply of nourishment, in a manner which could never have been provided for by any process of reasoning; though an exercise of reason is still applicable to preserving over it a certain regulation and control. In the same manner, the various feelings of our moral nature have each a defined purpose to answer, both in respect to our own mental economy and our relations to our fellowmen; and in the due exercise of them they ought to be controlled and regulated by the moral principle. The violation of these feelings, therefore, places man below the level of a moral being; but the performance of them does not entitle him to assume the claim of merit. He is merely bearing his part in a certain arrangement, from which he is himself to derive benefit, as a being holding a place in that system of things which these feelings are intended to keep together in harmony and order. In regard to the great principles of veracity and justice, every one perceives this to be true. In all mercantile transactions, for example, a character for high honour and integrity leads not only to respect, but to that confidence which is closely connected with prosperity :- These qualities, indeed, are as essential to a man's own interest as they are to his duty to other men; and if he does gain an advantage by fraud and deceit, it is only when he escapes detection,—that is, while he preserves the reputation of the very qualities which

he has violated. But this truth applies equally to the affections more strictly benevolent. The man who lives in the habitual exercise of a cold and barren selfishness, and. seeks only his own gratification or interest, has indeed, in some sense, his punishment in the contempt and aversion with which he is viewed by his fellow-men. Much more than this, however, attaches to such a character ;-he has violated the principles given him for his guidance in the social system; -he has fallen from his sound condition as a moral being; and incurs actual guilt in the eye of a righteous governor, whose will the order of this lower world is intended to obey. But it by no means follows, that the man, who performs in a certain manner the relations of justice, friendship, and compassion, is thereby entitled to claim merit in the view of the Almighty Governor of the universe. He merely acts his part in the present system of moral economy, for which he has been adapted. He is so constituted as to derive satisfaction from the exercise of these affections; and, on the other hand, he receives an appropriate reward in the reciprocal exercise of similar affections by other men, and in the general harmony of society which results from them. An extensive culture of the affections, therefore, may go on without the recognition of the moral principle, or that state of mind which habitually feels the presence of the Deity, and desires to have the whole character in subjection to his will. We are not entitled to acknowledge the operation of that great principle, unless when the affections are exercised in circumstances which imply a strong and decided sacrifice of self-love to the authority of God. This appears to correspond with the distinction so strikingly stated in the sacred writings-"If ye love them which love you, what reward have ye? do not even the publicans the same?"-" I say unto you, love your enemies,-bless them that curse you, do good to them that hate you, pray for them which despitefully use you and persecute you."

On this branch of the subject it is also to be observed, that there is a kind of compensating power among the affections themselves, by which, in the intercourse of men, they act as checks upon each other. Thus resentment acts as a check upon injustice; and the dread of exciting anger in others has probably an influence, in preserving the peace

31

ar

of

tl

ir

10

a

+

1

p

T 0 C

and harmonies of society, which we often ascribe to a higher principle. In regard to the affections more strictly benevolent, these are also influenced, in a similar manner, by the feeling of disapprobation which attends any remarkable departure from their requirements. When we keep in mind. along with this consideration, the manner in which all men are influenced, in one degree or another, by the love of approbation or regard to character, we perceive in the moral. system a beautiful principle of compensation, tending to promote in it a certain degree of harmony. This is remarkably illustrated, for example, in the general feeling of disapprobation which is attached to ingratitude, and to violation of filial affection or parental duty, and even to any marked neglect of the common calls of humanity. Along with this we are also to keep in mind, that a man is universally considered as in the lowest state of human nature, who, in these respects, has become regardless of character,—that is, of the estimation with which his conduct is viewed by his fellow-men.

In regard to both the affections and the desires, we are farther to remember that deep and extensive influence, upon the happiness of the individual himself, which results from a due regulation of these feelings:—the pure mental enjoyment of him whose affections are under sound regulation, and whose desires are habitually directed to those objects which are in the highest degree worthy of being sought after. This mental tranquillity is also represented to us, in a very striking manner, by the influence of those dispositions which we What a constant usually refer to the head of Temper. source of pure enjoyment is a meek and placid spirit; the desires of which are moderate and under due regulation, which puts upon every thing the best construction it will admit of .- is slow to take offence, -seeks no distinction. but views itself with humility, and others with candour, benevolence, and indulgence. Such a disposition makes the man happy in himself, and a source of happiness and peace to all around him. On the other hand, what an unceasing source of mental disquiet and turbulence is the opposite disposition,-jealous, envious, and censorious,-ready to take offence at trifles, and often to construe incidental occurrences into intended and premeditated insults,-prone to put unfa-

vourable constructions upon the conduct of others, and thus continually to surround itself with imaginary enemies, and imaginary neglects and injuries. Such a temper is a continual torment to the individual himself, and the cause of disputes and jealousies among those with whom he is connected. We cannot fail, also, to perceive that the man of ill-regulated passions injures his own true interest and happiness, as much as he violates his duty to others;—and that his course of life is often productive of degradation. disease, and wretchedness. In all this we see a beautiful example of the wise arrangements of the Creator, who, in the structure of our moral nature, has connected our own peace and happiness with a state of feeling calculated to promote the happiness and peace of all around us. We cannot be at a loss to conclude what a different scene the world would present, if such feelings were universally cultivated : and, on the other hand, we must observe how much of the actual misery that exists in the world arises from derangement of moral feeling, and the various consequences which result from it both to individuals and communities. We find also, by innumerable examples, the remarkable influence produced, by a due cultivation of these feelings, in alleviating, both in ourselves and others, the physical evils which are inseparable from the present state. It is farther to be remarked, as a fact worthy of the deepest attention, that the only distinct information conveyed to us in Scripture respecting the happiness of the righteous in a future state, is,that it will consist chiefly in a perfect knowledge of the divine character, and a conformity of the soul to the moral perfections of the Deity. "It doth not yet appear," says the sacred writer, "what we shall be; but we know that when he shall appear, we shall be like him, for we shall see him as he is."

In concluding the whole subject of the affections, I have only farther to remark,—that the regulated state of the moral feelings, which has been the subject of the preceding observations, seems to correspond with the quality so emphatically described in the sacred writings under the name of Charity. It is there uniformly represented as the great test of the moral condition; and we find exposed, in the most striking manner, the worthlessness of all endowments which are not

31 accompanied by this regulation of the whole character. We cannot, therefore, conclude this subject in a more appropriate manner, than by a passage in which by a few most powerful 91 expressions, a code of ethical science is laid before us with a ol clearness and a force, which put to nought all human compoth sition :- "Though I speak with the tongues of men and of il angels, and have not charity, I am become as sounding brass. ir or a tinkling cymbal. And though I have the gift of pro-2 pheey, and understand all mysteries, and all knowledge; and though I have all faith, so that I could remove mountains. proces and have not charity, I am nothing. And though I bestow all my goods to feed the poor, and though I give my body to be burned, and have not charity, it profiteth me nothing, Charity suffereth long, and is kind; charity envieth not; charity vaunteth not itself, is not puffed up, doth not behave itself unseemly, seeketh not her own, is not easily provoked, thinketh no evil; rejoiceth not in iniquity, but rejoiceth in the truth; beareth all things, believeth all things, hopeth all things, endureth all things. Charity never faileth: but whether there be prophecies, they shall fail; whether there be tongues, they shall cease; whether there be knowledge. it shall vanish away. For we know in part, and we prophesy

### SECTION III.

three,—but the greatest of these is charity."

in part. But when that which is perfect is come, then that which is in part shall be done away. When I was a child, I spake as a child, I understood as a child, I thought as a child: but when I became a man, I put away childish things. For now we see through a glass, darkly; but then face to face: now I know in part: but then shall I know even as also I am known. And now abideth faith, hope, charity, these

#### SELF-LOVE.

There has been some dispute respecting the term Selflove, both as to its general propriety, and as to the mental feelings which ought to be referred to it. There can be no doubt that there is, in our constitution, a principle or propensity which leads us to study our own interest, gratification, and comfort; and that, in many instances, it becomes the ruling principle of the character. It is in this sense that I use the terms self-love, without entering into any discussion regarding the strict logical propriety of it. Like the other mental feelings, it is to be considered as part of our moral constitution, and calculated to answer important purposes, provided it be kept in its proper place, and do not encroach upon the duties and affections which we owe to other men. When thus regulated, it constitutes prudence, or a just regard to our own interest, safety, and happiness; when it becomes morbid in its exercise, it degenerates into selfishness.

A sound and rational self-love ought to lead us to seek our own true happiness, and should prove a check upon those appetites and passions which interfere with this; for many of them, it must be allowed, may be not less adverse to our own real interest and comfort, than they are to our duty to other men. It should lead us, therefore, to avoid every thing, not only that is opposed to our interest, but that is calculated to impair our peace of mind, and that harmony of the moral feelings without which there can be no real happiness. includes a due regulation of the desires, and a due exercise of the affections, as a moral condition which promotes our own welfare and comfort. Self-love, viewed in this manner. appears to be placed as a regulating principle among the other powers,-much inferior indeed to the great principle of conscience, so far as regards the moral condition of the individual, -but calculated to answer important purposes in promoting the harmonies of society. The impression, on which its influence rests, appears to be simply the comfort and satisfaction which arise to ourselves from a certain regulation of the desires, and a certain exercise of the affections. while feelings of an opposite kind follow a different conduct. These sources of satisfaction are manifold. We may reckon among them the pleasure attached to the exercise of the affections themselves, a feature of our moral constitution of the most interesting kind,—the true mental peace and enjoyment which spring from benevolence, friendship, meekness, forgiveness, and the whole train of the kindly feelings,-the gratitude of those who have experienced the effects of our kindness,-the respect and approba3 tion of those whose esteem we feel to be valuable.at of tl iv ir al ti ii precession of

and the return of similar affections and good offices from other men. On the other hand, we have to keep in mind the mental agony and distraction which arise from jealousy, envy, hatred, and resentment,—the sense of shame and disgrace which follow a certain line of conduct,-and the distress which often arises purely from the contempt and disapprobation of our fellow-men. "Disgrace," says Butler, " is as much avoided as bodily pain;" we may safely say that it is much more avoided, and that it inflicts a suffering of a much more severe and permanent nature. It must likewise accord with the observation of every one, that among the circumstances, which most frequently injure our peace and impair our comfort, are those which ruffle the mind by mortifying our self-love. There is also a feeling of dissatisfaction and self-reproach which follows any neglect of a due exercise of the affections, and which, in a well-regulated mind, disturbs the mental tranquillity fully as much as the disapprobation of other men. It is farther evident, that the man of ungoverned passions, and ill-regulated affections, impairs his own peace and happiness as much as he violates his duties to others,for his course of life is productive, not only of degradation in the eyes of his fellow-men, but often of mental anguish, misery, disease, and premature death. There is not, perhaps, a state of more intense suffering, than when the depraved heart, disappointed of those gratifications to which it is enslaved, and shut up from the excitements by which it seeks to escape from the horrors of reflection, is thrown back upon itself to be its own tormentor. To run the risk of such consequences, for the gratification of a present appetite or passion, is clearly opposed to the dictates of a sound self-love. as has been distinctly shewn by Bishop Butler; and when, in such a case, self-love prevails over an appetite or passion, we perceive it operating as a regulating principle in the moral It does so, indeed, merely by the impression, that a certain regulation of the moral feelings is conducive to our own true and present happiness; and thus shews a wonder-

ful power of compensation among these feelings, referable entirely to this source. But it is quite distinct from the great principle of conscience, which directs us to a certain line of conduct on the pure and high principle of moral duty, apart from all considerations of a personal nature,—which leads a man to act upon nobler motives than those which result from the most refined self-love, and calls for the mortification of all personal feelings, when these interfere, in the smallest degree, with the requirements of duty. This distinction I conceive to be of the utmost practical importance; as it shews a principle of regulation among the moral feelings themselves, by which a certain exercise of the affections is carried on in a manner, which contributes in a high degree to the harmonies of society, but which does not convey any impression of moral approbation or merit that

can be applied to the agent.

Self-love, then, leads us to consult our own feelings, and to seek directly our own interest and happiness. The affections lead us to allow for the feelings, and consider the advantage and comfort, of other men; and a certain balance between these principles is essential to the healthy state of the moral being. It is seldom that the affections are likely to acquire an undue influence, but there is great danger of self-love degenerating into selfishness, which interferes with the duties we owe to others. We have formerly alluded to the means, referable to the due exercise of the affections, and even to a sound and rational self-love, by which this should be in part prevented. When these are not sufficient, the appeal is to conscience; or a distinct reference of individual cases is made to the great principle of moral rectitude. We find, accordingly, this principle called into action, when a man has become sensible of important defects in his moral habits. Thus, we may see a man, who has long given way to a peevish or irascible disposition, that is, to selfish acting upon his own feelings, without due regard to the feelings of others, setting himself to contend with this propensity upon the score of moral duty; while another, of a placid disposition, has no need of bringing the principle into action for such a purpose. In the same manner, a person who has indulged a cold contracted selfishness may, under the influence of the same great principle, perform deeds of benevolence and Thus we perceive that the moral principle or kindness. sense of duty, when it is made the regulating motive of action, is calculated to control self-love, and preserve the proper harmony between it and the exercise of the affections

an of the control of

When the principle of self-love becomes deranged in its exercise and objects, it leads to those habits by which a man seeks his own gratification, in a way which interferes with his duties to other men. This he may do by an undue pursuit of any of the desires, -whether avarice, ambition, love of eminence, or love of fame ;-and the desire of knowledge itself may be so indulged as to assume the same character. Even deeds of benevolence and kindness may be performed on this principle,—as when a man, by such actions, seeks. only the applause of the public, or the approbation of certain individuals, from whom, it may be, he expects to derive advantage.—Hence the value we attach, in the exercise of all the affections, to what we call disinterested conduct,-to him who does good by stealth, or who performs acts of exalted justice, generosity, or forbearance, under circumstances which exclude every idea of a selfish motive, -or when selfinterest and personal feeling are strongly and obviously opposed to them. Such conduct commands the cordial approbation of all classes of men; and it is striking to remark how, in the highest conception of such a character that fancy can delineate, we are met by the sublime morality of the sacred writings, impressed upon us by the purest of all motives, the imitation of him who is the giver of all good ;-- "love your enemies,-bless them that curse you ;do good to them that hate you, -and pray for them which despitefully use you and persecute you ;-that ye may be the children of your Father which is in heaven : for he maketh his sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust,"-" If any man will be my disciple," says the same great author of Christianity,-"let him deny himself." 

The Control of the Co

## PART II.

## OF THE WILL.

Will or Simple Volition is that state of mind which immediately precedes action;—We will a certain act; and the act follows, unless it be prevented either by external

restraint, or by physical inability to perform it.

The actions thus produced arise out of the mental emotions formerly treated of,—the desires, and the affections.—We desire an object, or we experience one of the affections:—the next mental act, according to the regular course of a reflecting mind, is proposing to ourselves the question,—shall we gratify the desire,—shall we exercise the affection? Then follows the process of considering or deliberating.—We perceive, perhaps, a variety of considerations or inducements,—some of which are in favour of gratifying the desire or exercising the affection, others opposed to it. We therefore proceed to weigh the relative force of these opposing motives, with the view of determining which of them we shall allow to regulate our decision. We, at length, make up our mind on this, and resolve, we shall suppose, to do the act;—this is followed by the mental condition of willing or simple volition.

In the chain of mental operations which, in such a case, intervene between the desire and the volition, a class of agents is brought into view which act upon the mind as moral causes of its volitions;—these are usually called motives,—or principles of action. When treating of this subject as a branch of the philosophy of the intellectual powers, I endeavoured to shew the grounds on which we believe, that there are facts, truths, motives, or moral causes, which have a tendency thus to influence the determinations of the mind, with a uniformity similar to that which we observe in the operation of physical causes. For the due

ar of the intimate of the inti

operation of moral causes, indeed, certain circumstances are required in the individual on whom they are expected to operate, and, without these, they may fail in their operation. It is necessary that he should be fully informed in regard to them as truths addressed to his understanding,-that he direct his attention to them with suitable intensity, and exercise his reasoning powers upon their tendencies,-and that he be himself in a certain healthy state of moral feeling. In all our intercourse with mankind, accordingly, we proceed upon an absolute confidence in the uniformity of the operation of these causes, provided we are acquainted with the moral condition of the individual. We can foretel, for example, the respective effects which a tale of distress will have upon a cold-hearted miser, and a man of active benevolence, with the same confidence with which we can predict the different actions of an acid upon an alkali and upon a metal;—and there are individuals in regard to whose integrity and veracity, in any situation in which they can be placed, we have a confidence similar to that with which we rely on the course of nature. In this manner we gradually acquire, by experience, a knowledge of mankind; precisely as, by observation or experiment, we acquire a knowledge of the operation of physical agents. Thus we come to know that one man is absolutely to be relied on, in regard to a particular line of conduct in given circumstances ;—and that another is not to be relied on, if any thing should come in the way, affecting his own pleasure or interest. In endeavouring to excite various individuals to the same conduct in a particular case, we learn, that, in one, we have to appeal only to his sense of duty,-in another, to his love of approbation ;-while, on a third, nothing will make any impression except what bears upon his interest or his pleasure. Again, when we find that, in a particular individual, certain motives or truths fail of the effects which we have observed them to produce in others, we endeavour to impress them upon his mind, and to rouse his attention to their bearings and tendencies; -and this we do from the conviction, that these truths have a certain uniform tendency to influence the volitions of a moral being, provided he can be induced seriously to attend to them, and provided he is in that moral condition which is required for their efficiency.

In all such cases, which are familiar to every one, we recognise, therefore, a uniform relation between certain moral causes or motives, and the determinations of the human mind in willing certain acts. It is no objection to this, that men act in very different ways with the same motives before them;—for this depends upon their own moral condition. When treating of the intellectual powers, I alluded to the metaphysical controversies connected with this subject, and I do not mean to recur to them here. Our present object is entirely of a practical nature,—namely, to investigate the circumstances which are required for the due operation of motives or moral causes, and the manner in which the moral feelings may be so deranged, that these fail of producing

their natural or proper effects.

Let us, then suppose an individual deliberating in regard to the line of conduct he shall pursue in a particular casethe circumstances or impressions which are calculated to act upon him as moral causes in determining his volition, -that is, in deciding his conduct, are chiefly the following. (1.) Self-love, which prompts him to seek his own ease, interest, or gratification. (2.) Certain affections which lead him to take into view duties which he owes to other men; such as, justice, benevolence, &c. (3.) The impression of moral rectitude or moral responsibility. This is derived from the great principle of conscience, aided by the truths of religious belief. (4.) We ought to add reason or judgment, which leads him to perceive certain tendencies of actions, apart from their moral aspect. Now, in deciding on his conduct in any particular instance, one man makes every thing bend to his own interest or pleasure, -with little regard to the interest of others ;-unless in so far as the absolute requirements of justice are concerned, the infringement of which might expose him to loss of reputation, or even to punishment.—Another surrenders a certain portion of his personal gratification to the advantage or comfort of others, purely as an exercise of feeling from which he experiences satisfaction; -influenced, also, probably, in some measure, by a regard to character, or the love of approbation. In such a man. it becomes, in individual instances, a matter of calculation. what degree of the sacrifice of personal ease, interest, or feeling, is to be made to this principle of action. A third

contemplates the case purely as one of duty or moral responsibility, and acts upon this principle, though it may involve a degree of personal exertion, or a sacrifice of personal feeling, in itself disagreeable or even injurious to him ;—that is, though the strongest personal motives would lead to a different conduct. Let the case, again, refer to one of the desires, bearing no immediate relation to the interests of other men. One man goes directly into the gratification of it, without any consideration. Another, who feels the same desire, considers the influence which the indulgence would he likely to have on his health, interest, or reputation.—This may be considered as simply an exercise of judgment. combined with a certain operation of self-love. A third views the aspect of the deed purely as a question of moral responsibility,-and, if he sees cause, decides against it on this ground alone :-though he should perceive that it might be gratified without any danger to his health, interests, or reputation, or even that it might contribute to his advantage.

We have thus presented to us three characters ;-one who acts upon the high and pure ground of moral principle;one who acts from motives of a more contracted and personal nature, though, in certain instances, his conduct may be the same; -and one who goes straight forward to the gratification of a ruling desire or governing propensity, without attending to motives of either class. The first is a uniform character, on whose conduct we depend in any given circumstances, with a confidence similar to that with which we rely on the operation of physical agents. For we know the uniform tendencies of the motives or moral causes by which he is habitually influenced, and we know his moral tempera ment. We have nearly the same kind of knowledge respecting him, which we have of the tendencies of chemical agents towards each other, and which enables us with perfect confidence to foretell their actions. The third has also a uniformity of conduct, though of a very different kind. We know, likewise, his moral condition, and, to predict his conduct, we require only to learn the particular inducements or temptations to which he is exposed in a given instance. The second we cannot rely or calculate upon; for we have not the means of tracing the conflicting views by which he may be influenced in a particular

3

ar of the ir ar t

ir a ti ii p

case, or the principle on which he may ultimately decide between them. They involve the strength of the inclination,—and the degree of power exerted over it by the class of personal or selfish motives by which he is influenced. —In regard to various instances of ill-regulated desire, we must add his hope of evading detection,—as on this depends, in a great measure, the kind of evils dreaded by him in reference to the indulgence. These taken together imply a complicated moral calculation, of which it is impossible for another man to trace the result.

There cannot be an inquiry of more intense interest than to investigate the causes in which originate the differences among these three characters; or, in other words, the principles on which we can explain the fact, that the will of individuals may be influenced so differently with the same motives before them. These appear to be referable to three heads,—Knowledge,—Attention,—and Moral Habits.

I. A primary and essential element, in the due regulation of the will, is a correct knowledge of the truths and motives which tend to influence its determinations. The highest class of these comprehends the truths of religious belief,-a series of moral causes, the tendencies of which are of the most important kind, and calculated to exert a uniform power over every man who surrenders himself to their guidance. For this purpose, a correct knowledge of them is required; and, to all who have this knowledge within their reach, the careful acquisition involves a point of the deepest moral responsibility. The sacred writers speak in the strongest terms of the guilt attached to voluntary ignorance: and this must be obvious to every one who considers the clearness with which the highest truths are disclosed, and the incontrovertible evidence by which they are supported. This applies equally to the principles both of natural and of revealed religon. The important truths of natural religion are partly matters of the most simple induction from the phenomena of nature which are continually before us; and partly impressed upon our own moral constitution in the clearest and most forcible manner. From the planet revolving in its appointed orbit, to the economy of the insect on which we tread, all nature demonstrates, with a power which we cannot put away from us, the great incomprehensible

3

ar

of

tl ii

in

a

ti ii

proceed

One, a being of boundless perfections and infinite wisdom. In regard to his moral attributes, also, he has not left himself without a witness; for a sense of these he has impressed apon us in the clearest manner in that wondrous part of our constitution,—the moral principle or conscience. From these two sources may be derived a knowledge of the character of the Deity, and of our relation to him as moral beings;—and the man is left entirely without excuse who fails to direct to them his most earnest attention, and to make the impressions derived from them the habitual rule of his volitions, and the guide of his whole character. "He hath the rule of right within," says Butler, "all that is wanting is,—that he honestly attend to it."

Similar observations apply with equal or greater force to the truths of revealed religion. These are supported by a weight of miraculous evidence, and are transmitted to us by a chain of testimony, carving absolute conviction to the mind of every candid inquirer. They are farther confirmed by a probability, and a force of internal evidence, which fix themselves upon the moral feelings of every sound understanding with a power which is irresistible. The whole is addressed to us as rational beings; it is pressed upon our attention as creatures destined for another state of existence; and the duty is imposed upon every individual seriously to examine and to consider. Every man is in the highest degree responsible for the care with which he has informed himself of these evidences, and for the attention with which he has given to every part of them its due weight in the solemn inquiry, He is farther responsible for the influence of previously formed prejudice, or any degree of that vitiated state of his moral feelings, which prevents him from approaching the subject with the simplicity of a mind which is seriously desirous of the truth. From the want of these essential elements o. character, it may very often happen, that a man may fancy he has formed his opinions after much examination, while the result of his prejudiced or frivolous inquiry has been only to fix him in delusion and falsehood. Among the singular sophistries, indeed, by which some men shut their minds against inquiries of the highest import, is a kind of impression, not perhaps distinctly avowed in words, but clearly recognised in practice, that these subjects of belief are in a

great measure matters of opinion,—instead of being felt to rest upon the basis of immutable and eternal truth. Can any thing be more striking than the manner in which a late distinguished poet expresses himself on the subject of a future life,—as if this truth were a mere opinion which could be taken up or laid down at pleasure, to suit the taste of the individual inquirer:—"Of the two, I should think the long sleep better than the agonized vigil. But men, miserable as they are, cling so to any thing like life, that they probably would prefer damnation to quiet. Besides, they think themselves so important in the creation, that nothing less can satisfy their pride,—the insects !"\* Such is the frivolous sophistry by which one, who holds a high rank in the literature of his country, could put away from him the most momentous inquiry that can engage the attention of a

rational being.

II. Next to the acquisition of knowledge, and the formation of opinions, calculated to act upon us as moral beings, is the important rule of habitually attending to them, so as to bring their influence to bear upon our volitions. He, who honestly attends to what is passing within, will perceive that this is a voluntary exercise of his thinking and reasoning faculties. When a particular desire is present to his mind, he has the power to act upon the first impulse, or upon a very partial and limited, perhaps a distorted, view of the considerations and motives by which he ought to be influenced; and he has the power to suspend acting, and direct his attention deliberately and fully to the facts and principles which are calculated to guide his determination. This is the first great step in that remarkable thain of sequences which belong to the regulation of the will. It is what every one is conscious of; and, putting aside ill those metaphysical subtleties in which the subject has been involved, this constitutes man a free and responsible agent. In this important process, the first mental state is a certain movement of one of the desires or one of the affections; -to prevent circumlocution, we may use the term Inclination as including both. The second is a reference of the inclination to the moral causes or motives which more pecu-

<sup>\*</sup> Byron's Letters, Moore's Life, vol. ii., page 581.

3:

31

01

th

ir

in

a

tl

1:

Prece a

liarly apply to it,—especially the indications of conscience, and the principles of moral rectitude.—If these be found to harmonize with the inclination, volition and action follow, with the full concurrence of every moral feeling. If the inclination be condemned by these, it is, in a well-regulated mind, instantly dismissed, and the healthy condition of the moral being is preserved. But this voluntary and most important mental process may be neglected;—the inclination may be suffered to engross the mind and occupy fully the attention:—the power may not be exercised of directing it to moral causes and motives, and of comparing with them, the inclination which is present. The consequence may be, that the man runs heedlessly into volition and action, from which the due exercise of this process of the mind might have preserved him.

But a third condition may take place which presents a subject of the highest interest. The moral causes may be so far attended to, as to prevent the inclination from being followed by action; while the inclination is still cherished, and the mind is allowed to dwell, with a certain feeling of regret, on the object which it had been obliged to deny itself Though the actual deed be thus prevented, the harmony of the moral feelings is destroyed ; and that mental condition is lost which is strictly to be called purity of heart. Fit this consists in the desires and affections, as well as the conduct, being in strict subjection to the indications of conscience and the principles of moral rectitude. The inclination, thus cherished, gradually acquires greater ascendency over the moral feelings ;-at each succeeding contest, it more and more occupies the mind; the attention is less and less directed to the moral truths and motives which are opposed to it; the inclination at length acquires the predominance. and is followed by volition. This is what we mean by a man being carried away by passion, in opposition to his moral conviction; for passion consists in a desire or an affection which has been allowed to engross the mind, until it gradually overpowers the moral causes which are calculated to counteract its influence. Now in the whole of this course each single movement of the mind is felt to be entirely voluntary. From that step, which constitutes the first departure from moral purity, the process consists in a desire being

cherished which the moral feelings condemn; while, at each succeeding step, the influence of these feelings is gradually weakened, and finally destroyed. Such is the economy of the human heart, and such the chain of sequences to be traced in the moral history of every man, who, with a conviction upon his mind of what is right, has followed the downward course which gradually led him astray from virtue. When we trace such a process backwards in a philosophical point of view, the question still recurs,-what was the first step, or that by which the mind was led into the course which thus terminated in favour of vice. In the wonderful chain of sequences, which has been established in the mental constitution, it would appear, that a very slight movement only is required for deranging the delicate harmony which ought to exist among the moral feelings; but this each individual feels to be entirely voluntary. It may consist in a desire being cherished which the moral feelings disapprove ; and, though the effect at first may be small, a morbid influence has arisen. which gains strength by continuance, and at last acquires the power of a moral habit. The more the desire is cherished. the less is the attention directed to the considerations or moral causes by which it might be counteracted. In this manner, according to the mental economy, these causes gradually lose their power over the volitions or determinations of the mind; and, at a certain period of this progress, the judgment itself comes to be changed respecting the moral aspect of the deed.

There is still another mental condition to be mentioned in connexion with this subject; in which the harmony of the moral feelings may be destroyed, without the action following. This takes place when the inclination is cherished, as in the former case, in opposition to the indications of conscience; while the action is opposed by some inferior motives,—as a regard to reputation or interest. The deed may thus be prevented, and the interests of society may benefit by the difference; but, so far as regards the individual himself, the disruption of moral harmony is the same; and his moral aspect must be similar in the eye of the Almighty One, who regards not the outward appearance alone, but who looketh into the heart. In this manner it may very often happen, that strong inducements to vice are resisted from motives referring merely to

health, or to character. But this is not to overcome temptation.—it is only to balance one selfish feeling against another.

III. From the state of mind which has now been referred to, there gradually results a Moral Habit. This is a mental condition, in which a desire or an affection, repeatedly acted upon, is, after each repetition, acted upon with less and less effort; -and, on the other hand, a truth or moral principle. which has been repeatedly passed over without adequate attention, after every such act makes less and less impression, until at length it ceases to exert any influence over the moral feelings. or the conduct. I had occasion to illustrate this remarkable principle in another point of view, when treating of the connexion between the emotions of sympathy and benevolence, and the conduct which naturally arises out of them. This conduct at first may require a certain effort, and is accompanied by a strong feeling of the emotion v ich leads to it. But, after each repetition, the acts go on with less feeling of the emotion, and less reference to the principle from which they spring; while there is progressively forming the habit of active benevolence. It is precisely the same At first a deed requires an effort,—and a with habits of vice. powerful contest with moral principles; and it is speedily followed by that feeling of regret, to which superficial observers This is the voice of congive the name of repentance. science; but its power is more and more diminished after each repetition of the deed ;-even the judgment becomes perverted respecting the first great principles of moral rectitude; and acts, which at first occasioned a violent conflict, are gone into without remorse, or almost without perception of their moral aspect. A man in this situation may still retain the knowledge of truths and principles, which at one time exerted an influence over his conduct; but they are now matters of memory alone. Their power as moral causes is gone, and even the judgment is altered respecting their moral tendencies. He views them now perhaps as the superstitions of the vulgar, or the prejudices of a contracted education; and rejoices, it may be, in his emancipation from their authority. He knows not,—for he has not the moral perception now to know, that he has been pursuing a downward course, and that the issue, on which he congratulates himself, consists in his last degradation as a moral being. Even in the state of moral

3:

ar of the in a thin produce of

destitution, indeed, the same warning principle may still raise its voice,—unheeded but not subdued,—repelled as an enemy, not admitted as a friendly monitor and guide. "I have not the smallest influence over Lerd Byron, in this particular," writes one of the chosen friends of that distinguished individual,—"if I had, I certainly should employ it to eradicate from his great mind the delusions of Christianity, which, in spite of his reason, seem perpetually to recur, and to lay in ambush for the hours of sickness and distress." It would be interesting to know what the particular impressions were, from which this sympathizing friend was anxious to rescue the poet. They were probably the suggestions of a power within, which, in certain seasons of reflection, compelled his attention in spite of his attempts to reason against it,—pleading with authority for a present Deity, and a life to come.

The principle of Habit, therefore, holds a most important place in the moral condition of every man; and it applies equally to any species of conduct, or any train of mental operations, which, by frequent repetition, have become so familiar, as not to be accompanied by a recognition of the principles in which they originated. In this manner good habits are continued without any immediate sense of the right principles by which they were formed; but they arose from a frequent and uniform acting upon these principles, and on this is founded the moral approbation which we attach to habits of this description. In the same manner, habits of vice, and habits of inattention to any class of duties, are perpetuated without a sense of the principles and affections which they violate; but this arose from a frequent violation of these principles, and a frequent repulsion of these affections, until they gradually lost their power over the conduct; and in this consists the guilt of habits. Thus, one person acquires habits of benevolence, veracity, and kindness, -of minute attention to his various duties, -of correct mental discipline, -and active direction of his thoughts to all those objects of attention which ought to engage a well-regulated mind :-Another sinks into habits of litless vacuity or frivolity of mind,—of vicious indulgence and contracted selfishness, of neglect of important duties, disregard to the feelings of others, and total indifference to all those considerations and pursuits which claim

3: 31 ol tl il ir

ati precacl

the highest regard of every responsible being; and the striking fact is, that, after a certain period, all this may go on without a feeling that aught is wrong either in the moral condition, or the state of mental discipline; such is the power

of a moral habit.

The important truth, therefore, is deserving of the deepest and most habitual attention, that character consists in a great measure in habits, and that habits arise out of individual actions and individual operations of the mind. Hence the importance of carefully weighing every action of our lives, and every train of thought that we encourage in our minds; for we never can determine the effect of a single act, or a single mental process, in giving that influence to the character, or to the moral condition, the result of which shall be decisive and permanent. In the whole history of habits, indeed, we see a wondrous display of that remarkable order of sequences which has been established in our mental constitution, and by which every man becomes, in an important sense, the master of his own moral destiny. For each act of virtue tends to make him more virtuous; and each act of vice gives new strength to an influence within, which will certainly render him more and more vicious.

These considerations have a practical tendency of the utmost interest. In subduing habits of an injurious character, the laws of mental sequences, which have now been referred to, must be carefully acted upon. When the judgment, influenced by the indications of conscience, is convinced of the injurious nature of the habit, the attention must be steadily and habitually directed to the truths which produced this impression. There will thus arise desire to be delivered from the habit, -or, in other words, to cultivate the course of action that is opposed to it. This desire, being cherished in the mind, is then made to bear upon every individual case in which a propensity is felt towards particular actions, or particular mental processes, referable to the habit. The new inclination is at first acted upon with an effort, but, after every instance of success, less effort is required, until at length the new course of action is confirmed, and overpowers the habit to which it was opposed. But that this result may take place, it is necessary that the mental process be followed. in the manner distinctly indicated by the philosophy of the

moral feelings; for if this is not attended to, the expected effect may not follow, even under circumstances which appear, at first sight, most likely to produce it. On this principle we are to explain the fact, that bad habits may be long suspended by some powerful extrinsic influence while they are in no degree broken. Thus, a person addicted to intemperance will bind himself by an oath to abstain, for a certain time. from intoxicating linquors. In an instance which has been related to me, an individual under this process observed the most rigid sobriety for five years, but was found in a state of intoxication the very day after the period of abstinence expired. In such a case, the habit is suspended by the mere influence of the oath; but the desire continues unsubdued. and resumes all its former power whenever this artificial restraint is withdrawn. The effect is the same as if the man had been in confinement during the period, or had been kept from his favourite indulgence by some other restraint entirely of an external kind; the gratification was prevented, but his

moral nature continued unchanged.

These principles may be confidently stated as facts in the moral constitution of man, challenging the assent of every candid observer of human nature. Several conclusions seem to arise out of them, of the utmost practical importance. We perceive, in the first place, a state which the mind may attain, in which there is such a disruption of its moral harmony, that no power appears in the mind itself capable of restoring it to a healthy condition. This important fact in the philosophy of human nature has been clearly recognised; from the earliest ages, on the mere principles of human science. It is distinctly stated by Aristotle in his Nicomachean Ethics, where he draws a striking comparison between a man who, being first misled by sophistical reasonings, has gone into a life of voluptuousness under an impression that he was doing no wrong, and one who has followed the same course in opposition to his own moral convictions. The former he contends might be reclaimed by argument; but the latter he considers as incurable. In such a state of mind. therefore, it follows, by an induction which cannot be controverted, either that the evil is irremediable and hopeless, or that we must look for a power from without the mind which may afford an adequate remedy. We are thus led to perceive the

3 adaptation and the probability of the provisions of Christianity, where an influence is indeed disclosed to us, capable of restoring the harmony which has been lost, and raising man ar anew to his place as a moral being. We cannot hesitate to of believe that the Power, who framed the wondrous fabric, may th it thus hold intercourse with it, and redeem it from disorder and ruin. On the contrary, it accords with the highest concepir tions we can form of the benevolence of the Deity, that he a t should thus look upon his creatures in their hour of need; and į the system disclosing such communication appears, upon every principle of sound philosophy, to be one of harmony, consis-Preces tency, and truth. The subject, therefore, leads our attention to that inward change, so often the scoff of the profane, but which so prominent a place is assigned in the sacred writing in which a man is said to be created anew by a power f heaven, and elevated in his whole views and feelings moral being. Sound philosophy teaches us, that there pr state in which nothing less than such a complete transform. ation can restore the man to a healthy moral condition, and

that, for producing it, nothing will avail but an influence a from without the mind, -a might and a power from the same Almighty One who originally framed it. Philosophy teaches, in the clearest manner, that a portion of mankind require such a transformation; Christianity informs us that it f required by all. When the inductions of science and the dictates of revelation harmonize to this extent, who should dare to assert that the latter are not truth. Who, theat places himself in the presence of a being of infinite puriety, will say, he requires not such a change; or that, for the production of it, he needs no agency, beyond the resources of his own mind. If noue be found who is entitled to believe he forms the exception, we are forced into the acknowledgment of the truth, so powerfully impressed upon us in the sacred writings, that, in the eye of the Almighty One, no man in himself is righteous; and that his own power avails not for restoring him to a state of moral purity. From the whole of this inquriy, we see the deep influence of habits, and the fearful power which they may acquire over the whole moral system; considerations of the highest practical interest to those who would prevent the formation of habits of an injurious nature, or who, feeling their influence,

strive to be delivered from them. There is indeed a point in this downward course, where the habit has acquired undisputed power, and the whole moral feelings yield to it unresisting submission. Peace may then be within, but that peace is the stillness of death; and, unless a voice from heaven shall wake the dead, the moral being is lost. But, in the progress towards this fearful issue, there may be a tumult, and a contest, and a strife, and the voice of conscience may still command a certain attention to its warnings. While there are these indications of life, there is yet hope of the man; but on each moment is now suspended his moral existence. Let him retire from the influence of external things; and listen to that voice within, which, though often unheeded, still pleads for God. Let him call to aid those high truths which relate to the presence and inspection of this being of infinite purity, and the solemnities of a life which is to come. Above all, let him look up in humble supplication to that pure and holy One, who is the witness of this warfare, -who will regard it with compassion, and impart his powerful aid. But let him not presumptuously rely on this aid, as if the victory were already secured. The contest is but begun; and there must be a continued effort, and unceasing watchfulness,—a habitual direction of the attention to those wuths which, as moral causes, are calculated to act upon the mind,—and a constant reliance upon the power from on high which is felt to be real and indispensable. With all this provision, his progress may be slow; for the opposing principle, and the influence of established moral habits, may be felt contending for their former dominion; but by each advantage that is achieved over them, their power will be broken and finally destroyed. Now in all this contest towards the purity of the moral being, each step is no less a process of the mind itself than the downward course by which it was preceded. It consists in a surrender of the will to the suggestions of conscience, and a habitual direction of the attention to those truths which are calculated to act upon the moral volitions. In this course, the man feels that he is authorized to look for a might and an influence not his own. This is no imaginary or mysterious impression, which one may fancy that he feels, and then pass on contented with the vision; but a power which acts through the health; operations of his own mind;

31

oi tl

il

11

a tl

ii

Preces

it is in his own earnest exertions, as a rational being to regulate these operations, that he is encouraged to expect its communication; and it is in feeling these assuming the characters of moral health, that he has the proof of its actual

And where is the improbability that the pure and holy presence. One, who framed the wondrous moral being, may thus held intercourse with it, and impart an influence in its hour of deepest need. According to the utmost of our conceptions, it is the highest of his work, for he has endowed it with the power of rising to the contemplation of himself, and with the capacity of aspiring to the imitation of his own moral perfections. We cannot, for a moment, doubt, that his eye must reach its immost movements, an that all its emotions, and desires, and volitions, this expos to his view. We must believe that he looks with this pleas when he perceives them wandering from himselfs and templates with approbation the contest, wherto he sp strives to throw off its moral bondage, and to f-t its v upwards to a conformity to his will. Upon ever uprinciple sound philosophy, all this must be open to his inspection and we can perceive nothing opposed to the soundest inductions of reason in the belief, that he should impart af influence to the feeble being in this high design, and conduc him to its accomplishment. In all this, in fact, there is a little improbability, that we find it impossible to suppose could be otherwise. We find it impossible to believe, the t such a mental process could go on without the knowledge of him whose presence is in every place,—or that, looking upon it, he should want either the power or the willingness to impart his effectual aid.

But, independently of our conviction of an actual communiBut, independently of our conviction of an actual communigration from the Deity, there is a power in the mind itself,
which is calculated to draw down upon it an influence of the
which is calculated to draw down upon it an influence of the
work efficient kind. This is produced by the mental process
which we call Faith; and it may be illustrated by an impression which many must have experienced. Let us suppose
that we have a friend of exalted intelligence and virtue, who
has often exercised over us a commanding influence,
restraining us from pursuits to which we felt an inclination,
pexciting us to virtuous conduct,—and elevating, by his

intercourse with us, our impressions of a character on which we wished to form our own. Let us suppose that we are removed to a distance from this friend, and that circumstances of difficulty or danger occur, in which we feel the want of a guide and counsellor. In the reflections which the situation naturally gives rise to, the image of our friend is brought before us; an influence is conveyed analogous to that which was often produced by his presence and his counsel;and we feel as if he were actually present, to tender his advice and watch our conduct. How much would this impression be increased, could we farther entertain the thought, that this absent friend was able, in some way, to communicate with us, so far as to be aware of our present circumstances, and to perceive our efforts to recall the influence of his character upon our own.—Such is the intercourse of the soul with God.— Every movement of the mind is known to him; his eye is present with it, when, in any situation of duty, distress, or mental discipline, the man, under this exercise of faith, realizes the presence and character of the Deity, and solemnly inquires how, in the particular instance, his moral feelings and his conduct will appear in the eye of him who seeth in secret. This is no vision of the imagination, but a fact supported by every principle of sound reason,—an influence which a man brings down upon himself, when, by an effort of his own mind, he thus places himself in the immediate presence of the Almighty. The man who does so in every decision of life is he who lives by faith; -and, whether we regard the inductions of reason, or the dictates of sacred truth, such a man is taught to expect an influence greater and more effectual still. This is a power immediately from God, which shall be to him direction in every doubt,-light in every darkness,-strength in his utmost weakness,-and comfort in all distress; -- a power which shall bear upon all the principles of his moral nature, when he carries on the mighty conflict of bringing every desire and every volition under a conformity to the divine will. We again hazard with confidence the assertion, that in all this there is no improbability; -but that, on the contrary, the improbability is entirely on the other side, -in supposing that any such mental process could take place, without the knowledge and the interpositiou of that incomprehensible One, whose eye is upon all his works.

3:

al

01

ati is processi

## OF THE MORAL PRINCIPLE, OR CONSCIENCE. the decree of the court of the

the second secon

the specific property of the specific specific specific THERE has been much dispute respecting the nature and even the existence of the Moral Principle, as a distinct element of our mental constitution; but this controversy may probably be considered as allied to other speculations of a metaphysical nature, in regard to which a kind of evidence was sought of which the subjects are not susceptible. Without arguing respecting the propriety of speaking of a separate power or principle, we simply contend for the fact, that there is amental exercise, by which we feel certain actions to be right and certain others wrong. It is an element or a movement of our moral nature, which admits of no analysis, and no explanation; and is referable to no other principle than a simple recognition of the fact, which forces itself upon the lo conviction of every man who looks into the processes of own mind. Of the existence and the nature of this most important principle, therefore, the evidence is entirely within. We appeal to the consciousness of every man, that he porceives a power which, in particular cases, warns him of the conduct which he ought to pursue, and administers a solemn admonition when he has departed from it. For, while his judgment conveys to him an impression, both of the tendencies and certain of the qualities of actions, he has, besides this, a feeling by which he views the actions with approbation or disapprobation, in reference purely to their moral aspect, and without any regard to their consequences. When we refer to the sacred writings, we find the principle of conscience represented as a power of such importance,-that, without any acquired knowledge, or any actual precepts, it is sufficient to establish, in every man, such an impression of his

duty as leaves him without excuse in the neglect of it :-"For when the Gentiles, which have not the law, do by nature the things contained in the law, these, having not the law, are a law unto themselves: which show the work of the law written in their hearts, their conscience also bearing witness, and their thoughts the meanwhile accusing or else excusing one another." We even find a power assigned to the decisions of conscience, differing in extent only, but not in kind, from the judgment of the Almighty ;- "If our heart condemn us. God is greater than our heart, and knoweth all and the first the standard address to the first the standard between the standard standards and the standard between the standard standards and the standards and the standards are standards and the standards are standards as the standards are stand

things."

The province of conscience then appears to be, to convey to man a certain conviction of what is morally right and wrong, in regard to conduct in individual cases, -and to the general exercise of the desires or affections. This it does independently of any acquired knowledge, and without reference to any other standard of duty. It does so, by a rule of right which it carries within itself,—and by applying this to the primary moral feelings, that is, the desires and affections. so as to indicate among them a just and healthy balance towards each other. The desires direct us to certain gratifications which we feel to be worthy of acquirement; and the affections lead us to a certain course of conduct which we feel to be agreeable to ourselves, or useful to others. But, to act under the influence of conscience is to perform actions, simply because we feel them to be right, and to abstain from others. simply because we feel them to be wrong, -without regard to any other impression, or to the consequence of the actions either to ourselves or others. He, who on this principle performs an action, though it may be highly disagreeable to him, or abstains from another though it may be highly desirble, is a conscientious man. Such a man, under the influence of habit, comes to act more and more easily under the suggestions of conscience, and to be more and more set free from every feeling and propensity that is opposed to it. Conscience seems therefore to hold a place among the moral powers, analogous to that which reason holds among the intellectual; -and, when we view it in this relation, there appears a beautiful harmony pervading the whole economy of the mind.

By certain intellectual operations, man acquires the

3:

21

of

tl

ir ir

a ti

i

Precae

knowledge of a series of facts;-he remembers them,he separates and classifies them, -and forms them into new combinations. But, with the most active exercise of all these operations, his mind might present an accumulation of facts, without order, harmony, or utility ;without any principle of combination, or combined only in those fantastic and extravagant forms which appear in the conceptions of the maniac. It is reason that reduces the whole into order and harmony, by comparing, distinguishing, and tracing their true analogies and relations, and then by deducing truths as conclusions from the whole. It is in this manner particularly, that a man acquires a knowledge of the uniform actions of bodies on each other, and. confiding in the uniformity of these actions, learns to direct his means to the ends which he has in view. He knows also his own relations to other sentient beings, and adapts his conduct to them, according to the circumstances in which he is placed,—the persons with whom he is connected,—and the objects which he wishes to accomplish. He learns to accommodate his measures to new circumstances as they arise,and thus is guided and directed through his physical relations. When reason is suspended, all this harmony is destroyed. The visions of the mind are acted upon as facts; things are combined into fantastic forms, entirely apart from their true relations: -conduct is widely at variance with what circumstances require ;-ends are attempted by means which have no relation to them :-and the ends themselves are equally at variance with those which are suitable to the circumstances of the individual. Such is the maniae, whom accordingly we shut up, to prevent him from being dangerous to the public ; for he has been known to mistake so remarkably the relations of things, and the conduct adapted to his circumstances, as to murder his most valuable friend, or his own helpless infant,

In all this process, there is a striking analogy to certain conditions of the moral feelings, and to the control which is exercised over them by the principle of conscience. By self-love, a man is led to seek his own gratification or advantago;—and the desires direct him to certain objects by which these propensities may be gratified. But the affections carry forth his views to other men with whom he is connected by various relations, and to the offices

of justice, veracity, and benevolence, which arise out of them. Conscience is the regulating power, which, acting upon the desires and affections, as reason does upon a series of facts. preserves among them harmony and order. It does so by repressing the propensity of selfishness, and reminding the man of the true relation between regard to his own interest and the duties he owes to other men. It regulates his desires and pursuits, by carrying his views beyond present feelings and present gratifications, to future times and future consequences,—and by raising his attention to his relation to the great moral governor of the universe. He thus learns to adapt his conduct and pursuits, not to present and transient feelings, but to an extended view of his great and true interests as a moral being. Such is conscience,-still, like reason, pointing out the moral ends a man ought to pursue. and guiding him in the means by which he ought to pursue them ;-and the man does not act in conformity with the constitution of his nature, who does not yield to conscience the supremacy and direction over all his other feelings and principles of action. But the analogy does not stop here; for we can also trace a condition in which this controlling influence of conscience is suspended or lost. I formerly endeavoured to trace the manner in which this derangement arises, and have now only to allude to its influence on the harmony of the moral feelings. Self-love degenerates into low selfish gratification: the desires are indulged without any other restraint than that which arises from a mere selfish principle, as a regard to health, perhaps in some degree to reputation: the affections are exercised only in so far as similar principles impose a certain degree of attention to them: present and momentary impulses are acted upon, without any regard to future results: conduct is adapted to present gratification, without the perception either of its moral aspect, or its consequences to the man himself as a responsible being; and without regard to the means by which these feelings are gratified. In all this violation of moral harmony, there is no derangement of the ordinary exercise of judgment. In the most remarkable example that can be furnished by the history of human depravity, the man may be as acute as ever in the details of business or the pursuits of science. There is no diminution of his sound estimate of

physical relations,—for this is the province of reason. But there is a total derangement of his sense and approbation of moral relations,—for this is conscience. Such a condition of mind, then, appears to be, in reference to the moral feelings, what insanity is in regard to the intellectual. The intellectual maniac fancies himself a king, surrounded by every form of earthly splendour,—and this hallucination is not corrected even by the sight of his bed of straw and all the horrors of his cell. The moral maniac pursues his way, and thinks himself a wise and a happy man;—but feels not that he is treading a downward course, and is lost as a moral being.

In the preceding observations respecting the moral principle or conscience, I have alluded chiefly to its influence in preserving a certain harmony among the other feelings,-in regulating the desires by the indications of moral purity,-and preventing self-love from interfering with the duties and affections which we owe to other men. But there is another and a most important purpose which is answered by this faculty, and that is to make us acquainted with the moral attributes of the Deity. In strict philosophical language we ought perhaps to say, that this high purpose is accomplished by a combined operation of conscience and reason; but, however this may be, the process appears clear and intelligible in its nature, and fully adapted to the end now assigned to it, From a simple exercise of mind, directed to the great phenomena of nature, we acquire the knowledge of a First Cause, -a being of infinite power and infinite wisdom; and this conclusion is impressed upon us in a peculiar manner, when, from our own bodily and mental endowments, we infer the attributes of him who framed us:-" he that planted the ear." says a sacred writer, "shall he not hear; he that formed the eye, shall he not see;—he that teacheth man knowledge, shall not be know?" When we trace backwards a series of finite vet intelligent beings, we must arrive at one of two conclusions :-- We must either trace the series through an infinite and eternal succession of finite beings, each the cause of the one which succeeded it ;-or we must refer the commencement of the series to one great intelligent being, himself uncaused, infinite and eternal. To trace the series to one being, finite, yet uncaused, is totally inadmissible; and not less so is the conception of finite beings in an infinite and

eternal series. The belief of one infinite being, self-existent and eternal, is, therefore, the only conclusion at which we can arrive, as presenting any characters of credibility or truth. The superintending care, the goodness, and benevolence of the Deity, we learn, with a feeling of equal certainty, from the ample provision he has made for supplying the wants and ministering to the comfort of all the creatures whom he has made. This part of the argument, also, is in the clearest manner insisted upon in the sacred writings; when the apostle Paul, in calling upon the people of Lystra to worship the true God, who made heaven and earth, adds, as a source of knowledge from which they ought to learn his character;—"he left not himself without a witness, in that he did good, and gave us rain from heaven and fruitful seasons,

filling our hearts with food and gladness."

A being, thus endowed with infinite power, wisdom and goodness, we cannot conceive to exist without moral feelings; and, by a process equally obvious, we arrive at a distinct knowledge of these, when, from the moral perceptions of our own minds, we infer the moral attributes of him who thus formed us. We have certain impressions of justice, veracity, compassion, and moral purity, in regard to our own conduct, -we have a distinct approbation of these qualities in others, -and we attach a feeling of disapprobation to the infringement of them. By a simple step of reasoning, which conveys an impression of absolute conviction, we conclude, that he, who formed us with these feelings, possesses, in his own character, corresponding moral attributes, which, while they resemble in kind, must infinitely exceed in degree, those qualities in the wisest and the best of men. In our actual observation of mankind, we perceive these attributes impaired in their exercise by human weakness, distorted by human passion,and impeded in their operation by personal wants, personal feelings, and selfish interests. But, apart from such deteriorating causes, we have a certain abstract idea of the full and perfect exercise of those qualities; and it is in this pure and perfect form that we ascribe them to the Almighty. In him, they can be impeded by no weakness,-distorted by no passion, and impaired in their operation by no personal interest. We therefore conclude him to be perfect in the exercise of all these moral attributes, and to take the most rigid estimate of any infringement of them by man:—this is what we call the holiness of God. Even the man, who has himself departed from moral rectitude, still feels a power within, which points with irresistible force to what is purity, and fixes upon him a

conviction that God is pure.

When we view such a Boing, apart from any inferior creature, all seems harmony and consistency :-- we have only to contemplate him as high and holy, and enjoying perfect happiness in his own spotless attributes. But, when we view him in relation to man in a state of moral discipline, and, in that state, tainted deeply with moral evil, a difficulty arises of an appalling magnitude. There is ample scope now, we perceive, for the exercise of his holiness, veracity, and justice; and he appears in sublime and terrible majesty, in his exalted character as a moral governor. But, amid such a display, there is an obvious interruption to the exercise of compassion,—especially in that essential department of it,—mercy or forgiveness. This attribute may be exercised without restraint by an individual, where his own interests alone are concerned; because in him it involves only a sacrifice of selflove. But, forgiveness in a moral governor either implies an actual change of purpose, or supposes a former decision to have been made without sufficient knowledge of, or due attention to, all the facts by which he ought to have been influenced:—it denotes either undue rigour in the law, or ignorance or inattention in him who administers it; and it may very often interfere with the essential requisites of justice. But, in a moral governor of infinite perfection, there can be neither ignorance of facts nor change of purpose; the requirements of his justice must stand unshaken; and his law, written on the hearts of all his rational creatures, must be upheld, in the face of the universe, as hely, and just, and good. Is, then, the exercise of mercy to be excluded from our conception of the divine character,—and is there no forgiveness with God? The soundest induction of philosophy, applied to the actual state of man, brings us to this momentous question; but the highest efforts of human science fail to answer it. It is in this onr utmost need, that we are met by the dictates of revelation, and are called to humble the pride of our reason before that display of the harmony and integrity of the divine character. We there

learn the truths, far beyond the inductions of human science, and the utmost conceptions of human thought,—that an atonement is made, a sacrifice offered;—and that the exercise of forgiveness is consistent with the perfections of the Deity. Thus, by a process of the mind itself, which seems to present every element of fair and logical reasoning, we arrive at a full conviction of the necessity, and the moral probability, of that truth which forms the great peculiarity of the Christian revelation. More than any other, in the whole circle of religious belief, it rises above the inductions of science, while reason, in its soundest conclusions, recognises its probability, and receives its truth; and it stands forth alone, simply proposed to our belief, and offered to our acceptance, on that high but peculiar evidence by which is supported the testi-

mony of God.

The truth of these considerations is impressed upon as in the strongest manner, when we turn our attention to the man, as he is displeyed to us by the soundest inductions of philosophy,-his capacity for distinguishing truth from falsehood, and evil from good; the feelings and affections which bind him to his fellow-men, and the powers which enable him to rise to intercourse with God: -- when we consider the power, which sits among his other principles and feelings, as a faithful monitor and guide, carrying in itself a rule of rectitude without any other knowledge, and a right to govern without reference to any other authority; we behold a fabric complete and harmonious in all its parts, and eminently worthy of its Almighty Maker; we behold an ample provision for peace, and order, and harmony, in the whole moral world. But, when we compare with these inductions the actual state of man, as displayed to us in the page of history, and in our own daily observation, the conviction is forced upon us, that some mighty change has taken place in this beauteous system, some marvellous disruption of its moral harmony. The manner in which this condition arose, -or the origin of moral evil under the government of God, is a question entirely beyond the reach of the human faculties .-It is one of those, however, on which it is simply our duty to keep in mind, that our business is, not with the explanation, but with the facts ; for, even by the conclusions of philosophy, we are compelled to believe, that man has fallen from his high estate,—and that a pestilence has gone abroad over the face of the moral creation.

In arriving at this conclusion, it is not with the inductions of moral science alone, that we compare or contrast the actual state of man. For one bright example has appeared in our world, in whom was exhibited human nature in its highest state of order and harmony. In regard to the mighty purposes which he came to accomplish, indeed, philosophy fails us, and we are called to submit the inductions of our reason to the testimony of God. But, when we contemplate his whole character purely as a matter of historical truth,—the conviction is forced upon us, that this was the highest state of man;—and the inductions of true science harmonize with the impression of the Roman centurion, when, on witnessing the conclusion of the earthly sufferings of the Messiah, he exclaimed—"truly this was the Son of God."

When we endeavour which manner, in which mankind have departed so widely from high pattern, we arrive at moral phenomena of which we can offer no explanation. But an inquiry of much greater importance is to mark the process by which, in individual instances, conscience ceases to be the regulating principle of the character; and this is a simple and legitimate object of philosophical observation. There cannot, indeed, be an inquiry of more intense and solemn interest, than to trace the chain of sequences which has been established in the mind of man as a moral being. We can view it only as a matter of fact, without being able to refer it to any other principle than the will of Him who framed us :--but the facts which are before us claim the serious attention of every man, who would cultivate that most important of all pursuits,—the knowledge of his own moral The fact to which I chiefly allude is a certain relation, formerly referred to, between the truths which are calculated to act upon us as moral causes, and the mental emotions which ought to result from them; -and between these emotions and a certain conduct which they tend to produce. If the due harmony between these be carefully cultivated, the result is a sound moral condition; but by every instance in which this harmony is violated, a morbid influence is introduced, which gains strength in each succeeding volition, and

carries disorder through the moral ecomony. We have formerly illustrated this important moral process, by the relation between the emotion of compassion, and the conduct which ought to arise from it. If this tendency of the emotion be diligently cultivated, the result is the habit of active benevolence; but, if the emotion be violated, its influence is progressively diminished, and a character is produced of cold and barren selfishness.

A similar chain of sequences is to be observed respecting the operation of those great truths, which, under the regulating power of conscience, are calculated to act as moral causes in our mental economy; -we may take, for example, the truths relating to the character and perfections of the Deity, and the influence which these ought to produce upon every rational being. We have seen the knowledge which we derive from the light of nature respecting the attributes of God, when, from his works around us, we discover him as a being of infinite power, wisdom, and goodness; and when, from the moral impressions of our own minds, we infer his perfections as a moral Governor of infinite holiness, justice, and truth. By a proper direction of the mind to the truths which are thus conveyed to us respecting the Deity, there would naturally arise a corresponding chain of emotions of which he is the object. These are a sense of veneration towards him, as infinitely great, wise, and powerful, -of love and thankfulness, as infinitely good, and of habitual regard to his authority and will, as a moral governor of purity and justice, and as requiring a corresponding character in all his creatures. A close and constant relation ought to be preserved between these truths and these emotions, and on this depends the moral harmony of the mind. The preservation of this harmony, again, is intimately connected with a mental process which every man feels to be voluntary, -or in his power to perform, if he wills. It consists in a careful direction of the mind to such truths, so as to enable them to act as moral causes in the mental economy :-- by the established order of moral sequences, the emotions naturally follow: -these are then to be cherished with satisfaction and reverence; and a corresponding influence upon the character and conduct is the farther consequence. But the first step in this important process may be neglected; -the mind may not

be directed with due care to the truths which thus claim its highest regard,—and the natural result is a corresponding deficiency in the emotions and conduct which ought to flow from them. This will be the case in a still higher degree, if there has been formed any actual derangement of the moral condition,-if deeds have been committed, or even desires cherished, and mental habits acquired, by which the indications of conscience have been violated. The moral harmony of the mind is then lost, and, however slight may be the first impression, a morbid influence has begun to operate in the mental economy, which tends gradually to gain strength, until it becomes a ruling principle in the whole character. The truths connected with the divine perfections are now neither invited nor cherished, but are felt to be intruders which disturb the mental tranquillity. The attention ceases to be directed to them, and the corresponding emotions vanish from the mind. Such appears to be the moral history of those. who, in the striking language of the sacred writings, "do not like to retain God in their knowledge."

When the harmony of the mind has been impaired to this extent, another mental condition arises, according to the wondrous system of moral sequences. This consists in a distortion of the understanding itself, regarding the first great principles of moral truth. For, a fearless contemplation of the truth, respecting the divine perfections, having become inconsistent with the moral condition of the mind, there next arises a desire to discover a view of them more in accordance with its own feelings. This is followed, in due course, by a corresponding train of its own speculations; and these, by a mind so prepared, are received as truth. The inventions of the mind itself thus become the regulating principles of its emotions, and this mental process, advancing from step to

Nothing can be more striking than the manner in which these great principles of ethical science are laid down in the sacred writings;—"the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead, so that they are without excuse: Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were

step, terminates in moral degradation and anarchy.

they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their

foolish heart was darkened. Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools; and changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and four-footed beasts, and creeping things."-"And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient." The various steps, in this course of moral degradation, are here represented as a judicial infliction by the Deity. But this solemn view of the subject is in no degree inconsistent with the principle, that it takes place according to a chain of sequences existing in the mind itself. For the Almighty One, who is said to inflict as a judgment this state of moral ruin, is the same who established it as the uniform result of a process in the mental economy, to be traced in the history of every man who has followed the downward course which led him astray from virtue.

To the principles which have now been stated, we are also to refer a point in the philosophy of human nature which presents a subject of most interesting reflection. I allude to the fact, that the great truths of religious belief are so often rejected, by men who have acquired a reputation for exalted powers of understanding, in other departments of intellectual inquiry. The fact is one of intense interest; and we can scarcely wonder that superficial observers should have deduced from it an impression, that it implies something defective in the evidence by which these truths are proposed to our reception. But the conclusion is entirely unwarranted and the important principle cannot be too often repeated, that the attainment of truth in moral inquiries is essentially connected with the moral condition of the inquirer. On this depends the anxious care with which he has directed his mind to the high pursuit, under a deep and solemn feeling of its supreme importance. On this depends the sincere and humble and candid love of truth with which he has conducted it, apart alike from projudice and frivolity. For without these essential elements of character, the most exalted intellect may fail of reaching the fruth,-the most acute understanding may only wander into delusion and falsehood.

Before concluding this subject, there is another point which deserves to be alluded to ;—namely, the influence produced

upon all our moral judgments and decisions by Attention. This important process of the mind we have had occasion to mention in various parts of our inquiry. It consists, as we have seen, in directing the thoughts, calmly and deliberately, to all the facts and considerations by which we ought to be influenced in the particular case which is under our view; and it should be accompanied by an anxious and sincere desire to be guided, both in our opinions and conduct, by the true and relative tendency of each of them. It is a voluntary process of the mind which every man has the power to perform; and, on the degree in which it is habitually exercised, depend some of the great differences between one man and another in their moral condition. We have repeatedly had occasion to mention that morbid state of the mind, in which moral causes seem to have lost their proper influence, both on the volitions of the will, and even on the conclusions of the judgment :- But it is a truth which cannot be too often referred to, how much this condition is influenced by the mental process which we are now considering. It originates, indeed, in some degree of that distortion of moral feeling, in consequence of which the inclinations wander from the strict path of rectitude ;-but the primary effect of this loss of mental harmony, and that by which it is perpetuated, appears to be chiefly a habitual misdirection of the attention, or a total want of consideration of the truths and motives, by which the moral judgments and decisions ought to be influenced. Apart from this condition of the mind, indeed, there is reason to believe, that the actual differences in moral judgment are in different men less than we are apt to imagine. "Let any honest man," says Butler, "before he engages in any course of action, ask himself,—is this I am going to do right, or is it wrong,—is it good, or is it evil :-I do not in the least doubt but that these questions would be answered agreeably to truth and virtue by Imost any fair man in almost any circumstances." It is in a great measure from the want of this simple exercise of attention, or of what in common language we call calm reflection, that men are led away, by passion, prejudice, and distorted moral habits, into courses of action which their own sober judgment would condemn; and, when a man, who has thus departed from rectitude, begins to retrace his way, the

arst great point is that where he pauses in his downward career, and seriously proposes to himself the question, whether the course he has followed be worthy of a moral being. I allude not here to the means by which a man is led to take this momentous step in his moral history, but only to the mental process of which it consists. It is primarily nothing more than an exercise of attention, calmly and deliberately directed to the truths and considerations by which his moral decisions ought to be influenced; but, when a man has once been brought into this attitude of deep and serious thought, conscience comes to bear its part in the solemn process; and the inquirer is likely to arrive at just conclusions on those great questions of which he feels the

importance to his moral condition.

It is on the principles now referred to, that, according to a doctrine which has been often and keenly controverted, we hold a man to be responsible for his belief. The state of mind which constitutes belief is, indeed, one over which the will has no direct power. But belief depends upon evidence; -the result of even the best evidence is entirely dependent on attention; and attention is a voluntary intellectual state over which we have a direct and absolute control. As it is. therefore, by prolonged and continued attention that evidence produces belief, a man may incur the deepest guilt by his disbelief of truths which he has failed to examine with the care which is due to them. This exercise is entirely under the control of the will; but the will to exercise it respecting moral truth is closely connected with the love of that truth; and this is intimately dependent on the state of moral feeling of the mind. It is thus that a man's moral condition influences the conclusions of his judgment ;-and it is thus. that, on the great questions of moral truth, there may be guilt attached to a process of the understanding, while there is both guilt and moral degradation in that mental condition from which it springs.

A similar relation exists, as was formerly stated, between all our moral emotions, and processes which are felt to be entirely voluntary. These emotions are, properly speaking, not the objects of volition, nor do they arise directly at our bidding; but, according to the constitution of the mind, they are the natural or established result of certain intellectual processes, and, in some sense, even of bodily actions. both of which are entirely voluntary. The emotions of compassion and benevolence, for example, are the natural result of the sight or even the description of scenes of distress; and the primary steps in this process are entirely within our power to perform, if we will. We can visit the afflicted family, listen to their tale of distress, and consider their circumstances, -that is, give our attention to them in such a manner that the natural and proper effect may be produced upon our moral feelings. We can give the same kind of attention, and with a similar result, to a case which is only described to us by another; or we may neglect all this mental process. Engrossed with the business or the frivolities of life, we may keep ourselves at a distance from the persons and the scenes that might operate in this manner on our moral feelings ;we may refuse to listen to the tale of sorrow, or, if compelled to hear it, we may give it little attention and no consideration. The moral feeling does not follow, and this course, after a certain repetition, terminates in confirmed and barren selfishness. We see many instances in which we distinctly recognise this course of mental or moral sequence. If, in regard to a particular case of distress, for example, we have come to a deliberate conviction of the worthlessness of the individual, and have determined to withhold our aid, we refuse to see him, and we decline hearing from another any thing more of his history; we say, we have made up our mind not to allow our compassion to be any more worked upon in his favour. We thus recognise the natural relation between the sight or even the description of distress, and the production of certain feelings in ourselves ; and we recognise also the legitimate means for proventing this influence in certain cases, in which, by a deliberate act of judgment, we have determined against having these feelings excited. If, notwithstanding this determination, we happen to be brought within the influence of the distress which we wished to avoid, we consider this as a sufficient ground for acting, in the instance, against our sober judgment. We had determined against it, we say, but what can you do when you see people starving? We thus recognise as legitimate, that process by which, in certain cases, we keep ourselves beyond this influence; but we attach no feeling of approbation to

the moral condition of him who, being subjected to the influence, can resist it; that is, who can really come into contact with distress, and shut his heart against it. And even with regard to the course which we here recognise as legitimate, much caution is required, before we allow a process of the judgment to interfere with the natural and healthy course of the moral feelings. If the interference arises, not from a sound process of the understanding, but from a course in which selfishness bears a considerable part, an injurious influence upon the moral condition of the mind is the necessary consequence. We thus perceive that, in the chain of sequences relating to the benevolent feelings, there are three distinct steps,-two of which are entirely under the control of the will. A man has it entirely in his power to place himself in contact with objects of distress, and to follow out the call of duty in considering their circumstances, and entering into their feelings. The natural result is a train of emotions which arise in his own mind, prompting him to a particular line of conduct. To act upon these emotions is again under the power of his will; and if the whole of this chain of sequences be duly followed, the result is a sound condition of this part of the moral economy. If either of the voluntary steps be neglected or violated, the mental harmony is lost, and a habit is formed of unfeeling selfishness.

The principle, which has thus been illustrated by the benevolent affections, is equally true of our other moral emotions. These emotions are closely connected with certain truths, which are calculated to give rise to them, according to the constitution of our moral economy. Now, the careful acquisition of the knowledge of these truths, and a serious direction of the attention to their tendencies, are intellectual processes which are as much under the power of our will, as are the acts of visiting and giving attention to scenes of distress; and the due cultivation of them involves an equal degree of moral responsibility. This again is connected with the remarkable power which we possess over the succession of our thoughts. We can direct the mind into a particular train: we can continue it and dwell upon it with calm and deliberate attention, so that the truths, which it brings before us, may produce their natural and proper effect on our moral feelings. The emotions thus excited lead to a certain line of conduct.

which also is voluntary; and on the due cultivation of this chain of sequences depends a healthy moral condition. But we may neglect those parts of the sequence which are under the control of our will. We may abstain from directing our attention to such truths; we may view them in a slight, frivolous, or distorted manner, or we may dismiss them altogether; and if any degree of the emotions should be excited, we may make no effort towards the cultivation of the conduct to which they would lead us. The due cultivation of this power over the succession of our thoughts, is that which constitutes one of the great differences between one man and another, both as intellectual and moral beings;—and, though correct moral emotions are not properly the objects of volition, it is thus that a man may incur the deepest moral guilt in the want of them.

The subject also leads to conclusions of the greatest importance respecting the principles on which we ought to conduct religious instruction, particularly in regard to the cultivation of religious emotions. It reminds us of the important law of our nature, that all true cultivation of religious emotion must be founded upon a sound culture of the understanding in the knowledge of religious truth, and a careful direction of the powers of reasoning and judging, both to its evidences and its tendencies. All impulse that does not arise in this manner can be nothing more than an artificial excitement of feeling, widely different from the emotion of a regulated mind. Such a system generates wild enthusiasm; -and the principle is of peculiar and essential importance in the education of the young. In their susceptible minds religious emotion is easily produced, and, by a particular management, may be fostered for a time. But those who have been trained in this manner are little qualified to meet the collisions of active life, and we need not wonder if they should make shipwreck of a faith which has not been founded in knowledge.

Before leaving the subject of the Moral Principle, there are two points closely connected with it which remain to be noticed. The one relates to the origin and immutability of moral distinctions, and, in connexion with this, a class of speculations which hold a conspicuous place in the history of

Ethical science, under the name of Theories of Morals. The other refers to a certain harmony or principle of arrangement, which the different moral feelings ought to preserve towards each other in a well-regulated mind.

## § 1.—OF THE ORIGIN AND IMMUTABILITY OF MORAL DISTINCTIONS, AND THEORIES OF MORALS.

EMPORTACE GRANT NAME AND A STORY OF STORY In treating of the moral powers, I have considered various feelings as distinct parts of our constitution, each intended to answer a specific purpose in the present scene of moral discipline. I am aware of an objection that may be urged against this mode of viewing the subject,—namely, that it is an unnecessary multiplication of original principles. I am not inclined to dispute respecting the term, original principles. I only contend for the fact, that there are certain feelings or propensities which are found to operate in the whole of mankind : and, with regard to these, I consider our object to be, simply to view man as he is. In his physical relation, we find him endowed with a variety of senses, and a great variety of bodily functions,—each adapted to its proper purpose, and all distinct from each other; and the physiologist is content to view them simply as they are. Were he to exercise his ingenuity upon them, he might contend with much plausibility, that it is highly incorrect to speak of five distinct and separate senses :- for that they are all merely modifications of sensation, differing only in the various kinds of the external impression. Thus, what is vulgarly called sight is the simple sensation of light,—and hearing is merely the sensation of sound. This would be all very true, but it does not appear to elucidate the subject; nor, by any ingenuity of such speculation, could we be enabled to know more concerning these senses than when we called them sight and hearing. the same manner it would appear, that the course of inquiry, respecting our moral feelings, is simply to observe what these feelings really are, and what are their obvious tendencies. When we have done so on adequate foundation, I conceive we have every reason for considering them as principles implanted in us by the Creator, for guidance in our present relations; and, like the functions of our bodies, so the powers and feelings of our mind shew a wonderful adaptation and design, worthy of their Omnipotent Cause. But, we can know nothing of them beyond the facts,—and nothing is to be gained by any attempt, however ingenious, to simplify or explain them. We have formerly had occasion to allude to various speculations, of a similar character, respecting the powers of perception and simple intellect,—all of which have now given way before the general admission of the truth, that, on the questions to which they refer, no human sagacity can carry us one step beyond the simple knowledge of the fact.

It will probably be admitted, that there have been many similar unprofitable speculations in the philosophy of the moral feelings; and that these speculations, instead of throwing any light upon the subject, have tended rather to withdraw the attention of inquirers from the questions of deep and serious importance connected with the investigation. Among these, perhaps, we may reckon some of the doctrines which hold a prominent place in the history of this branch of science,—under the name of Theories of Morals. These doctiones agree in admitting the fact, that there are among mankind certain notions respecting right and wrong, imoral and immoral actions; and they then profess to account for these impressions, -or to explain how men come to think one action right and another wrong. A brief view of these theories may properly belong to an outline of this department of science.

In contemplating the conduct of men as placed in certain relations towards each other, we perceive some actions which we pronounce to be right, and others which we pronounce to be wrong. In forming our opinion of them in this manner, we refer to the intentions of the actor, and, if we are satisfied that he really intended what we see to be the effect or the tendency of his conduct, or even that he purposed something which he was prevented from accomplishing, we view him with feelings of meral approbation or disapprobation,—or, in other words, apply to him the award of praise or blame. Such is our simple idea of virtue or vice, as applied either to the act or the agent. We have a conviction that there is a

line of conduct to which ourselves and others are bound by a certain kind of obligation;—a departure from this constitutes moral demerit or vice;—a correct observance of it constitutes virtue.

This appears to be our primary impression of vice and virtue. The next question is, what is the origin of the impression, or on what ground is it that we conclude certain actions to be right and others wrong. Is it merely from a view of their consequences to ourselves or others; or do we proceed upon an absolute conviction of certain conduct being right, and certain other wrong, without carrying the mind farther than the simple act, or the simple intention of the actor, -without any consideration of the effects or the tendencies of the action. This is the question which has been so keenly agitated in the speculations of Ethical science, namely, respecting the origin and nature of moral distinctions. On the one hand, it is contended, that these moral impressions are in themselves immutable, and that an absolute conviction of their immutability is fixed upon us in that part of our constitution which we call conscience; in other words, there is a certain conduct to which we are bound by a feeling of obligation, apart from all other considerations whatever; and we have an impression that a departure from this in oursolves or others constitutes vice. On the other hand, it is maintained, that these distinctions are entirely arbitrary, or arise out of circumstances, so that what is vice in one case may be virtue in another. Those who have adopted the latter hypothesis have next to explain, what the circumstances are which give rise, in this manner, to our impressions of vice and virtue, moral approbation or disapprobation. The various modes of explaining this impression have led to the Theories of Morals.

The system of Mandeville ascribes our impressions of moral rectitude entirely to the enactments of legislators. Man, he says, naturally seeks only his own gratification, without any regard to the happiness of other men. But legislators found that it would be necessary to induce him, in some way, to surrender a portion of his personal gratification for the good of others, and so to promote the peace and harmony of society. To accomplish this with such a selfish being, it was necessary to give him some equivalent for the sacrifice he

thus made; and the principle of his nature which they fixed upon, for this purpose, was his love of praise. They made certain laws for the general good, and then flattered mankind into the belief that it was praiseworthy to observe them, and noble to sacrifice a certain degree of their own gratification for the good of others. What we call virtue thus resolves itself into the love of praise. In regard to such a system as this, it has been thought sufficient to point out the distinction between immutable principles of morality and those arrangements which are dependent upon mere enactment. Such are many of the regulations and restrictions of commerce. They are intended for the public good, and, while they are in force. it is the duty of every good citizen to obey them. A change of the law, however, changes their character, for they pessess in themselves none of the qualties of merit or demerit. But no laws can alter, and no statutes modify, those great principles of moral conduct which are graved indelibly on the conscience of all classes of men. Kings, it has been said. may make laws, but cannot create a virtue.

By another modification of this system, our impressions of virtue and vice are said to be derived entirely from mutual compact. Men, finding that there was a certain course of action which would contribute to their mutual advantage, and vice versa, entered into an agreement to observe certain conduct, and abstain from certain other. The violation of this

compact constituted vice, the observance of it virtue.

By a theory, supported by some eminent men, as Clarke and Wollaston, virtue was considered to depend on a conformity of the conduct to a certain sense of the fitness of things,—or the truth of things. The meaning of this, it must be confessed, is rather obscure. It however evidently refers the essence of virtue to a relation perceived by a process of reason; and therefore may be held as at variance with the belief of the impression being universal.

According to the Theory of Utility, as warmly supported by Mr. Hume, we estimate the virtue of an action and an agent entirely by their usefulness. He seems to refer all our mental impressions to two principles, reason and taste. Reason gives us simply the knowledge of truth or falsehood, and is no motive of action. Taste gives an impression of pleasure or pain,—so constitutes happiness or misery, and becomes a

motive of action. To this he refers our impressions of beauty and deformity, vice and virtue. He has, accordingly, distinetly asserted that the words right and wrong signify nothing more than sweet or sour, pleasant or painful, being only effects upon the mind of the spectator produced by the contemplation of certain conduct, and this, as we have already seen, resolves itself into the impression of its usefulness. An obvious objection to the system of utility was, that it might be applied to the effects of inanimate matter as correctly as to the deeds of a voluntary agent. A printingpress or a steam-engine might be as meritorious as a man of extensive virtue. To obviate this, Mr. Hume was driven to a distinction, which in fact amounted to giving up the doctrine, namely, that the sense of utility must be combined with a feeling of approbation. This leads us back to the previous question, on what this feeling of approbation is founded, and at once recognises a principle, distinct from the mere perception of utility. Virtuous conduct may indeed always contribute to general utility, or general happiness; but this is an effect only, not the cause or the principle which constitutes it virtuous. This important distinction has been well stated by Professor Mills of Oxford. He defines morality to be,-" an obedience to the law and constitution of man's nature, assigned him by the Deity in conformity to his own essential and unchangeable attributes, the effect of which is the general happiness of his creatures."\* We may safely assert, that whatever is right is also expedient for man; but the converse by no means follows,—that what is expedient. that is what mankind think would be expedient, comes to be right.

We come now to the Selfish System of morals, according to which the fundamental principle of the conduct of mankind is a desire to promote their own gratification or interest. This theory has appeared in various forms, from a very early period in the history of Ethical science; but the most remarkable promoter of it in more modern times was Mr. Hobbes. According to him, man is influenced entirely by what seems calculated, more immediately, or more remotely, to promote his own interest; whatever does so, he considers

<sup>\*</sup> Lecture on the Theory of Moral Obligation. Oxford, 1830.

as right,—the opposite as wrong. He is driven to society by necessity, and then, whatever promotes the general good he considers as ultimately calculated to promote his own. This system is founded upon a fallacy, similar to that referred to under the former head. Virtuous conduct does impart gratification, and that of the highest kind; and, in the strictest sense of the word, it promotes the true interest of the agent: but this tendency is the effect, not the cause; and never can be considered as the principle which imparts to conduct its character of virtue; nor do we perform it merely because it affords us gratification, or promotes our interest. The hypothesis, indeed, may be considered as distinctly contradicted by facts, for, even in our own experience, it is clear. that the pleasure attending an act of generosity or virtue in ourselves, as well as our approbation of it in others, is diminished or destroyed by the impression, that there was a selfish purpose to answer by it.

There is a modification of the selfish system which attempts to get rid of its more offensive aspect by a singular and circuitous chain of moral emotions. We have experienced, it is said, that a certain attention to the comfort or advantage of others contributes to our own. A kind of habit is thus formed, by which we come at last to seek the happiness of others for their own sake;—so that, by this process, actions, which at first were considered only as inexpedient, from being opposed to self-love, at length and insensibly come to be considered as immoral. This can be considered as nothing more than an ingenious play upon words, and deserves only to be mentioned as a historical fact, in a view of those speculations by which this important subject has been obscur-

ed and bewildered.

Another modification of the theories of morals remains to be mentioned;—namely, that of the distinguished Paley. This eminent writer is decidedly opposed to the doctrine of a moral sense or moral principle; but the system which he proposes to substitute in its place must be acknowledged to be liable to considerable objections. He commences with the proposition that virtue is doing good to mankind, in obedience to the will of God, and for the sake of everlasting happiness. The good of mankind, therefore, is the subject,—the will of God, the rule,—and everlasting happi-

ness, the motive of human virtue. The will of God, he subsequently goes on to shew, is made known to us, partly by revelation, and partly by what we discover of his designs and dispositions from his works, or, as we usually call it, the light of nature. From this last source he thinks it is clearly to be inferred, that God wills and wishes the happiness of his creatures; -- consequently, actions which promote that will and wish must be agreeable to him, and the contrary. The method of ascertaining the will of God concerning any action, by the light of nature, therefore, is, to inquire into the tendency of the action to promote or diminish general happiness. Proceeding on these grounds, he then arrives at the conclusion, that whatever is expedient is right; and that it is the atility of any moral rule alone, which constitutes the obligation of it. In his farther elucidation of this theory, Dr. Paley admits, that an action may be useful, in an individual case, which is not right. To constitute it right, it is necessary that it shall be "expedient upon the whole, at the long run, in all its effects, collateral and remote, as well as those which are immediate and direct."

In presuming to offer a criticism upon Paley, I readily concede to the defenders of his system, that it is not to be classed with the utilitarianism of Hume and Godwin; and that it is not, correctly speaking, chargeable with selfishness, in holding out the happiness of a future state as a motive to virtue. The latter part of his system is clearly countenanced by the sacred writings; and it does appear to be a stretch of language, to apply the term selfishness to the longing which the sincere Christian feels for the full enjoyment of God. In regard to the former part of his doctrine, again, it appears that Paley meant to propose the will of God as the rule or obligation of morals, and utility only as a criterion or guide; though it must be confessed that his language is liable to much misconstruction, and is repeatedly at variance with itself. The real objection to the doctrine of Paley, I apprehend, lies in his unqualified rejection of the supreme authority of conscience, and in the mental operation which he substitutes in its place, namely, a circuitous process of reasoning, in each individual, respecting the entire and ultimate expediency of actions. There are two considerations which appear to present serious objections to this part of the system as a

doctrine to be applied to practical purposes. (1.) If we suppose a man deliberating respecting an action, which he perceives would be eminently expedient and useful in an individual case, and which he feels to be highly desirable in its immediate reference to that case; -we may naturally ask, whether he is in a likely condition to find his way to a sound conclusion respecting the consequences of the action "upon the whole, at the long run, in all its consequences, remote and collateral."-It may certainly be doubted whether, in any case, there is not great danger of differences of opinion arising, respecting this extended and ultimate expediency; and it must be admitted that, in the man now referred to, the very circumstances of his perception of great and immediate utility, and the state of desire connected with it, would constitute a moral condition which might interfere. in a very material degree, with his calculation as to its ultimate expediency. Upon whatever system we proceed, I fear it must be conceded as a fact, that there is a singular propensity in the mass of mankind to consider their own pains and pleasures before those of other men: and this propensity must interfere with that cool course of moral calculation which the system of utility must consider as indispensable. (2.) Independently of this consideration, we may be allowed to doubt, whether any human being can arrive at such an extensive knowledge, as this theory seems to render necessary, of all the consequences of an action, remote and collateral. This would appear to constitute a kind and a degree of knowledge to be found only in the Omniscience of the Deity. It is, in fact, by giving its full weight to this difficulty, that the doctrine of utility has been employed by some foreign writers, in their attempts to undermine the whole foundation of morals. "The goodness of actions," says Beausobre, in his Pyrrhonisme Raisonable, "depends upon their consequences. which man cannot foresee, nor accurately ascertain." What harmony, indeed, or what consistency of moral sentiment can we expect from a system, by which man himself is made the indge of the code of morals to which he is to be subject, and by which his decisions, on a question so momentous, are made to rest on those remote consequences of actions which he must feel to be beyond the reach of his limited faculties.

If these observations be well-founded, I think we cannot

hesitate to maintain, that, on such a nice calculation of consequences, it is impossible to found a rule of morals in any degree adapted to the necessities of man. The same objection applies to every doctrine, which does not recognise the supreme authority of conscience as an original part of our moral constitution, warning us of certain conduct as immutably right, and certain other conduct as immutably wrong, without any regard either to our own advantage, or to our judgment of the tendency of the deeds. Whenever we depart from this great principle, we reduce every moral decision to what must primarily be a process of reasoning, and in which, from the intricate calculation of consequences which necessarily arises, there can scarcely fail to be differences of opinion respecting the tendency of actions, instead of that absolute conviction which the deep importance of the subject renders indispensable. It may, farther, be confidently stated as a matter of fact, that a conscientious man, in considering an action which involves a point of moral duty, does not enter upon any such calculation of its consequences. He simply asks himself,-is it right?-and so decides, according to an impulse within, which he feels to be a part of his moral constitution, susceptible of no explanation, and not admitting of being referred to any other principle. I confess, indeed, that I cannot perceive, how the doctrine of utility, in any of its forms, can be reconciled with the principle of moral responsibility. For what we commonly call vice and virtue, must resolve themselves merely into differences of opinion respecting what is most expedient in all its consequences remote and collateral. We have already alluded to the considerations which make this decision one of extreme difficulty; and how can we ascribe moral guilt to that, which, though in vulgar language we may call it vice, must very often be nothing more than an error in judgment respecting this ultimate good.

In regard to the whole of this important subject, I cannot see the necessity for the circuitous mental operations which have been made to apply to it; nor can I enter into the repugnance, shewn by various classes of moralists, against the belief of a process or a principle in our constitution, given us for a guide in our moral relations. It is unnecessary to dispute about its name, or even about its origin;—for the former

The state of the s

is of no importance, and of the latter we know nothing. question relates simply to its existence as a mental exercise distinct from any process of reasoning, and the only criterion. to which the question can be referred, is an appeal to the moral feelings of every individual. Is there not a mental movement. or feeling, call it what we may, by which we have a perception of actions as just or unjust, right or wrong; and by which we experience shame or remorse respecting our own conduct in particular instances, and indignation against the conduct of others. Every one is conscious of such a mental exercise. and there are two considerations which, I think, may be referred to as moral facts, shewing a clear and decided difference between it and any simple process of reasoning. (1,) I would ask whether, in deciding on his conduct, every man is not conscious of two classes of actions, in regard to which the processes of his mind differ widely from each other. In deciding respecting actions of the one class, he carefully and anxiously deliberates on their tendencies, that is, their utility towards himself, or to others whose welfare he has in view: and he reflects on what was the result of his conduct in similar cases, on former occasions. In deciding respecting actions of the other class, he enters into no such calculations: he feels an immediate impression, that a certain course is right, and a certain other wrong, without looking a single step into their tendencies. Every one is conscious of this difference, between acting from a perception of utility, and from a feeling of obligation or a sense of duty; and it would be difficult to prove that any perception of utility alone ever amounts to an actual obligation.—(2.) In that class of actions to which is properly applied a calculation of utility. we see the most remarkable differences in indement manifested by men, whom we regard as holding a high place in respect both of integrity and talent. Let us take for example the measures of political economy. A conscientious statesman feels that he is bound to pursue measures calculated to promote the good of his country; but the individual measures are often questions of expediency or utility. And what an endless diversity of judgment do we observe respecting them; and how often do we find measures proposed by able men, as calculated to produce important public benefit, which others. of no inferior name, with equal confidence condemn as frivolous, or even dangerous. If there can be such a difference of opinion respecting one class of actions, we cannot avoid the impression that there may be similar differences respecting others, whenever the decision is left to a simple process of reason; and we cannot but feel some misgivings, as to what the state of human society would be, if men, in their moral decisions, were kept together by no other ties than the speculations of each individual respecting general utility. In any such process, we can see no provision for that uniformity of feeling required for the class of actions in which are concerned our moral decisions;—and I can see nothing unphilosophical in the belief, that the Creator has provided, in reference to these, a part or a process in our moral constitution, which is incapable of analysis,—but which proves, as Butler has termed it, "a rule of right within, to every man

who honestly attends to it."

To this view of the subject I would add only one consideration, which alone appears to present an insurmountable objection to the doctrine of utility in all its modifications; namely, that any correct ideas of the utility of an action can be derived only from experience. The study of the principles of morality, therefore, would consist of a series of observations or experiments, by which valid conclusions might be ascertained; and an individual, entering upon the momentous question, would require either to trust to the conclusions of others, or to make the observations and experiments for himself. In the former case, he could not fail to perceive the precarious nature of the basis on which he was receiving principles of such weighty importance. He could not fail to remark, that, in other sciences, unsound and premature deductions had been brought forward, even on high authority. and allowed to usurp the place of truth. How is he to be satisfied, that in this highest of all inquiries, similar errors had not been committed. To avoid such uncertainty, he may resolve to make the observations or experiments for himself, and to trust only to his own conclusions. But here he is met by another difficulty of appalling magnitude. For a lifetime may not suffice to bring the experiments to a close; and, during this, he must remain in the same uncertainty on the great principles of morals, as respecting the periods of a comet, which having been seen for a day, darts off into its

eccentric orbit, and may not return for a century. How can it accord with our convictions of the wisdom of Him who made us, that he should have made us thus.

The foundation of all these Theories of Morals, then, seems to be the impression, that there is nothing right or wrong, just or unjust, in itself; but that our ideas of right and wrong, justice and injustice, arise either from actual law or mutual compact, or from our view of the tendencies of actions. Another modification of these theories, liable, as it is sometimes stated, to similar objection, ascribes the origin of right and wrong directly to the will of the Deity, and holds that there is nothing wrong which might not have been right, if he had so ordained it.

By the immutability of moral distinctions, as opposed to these theories, we mean,—that there are certain actions which are immutably right, and which we are bound in duty to perform, and certain actions which are immutably wrong, apart from any other consideration whatever; and that an absolute conviction of this is fixed upon us, in the moral principle or conscience, independently of knowledge derived from any other source respecting the will or laws of the Almighty. This important distinction has been sometimes not unaptly expressed by saying of such actions, -not that they are right because the Deity has commanded them, but that he has commanded them because they are right. By this system, therefore, which refers our moral impressions to the supreme authority of conscience, a principle is disclosed. which, independently even of revelation, not only establishes an absolute conviction of the laws of moral rectitude, but leads us to the impression of moral responsibility and a moral Governor; and, as immediately flowing from this, a state of future retribution. We have already shewn this to accord with the declarations of the sacred writings, and it is evidently the only system on which we can account for that uniformity of moral sentiment which is absolutely required for the harmonies of society. For it is, in fact, on a conviction of this feeling in ourselves, and of the existence of a similar and universal principle in others, that is founded all the mutual confidence which keeps mankind together. It is this reciprocity of moral feeling that proves a constant check upon the conduct of men in the daily transactions of life; but, to answer

this purpose, there is evidently required an impression of its uniformity, or a conviction that the actions, which we disapprove in others, will be condemned in us by the unanimous decision of other men. It is equally clear that we have no such impression of a uniformity of sentiment on any other subject, except on those referable to the class of first truths; and this immediately indicates a marked distinction between our moral impressions, and any of those conclusions at which we arrive by a process of the understanding. It is clear, also, that this uniformity can arise from no system, which either refers us directly to the will of God, or is liable to be affected by the differences which may exist in the judgment, the moral taste, the personal feelings, or the interests of different individuals. It must be, in itself, fixed and immutable, conveying an absolute conviction which admits of no doubt and no difference of opinion. Such is the great principle of conscience. However its warnings may be neglected, and its influence obscured by passion and moral degradation, it still asserts its claim to govern the whole man. "Had it strength," says Butler, "as it had right; had it power, as it had manifest authority, it would absolutely govern the world."

In opposition to this belief of a uniformity of moral feeling, much importance has been attached to the practices of certain ancient and some barbarous nations, as the encouragement of theft in Sparta, and the exposure of the aged among certain tribes in India. Such instances prove no diversity of moral feelings; but a difference of practice, arising from certain specialties, real or supposed, by which, in the particular cases, the influence of the primary moral feeling is, for the time, set aside. It is of no importance to the argument, whether the disturbing principle thus operating be the result of an absurd local policy or a barbarous superstition. It is enough that we see a principle, which, in point of fact, does thus operate, suspending, in the particular instances, the primary moral impression. It was not that, in Sparta, there was any absence of the usual moral feeling in regard to theft in the abstract, -but that the cultivation of habits of activity and enterprise, which arose from the practice, was considered as a national object of the highest importance, in a small and warlike state, surrounded by powerful enemies. It is precisely in the same manner, that, in individual conduct, a man-

may be misled by passion or by interest to do things which his sober judgment condemns. In doing so, there is no want of the ordinary moral feeling which influences other men; but he has brought himself to violate this feeling, for certain purposes which he finds to be highly desirable; and then, probably, seeks to defend his conduct to the satisfaction of his own mind, and of the minds of others. He has a distinct perception of what is right, while he does what is wrong. There are numerous facts which illustrate the same principle, and shew the recognition of correct moral feelings, even in those who habitually and daringly violate them ;-as the laws of honour and honesty which robbers observe towards each other,—and the remarkable fidelity of smugglers towards their associates. In some of the tribes in the South seas, also, most remarkable for their dishonesty, it was found, that while they encouraged each other in pillaging strangers, theft was most severely punished among themselves. Need I farther refer, on this subject, to the line of argument adopted in the great question of slavery. It is directed to the palliating circumstances in the actual state of slavery, not to a broad defence of slavery itself. Its object is to shew, that slavery, under all its present circumstances, may be reconciled with the principles of humanity and justice;—no attempt is ever made to prove, that it is consistent with these principles to tear a human being from his country and his kindred, and make him a slave.\*

On this subject we are sometimes triumphantly asked, where is the conscience of the Inquisitor,—as if the moral condition of such an individual incontestably proved, that there can be no such power as we consider conscience to be. But I think it cannot be doubted, that, as in the more common cases which have been mentioned, the conscience of the Inquisitor comes gradually to be accommodated to the circumstances in which he has voluntarily placed himself. This remarkable moral process has been repeatedly referred to. It may originate in various causes. It may arise from passion, or an ill-regulated state of the desires or affections of the mind; it may arise from motives of interest, leading a man

<sup>\*</sup> See this subject eloquently argued in Dr. Chalmers' Bridgewater Treatise.

by small and gradual steps into actions which his sober judgment condemns; or false opinions, however received, may be allowed to fasten on the mind, until, from want of candid examination, they come to be invested with the authority of truth. In the moral process which follows, each single step is slight, and its influence almost imperceptible; but this influence is perpetuated, and gains strength in each succeeding step, until the result is a total derangement of the moral harmony of the mind.

It remains only that we briefly notice the system of Dr. Adam Smith, commonly called the theory of Sympathy. According to this ingenious writer, it is required for our moral sentiments respecting an action, that we enter into the feelings both of the agent, and of him to whom the action relates. If we sympathize with the feelings and intentions of the agent. we approve of his conduct as right, -if not, we consider it as wrong. If, in the individual to whom the action refers, we sympathize with a feeling of gratitude, we regard the agent as worthy of praise, -if with a feeling of resentment, the contrary. We thus observe our feelings respecting the conduct of others, in cases in which we are not personally concerned. -then apply these rules to ourselves, and thus judge of our own conduct. This very obvious statement, however, of what every man feels, does not supply the place of a fundamental rule of right and wrong; and indeed Dr. Smith does not appear to contend that it does so. It applies only to the application of a principle, not to the origin of it. Our sympathy can never be supposed to constitute an action right or wrong: but it enables us to apply to individual cases a principle of right and wrong derived from another source ;-and to clear our judgment in doing so, from the blinding influence of those selfish feelings by which we are so apt to be misled when we apply it directly to ourselves. In estimating our own conduct. we then apply to it those conclusions which we have made with regard to the conduct of others, -or we imagine others applying the same process in regard to us, and consider how our conduct would appear to an impartial observer.

This, however, is a most important principle in regard to our moral decisions, -namely, the process by which we view an action, or a course of conduct, in another, and then apply the decision to ourselves. When the power of moral judg-

ment is obscured or deadened in regard to our own conduct. by self-love or deranged moral habits, all the correctness of judgment is often preserved respecting the actions of others. It is thus that men are led on by interest or passion into courses of action, which, if viewed calmly and dispassionately. they would not deliberately defend even in themselves, and which, when viewed in others, they promptly condemn. This principle is beautifully illustrated in the sacred writings. when the prophet went to the king of Israel, and laid before him the hypothetical case of a rich man, who had committed an act of gross and unfeeling injustice against a poor neighbour. The monarch was instantly roused to indignation, and pronounced a sentence of severe but righteous vengeance against the oppressor, -when the prophet turned upon him with the solemn denunciation, "Thou art the man." His moral feeling in regard to his own conduct was dead; but his power of correct moral decision when applied to another was undiminished.

In regard to the whole of this subject, an important distinction is to be made between the fundamental principle. from which actions derive their character of right and wrong. -and the application of reason in judging of their tendencies. Before concluding this part of the subject, therefore, we have to add a very few observations on the influence exerted on our moral decisions by reason,—always however in subserviency to the great principle of conscience. The office of reason appears to be, in the first place, to judge of the expediency, propriety, and consequences of actions, which do not involve any feeling of moral duty. In regard to the affections, again, a process of reason is often necessary, not only respecting the best mode of exercising them, but also in many cases, in deciding whether we shall exercise them at all. Thus, we may feel compassion in a particular instance, but perceive the individual to be so unworthy, that what we could do would be of no benefit to him. In such a case we may feel it to be a matter not only of prudence, but of duty, to resist the affection, and to reserve the aid we have to bestow for persons more deserving.

In cases in which an impression of moral duty is concerned, an exercise of reason is still, in many instances, necessary, for enabling us to adapt our means to the end

which we desire to accomplish. We may feel an anxious wish to promote the interest or relieve the distress of another, or to perform some high and important duty, but call reason to our aid respecting the most effectual and the most judicious means of doing so. Conscience, in such cases, produces the intention,-reason suggests the means; and it is familiar to every one that these do not always harmonize. Thus a man may be sound in his intentions, who errs in judgment respecting the means for carrying them into effect. In such cases, we attach our feeling of moral approbation to the intention only ;-we say the man meant well, but erred in judgment; and to this error we affix no feeling of moral disapprobation,—unless, perhaps, in some cases, we may blame him for acting precipitately on his own judgment, instead of taking the advice of those qualified to direct him. We expect such a man to acquire wisdom from experience. by observing the deficiency of his judgment in reference to his intentions; and, in future instances, to learn to take advice. There are other circumstances in which an exercise of reason is frequently brought into action in regard to moral decisions; -us in some cases in which one duty appears to interfere with another ;-likewise in judging whether, in particular instances, any rule of duty is concerned, or whether we are at liberty to take up the case simply as one of expediency or utility. In making their decisions in doubtful eases, of this description, we observe great differences in the habits of judging in different individuals. One shews the most minute and scrupulous anxiety, to discover whether the case involves any principle of duty,-and a similar anxiety in acting suitably when he has discovered it. This is what we call a strictly conscientious man. Another, who shows no want of a proper sense of duty when the line is clearly drawn, has less anxiety in such cases as these, and may sacrifice minute or doubtful points to some other feeling, -as self-interest, or even friendship, -where the former individual might have discovered a principle of daty.

Reason is also concerned in judging of a description of cases, in which a modification of moral feeling arises from the complexity of actions,—or, in other words, from the circumstances in which the individual is placed. This may be illus-

trated by the difference of moral sentiment, which we attach to the act of taking away the life of another,—when this is done, by an individual under the impulse of revenge,—by the same individual in self-defence,—or by a judge in the dis-

charge of his public duty.

There is still another office frequently assigned to Reason in moral decisions,—as when we speak of a man acting upon Reason as opposed to passion. This however is, correctly speaking, only a different use of the term; and it means that he acts upon a calm consideration of the motives by which he ought to be influenced, instead of being hurried away by a desire or an affection which has been allowed to usurp undue influence.

The important distinction, therefore, which these observations have been intended to illustrate, may be briefly recapitulated in the following manner. Our impression of the aspect of actions, as right or wrong, is conveyed by a principle in the human mind entirely distinct from a simple exercise of reason, -and the standard of moral rectitude derived from this source is, in its own nature, fixed and immutable. But there are many cases in which an exercise of reason may be employed. in referring particular actions to this standard, or trying them. as it were, by means of it. Any such mental process, however. is only to be considered as a kind of test applied to individual instances, and must not be confounded with the standard to which it is the office of this test to refer them. Right or virtuous conduct does, in point of fact, contribute to general utility, as well as to the advantage of the individual, in the true and extended sense of that term, and these tendencies are perceived by Reason. But it is neither of these that constitutes it right. This is founded entirely on a different principle,—the immutable rule of moral rectitude; it is perceived by a different part of our constitution,—the moral principle, or conscience; and, by the operation of this principle, we pronounce it right, without any reference to its consequences either to ourselves or others.

The preceding observations, on Conscience, I leave nearly as they stood in the former edition of this volume. Since the

publication of that edition, I have seen various discussions of this important question, but have found nothing to alter the opinion I have expressed, respecting the nature and the authority of conscience as an original principle in our moral constitution; and I see no system by which we can escape from the numerous difficulties surrounding every other view of the subject. In particular, I cannot perceive what is gained by those who refer our moral decisions to a process of reason or judgment alone. For by judgment, in the ordinary and recognised acceptation of the term, I can understand nothing more than a power of comparing two or more facts or impressions together, and tracing their relations. When we apply such a mental process to a question of morals, it can amount to nothing more than a comparison of our conduct with some standard. If those who hold the dectrine referred to, mean any thing more than this,—if they allow the mind a power of moral decision independently of any such standard, then this is precisely what we mean by conscience, and the controversy resolves itself, like not a few that have gone before it, into a dispute about a name. If they do not allow the mind such a power, it then becomes them to say, what is the standard by which its moral judgments are to be formed, and whence is it derived. It appears, I think, distinctly, that it can be derived only from one of two sources. It must either be received through divine revelation; or it must be the result of our speculations respecting utility, in one or other of the forms in which that doctrine is presented to us. There does not appear to be any middle course; and accordingly some late writers, who reject the latter system, while they do not admit the authority of conscience, seem to refer our moral impressions entirely to the will of the Deity as made known to us by revelation. I have formerly stated what seem to me to be insuperable objections to this doctrine. It appears, indeed, to be distinctly opposed by the very words. of Scripture, which clearly recognise a power, or a process in the mind by which "those who are without law," that is without a revelation, "are a law nuto themselves, their consciences bearing witness, and their thoughts accusing or else excusing one another."

It does, I confess, appear to me, that some late excellent and respectable writers, in their apprehension of not giving

sufficient prominence to the doctrine of human depravity, have greatly under-rated the actual power of conscience, and have thus injured in a most essential manner the important argument which is derived from the moral impressions of the mind. True it is, indeed, that the nature of man is degenerate, and that the effect of this appears in his disregarding and disobeying that monitor within. I am not disposed to differ from the writers referred to, respecting the existence and the extent of this degeneracy, but rather as to the manner in which it operates in the actual moral condition of mankind. I do not say that there is in human nature more good than they assign to it, but that there is more knowledge of what is good; not that men do better than these writers allege, but that they have a greater sense of what they ought to do. Those who maintain the absolute and universal corruption of conscience may also be reminded of the remarkable differences which are admitted to exist in different men, and the manner in which moral feeling is gradually obscured or overpowered by a course of personal depravity. The facts are universally admitted respecting the contest with moral principle which attends the first stages of vice, and the remorse which follows. But after each departure from virtue, this opposing influence is progressively weakened, and at length destroyed. In this progress, then, we must admit two distinct conditions of the moral feelings, -one in which conscience distinctly points at what is right, however its warnings may be disregarded,and another in which its warning influence is weakened or lost. In the former condition, I think we may affirm that it asserts its right and its authority, though its strength and its power are departed; and it does not appear to be saying too much, if we say in the striking language of Butler, "had it strength as it had right, had it power as it had manifest authority, it would absolutely govern the world."

## § 2.—OF THE HARMONY OF THE MORAL FEELINGS.

On whatever system we may consider the moral feelings, we perceive that there are various classes of them,—each answering a special purpose, in our relations as accountable beings.

Some of them, we have seen, refer to objects of desire, the affainment of which appears likely to bring satisfaction. Others lead us to those relations which we bear to our fellowmen. A third class, which remains to be considered, calls our attention to the relation in which we stand to the moral governor of the universe, and to a certain regulation of the moral feelings arising out of this relation. But there is still another inquiry of the deepest interest, connected with this object, namely, regarding the harmony or principle of arrangement, which these various classes of moral emotions ought to bear towards each other. They all form parts of our constitution, and deserve a certain degree of attention, which must be carefully adapted to the relative importance of each; and the correct adjustment of this harmony is one of the objects to be answered by the moral principle, combined with a sound exercise of judgment. The rules which apply to it

may be stated in the following manner.

When we consider man as an immortal being, passing through a course of discipline to another state of existence. it is obvious that his highest consideration is his own moral condition, and the aspect in which he stands towards the Deity. In immediate connexion with this first of all concerns are the great and general principles of justice and veracity, as referring to our connexion with all mankind, and a class of private responsibilities which peculiarly regard each individual in his domestic relations; such as the duties of children to their parents, and parents to their children;—the latter, particularly, presenting a class of the most solemn kind, as it embraces the concerns of the present life, and of that which is to come. Then follow the duties of benevolence, friendship, and patriotism; after these, the ordinary avocations of life, as the acquisition of knowledge and the pursuits of business; and finally, those personal recreations and enjoyments, which, when kept in their proper place, are legitimate and necessary to every human being. These are all proper and laudable, provided they are kept in a proper subserviency to each other. But the important consideration is, that a man may be acting unworthily of his moral nature, when he devotes himself to any one of them in a manner which encreaches upon the harmony of the whole.

To begin with the lowest of them, it is unnecessary to

state how this remark applies to the man whose life is devoted to pursuits which rank no higher than recreation or amusement. It must be obvious to every one of the smallest degree of reflection, that such a man is living only for the present life. What cannot be denied of mere amusement. must also be admitted respecting a life of business, however important in themselves the concerns may be which engross the mind. They still refer only to present things, and carry not the thoughts beyond the moment which bounds the period of moral discipline. Even the engagements of benevolence and public usefulness, estimable as they are, may be allowed to usurp an improper place; and they do so, if they withdraw the attention from responsibilities and duties which belong more particularly to ourselves as individuals, -such as the duties of parents and of children,-and the other claims which arise out of the relations of domestic life. Finally, it is ever to be kept in mind, that no engagements of any description must be allowed to interfere with obligations, of the highest interest to every man,-those which relate to his own moral condition, in the sight of him who is now his witness, and will soon be his Judge. From want of due attention to this consideration, year after year glides over us, and life hastens to its close, amid cares and toils and anxieties which relate only to the present world. Thus, fame may be acquired, or wealth accumulated; or, after a laborious ascent, a man may have gained the height of ambition, when the truth bursts upon him that life is nearly over, while its great business is yet to begin, the preparation of the moral being for an eternal existence.

It is scarcely necessary to add, on the other hand, that attention to this first of all concerns must not be allowed to estrange the mind from the various duties and responsibilities of active life. It is only, indeed, when the conduct is regulated by partial and unsound motives, that some of these objects of attention are allowed to usurp the place of others. He who acts, not from the high principles of moral duty, but from a desire of notoriety, or the applause of men, may devote himself to much benevolence and usefulness of a public and ostensible kind; while he neglects duties of a higher, though more private nature,—and overlooks entirely, it may be, his own moral condition. The ascetie, on the contrary,

shuts himself up in his cell, and imagines that he pleases God by meditation and voluntary austerities. But this is not the part of him who truly feels his varied relations, and correctly estimates his true responsibilities.—It is striking, also, to remark, how the highest principles lead to a character of harmony and consistency, which all inferior motives fail entirely in producing. The man, who estimates most deeply and correctly his own moral relations to an ever-present and presiding Deity, will also feel his way through the various duties of life, with a degree of attention adapted to each of them. In the retirements of domestic life, he is found in the anxious discharge of the high responsibilities which arise out of its relations. He is found in the path of private benevolence and public usefulness, manifesting the kind and brotherly interest of one who acts on the purest of all motives,-the love of God, and a principle of devotedness to his service. Whether exposed to the view of his fellow-men, or seen only by Him who seeth in secret, his conduct is the same,for the principles on which he acts have, in both situations, equal influence. In the ordinary concerns of life, the power of these principles is equally obvious. Whether he engage in its business, or partake of its enjoyments; -- whether he encounter its difficulties, or meet its pains, disappointments, and sorrows,-he walks through the whole with the calm dignity of one who views all the events of the present life, in their immediate reference to a life which is to come.

The high consistency of character, which results from this regulated condition of the moral feelings, tends thus to promote a due attention to the various responsibilities connected with the situation in which the individual is placed. It does so, by leading him, with anxious consideration, to feel his way through these requirements, and to recognise the supreme authority of conscience over his whole moral system. It does so, especially, by habitually raising his views to the eternal One, who is the witness of all his conduct, and to whom he is responsible for his actions in each relation of life. It thus tends to preserve him from all those partial and inconsistent courses, into which men are led by the mere desire of approbation, or love of distinction, or by any other of those inferior motives which are really resolvable into self-love.

Such uniformity of moral feeling is equally opposed to

another distortion of character, not less at variance with a sound condition of the mind. This is what may be called religious pretension, shewing itself by much zeal for particular opinions and certain external observances, while there is no corresponding influence upon the moral feelings and the character. The truths which form the great object of religious belief, are of so momentous a kind, that, when they are really believed, they cannot fail to produce effects of the most decided and most extensive nature; and, where this influence is not steadily exhibited, there is a fatal error in the moral economy,-there is either self-deception, or an intention to deceive others. From such inconsistency of character arises an evil, which has a most injurious influence upon two descriptions of persons. Those of one class are led to assign an undue importance to the profession of a peculiar creed and the mere externals of religion, to certain observances which are considered as characteristic of a particular party, and to abstinence from certain indulgences or pursuits which that party disapprove. Those of the other class, finding, in many instances, much zeal for these peculiarities, without a state of moral feeling adapted to the truths which are professed, are apt to consider the whole as either pretence or delusion.

In their mutual error there is to both matter of important warning. It becomes the latter to beware, lest, misled by the failings of weak or inconsistent men, they withdraw their attention from truths of solemn import to themselves as moral beings. There may be much pretension where there is no real feeling; but are they from this entitled to infer, there is not a reality in that which these pretenders counterfeit. By a slight gilding, articles of triffing value are made to assume the appearance of gold; but would it be reasonable to contend, that there are no articles of intrinsic worth which these are made to imitate. The fair induction is, in both instances, the opposite. Were there no such articles of pure gold, this ingenuity would not be employed in fabricating base imitations; and the hypocrite would not assume qualities he does not possess, were there not real virtues, from a resemblance to which he hopes to procure for his character that ostensible value which may enable it to deceive. But let those who have detected this deception beware of founding upon it

conclusions which it does not warrant. They have not found the reality here, but there is not the less a pure and high standard which claims their utmost regard. If they search for it either among inconsistent or among designing men, they seek the living among the dead. Let them contemplate it especially as it is displayed in the character of the Messiah: in him it was exhibited in a manner which demands the imitation of every rational man, while it challenges the cordial assent of the most acute understanding, that this is the per-

fection of a moral being.

On the other hand, let those, who profess to be influenced by the highest of all motives, study to exhibit their habitual influence in a consistent uniformity of the whole character. It is easy to acquire a peculiar phraseology, to shew much zeal for peculiar opinions, and rigid attention to peculiar observances; and, among a party, it is not difficult to procure a name, by condemning certain other compliances which by them are technically styled the manners of the world. But all this, it is evident, may be assumed; it may be, and probably often is, no better than a name; it often amounts to nothing more than substituting one kind of excitement for another, while the moral being continues unchanged. True religion is seated in the heart, and sends out from thence a purifying influence over the whole character. In its essential nature it is a contest within, open only to the eye of Him who seeth in secret. It seeks not, therefore, the applause of men; and it shrinks from that spurious religionism whose prominent characters are talk, and pretension, and external observance, often accompanied by uncharitable censure. Like its divine pattern, it is meek and lowly,—"it is pure and peaceable, gentle and easy to be entreated, fully of mercy and of good fruits, without partiality, and without hypocrisy.' It aims not at an estentations display of principles, but at a steady exhibition of fruits. Qualities, which it cultivates with especial care, are humility, and charity, and mercy,the mortification of every selfish passion, and the denial of every selfish indulgence. When thus exhibited in its true and genuine characters, it commands the respect of every sound understanding, and challenges the assent of all to its reality and its truth, as the highest principle that can regulate the conduct of a moral being,

## PART IV.

## OF THE MORAL RELATION OF MAN TOWARDS THE DEITY.

THE healthy state of a moral being is strikingly referred, in the sacred writings, to three great heads, -justice, -bonevolence,-and a conformity of the moral feelings to a reverential sense of the presence and perfections of the Deity; -"to do justly, to love merey, and to walk humbly with thy God." The two former of these considerations lead us to the duties which a man owes to his fellow-man;—the latter calls our attention to that homage of the mind and of the heart which he owes peculiarly to God. For the duties of the former class we are equally responsible to him, as the moral governor of the universe, but their immediate reference is to our connexions with other men ;-those of the latter class respect our relation to the Deity himself, and consequently consist, in a great measure, in the purity and devotedness of the mind. In human systems of ethics, attention has been chiefly directed to the obligations of social and relative morality; but the two classes are closely associated in the sacred writings; and the sound condition of the moral feelings is pointed out as that acquirement which, along with a corresponding integrity of character, qualifies man, in an especial manner, for intercourse with the Deity. "Who shall ascend into the hill of the Lord, or who shall stand in his hely place? He that hath clean hands and a pure heart. who hath not lifted up his soul unto vanity, nor sworn deceitfully."-" Blessed are the pure in heart, for they shall see God."

Such declarations challenge the assent and absolute conviction of every sound understanding. Are we, as responsible

creatures, placed in immediate relation to a great moral governor, a being of infinite purity and boundless perfections:

—Is the structure of our bodies, and the still more wonderful fabric of our minds, alike the work of his hand:—Then it is impossible to put away from us the impression,—that each movement of these minds must be fully exposed to his inspection. It is equally impossible to repel from us the solemn truth,—that it is by the desires, the feelings, and the motives of action which exist there, that our condition is to be estimated in his sight,—and that a man, whose conduct to his fellow-men does not violate propriety and justice, may be in a state of moral degradation in the eyes of him who seeth in secret;—"for," says the sacred writer, "man looketh on the outward appearance, but the Lord looketh on the heart."

There cannot, therefore, be an inquiry of more intense interest, than what is that condition of the heart and of the mind which every man ought to seek after, when he considers himself as exposed to the continual inspection of the Almighty. It may, perhaps, be briefly referred to the following heads:

I. A habitual effort to cultivate a sense of the divine presence,-and a habitual desire to have the whole moral condition regulated by this impression. It implies, therefore, sacred respect to the character of the Deity, and is opposed to every kind of profaneness, or aught by which one might weaken, in himself or others, the reverential feeling due towards the character, and even the name of the Almighty. This must be extended not to the outward conduct alone, but to the desires and affections of the heart. There is a state of mind, formerly referred to, in which a desire, which the moral feelings disapprove, may not be followed by volition: while the desire is still indulged, and the mind is allowed to cherish it with some feeling of regret, or even to luxuriate with a sense of pleasure in the imaginary gratification. In the same manner, a malevolent affection to our fellow-men may be checked from producing injurious conduct, while the feeling still rankles in the heart, in the form of envy or hatred. These mental conditions, while they are widely at variance with the healthy state of a rational and responsible being, must be regarded by the Deity as constituting moral guilt and moral degradation. Nor is it only on the mind, which cherishes malevolent passions and im-

pure desires and imaginations, that the Holy One must look with a feeling of condemnation. There may be another mental condition, in which the thoughts and desires are directed to transient and frivolous objects, and thus run to waste amid the trifles of the passing hour, without any feeling of the truths and motives which demand the attention of moral beings. The pursuits of such a man may have nothing in them that is referable either to impure desire or malevolent affection. They may be the acquisition of wealth,-the grasp after power,-the love of distinction,-or a devotedness to merely trivial occupations; -while there is a total neglect of those great concerns which really demand our chief and highest regard. Amid the legitimate and even the laudable pursuits of ordinary life, we are too apt to lose sight of those duties and responsibilities which attend a state of moral discipline, -and that culture of the soul required as a preparation for the future state of existence to which we are hastening. But we cannot doubt that these considerations bear an important aspect in the eye of the Deity; and that the mind in which they hold not a habitual influence is contemplated by him as in a state of moral destitution.

There are, accordingly, two classes of characters clearly pointed out in the sacred writings, -namely, one in whom the conduct indicates the depravity within, and another, in whom the external character preserves a respectable aspect in the estimation of men, while the moral feelings are in a corrupted condition, in the sight of God. We have formerly endeavoured to trace the laws to which this fact is to be referred, on the principles of the philosophy of the human mind;—they are chiefly two. (1:) We have seen that there are original principles in our nature, which lend to a certain exercise of justice, veracity, and benevolence, independently of any recognition of divine authority. They are a part of our moral constitution, and calculated to promote important purposes in the harmony of human society; and they carry along with them a certain principle of reciprocal compensation, which is entirely distinct from any impression of their moral aspect. The man who is deficient in them, indeed, incurs guilt; but a certain discharge of them may arise from mere natural, or even selfish feeling, unconnected with any sense of responsibility; and this consequently conveys

no impression of moral approbation. In the very exercise of them a man receives his reward, partly by a feeling of satisfaction, which, from the constitution of his nature, they are calculated to yield, and partly as a member of that community where they promote peace, and order, and harmony; and he is not entitled to look farther, or to claim from them any feeling of merit in the sight of the Deity. (2.) A second principle, which bears an important relation to this subject, is the manner in which a man's character is influenced by the particular motive or pursuit to which he has resigned the guidance of his conduct. One surrenders himself to the animal propensities, and becomes a selfish profligate, insensible to every right principle of action, while his depraved condition is obvious to all around him. A second devotes himself to ambition ;-and a third to avarice :-These ruling passions, it may be, are found to be adverse to the selfish indulgence and open profligacy of the former; and a character may arise out of them distinguished by much that is decent, and respectable, and worthy of approbation in the eye of man. In a fourth, the ruling motive may be the desire of esteem and approbation; and this may, and often does, become a principle of such influence, as to overpower, in a great measure, the selfish propensities, and to produce a character estimable not only for justice and veracity, but a high degree of active benevolence. Such a man sacrifices to his ruling passion much that might be turned to the purposes of ambition, avarice, or selfish indulgence, by those who are guided by these propensities; and, in doing so, he has his reward. He finds it in the gratification of that principle which in him has become predominant; and, rather than forfeit the esteem of those whose approbation he values, he will submit to much personal exertion, and sacrifice much selfish advantage, which others might deem highly worthy of attainment. But all this may go on without any recognition of divine authority; and may all exist in a man in whom there is much impurity of desire, and much deficiency of moral feeling. It is all referable to a motive of a personal nature, and, in the gratification of this, his ruling principle is satisfied.

The state of mind which is under the influence of a habitual sense of the divine presence may, therefore, be considered under two relations,—the one referring more immediately to the Deity,-the other to our fellow-men. The former seems chiefly to include an effort to have every desire, thought, and imagination of the heart, regulated by a sense of the presence and the purity of God, and in conformity to his will. much feeling of deficiency in these respects, it leads our attention to that interesting mental condition, in which there is a contest and a warfare within, -and a prevailing opposition to every thing that is at variance with the purity of a moral being. The second division includes the cultivation of feelings of kindness and benevolence towards all men; -the love of justice,-the love of truth,-the love of peace,-the forgiveness of injuries,-the mortification of selfishness;-in a word, the earnest and habitual desire to promote the comfort and alleviate the distresses of others. From these two mental conditions must spring a character, distinguished alike by piety towards God, and by high integrity, benevolence, and active usefulness towards man. He who earnestly cultivates this purity within, feels that he requires continual watchfulness, and a constant direction of the mind to those truths and moral causes which are calculated to influence his volitions. He feels farther that he is in need of a might not his own in this high design; but for this he knows also he can look, with humble confidence and hope, when, under a sense of moral weakness, he asks its powerful aid.

II. A humble and dutiful submission to the appointments of Providence,—as part of a great system which is regulated by infinite wisdom. The man, who bears upon his mind this sublime impression, has learnt to contemplate the Almighty One as disposing of the events of the lower world, and assigning to each of his rational creatures the place which he occupies. That place, whatever it may be, he perceives has attached to it special duties and responsibilities, -and calls for the cultivation of moral qualities peculiarly adapted to it. Is it one of comfort, wealth, or influence, -solemn obligations arise out of the means of usefulness which these command. Is it one of humble life, privation, or actual suffering,—each of these also has its peculiar duties, and each is to be contemplated as belonging to a great system of moral discipline in which no part can be wanting in consistency with the harmony of the whole. Such a submission of the soul to the appointments of God does not preclude the use of all legitimate

means for bettering our condition, or for preventing our removing sources of distress. But when, under the proper use of such means, these are not removed, it leads us habitually to that higher power, to whose will all such attempts must be subservient;—and, while it elevates our thoughts above present events and second causes, it reminds us of that great scheme of discipline through which we are passing, and the purposes which these events are calculated to promote in our own moral improvement. Viewed under such feelings, the ills of life lose that aspect in which we are too apt to contemplate them; and will be considered with new and peculiar interest, as essential to that system, the great object of which is to prepare and purify us for a higher state of being.

III. A sense of moral imperfection and guilt,—and that humility and devout self-abasement which arise out of it. This must be a prominent feeling in every one who views his own conduct, and his mental emotions, in reference to the purity of God. It naturally leads to supplication for his mercy and forgiveness; and, in the wondrous display of his character, given in the sacred writings, a provision is disclosed, in virtue of which the exercise of mercy is made consistent with the truth and justice of a moral governor. This dispensation of peace we find habitually represented as adapted to man in a state of spiritual destitution; and no mental condition is more frequently referred to, as acceptable with the Deity, than that which consists of contrition and lowliness of mind.—"Thus sayeth the high and lofty One that inhabiteth eternity, whose name is Holy; I dwell in the high and holy place, with him also that is of a contrite and humble spirit,—to revive the spirit of the humble, and to revive the heart of the contrite ones." With this state of mind is very naturally associated a sense of moral weakness,-and a constant reliance on divine aid, both for direction through life, and for the culture of the moral being.

IV. It is only necessary to add,—a profound sense of gratitude and love towards the Deity as the giver of all good,—as our daily preserver and benefactor. These feelings will have a special reference to the display which he has given of his character, as merciful, gracious, and slow to anger; and to the provision which he has made for the recovery and res-

teration of his fallen creatures, through "God manifest in the flesh." Of this divine person, and the work which he came to accomplish, philosophy presumes not to speculate :but we have seen the light afforded, by the inductions of moral science, respecting the probability of this revelation,and its adaptation to the actual state of man in his relation to the Deity. We have seen the impression conveyed by the character of the Messiah, considered merely as matter of historical truth, -exhibiting such a pattern, as never appeared in our world, except in him, of a pure and perfect meral being. We have seen, farther, the incontrovertible nature of that evidence, transmitted by testimony, and confirmed, as it is, in a very peculiar manner, by periodical observances, on which the whole revelation is supported;—and the inductions of sound philosophy harmonize with the impressions of the man, who, feeling his own moral necessities, vields his cordial assent to this mystery of God, and seeks in its provisions his peace in the life that new is, and his hope for the life that is to come.

From the whole mental condition, thus slightly delineated, there will naturally arise a character and conduct adapted to the feelings and principles which rule within. This implies, as we have seen, a due regulation of the desires, and a habitual direction of them to objects of real and adequate importance,-a diligent cultivation and exercise of all the affections—and a conduct distinguished, in the highest degree, by purity, integrity, veracity, and active benevolence. It implies a profound submission to the will of the Almighty, which puts to silence every murmuring or repining thought under any dispensation of his providence. It comprehends the habitual suppression of every selfish principle, and the constant aspiration after a state of moral feeling, which proposes to itself no lower standard than that which will bear the inspection of a being of infinite purity. This character seems to correspond with that high tone of morals enjoined in the sacred writings. Its elements are defined and clear; would we seek to estimate its sublimity and its truth, we have only to compare it with those distorted and temporizing systems which have resulted from the inventions of men. A feeling of dissatisfaction, the same in kind, though it may differ in degree, will attach to them all; and there is none in

which we can confidently rest, until we rise to the sublime morality of the gospel. That great system of ethical purity comes to us under the sanction of divine revelation, and established by the miraculous evidence by which the proof of this is conveyed; but it is independent of any other support than that which it carries in itself,—consistency with the character of God,—and harmony with the best feelings of man. In yielding an absolute consent to its supreme authority, we require no external evidence. We have only to look at the record in its own majestic simplicity, tried by the highest inductions of the philosophy of the moral feelings, to enable us to point to the morality of the gospel, and to say

with unshrinking confidence,-this is truth.

If we would seek for that, which must be of all conceivable things of the highest moment both for the peace and the improvement of the moral being, it is to be found in the habit of mind, in which there is the uniform contemplation of the divine character, with a constant reliance on the guidance of the Almighty in every action of life. "One thing," says an inspired writer, "have I desired of the Lord, that will I seek after; that I may dwell in the house of the Lord all the days of my life, to behold the beauty of the Lord, and to inquire in his temple."-The man, who thus cultivates the habitual impression of the divine presence, lives in an atmosphere peculiarly his own. The storms which agitate the lower world may blow around or beneath, but they touch not him : -as the traveller has seen from the mountain's top the war of elements below, while he stood in unclouded sunshine. In the works, and ways, and perfections of the Eternal One, he finds a subject of exalted contemplation, in comparison with which the highest inquiries of human science sink into insignificance. It is an exercise, also, which tends at once to elevate and to purify the mind. It raises us from the minor concerns and transient interests which are so apt to occupy us,-to that wondrous field in which "worlds on worlds compose one universe,"-and to that mind which bade them move in their appointed orbits, and maintains them all in undeviating harmony. While it thus teaches us to bend in humble adoration before a wisdom which we cannot fathom, and a power which we cannot comprehend, it directs our attention to a display of moral attributes which at once challenge our reverence and demand our imitation. By thus leading us to compare ourselves with the supreme excellence, it tends to produce true humility, and, at the same time, that habitual aspiration after moral improvement which constitutes the highest state of man. "The proud," says an eloquent writer, "look down upon the earth, and see nothing that creeps upon its surface more noble than themselves;—the humble look upwards to their God." This disposition of mind, so far from being opposed to the acquirements of philosophy, sits with peculiar grace upon the man who, through the most zealous cultivation of human science, ascends to the eternal cause. The farther he advances in the wonders of nature, the higher he rises in his adoration of the power and the wisdom which guide the whole ;- "Where others see a sun, he sees a Deity." And then, in every step of life, whether of danger, distress, or difficulty, the man who cultivates this intercourse with the incomprehensible One "inquires in his temple." He inquires for the guidance of divine wisdom, and the strength of divine aid, in his progress through the state of moral discipline;—he inquires, in a peculiar manner, for this aid in the culture of his moral being, when he views this mighty undertaking in its important reference to the life which is to come;—he inquires for a discernment of the ways of Divine Providence, as he either feels it in his own concerns, or views it in the chain of events which are going on in the world around him. He learns to trace the whole to the same unerring hand which guides the planet in its course; and thus rests in the absolute conviction that the ecomony of Providence is one great and magnificent system of design, and order, and harmony. These, we repeat with confidence, are no visions of the imagination, but the sound inductions of a calm and rational philosophy. They are conclusions which compel the assent of every candid inquirer. when he follows out that investigation of mighty import. what is God.—and what is that essence in man which he has endowed with the power of rising to himself.

To enlarge upon these important subjects would lead us away from the proper design of a work, which is intended chiefly to investigate the light we derive from the phenomena of the mind itself. The points which have been stated, as arising out of the impressions of every sound understanding,

challenge the assent of all who believe in a present and presiding Deity,—a being of infinite power and wisdom, and of perfect purity. With him who calls in question this sublime truth, we have no common feeling, and no mutual premises on which an argument can be founded. We must therefore leave him to sit in solitary pride, while he views the chaos which his fancy has framed, and strives to reconcile the discordant elements of a system, in which there are effects without a cause, and harmony without a regulating power; and in which the mind can perceive no element of credibility, consistency, or truth.

With this slight outline, therefore, we must quit a subject of the deepest interest, but which belongs rather to the theologian than to the inquirer in mental science;—and proceed briefly to investigate the means by which the condition of the moral feelings, which has been the subject of the preceding observations, may be promoted and cultivated as the regulating principle of the whole character. Two views may be taken of this point, which, though they harmonize with each other in practice, are to be considered, in their philoso-

phical aspect, as distinct.

The restoration of man from a state of estrangement. anarchy, or moral death, we are taught in the sacred writings to refer to a power from without the mind,—an influence directly from God. We have seen the various considerations derived from the phenomena of the mind. and our impressions of the divine character, giving to this great doctrine a probability which claims the assent of every correct understanding. But, without in any degree losing sight of the truth and the importance of this principle, the immediate object of our attention, as a branch of mental science, is rather the process of the mind itself, by means of which a habitual influence is produced upon the whole character. This is a compound operation which may probably be analyzed in the following manner. It seems to be composed of reason,—attention,—and a modification of conception. The province of Reason is to examine the truth of the statements or doctrines, which are proposed to the mind, as calculated to act upon its moral feelings;—and, upon this being done in a correct manner, must depend the validity of the subsequent parts of the mental process. This

being premised, it is the office of Attention, aided by reason. to direct the mind assiduously to the truths, so as fully to perceive their relations and tendencies. By the further process, analogous to Conception, they are then placed before us, in such a manner as to give them the effect of real and present existence. By these means, truths relating to things for which we have not the evidence of our senses, or referring to events which are future, but fully expected to happen, are kept before the mind, and influence the moral feelings and the character, in the same manner as if the facts believed were actually seen, or the events expected were taking place in our view. This mental operation is Faith :- and, for the sound exercise of it, the constituent elements now mentioned are essentially necessary. The truth must be received by the judgment upon adequate evidence; and, by the other parts of the process, it must be so kept before the mind, that it may exercise such a moral influence as might arise from the actual vision, or present existence, of the things believed.

Attention to these considerations will probably enable us to discover some of the fallacies which have obscured and bewildered this important subject. When the impression, which is thus allowed to influence the mind, is one which has not been received by the judgment, upon due examination, and adequate evidence of its truth, -this is enthusiasm, not faith. -Our present course of inquiry does not lead us to treat of the notions which have, in various individuals, been thus allowed to usurp the place of truth. To those who would preserve themselves from the influence of such, the first great inquiry, respecting their own mental impressions, ought to be,-are they facts,and on what evidence do they rest which can satisfy a sound understanding that they are so. On the other hand is to be avoided an error, not less dangerous than the wildest fancies of the enthusiast, and not less unworthy of a regulated mind. This consists in treating real and important truths as if they were visions of the imagination, and thus dismissing them, without examination, from the influence which they ought to produce upon the moral feelings. It is singular also to remark, how these two modifications of character may be traced to a condition of the reasoning powers, essentially the same. The former receives a fiction of the imagination, and rests upon it as truth. The latter, acting upon some prejudice or mental impression, which has probably no better foundation, puts away real and important truths without any examination of the evidence on which they are founded. The misapplication of the reasoning powers is the same in both. It consists in proceeding upon a mere impression, without exercising the judgment on the question of its evidence,—or on the facts and considerations which are opposed to it. Two characters of a very opposite description thus meet in that mental condition, which draws them equally, though in different directions, astray from the truth.

When a truth has fully received the sanction of the judgment, the second office of faith is, by attention and conception, to keep it habitually before the mind, so that it may produce its proper influence upon the character. This is to live by faith; -and in this consists that operation of the great principle, which effectually distinguishes it from all pretended feelings and impressions assuming its name. We speak, in common language, of a head-knowledge which does not affect the heart; - and of a man who is sound in his creed, while he shows little of its influence upon his conduct. The mental condition of such a man presents a subject of intense interest. His alleged belief, it is probable, consists merely in words, or in arguing ingeniously on points to which he attaches no real value. These may have been impressed upon him by education ;-they may constitute the creed of a party to which he has devoted himself; and he may argue in support of them with all the energy of party zeal. In the same manner, a man may contend warmly in favour of compassion, whose conduct shews a cold and barren selfishness ;-but this is not benevolence ;-and the other is not faith. Both are empty professions of a belief in certain truths, which have never fixed themselves in the mind, so as to become regulating principles or moral causes in the mental constitution. We may indeed suppose another character, slightly removed from this, in which the truths have really received the approbation of the judgment, and yet fail to produce their proper influence. This arises from distorted moral habits, and a vitiated state of the moral faculties, which have destroyed the healthy balance of the whole economy of the mind. The consequence is, that the man perceives and approves of truths, without feeling their tendencies, and without

manifesting their power.

Intimately connected with this subject, also, is a remarkable principle in our mental constitution, formerly referred to,namely, the relation between certain facts or truths, and certain moral emotions, which naturally arise from them, according to the chain of sequences which has been established in the economy of the mind. A close connexion thus exists between our intellectual habits and our moral feelings, which leads to consequences of the utmost practical moment. Though we have little immediate voluntary power over our moral emotions, we have a power over the intellectual processes with which these are associated. We can direct the mind to truths, and we can cherish trains of thought, which are calculated to produce correct moral feelings; -and we can avoid or banish mental images or trains of thought, which have an opposite tendency. This is the power over the succession of our thoughts, the due exercise of which forms so important a feature of a well-regulated mind, in regard to intellectual culture ;- its influence upon us as moral beings is of still higher and more vital importance.

The sound exercise of that mental condition which we call Faith consists, therefore, in the reception of certain truths by the judgment,—the proper direction of the attention to their moral tendencies, -and the habitual influence of them upon the feelings and the conduct. When the sacred writers tell us that, without, faith, it is impossible to please God, - and when they speak of a man being saved by faith, it is not to a mere admission of certain truths as part of his creed, that they a cribe consequences so important; but to a state in which these truths are uniformly followed out to certain results, which they are calculated to produce, according to the usual course of sequences in every sound mind. This principle is strikingly illustrated by one of these writers, by reference to a simple narrative. During the invasion of Canaan by the armies of Israel, two men were sent forward as spies to bring a report concerning the city of Jericho. The persons engaged in this mission were received in a friendly manner, by a woman whose house was upon the wall of the city; when their presence was discovered, she hid them from their pursuers; and finally enabled them to escape, by

letting them down by a cord from a window. Before taking leave of them, she expressed her firm conviction, that the army to which they belonged was soon to take possession of Jericho, and of the whole country; and she made them swear to her, that, when this should take place, they would shew mercy to her father's house. The engagement was strictly fulfilled. When the city was taken, and the other inhabitants destroyed, the woman was preserved, with all her kindred. In this very simple occurrence, the woman is represented, by the sacred writer, as having been saved by faith. The object of her faith was the event which she confidently expected,—that the city of Jericho was to be destroyed. The ground of her faith was the rapid manner in which the most powerful nations had already fallen before the armies of Israel, -led, as she believed, by a divine power. Acting upon this conviction, in the manner in which a belief so deeply affecting her personal safety was likely to influence any sound mind. she took means for her preservation, by making friends of the spies. Her faith saved her, because without it she would not have made this provision; but, unless she had followed out her belief to the measure which was calculated to effect this object, the mere belief of the event would have availed her nothing. When we therefore ascribe important results to faith, or to any other mental operation, we ascribe them not to the operation itself, but to this followed out to the consequences which it naturally produces, according to the constitution of the human mind. In the same manner, we may speak of one man, in a certain state of danger or difficulty, being saved by his wisdom, and another by his strength. In doing so, we ascribe such results, not to the mere possession of these qualities, but to the efforts which naturally arose from them, in the circumstances in which the individual was placed. And, when the inspired writer says, that without faith it is impossible to please God,—he certainly refers to no mere mental impression, and to no barren system of opinions; but to the reception of certain truths, which, in our present state of being, are entirely the objects of faith, and to all that influence, upon the moral feelings and the character, which these must produce upon every mind that really believes them.

On this great subject, much misconception appears to have

arisen from not sufficiently attending to the condition in which, as moral beings, we are placed in the present state of existence, and the important part which must be performed by the mental exercise called faith. As physical and intellectual beings, we have certain relations to the objects by which we are surrounded, and with these we communicate by means of our bodily senses. But, as moral beings, our relations are entirely of a different nature; and the facts and motives, which are calculated to act upon us in these relations, are chiefly the objects of faith; that is, they are not cognizable by any of our senses, but are to be received by a different part of our constitution, and upon a separate kind of evidence. This, accordingly, is the simple but important distinction, referred to by the sacred writer, when, in allusion to our condition as moral beings, he says,-" we walk by faith, not by sight." The objects of sight, here intended to express all the objects of sense, exercise over us a habitual and powerful influence. They constantly obtrude themselves upon our notice without any exertion of our own; and it requires a peculiar exercise of mind to withdraw our attention from them, and to feel the power of events which are future. and of things which are not seen. This mental exercise is Faith. Its special province, as we have seen, is to receive truths which are presented directly to the mind, -to place them before us with all the vividness of actual and present existence,-and to make them exert applicas an agency analogous to that which is produced by objects of sight. The next great point in our inquiry, therefore, is, wint are the truths which are calculated thus to operate upon us as moral beings, and which it is the object of faith to bring habitually before us.

When we withdraw our minds from the influence of sensible things, and send forth our attention to those truths which are the province of faith, the first great object which meets our view is the eternal incomprehensible One, the moral governor of the universe,—a being of infinite perfections and infinite purity. From the stupendous works of nature, we trace his operation as the great First Cause,—and infer, with absolute certainty, his boundless power and wisdom, and his independent existence. The impress of his moral attributes beloss fixed with indelible certainty upon our moral percep-

tions,—where, in the light of conscience, co-operating with a simple process of reason, we perceive him to be a being of infinite holiness, and of unerring truth and justice. Our knowledge of these attributes is not the result of any process of reasoning which can admit of deliberation or doubt. They force themselves upon our conviction by the most simple principles of induction, when, from our own mental and moral endowments, we infer the perfections of him who formed us.

From every conception we can form of such a being, we have an equally insuperable conviction of his universal presence,-that he is the witness, not only of our conduct, but of the thoughts and imaginations of the heart ;-and that from these, as indicating our real condition, and not from our conduct alone, our moral aspect is estimated by him, -the pure and holy One who seeth in secret. Each moment, as it passes rapidly over us, we know is bringing us nearer to that period, when all our hopes and fears for this world shall lie with us in the grave. But we feel also that this is the entrance to another state of being,-a state of moral retribution, where the eternal One is to be disclosed in all his attributes as a moral governor. These considerations fix themselves upon the mind, with a feeling of yet new and more tremendous interest, when we farther take into view that this future existence stretches out before us into endless duration. This is the truth so pewerfully expressed by the sacred writer, in terms which by their brevity convey, in the most adequate manner, their overwhelming import,-"The things which are seen are temporal, but the things which are not seen are eternal."

These truths are not the visions of enthusiasm; neither are they the result of any process of reasoning, by which different men may arrive at different conclusions. They force themselves upon our conviction with a power which we cannot put away from us, when we turn our attention to the solemn inquiry, what we are, and what is God. In the sacred writings they are detailed and illustrated, in a connected and harmonious manner; and are impressed upon us with the force of a revelation from the Deity himself. But the principles there disclosed meet with an impression, in our moral constitution, which pleads with authority for their truth. It

is the province of faith to keep these habitually before the mind, and to cause them to influence the feelings and the conduct, as if they were objects of sense,—as if the Deity in all the purity of his character were actually disclosed to our view,—or as if we were present at that dread hour which shall witness his righteous retribution. The man who thus feels their power, and exhibits their influence upon his character is hearly like the state.

ter, is he who lives by faith. When, under this mental exercise, a man brings himself into the immediate presence of the Eternal One ;-when he arraigns himself, as it were, before the bar of the omniscient judge; - when he places before him that future state which stretches forth into endless existence,-a train of feelings must arise in his mind, to which he was a stranger, so long as he placidly resigned himself to the influence of sensible things. He views this being of infinite purity, as one who has been all his life the daily witness of his conduct; and feels that even the secrets of the heart have been at all times open to divine inspection. Each day, as it passed unheeded over him, was a portion gone by of his period of moral discipline; and each, as it glided amid the frivolities of life, or the active pursuit of temporal good, had its moral aspect assigned to it in the judgment of the eternal mind. Along with these impressions, which no reflecting man can put away from him, a voice within forces upon him the conviction that, were his whole history disclosed to his fellow-men, he would, even in their estimation, be found wanting. How much more deeply must this be fixed upon his inmost soul, when he feels that the whole is, at one glance, exposed to the eye of omniscience; and that an hour is rapidly approaching, when a strict account must be rendered, and a righteous tence pronounced, the result of which will extend into eternal stence. With these treths upon his mind, what reflecting man can view, without awe, the moment with into close his state of moral discipline, when, disencumber me his earthly tenement, he shall find himself alone with God, and there shall burst upon his astonished faculties the blaze of an endless day. These are not speculations of fancy, but eternal truth. The man who habitually acts under their influence, knows that his faith rests upon a conviction which cannot be shaken, when he recognises in all his ways the presence and the inspection.

of the Deity,—when he feels the obligation to have even the desires and affections under subjection to his will,—and when he resigns himself to his guidance and asks his powerful aid, both for the conduct of this life, and the preparation for the life which is to come.

Solemn is the hour when a man thus retires from the tumult of life, and seriously proposes to himself the question, -what is his condition as a moral being; what have been his leading pursuits in that life which is hastening to a close; what is his aspect in the view of that incomprehensible One, who perceives at a single glance the whole details of his moral history. Is he safe to meet the full splendour of that eye; -has he no apprehension, that, when called to account in the immediate presence of unerring purity, he may not be able to answer? The man lives not, who can appeal to his own heart and say, after serious inquiry, that he can thus meet the penetrating search of him, whose knowledge is perfect, as his purity is infinite: The man lives not, who can look back upon his whole life, without feeling, that, in the sight of this unspotted One, he is polluted with guilt: And, if his heart condemn him, with all its partiality for his own views and feelings, and all its forgetfulness of many points in his moral history, he must feel that God is greater than his heart, and knoweth all things. Under such an impression, to what refuge shall he betake himself. Does he appeal to an indefinite idea of the mercy of the Deity; it must be evident that this conveys no distinct principle, and will ne bear the confidence which is essential to hope and peace. For we cannot go to the extent of supposing a mercy so indiscriminate, that the Deity will depart from all the laws which he has made, and which he has impressed upon us as a part moral constitution. This would be ascribing to infinite wisdom, an indecision and a change of purpose, unworthy of the weakest human lawgiver. If, then, we do not boldly assume the contion, how are we to draw the line where such mercy is to terminate; -and where the Almighty is to appear in his character of justice, as a righteous moral governor. If we find that each individual fixes a different standard, and that each extends it so as to favour his own condition, it is clear that the system presents no character of truth, and that it is incapable of ministering to

the consolation of him who feels his own necessities, and seriously contemplates the character of God. He must perceive that to apply such reasoning to human enactments, would be to represent them as a mockery of justice; and that it is impossible thus to argue, respecting the laws of him who is infinite in holiness and boundless in wisdom. He cannot but acknowledge that a universe governed in such a manner would run into irremediable confusion and anarchy; and will find it impossible, on any principle which human reasoning can furnish, to arrive at any other decision than this,—that the Judge of all the earth must be unchanging in

his purposes, and impartial in his justice.

To this conclusion we are led by the clearest inductions of moral science :- but, at this momentous point, philosophy fails us. No human power can find a refuge, to which the mind can betake itself under a sense of guilt ;-no human wisdom can answer the inquiry of mighty import, can God be just and yet justify the ungodly. But here we are met by a light from heaven, which has burst upon the scene of doubt and of darkness; and are called to bring down the pride of our reason, in humble submission to the testimony of God. It comes supported by a weight of evidence, which challenges: the cordial assent of the most acute understanding, and the power of which will be best appreciated by those, who, with sincere desire for truth, have made the highest attainments in the laws of rigid inquiry. It discloses an atonement made for sin, -and an influence from heaven, calculated to restors the moral being to the purity in which it was formed. thus meets alike the necessities of man, as in a state of actual guilt, and a state of moral degradation. For the one, it displays a scheme of mercy in which the integrity of the divine character is vindicated, while pardon is extended to transgressors. To the other, it offers power from heaven, which will correct the disorders of the moral constitution, and raise the man anew to the likeness of God. It thus forms a harmonious whole, uniform and consistent in itself,—worthy of the character of God,—and adapted to the condition of man; and, to every one who feels his own moral necessities, and estimates the purity of the Deity, it brings an absolute conviction of its truth.

A participation in the benefits of this revelation of divine

mercy is said, in the sacred writings, to be received by Faith; and this expression has given rise to controversies and contending systems, which have involved the subject in much perplexity. While some have restricted the operation of Faith to the mere belief of a certain system of opinions, others have referred to it a series of mysterious impressions, and enthusiastic feelings, at variance with every dictate of sound reasoning. The principle of faith, however, holds so prominent a place in the scheme of Christian truth, that some clear notions respecting its nature must be felt to be of the highest interest. It holds also, as we have formerly seen, a most important position in the philosophy of the moral feelings,being that mental operation, by which we receive a certain class of truths, of the utmost consequence to us as responsible beings. It is a process which every one feels, but which cannot be defined ;-and it can be illustrated only by tracing its influence, in regard to those objects to which it is more particularly directed.

The objects of faith are twofold,—truths addressed to our understanding,—and benefits offered or promised. We have formerly had occasion to trace the action of faith in regard to truth,—especially a class of truths which are calculated, when really believed, to exert a powerful effect upon our moral feelings and conduct. Its operation, we have seen, is to bring these truths before us in such a manner, that they exert the same kind of influence as if the facts or events were objects of seense. The man who believes these truths, so as thus habitually to bell their power, is he who receives them in faith. This is the province of faith in regard to truth;—we have next to analyze its operation in regard to offered or promised benefits,—and this we can best do by means of an example.

Let us take the illustration of a man affected with a disease supposed to be mortal;—he is told that a temedy has been discovered of infallible efficacy; and that a person is at hand who is ready to administer it. Does he perceive his danger;—does he believe the virtue of the remedy;—does he confide in the sincerity of the individual who offers it;—this is faith. The immediate and natural result of his faith is, that he asks for the remedy which is offered;—and this result is inseparable from such belief, according to the uniform sequence of volitions in every sound mind. The man who professes to

admit the facts, and does not shew such a result of belief, professes what he does not actually feel. If he perceives not the extent of his danger, he asks not the remedy, because he values it not;—and the same effect may follow, if he doubts either its efficacy, or the sincerity of him who offers it. In this case, it is also to be observed, that a reflection is thrown upon the character of this individual, by imputing to him an offer of what he has either not the power or the intention to perform. But if the man really believes the truths, he applies for the remedy; and he receives it. Thus his faith saves him, because by means of it he sought the offered aid. Could we suppose him merely to admit the facts, without asking the

remedy, his belief would avail him nothing.

Such appears to be the simple view we are to take of Faith, when we apply it to the great benefits which are presented to us in the Christian revelation. This is addressed to us as beings in a state both of guilt and of depravity; and as having no means of our own, by which we can rescue ourselves from condemnation and impurity. It unfolds a dispensation of peace, by which, in perfect consistency with the harmony of his character, the Deity offers mercy and forgiveness,—and an influence from himself which has power to purify the moral being. These benefits are conferred on every one who believes; and who is he that believes:-the man who is convinced of his guilt, and perceives his impurity :-- who feels his inability to rescue himself :-- who admits the efficacy of the remedy, and confides in the sincerity with which it is offered; -this is he who believes. His faith saves him; because, acting on his conviction, according to the uniform sequence of volitions in every sound mind, he asks the promised aid, and asking receives it. Much of the confusion. in which the subject has been involved, appears to have arisen from metaphysical refinements by which the various parts of this mental process are separated from each other. They form one harmonious whole, which cannot be broken. The man will not seek the remedy, who believes not its efficacy, and perceives not his moral necessities; but, however he may profess to admit these facts, if he follows not out his belief to its natural result, by applying for the remedy, his mere belief will not profit him. The grounds, on which these truths are addressed to us, are

contained in that chain of evidence on which is founded the whole system of Christianity, -taken along with the conviction, which every man receives of his actual moral condition, from the voice of conscience within. A sense of the sincerity of the offer we derive from our impression of the unchangeable attributes of the Deity. Accordingly, he who believes is said to give glory to God, -that is, to receive his statements with absolute confidence, and to form an honourable conception of the sincerity of his intentions. believes not, rejects the statements of the Almighty as false, -and treats him with the contempt which we apply to one whom we suppose to promise what he has no intention to The man who comes to God, with the hope of acceptance, is therefore required to come in the assurance of faith,—or an implicit conviction that he is sincere in his intentions of bestowing the blessings which he offers; and whosoever hath not this assurance does dishonour to the divine character, -or "maketh God a liar."

It were vain to enter upon the various systems and opinions, in which this important doctrine has been misrepresented by its enemies, and often perverted by those who profess to be its friends. Two of these may be briefly noticed. Some have maintained that the doctrine of an unconditional pardon sets aside the obligations of morality,—because it has no regard to the personal character of the individual,—or holds out the offer of acceptance to faith, without obedience. Others contend that an essential part of faith is an immediate and absolute assurance of a man's own acceptance in the sight of the Deity; and that he who has not this is in a state of unbelief. These two opinions, so different from each other, are equally founded upon misconception of the nature and provisions of the Christian economy.

In regard to the former, it is only necessary to remark, that the revelation of Christian truth is not confined to an offer of pardon to the guilty;—its great object is the recovery and purification of the moral being; and there is an essential and inviolable union between these two parts of the great scheme of redemption. It provides in the most effectual manner for the interests of morality, by the purification of the desires and affections, the springs of action;—it is the morality of the heart. It proclaims a system of morals more

pure and more exalted far than ever was contemplated by the wisest of men;—it exhibits an example of the perfect state of a moral being, in the character of the Messiah;—and it enforces the imitation of this example as indispensable in every one who professes to be his disciple. These different parts of the scheme can never be separated, and there cannot be a greater perversion of reasoning, or a greater misconception of the prominent features of the gospel of peace, than to allege that it does not provide, in the most effectual man-

ner, for the highest interests of morality.

The other opinion is equally founded upon error,—namely, that which considers it essential to faith, that a man be assured of his personal acceptance in the sight of the Deity. It is obvious that this is a sophism clearly opposed to sound reasoning, and to the first principles of the philosophy of the moral feelings. For faith, viewed as a mental process, must always have for its object facts; and these facts must rest upon such evidence, as is sufficient to convince the understanding of their truth. To talk of faith, without such facts and such evidence, is a mere logical fallacy, or an absurdity in terms. But there is no disclosure of the personal acceptance of any individual, and, consequently, on no principle of some reasoning can this ever be considered as the object of faith. This doctrine, therefore, applies a most important principle of the mind, not to facis, which alone can warrant the exercise. of faith, but to a vision of the imagination, which admits of no evidence, and cannot be subjected to any test of its truth.

Widely different from all such filmsy and imaginary hypotheses, is the great system of Christian truth,—harmonious and consistent in itself, and challenging the approbation of the soundest understanding. It reveals, as we have seen, a dispensation of mercy, in accordance with the highest ideas we can form of the divine perfections. It is supported by a chain of evidence, which carries conviction to the mind of the most rigid inquirer; and thus it is a sound and legitimate object of faith. It reveals also a provision for purifying the moral nature; and this in every case accompanies the dispensation of mercy to those who receive it. The effects of this powerful agency, therefore, become the test and the evidence of the reality of faith. Does a man seek a proof of his acceptance,—the reference is to facts in his own moral condi-

tion. He is to look for it in a change which is taking place in his character,—a new direction of his desires,—a new regulation of his affections, -- a habitual impression, to which he was a stranger before, of the presence and the perfections of the Deity,-and a new light which has burst upon his view, respecting his relations to this life and to that which is to come. He is to seek this evidence in a mind, which aims at no lower standard than that which will bear the constant inspection of infinite purity; -he is to seek it, and to manifest it to others, in a spirit which takes no lower pattern than that model of perfection,—the character of the Messiah. These acquirements, indeed, are looked upon, not as a ground of acceptance, but a test of moral condition; not as, in any degree, usurping the place of the great principle of faith, but as its fruits and evidences. As these, then, are the only proofs of the reality of this principle, so they are the only basis on which a man can rest any sound convicton of his moral aspect in the sight of the Deity ; and that system is founded on delusion and falsehood, which, in this respect, holds out any other ground of confidence than the purification of the heart, and a corresponding harmony of the whole character. Such attainment, indeed, is not made at once, nor is it ever made in a full and perfect manner in the present state of being; but, where the great principle has been fixed within, there is a persevering effort, and a uniform contest, and a continual aspiration after conformity to the great model of perfection. Each step that a man gains in this progress serves to extend his view of the high pattern to which his eye is steadily directed; and, as his knowledge of it is thus enlarged, he is led by comparison to feel more and more deeply his own deficiency. It thus produces increasing humility, and an increasing sense of his own imperfection, and causes him continually to feel, that, in this warfare, he requires a power which is not in man. But he knows also that this is provided, as an essential part of the great system on which his hope is established. Amid much weakness, therefore, and many infirmities, his moral improvement goes forward. Faint and feeble at first, as the earliest dawn of the morning, it becomes brighter and steadier as it proceeds in its course, and, "as the shining light, shineth more and more unto the perfect day."